

DELHI UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

This took is presented
by

The Government of the United States
as an expression of

Friendship and Goodwell
of the

People of the United States

People of India

. DELMI UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

Ch. No. 0122:2253:40 HS Date of release for loan

Ac. No. 104177
17 JUL 1958
This book should be returned on or before the date last stamped below. An overdue charge of one anna will be charged for each day the book is kept overtime.

RES	STRICT	ED	ISS	UE
	_			
	-	-		
-				
			i	
_				
	_			
			-	
1	- 1		1	

SUNSET

A HISTORY OF PARISIAN DRAMA IN THE LAST YEARS OF LOUIS XIV 1701–1715

SUNSET

A HISTORY OF PARISIAN DRAMA IN THE LAST YEARS OF LOUIS XIV

1701-1715

BY

HENRY CARRINGTON LANCASTER

Professor of French Literature in the Johns Hopkins University

THE JOHNS HOPKINS PRESS
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

LONDON HUMPHREY MILFORD
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

LES BELLES-LETTRES, PARIS

COPYRIGHT, 1945, THE JOHNS HOPKINS PRESS

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY J H FURST COMPANY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND ALGUST, 1945

10 THE MEMORY OF LOUIS CONS 10 GUSTAVE COHEN 10 THEIR COLLEAGUES OF THE RESISTANCE

CONTENTS

Introduction .	P \GE
CHAPLER	
I The Actors of the Comedie Française. Their Patrons and	
Then Opponents	۲
H—Tragedy	27
III —The Tragedies of La Grange-Chancel .	37
IV - Tragedies by Belm Terrier, La Fosse Pechantre and	
Rimperious .	52
V—Tragedies by Womer - MHe Barbier and Mine Gomez	69
VI —Biblical Tragedies - Duche Nadal Genest	82
VII Cichillon and Longepieric	98
VIII Pellegrin Danchet Henault Chateaubrun, Des hamps	124
1 \ - Comedy	141
X Dancourt .	(50
XI - Boursault Baron Brueys and Campistron	185
XII Dutresuv	500
XIII Regnard	₹16
XIV - Marc-Aufome Legrand	234
AV – Lesage	250
AVI New Authors Bounder La Morte Latent	266
XVII —Destouches	284
XVIII Lost Comedies and Comedies Not Acted at the Comédie	
Francaise	599
XIX -The Theaters of the Fone	311
CONCLUSION .	332
PLAYS ACTED AT THE COMEDIE FRANCAISP 1701-September, 1715.	136
Additions and Corrections to A History of French Diamatic Later- ature in the Secondeenth Century	339
SUBJECT INDEX	345
INDEX OF BOOKS AND PLESONS	319

INTRODUCTION

This volume is a sequel to my History of French Dramatic Interature in the Seventeenth Century. It is concerned with plays that were composed in the period that runs from the beginning of the eighteenth century to the death of the Roi Soleil in September, 1715. I began to prepare it early in 1943, at a time when the occupation of France by the Germans prevented me from having access to plays published in the provinces. Consequently I limited myself to those acted or published in or near Paris, including those produced at Versailles, Fontainebleau, Scenix, etc. These Parisian plays include all extant French plays except a few of extremely minor importance. Somewhat to my surprise, I was able to find in America all but one or two extant tragedies and comedies acted at the Comédie Française.

The bulk of the work is devoted to these productions, but a chapter is concerned with what is known of lost plays and of a few that were never acted, or were produced in private. There is also a chapter on the farces of the Foire, highly interesting as evidence of revol+ against monopoly, but not deserving, so far as the texts are concerned, more extensive treatment. My method is in general that employed in my earlier work. Most of the plays are grouped under the names of their authors, the chapters on tragedies preceding those on comedies. Two chapters are devoted to general considerations in regard to tragedy and to comedy, a fact that has made it possible to reduce the length of the Conclusion. An introductory chapter deals with political and social background and the history of the Comédie Française. As in Part V of my History of French Dramatic Interature, I have added a subject index, as well as one devoted to persons and books, and an appendix of Additions and Corrections to my work en seventeenth-century drama

The period is one that has received comparatively little attention from scholars. Most historians of French literature refer to Crebillon, Lesage, and the later work of Regnard, but they are apt to ignore other plays of the time. From Raeine they hurry on to Voltaire, from Molière and the early plays of Dancourt, to Mariyaux. The chief exceptions are those mentioned in the following paragraphs.

The frères Parfaict 1 discussed in greater or less detail the majority of the plays. Their fourteenth and fifteenth volumes are more reliable than

1

¹ When I refer to them without mentioning the title of their work, I mean their Histoire du théâtre françois

their earlier work, as they deal with plays written by their contemporaries or immediate predecessors and as the authors frequently consulted the Registres of the Comédic Française. To their Histoire they added their Mémoires, concerned with dramatic productions of the Foire, and their Dictionnaire des theâtres, which came out after the death of one of the two brothers. Occasionally their remarks may be supplemented by the publications of Maupoint, Beauchamps, Léris, Clément et La Porte, and by the Bibliothèque du théâtre françois.

The Bibliothèque dramatique de Monsieur de Soleinne 2 gives for this period as for the seventeenth century, the most nearly complete bibliography of plays in existence Goizet added references to some editions unknown to Paul Lacroix Unfortunately his work covers only authors whose initials are found in the early part of the alphabet The volumes published by Joannides and their continuation by Edouard Champion are valuable aids in regard to the repertory of the Comédie Française, as are, in connection with contemporary opinion, the Repertoire and the Théâtre et Public of Monval's Liste alphabétique and J.-J Olivier's Voltaire et les M Mclese comediens give information about actors and actresses Bonnassies and Campardon published a large number of legal documents concerned both with the Comedie Française and with the Foire For the latter I have also consulted the Memoires of the frères Parfaict and the work of Lesage et d'Orneval, Barberet, Albert, and Bernardin

For eighteenth-century opinion I have found information, not only in the works of Mélèse and the frères Parfaict but in those of Mile Barbier Riccohoni, Saint-Simon, Grimm, Hamilton, and especially Voltaire and La Harpe. The social background of upper society was described in Dangeau's Journal, in Saint-Simon, and subsequently by Jullien and Desnoiresterres. I have also utilized Depping's Correspondance administrative and Bourquin's articles on the relations between the theater and the church. Bouncfon and Boislisle have brought to light information about unpublished plays. Buildon has discussed the debt of certain French plays to Don Quixote. Translations of French tragedies in Italy have been listed by Ferrari, in Spain, by Qualia.

Criticism of certain leading dramatists was expressed by Brunetière, Lanson, Lintilhac, and Lenient. Crébillon was studied in detail by Dutrait. A number of other dramatists were discussed by various scholars. La Grange-Chancel, by Nietzelt, Longepierre, by Portalis and Frantz, Hénault by Henri Lion and Fr. Rousseau. Dancourt, by Jules Lemaître and, in an unpublished dissertation by W. H. Starr, Boursault, by Hoff-

² Referred to, below, as Solcinne or Catalogue Solcinne Brunet's index increases its usefulness

mann, Baron, by Young, Brueys, by Koch, Campistron, by Hausding; Dufresny, by Vic and Domann, Regnard, by Sarcey, Parigot, and Toldo; Lesage by Léo Claretie, Lintilhae, Gutkind, and Cordier, Destouches, by Bonnefon, David, Hankiss, Ludemann, and Burner, J.-B. Rousseau, by Grubbs, M.-A. Legrand, by Miss Burnet, Pietre Chailes Roy, by Polinger Other dramatists have remained without modern biographers, nor is there a detailed account of the dramatic production as a whole, although in this period nearly a hundred tragedies and comedies were contributed to the reportory of the Comedie Française

For the loan of books examined in the preparation of this volume I am indebted to the librarians of the Johns Hopkins University, of the Library of Congress, of the Peabody Library, and of Princeton and Harvard Universities, for permission to have a photostat made, to the librarian of the New York Public Library A part of the expense of publication has been borne by the Rockefeller Fund for Research in the Humanities.

CHAPTER I

THE ACTORS OF THE COMÉDIE FRANÇAISE THEIR PATRONS AND THEIR OPPONENTS

When the eighteenth century began, Louis XIV was sixty-two. He had made France the leading power in Europe, had established himself as the model for all who aspired to absolutism, had chosen unity as the order of the day. The nobles who had troubled his youth had been brought to heel. Only one church was tolerated, and within that church the unorthodox, Jansenists and Quietists, were persecuted. He had patronized academies that tended to standardize literature, science, and art. He had even accepted, after many hesitations, the practice of monogamy. Quite naturally he was to continue to patronize at Paris one opera company, to which he granted a monopoly of professional singing and dancing, and one theatrical troupe, with its monopoly upon dialogue.

As he grew older, he became uneasy about his soul, a concern that led him to a strange compromise in regard to the theater. He subsidized actors and allowed them to play at Versailles and at Fontainebleau as well as at Paris. He let his family attend performances frequently. But he ceased favoring professional players with his presence On Jan 2, 1703, Dangeau wrote that for several years the king had seen no plays performed. He exaggerated, it is true, for on Feb 3, 1702, Louis had been present in Mnie de Maintenon's apartment when Duché's Absolon was played taken in this tragedy by the due d'Orléans and the duchesse de Bourgogne, while the due de Berry had a rôle in a farce that accompanied it, J.-B. Rousseau's Ceinture magique On Feb. 22 he again saw Absalon, this time followed by les Précieuses redicules, with the due d'Orleans playing Next day the king attended a performance of Athalic in which the duchesse de Bourgogne took part. At Trianon on Feb. 26 he saw the troupe of the Comédie Française play Montizume and le Grondeur. But after this he saw no more professional acting and, for ten years, no amateurs. In 1912-5, however, he saw Mme de Maintenon's musicians give in actors' costumes several comedies by Moliere and other seventeenth-century authors 1 What he objected to seems to have been neither plays nor acting,

¹ Cf Dangeau, Journal, Dec 21, 1712, to July 26, 1715 He notes the presence of the king at most of the performances some of which took place at Marly The plays given were l'Etourdi, le Cocu imaginaire, l'Ecole des manis, les Fâcheux, le Marage forcé, le Medecin malgré lui, George Dandin, l'Ai arc, le Bourgeaus Gentilhomme, la Comtesse d'Escarbagnas, Racine's Plaideurs, Th. Corneille's Baron d'Albikrae, Hauteroche's Crispin musicien, and le Grondeur of Brueys and l'alaptat

but personal patronage of professional actors, who were frowned upon by the church.

He may also have lost sympathy for professional players as a result of reports that they were acting indecently. Such rumors led eventually to the establishment of censorship Plays had been suppressed from time to time during the seventeenth century, but it was in the eighteenth that supervision was organized. On March 31, 1701, the king had Pontehartrain write to d'Argenson that "les expressions et les postures indécentes commencent à reprendre vigueur" among the actors and that they must be warned in regard to such behavior. He added that no new play could be produced unless the text had been submitted to d'Argenson.2 The actors must have supposed that Louis had in mind only short comedies, for they voted on April 11 to send to d'Argenson a list of the "petites pièces" that were to be acted the following week. They also took the precaution of asking La Thorillière to replace Mimi Dancourt as the "petit marquis" of Le Misanthrope in order to avoid the charge that her presence in masculine costume wounded the "bienséances". Later in the year they suppressed in Boursault's Esope a la cour a scene that discussed the existence of a Creator

Such precautions, however, proved insufficient. Boundin's Bal d'Auteuil, accepted by the actors on July 24, 1702, with a few modifications and submitted to d'Argenson, was played at Paris, and subsequently, on Jan. 1, 1703, at Versailles The manner in which it was acted brought from Louis XIV a fresh reproof and from Pontchartrain a new letter to d'Argenson. The result was that regular supervision of acting was put into effect in 1706, of publication in 1709. On Feb 27 of the latter year Point hartrain wrote that he would grant no further permissions to publish plays unless the authors showed them to him before they were acted.6

So long as the actors respected the proprieties, they were allowed to play at Paris and were invited to Fontainebleau and Versailles. Dangeau mentions their presence in the former palace every autumn in the years 1701-5, 1707, and 1713, at Versailles every winter except three, those of 1711-2, 1712-3, and 1714-5. The Dauphin, "Madame," and the duchesse de Bourgogne were constant attendants "Madame" wrote frequently to her aunt about these and other court performances. The duchesse de Bourgogne, the duc de Berry, and the duc d'Orleans took part in some of them,

² Bonnassies, La Comedic Française, Paris 1874 p 274. He quotes from the Correspondence administrative de Louis XIV. Louis Bourquin, RHL, XXVI (1919), 68, quotes the same letter, but he mindates it 1702 The letter is found in Depping's edition of the Correspondance (Paris, 1851), II, 738-9

Bonnassies, op cit, p 275 Cf Bonnassies, op cit, p 276, and below, Chapter XVI

Cf Mélèse, Th et Pub, pp 7980

Cf Bonnassies, op cit, p 277, and Depping, op cit, II, 860

as we have seen, and even engaged professional actors to play with them. Mme de Maintenon organized dramatic entertainments and wrote proverbes dramatiques for her protégées at Saint-Cyr. The only member of the royal family who shared the king's scruples was the due de Bourgogie, who seems to have gone to the theater only to please his wife and who, when he became Dauphin, refused to receive a delegation of actors because he considered them persons useless to the state. If he had outlived his grandfather, he might have seriously affected the history of French drama.

His attitude failed to influence the great nobles. The princesse de Conti had Longepierre's Electre played at her Versailles home in 1702. M. de Livry entertained the Dauphin by bringing the troupe of the Comédie Française to his château in 1705, where the actors gave a play that Dancourt had written for the occasion Especially active was the duchesse du Maine, granddaughter of Condé and wife of Louis XIV's illegitimate son. She gave many dramatic entertainments in her establishments at Sceaux and Clagny and at Malezieu's home at Châtenay 8 For her Dancourt wrote the Divertissement de Sceaux and brought the troupe to her château to She employed singers from the Opera, the Alards from the Foire, the dramatists Genest and Destouches, and especially Malezieu, member of the Academy and previously preceptor of the due de Bourgogne. Genest wrote for her his Joseph Malezieu prepared for her his translations of Plautus, Terence, Sophocles, and Euripides, charades, a farce for marionettes, and compositions that included dramatic scenes, music, and dancing Molière and Racine were performed. The duchess is said to have acted in Pénélope, Joseph, Andromaque, Milhridate, and Iphiqeneia among the Taurians

The attitude of the church remained hostile, but it did not show the bitterness that had characterized Bossuet's attack upon Cassaro when the latter dared detend the stage in 1694.10 Fléchier condemned attendance upon the theater as "une espèce de libertinage." Massillon warned Christians that in going there they violated their baptismal vows, but he attacked

^{&#}x27;Cf my History of French Dramatic Interature in the Seventeenth Century, Part IV (1940), pp 931-3

[°] Cf Adolphhe Jullien, les Grandes Nuits de Sceaux, le théâtre de la duchesse du Maint, l'aris, Bair, 1876

^{*}For his translations of Latin comedies of helow, Chapter XVIII He shows in the Discours he wrote to accompany Joseph that he had translated Philotetes His Iphiginie, a translation of Euripides's Iphigeness among the Taurians, preserved in a manuscript of 1714, was published by Paul Bonneson in RHL, XVII (1910), 581 611 It was acted on Aug 5, 1713 The translation, including the choruses, is in prose and follows the Greek text closely, but the horoine is given a Greek confidant, Egine, the herdsman becomes Amyntas, "intendant des troupeaux du roi," the messenger is called Araxe, dialogue is sometimes substituted for inonologue, and the position of certain material is altered. Such changes show little originality on the part of Malerieu.

10 Cf my op cit, Part IV, pp 7, 8, and Bourquin, op cit, pp 53 64

the stage only along with other forms of entertainment. On the other hand, some preachers advised against exaggerated severity, and some abbés thought morality might be taught in plays and even desired to promote a Christian theater. The abbé Terrasson was distinctly favorable, praising the stage as a moral institution, good for the people and instructive for their rulers. The abbés who wrote tragedies derived from the Bible or Josephus, Genest and Nadal, must have accepted Terrasson's point of view. Even Bossnet relented sufficiently to attend three private performances of plays at Versailles and Clagny in 1792-3.12

More effective than its public pronouncements for or against the theater were the church's influence upon Louis XIV, to which reference has been made, and its continuing to refuse the last sacraments and burial in consecrated ground to actors who had failed to renounce their profession. According to the Journal des Savants, the actors had in 1696 complained to the pope that they had been refused absolution at the time of the Jubilee The journalist added that their plea had been rejected. This happened again in 1701 is In 1698 la Champmeslé had repented in the nick of time, but in 1730 la Lecouvreur neglected to do so and was buried in unholy ground. Nevertheless plays continued to be given at schools under the patronage of the church. That they were religious and usually written in Latin set them apart from the kind of tragedy ordinarily presented at the Comédic Française, but an intelligent student may well have asked why he should be encouraged by his teachers to participate in an activity that led professionals to excommunication and the potter's field is

¹¹ For the opinions of these churchmen of Bourquin, op cst, pp 74, 559 62, 568 73 He refers also to the Dialogues entre messicurs Patru et d'Ablancourt, to the abbé Guillard du Jarry's Recueil de poésses chrétiennes, and (pp 78 81) to the abbé de Bellegarde Father Souciet, and the abbé Vilhers, who objected in 1711, as he had done in 1675, to the presence of love in tragedies

^{12 (&#}x27;f Urbain et Levesque, Correspondance de Bossuet, Paris, Hachette, 1912, VI, 279 80

¹² Cf Mdèse, Th et Pub, p 169, and Urbain et Levesque, op cit, VI, 257

¹⁴ Cf Bourquin, op cit, p 66

¹⁵ The following list of school plays is made up from Solcine, nos 3637 46, J de la Servière, l'a professiur d'ancien regime le pire Charles Porée S J (1676-1741), Paris, Ondin, 1899, and L-V Gofflot, le Théâtre au collège, Paris, Champion, 1907, pp. 290-2

At Louis le Grand. 1701. la Fontaine de Jouience and Daniel. 1702, Midas, Damoiles Marime. Adonias. Philocrysus, 1703, Posthumus, Celse, 1704, Annibal, Joseph rendu par see freres (translation of a Latin play by Lejay, possibly Péchantre's us the Bib du th fr assigns to him a play with this title, as well as a Saerifice d'Abraham, though both are said to have been written for the collège d'Harcourt), Adulatores, Philippe le Bon, Moise, 1705, Jonas, Cyrus, 1706, Saul, Maxime martyr, Adonias, 1707, Menophis, Josephus Ligypto praefectus (by Lejay), 1708, Philocrysus (by Lejay), Brutus (by Porée), 1709, David Sauli reconciliatus, Josephus renditus, Josephus agnosens fraires, 1710, Celse martyr, Mauritus imperator, 1711 Cræsus (by Lejay), 1712, Paezophilus (by Poree), Damon et Pythas, Schoodus Myrsa (by Porée), Brutus Premier Consul des Romains, 1713, Theocaris

The question of the morality of drama was discussed by laymen also. As Delosme de Monchesnay, after contributing several comedies to the Théâtre Italien, had been converted, he addressed to Boileau an attack upon the theater. His correspondent replied that he ought not to condemn the stage because certain actors led innioral lives.16 Chavigni de Saint-Martin published at Brussels in 1706 the Triomphe de la comédie, ou Reponse à la critique des prélats de France,17 in which he attacked the clergy for seeking to deprive people of pleasure while they were themselves hving selfishly Tragedy and coniedy were defended, respectively, by M. and Mine Dacier. whose support would have been of greater value if they had not held French plays to be greatly inferior to those of Greece and Rome. Finally, all plays. both ancient and modern, were condemned in 1713 by Frain du Tremblay.18

Whatever effect these attacks and apologies may have had, the production of plays and their presentation to the public continued. In compensation for difficulties caused by the unfriendly attitude of Louis XIV and of certain churchmen the actors must have realized that they gained prestige from court patronage and that they profited by the increased efficiency of No such disturbances are recorded as there had been in the late seventeenth century. In his Œuvres of 1712 Palaprat -tated that "la Police fait régner au spectacle un calme dont les speciateurs sont fort obliges." 18 The actors also believed that they profited by their monopoly upon dialogue, as their efforts to send the police after those who violated their privilege amply shows

Some of them held, however, that there might be advantage in having at Paris more than one professional troupe. On Dec. 2, 1707, someone offered to pay 50,000 écus into the royal treasury and to give 8000 livres to the Hôpital général in return for permission to organize a new troupe 20 Four years later the actors themselves brought to the king's attention a similar proposition, one that met with d'Argenson's approval. Dangeau reported on Dec. 3, 1711, that

M d'Argenson, à qui le roi a demandé son avis par écrit sur ce qu'une partie des comédiens veut se séparer de leurs camarades pour faire une troupe à part, a

martyr au Japon, 1714, Paesophilus (by Porée), Benjamin captif, 1715, Plutophagus (by Porce)

At Harrourt, 1712, Saul ou l'Ombre de Samuel

At Navarre, Aug 17, 1715, la Climence d'Auguste (a Latin adaptation of Cinna) Most of these plays survive in a form that gives only the east and an analysis of the text David et Jonathas, "tragedie en musique" (Paris, Sevestre, 1706), produced at Louis le-Grand on Feb. 10, 1706, is an opera rather than a play

¹⁶ Boileau, Curres, Gidel edition, IV, 251 4

17 Bourquin, op cit, pp 71 3, and M Barras, The Stage Contionersy in France, New York, 1933, pp 151-4

Bourquin shows that Chavigni was indebted to Gaeon's Poète sans fard, which had been republished in 1701

¹⁶ Bourquin, op cit, pp 563 b

¹⁹ Cited by Melèse, Th ct Pub, p 222

²⁰ Relations viritables of Brussels, cited by Melèse, and, p 58

écrit que l'on feroit une chose fort sage et fort agréable au public d'augmenter les spectacles dans Paris

The suggestion may have come from Paul Poisson, who with his son withdrew from the troupe on Dec. 16, 1711. As, at the time the request was made, the company had twenty-seven members, it might easily have been divided, but that would have violated one of Louis's cherished principles. Paris was to have only one official troupe of actors so long as the old monarch lived.

Its organization remained in principle as it had been in the last years of the seventeenth century. There were twenty-three shares in the company, divided among nineteen full-share and seven part-share members. The former were. Beauval, Dancourt, Beaubourg, and their wives, la Raisin, Vilhers, Champmeslé, Roséhs, Du Périer, Le Comte, la Godefroy, Etienne Baron, Paul Poisson, Guérin, La Thorillière, la Desbrosses, and la Dufey. Desmares and la Duclos had each three-fourths of a share, la Clavel, five-eighths, Dufey, Lavoy, and la Grandval, a half each, la Champvallon, three-eighths.

Champmesle probably still exercised more authority in the troupe than anyone else and placed rôles of kings and of other men supposed to be no longer young. Villiers and Roselis seconded him, while young heroes were represented by Beaubourg and Etienne Baron, leading comie rôles by Poisson and La Thorilhère. The principal actress in tragedy was la Raisin, but some important rôles were played by la Beauval and la Duclos, while in comedy la Beauval retained the position she had held for many years of leading comie actress.

This state of affairs did not long endure. As the court thought it undesirable for the Dauphin's mistress to appear on the stage, la Raisin was persuaded to retire at Easter, 1701, with a pension to Normandy. Villiers died on July 14, Champnieslé, on Aug. 22. Roséhs retired on Nov. 21. Dancourt probably succeeded Champmeslé as the leader of the troupe. To replace Champmeslé and Villiers as actors, Sallé was admitted in August, Ponteuil, in November. In the next few years Sallé seems to have taken the rôles that would have gone to Champmeslé, had he lived, Ponteuil some of less importance. La Raisin's share must have been divided in such a way as to give a fourth to la Duclos and a half to Charlotte Desmares, for the troupe had been ordered on Dec. 21, 1700, to give a quarter-share to la Duclos as soon as a vacancy should occur and then a half-share to la Desmares. 22. It is possible that the remaining quarter of a share was divided between the Dancourt sisters.

²¹ Cf my Comédic Française, Baltimore, 1941, p 12 22 Campardon, Comédiens du Roi, Paris, Champion, 1879, pp 70, 93

Monval and others have made erroneous statements in regard to the dates when these sisters and la Desmares became members of the troupe. All three had played in it when they were children Charlotte appeared in the Cadet de Gascogne on Aug. 21, 1690, when about eight. Four years later she and her sister represented the children in Longepierre's Médée. After the death of her aunt, la Champmeslé, in May, 1699, she was given a rôle recently created by the celebrated actress, that of Iphigénie in Oreste et Pilade. It must have been her success in this play that induced the Dauphin to order, on April 17, 1700, that she should take over from la Beauval and la Duclos the rôles of Pauline, Emilie, Bérénice, Laodice, Iphigénie, and Hermione and should substitute for la Duclos when she was unable to play.²³ The Registres of the Comedie Française shows, however, that she was not a regular member of the troupe before Easter, 1701. As la Raisin retired at that time, Charlotte must have entered the troupe when it began to play after the Easter recess.

The Dancourt sisters may well have been enrolled at the same time. In 1695 they had appeared in their father's Foire de Besons, when Manon, who danced as an "espagnolette," was eleven, and Mimi, who played Chonchette, was nine. They subsequently took part in his Foire Saint-Germain, Opérateur Barry, and Trois Courines. Monval 24 would have it that they entered the troupe on Jan 13, 1699, and that Manon left in March, 1702. The first date is shown by the Registres to be incorrect, but there is no reason to doubt the second. It is consequently reasonable to suppose that they entered the troupe at Easter, 1701.

The engagement of la Desmarcs, Sallé, and Ponteuil was soon known to the public, for, when the first new tragedy of the winter season, La Grange-Chancel's Amasis, was given late in 1701, the king's rôle was taken by Sallé, the young heroine's by la Desmarcs, and that of a secondary character by Ponteuil. If Sallé received a full share, Ponteuil, a half-share, and if, when Manon retired in March, 1702, her eighth was added to her sister's, the troupe would have had at its disposal a share and a half, enough to admit three new actors, each with half a share. This is what seems to have happened at the end of 1702, when three actors who had been refused membership in 1688-94 were finally admitted. Charles-Claude Botot, called Dangeville, the dramatist, Legrand, and Hugues-François Barrié, known as Fonpré.

Raeme's Berénice, The Corneille's Laodice, Raeme's Iphigénic, and Andromaque

Local Comedie Française (1658 1900) Liste alphabétique des Societaires, Paris, 1900

According to the Dictionnaire des théâtres of the frères Parfaict, II, 246-7, Dangeville was born at Paris on March 18, 1605, was the son of a "procureur an Châtelet," and succeeded Beauval as the actor who represented fools He married la Grandal For Legrand ef Mary Scott Burnet, Marc-Antoine Legrand, Paris,

The next change in the membership of the troupe occurred at Easter. 1704. when la Beauval, her less distinguished husband, and Le Comte The departure of the Beauval couple cut the last link that remained between the troupe and Molière, so far as those who had held shares in his company were concerned La Thorillière and Beaubourg's wife had played under his direction when they were children, but only the Beauval couple had been members of his troupe, she creating the rôle of Nicole in the Bourgeois Gentilhomme, he that of Thomas Diafoirus in le Malade imaginaire It is probable that two of the three shares renounced by these three retiring actors were distributed among older members of the troupe who had not already received full shares, and that the third was divided between two men who had been acting with the company, but had not received membership. Du Boceage, who had tried unsuccessfully to enter the troupe in 1691 and 1692 26 and whom Regnard had recently introduced, along with Dancourt and la Beauval, into the prologue of les Folies amoureuses, and Philippe Poisson, who as the son of Paul, had been granted on April 17, 1700, permission to play Achilles in Iphigénie, Xipharès in Mithridate, and Curiage in Horace, but without remuneration 27 According to Monval, he was received into the troupe on Dec. 28, 1704 quently became, like his grandfather, a comic dramatist, but as an actor he continued to play in tragedy.28

Between the end of 1704 and the beginning of December, 1711, the troupe lost four of its members and engaged three actresses. Du Perier, who had in 1699 introduced into France the "pompe à incendie," must have thought it more profitable to organize firemen than to continue acting, for he retired on Oct. 19, 1705. Sallé died on March 29, 1706, Fonpre, Sept. 21, 1707, la Godefroy, March 5, 1709. The three women to be admitted in these seven years were Françoise Thoury, who had sung at the Opera and had married Sallé, Anne-Catherine Desmares, and Françoise Quinault. La Sallé made her début at the Comédie on May 21, 1704, took part in Circé and the Impromptu de Livry the following year, and obtained membership in the troupe in 1706.29 Anne-Catherine Desmares, sister of

Droz, 1938, my History of French Dramatic Literature, Part IV, pp 86271, and below, Chapter XIV For Fonpré et the frères Parfaict, XIV, 543 He married la Clavel and died in 1707

³⁶ Cf my op cst, Part IV, p 29 Monval, op cst, states that he was born at Strasbourg in 1674 His youth may explain his failure in 1691-2 Monval gives his entrance into the troupe as of March, 1704

^{**}Cf Campardon, op cit, pp 228-9
**In 1721 he played Tarquin in Du Ryer's Scévole and Abner in Athalie, cf the frères Parfaict, XV, 475 6
**According to Monval, op cit, she was born about 1669 and retired in 1721 The frères Parfaict (Mémoires pour servir a l'histoire des spectacles de la Foire, Paris, Briasson, 1743, I, p viii) declared that she "a fait & feroit encore le plaisir de Paris," if she had not retired "dans le plus grand éclat de sa gloire"

the more famous Charlotte and wife of a dancer, A F Botot-Dangeville, was admitted on Feb. 28, 1708; Françoise Quinault, wife of Hugues de Nesle, exactly a year later.

In December, 1711, and in 1712 there were changes of greater consequence. On Dec 9, 1711, Etienne Baron died. Paul and Philippe Poisson retired a week later. Desmares followed their example on June 27, 1712, as did his daughter Anne and the Dufey couple on Dec 21, 1712. La de Nesle, moreover, died on Dec. 22, 1713. The need for new members was obvious. The actors first admitted, June 25, 1712, la de Nesle's brother, J.-B. Maurice Quinault, and Dumirail, then, July 7. Fontenay and Clavareau, to on Aug 2 la Morancourt, 20 on Dec. 21 Quinault-Dufresne, the most celebrated member of his tamily, on Dec. 22 Durant, on Nov. 10, 1713, Milache de Moligny, on Dec. 14, 1713, Louise La Chaise, 56 and on Feb. 17, 1714, Marie Quinault, the fourth of her father's children to become a societaire. Of the twenty-nine actors and actresses who made up the company when Louis XIV died only twelve had been members of it in 1700.

Other actors and actresses, some of them closely connected with members of the troupe had endeavoied to join it, but had failed. On March 17, 1702, Valois had placed the title-rôle in Campistron's Alcibiade and the "Amoureus" in Poisson's Apres-soupé des auberges. He made a second and equally unsuccessful attempt on June 27, 1712, when he played

20 Vincent Charles di Lestoille Dumirail was born at Paris, was tested on May 31, 1708, and on Dec 29, 1711, both times as Jodelet in Thomas Cornelle's Geölier de soy mesme, et Monvel, op eet and the Dietionnaire des theatres, 111-428

on Mry 30 He played "grands Confidens trigiques, & quelques oldes comques" (thid, II, 614) lean-Augustin Clavareau wis tested in due 15 as Achilles in Iphiquine (thid, II, 99) According to Monval, he was tested on June 16 and received on July 8

*2 Octavie Louise-Elconore du Ragot d'Arceville, known ne la Monancourt, was born about 1686, was tisted on Jin 13, 1711, as Cléopâtre in Rodonane and again in that rôk on Inly 3, 1712. She played "roles de Confidentes & les Amoureuses conseque". Cf. Internacione des theatres, III 459, and Monal, on cit

"Jean Le Roix Durant was tested on July 9, 1712, as Antonin in Géta and Nicolème in le Deuil (f Dictionnaire des théâtres, II, 55, and Monval, op est settleme Milanhe de Moligny was born about 1885 and was tested on May 18, 1713 (f Monval, op est settleme Milanhe de Moligny was born about 1885 and was tested on May 18, 1713 (f Monval, op est

28 Louise Christine du Santoy de la Chaise, horn about 1661, was the wife of a lawser, Pierre Perron She was tested on May 2, 1713 She played sus antes in comedy (f Monval, op cit and the Distribution des theatres, 11, 71

The twelve were Dincourt, Bearbourg, their wives Guérne, La Thorillière, Lavoy, la Duclos, la Desbrosses, la Fompré, la Dungeville and la Champvallon The others were la Desmares, Mini Dincourt, Pontenil, Dangeville, Lagrand, Du Boccage, la Salié, Maurice and Marie Quinault Quinault Dufresne, Dumirail, Fontenay, Clavarean, la Morancourt, Durant, Moligne, and Louise La Chaise When Louis XIV was dead and the Regent took control of the therier, his daughter, the duchesse de Beily, peishaded Paul and Plulippe Poisson to return to the troppe and dropped Clavarenu, Durant, Moligny, and la Morancourt As each of these four received a pension of 500 francs, each must have had half a share in the company

Antony in La Chapelle's Cléopâtre. Anne-Françoise d'Orvay [Dorné]. daughter of the distinguished actor. Dauvilliers, and wife of Dumont de Lavoy, was tested three times. as Camille in Horace, June 30, 1705, as Clytemnestre in Iphigenie, May 1, 1708, and as Agrippine in Britannicus, June 7, 1709 A certam Belletour played Auguste in Cinna, Jan. 20, Clavel, brother of Fonpré's widow, appeared in the title-rôle of 1708 Mithridate, March 15, 1708. Hugues de Nesle, "Officier de la Louveterie du Roi." whose wife was to succeed eight months after he failed, played Dioelétien in Brueys's Gabine, June 23, 1708 Morel from Berlin was directed on Oct 16, 1708, to prepare himself for rôles of kings, but he was tested on July 19, 1709, as Gros René in the Depit amoureux. It may have been his rotundity that seemed to fit him either for this comic rôle or for that of a king, but other qualifications must have been lacking. Préfleury was tested on Jan 20, 1711, as Oreste in Andromague and on Aug 2, 1712, as Agamemnon in Iphryénie, d'Artenay, May 4, 1712, in the title-rôles of Pourceaugnae and Urispin médecin, 37 Champdoré, May 23, 1712, as Pyrrhus in Andromaque, La Sallé, June 8, 1712, in the title-rôle of Crispin médecin and as Griehard in le Grondeur Sévigny, who had been a member of the troupe, but had left it in 1695, se made an attempt to return to it on June 10, 1712, by taking the title-rôle in Mithridate Finally, Du Lae was tested on June 21, 1712, as Ladislas in Venceslas 30 It will be noted that most of these unsuccessful efforts to secure new actors were made in 1708 and in 1712, that Racine's tragedies were the plays most frequently selected for the tests, and that there were comparatively few attempts to take rôles in comedies.

The members of the troupe who retired or died before December, 1701, made no contribution of consequence to eighteenth-century drama. La Beauval, on the contrary, was given a prominent part under her own stagename in the prologue of Les Folies amoureuses and is known to have played the queen in Amasis, Frosine in le Double Veuvage, and Mysis in l'Andrienne. She probably continued to hold in the counsels of the company the important position to which her long career as a prominent actress entitled her. Nothing is known about her husband's acting in the eighteenth century except that Louis XIV recognized his worth by giving him in 1704 a pension of 600 frames 40

⁸⁷ After his failure he played in the troupe of Saint-Edme at the Foire and subsequently in provincial companies

^{**} For this actor and dramatist of my op cit, Part IV, Index
** For these actors who failed of the Dictionnaire des théâtres, I, 311, 409, II, 71, 100, III, 252 3, 266, 460, 491, IV, 227, V, 26, 155, VI, 34

Monval, op cst, states that Mile Aubert, who was received on May 27, 1721, had been rejected on June 13, 1712

40 Cf Bonnassies, op cst, p 231

Dufey must have acquired standing in the affairs of the company, for he was sent several times in 1706-12 to protest against the encroachments of the Foire, and it was he who accompanied Dancourt when one of the theaters at the Foire was torn down. Le Comte took the part of Gautier-Garguille in Dancourt's Opérateur Barry, Desmares, that of the Suisse in the Double Veninge, la Godefroy, that of the Suissesse in the same play. The fact that they took such minor rôles as these suggests that it was not difficult to replace the three veterans.

Dancourt, who is given in the prologue of les Folies amoureuses a rôle indicating that he was the leader of the troupe and who took a prominent part in the attacks on the Foire, continued to supply his comrades with a large number of plays, composing more of them in 1701-15 than anyone else, but he never distinguished himself as an actor except, perhaps, in Esope à la cour 41. His wife probably had a rôle in most of the comedies he wrote, as did his two daughters in his Opérateur Barry. La Dancourt also played Glicérie in her brother-in-law's Andrienne, setting a fashion with her costume. Dancourt did not lead an uneventful life. He was threatened with assassination by one of the forains and had trouble with his wife's nephew, Etienne Baron, who at one time occupied an apartment in his house, rue de Condé, who failed to pay his rent, and who, like the forain, threatened his life.

La Grandval took the part of a surante in Diffesey's Double Veuvage and the more important comic rôle of the Veuve in his Coquette de village. In September, 1702, she had married Charles-Claude Botot, called Dangeville 43. La Champvallon and la Desbiosses were also favored by Dufresny, who entrusted to the former the rôle of the Countess to the latter that of the Veuve in his Double Veuvage. He have he Champvallon the title-rôle in his Joueuse, while la Desbiosses appeared under her own name in the prologue of les Folies amoureuses and played Penelope in la Mort l'Ulysse. Lavov is known to have represented Jodelet in l'Operateur Barry, to have been sent in 1707 and in 1715 to protest against the actors of the Foire, and to have been accused in 1716 of purloining funds belonging to the troupe, a charge that brought from La Thorilliere the affirmation that the accused was a man "de bien, d'honneur et de probité." 44

of The freres Parfact, XV, 475, state that this play was given on June 19, 1721, for the first time after Dancourt's retirement, and that La Thorillier, then took the leading rôle. This implies that Æsop had been previously played by Dancourt. He is known to have taken the part of Mathan in Athalic.

⁴² Cf Campardon, les Spectacles de la Foire, I, 83, 234 5, les Comédiens du Ros, p 10 On p 25 of the latter work there is an account of Dancourt's separating Beaubourg and a certain Mey, who had come to blows

^{**} For a contract in which she and her finnce agreed to supply her parents with an income of Campardon, op cit, pp 545
** Ibid, pp 188 9

Guérin d'Estriché, who had married Mohere's widow and who, on Nov. 30, 1700, had buried her, had been taking elderly rôles in the late seventeenth century, some of them, like those of the protagonists in l'Alare and le Grondeur. Of great distinction. He had fairly important rôles in eighteenth-century tragedy and comedy, those of the resourceful minister, Phanès, in Amasis, of the self-seeking Intendant in the Double Veuvage, of old Simon in l'Andrienne, of Licinien in Cornelie Vestale, and of Achtophel in Absalon. He took this last rôle when he was about seventy-six and did not retire until 1717, when he was over eighty.

The leading comic rôles were taken by La Thorilhère and Paul Poisson, the former throughout the period, the latter nearly to the end of 1711. There was some difference between their methods, for Regnard, who had originally planned for La Thorillière the important rôle of the valet in le Legature universel, was obliged to revise it when he found that l'oisson, as a result of his comrade's illness, was to play it. Both took part in la Comedie des comediens and probably in la Foire Saint-Laurent La Thorilhère played Gusmand in le Double Veuvage and Dave in l'Andrienne. Tralage had called him, about 1695, the "delices du parterre" and had added that a play ' nest bonne s'il n'y paroît" 46. He probably maintained this reputation in 1701-15 In August, 1711, he went with Poisson to protest against the forums,47 but the relations between the two comedians had not always been friendly, for in 1701 La Thorilliere had drawn his sword to help his nephew, Etienne Baron, in an altercution with Poisson 48 In this affair Beauval and his wife had supported Baron, while Champmeslé had made peace among the disputants. Paul claimed that the cause of the quarrel was the fact that his son Philippe had been called by Baron "l'exercment de la Comédie", Baron, that it was due to certain "paroles désobligeantes' uttered by Paul Poisson in regard to la Beauval charges may have been correct, but l'oisson's behavior off the stage did not dmumsh his reputation as an actor for Palaprat gave him the highest praise in saving that "on croit tous les jours n'avoir pas perdu le fameux Poisson, quand on voit son fils " 19

As Beaubourg had been a member of the troupe longer than Etienne Baron, he probably retained the rôles of young hero in older tragedies, while Baron had a similar function in the majority of new ones. In les Tyndarides

⁴⁵ Cf Tralage, cited by Melese, Th ct Pub , p 204

⁴⁰ Cf Melèse, Th et Pub. p. 204
67 (f Campardon les Spectacles de la Foire He shows that Poisson was sent to
the Foire also in 1706, 1707, and 1709

^{40 (}f Campirdon, les Comediens du Roi, pp. 223, 2278
40 Noted by Mélèse, Rep., p. 92 "Le fimeux Poisson" is of course, Raymond Palaprats culogy must have been written before l'aul retired in December, 1711, though his Guires, in which it appeared, was not published until 1712

Beaubourg had the more important rôle of Pollux, Baron that of Castor; in la Mort d'Ulysse Beaubourg played Telegonus, while Baron had the rôle of Telemachus, less important dramatically, but that of a youth who is supposed to win our sympathy. In the Comédie des comediens they were evenly matched, each having two rôles, that of young lover and that of Italian actor, Beaubourg playing the Docteur, Baron, Pierrot. An awe-inspiring rôle, like that of Rhadamiste, naturally went to Beaubourg, while Baron played Cupid in Psyché, the young hero in such tragedies as Amasis and Hypermnestre and in such comedies as le Double Veuvage, l'Andrienne, and la Foire Saint-Laurent.

According to Voltaire, Beaubourg was an "énergumène" and played Joad "en démoniaque." ⁵⁰ An anonymous author called his voice "terrible." ⁵¹ The violence he showed in his interpretations was indicative of his character, if he can be judged by Legrand's deposition of June 17, 1711 • ⁵²

Dépose que la veille de la grande fête de Dieu, sur les sept heures du soir, comme on finissoit le quatrième acte de la tragedie de Britannicus, le sieur Mey vint demander au déposant s'il entendoit un endroit de la pièce qu'il lui récita et comme il lui alloit expliquer, vint à passer le sieur de Braubourg, sortant du th'âtre en habit à la romaine, lequel prenant part à la conversation, dit audit sieur Mey "N'étes-vous pas las, monsieur Mey, de critiquer Racine avec vos critiques ridicules?" A quoi ledit sieur Mey repondit "Mais, vous même, Monsieur, entendez vous ces vers la 1913 Que ledit sient Beaubourg lui répliqua encore en ces termes "Ah! Monsieur Mey!" A quoi ledit Mey lui dit "Vous êtis un plaisant homine pour disputer avec un homme comme moi!" Sur quoi ledit sieur Beauhourg dit in s'en retournant sur le theâtre "Monsacur Mey, vous vous ferez ôter votre entrée à la comédie" Que ledit sieur Mey lui eria de loin "Vous êtes un plusant juge de Pont Neuf! " ce qu'il repéta deux fois Que ledit sieur Beaubourg vint à la charge et ne put s'empôcher de lui donner un soufflet, que le sient Mey se jeta à sa perruque et le secoua en lui donnant quelques coups que ledit sieur Beaubourg lui rendit de son côté et le sieur Dancourt les sépara dans le moment

Etienne Baron seems to have been still more quarrelsome. I have referred to his dispute with Paul Poisson and to his threatening to take Dancourt's life. Son of a great actor, he had played as a boy in two of his father's comedies and, after his entrance into the troupe had risen rapidly to the position of full-share actor. On March 2, 1696, he had married the daughter of von der Beek, a German performer at the Foire who had died not long before the marriage. The explanation of this mesalliance may be in the fact that she brought with her a down of 15 000 frame. In 1704 she accused her husband of wasting a part of her down, of gambling, and

⁸⁰ Moland edition, L, 353, XXVIII, 302

⁸¹ Quoted in the Cuires de Cribillon, Paris, 1772, III, 247

⁸³ Campardon, op cit, pp 24-5 ⁸³ Ponteuil's deposition shows that the lines referred to are vv 12567 of Britannicus Campardon notes that Beaubourg had been playing the title-rôle

of contracting so many debts that she had been obliged in 1701 to obtain a "séparation de biens." She charged that, as he owed Dancourt, his unclein-law, rent for three terms, the latter was obliged to retain Etienne's "miroirs et glaces," and she asserted that her husband had found it necessary to live in the Comédie Française in order to escape arrest for his debts. When she went to sup with him there, he had slapped her twice and had given her several "coups de pied et de poing." 54 It was during this forced residence in the theater that, in order that he might play at Versailles, Pontchartrain was obliged to ask d'Argenson to persuade the actor's creditors to allow him to leave the building and return to it without molestation. A week later Pontchartrain expressed the hope that, if d'Argenson liberated Baron, he would keep him from getting into similar trouble, but he was obliged on Dcc. 3 to agree with d'Argenson that it was impossible to put Baron "en règle." 55 According to Léris, Etienne was "un jeune Comédien, beau, bien fait & dont les talens commençoient à sc perfectionner, mais un amour trop ardent pour le plaisir en priva le Public." 56

To match these two men there were two distinguished actresses, la Duclos and la Desmares. The first, Marie-Anne de Châteauneuf, was born in 1668. After singing at the Opera, she became in 1694 a member of the Comédie Française, where she was an understudy for la Champmeslé. After the latter's death in 1698, she must have inherited several of her rôles. In 1699 she created the Empress Serena's rôle in Bruevs's Gabinie In the first twelve years of the eightcenth century she created the titlerôles in Longepierre's Electre and in Riupeirous's Hypermnestre, as well as the rôles of Tharès in Absalon and of Zénobie in Rhadamiste et Zénobie. About 1695 Tralage had described her as 57

une grosse fille qui se porte bien, aimant la joye L'on dit qu'elle sait accorder Venus et Bacchus, elle est assez bien faite, la peau fort blanche, elle chante un peu, mais sa voix n'est pas très forte Si elle continue à engraisser, on ne la pourra souffrir dans quelques années C'est une actrice de génie médiocre

But improvement came. On Nov. 3, 1700, the duchesse d'Orléans wrote that la Duclos had "depuis un an si bien appris son métier qu'à présent elle joue presque aussi bien que la Champmeslé" 58 The same year the Dauphin noted her progress in declamation. In 1702 the Mercure declared that no actress had ever displayed such force and grace as she had

⁸⁴ Campardon, les Spectacles de la Foire, I, 83-4

as Depping, op cit, II, 812-3 Detionnaire portatif, Paris, 1763, p 505

^{**} Notes et documents extraits du manuscret de J. N du Tralage, Paris, Nouvelle Collection Moliéresque, 1880, p 4

⁸⁸ Cited by Mélèse, Th et Pub, p 202 ** Campardon, les Comédiens du Ros, p. 93.

done in Longepierre's Electre. 60 La Motte 61 was enthusiastic about her interpretation of Phèdre:

> Qui mieux que toi, Duclos, actrice inimitable, De ton art connaît les beautés? Qui sut jamais donner un air plus véritable A des mouvements imités? Tu feins le désespoir, la haine, la tendresse, Et je sens tout ce que tu feins

This was in 1714 The same year young Voltaire ez called her the "aimable souveraine" of the stage and assured her that Love flies among the spectators

> Quand, sous le nom de Phèdre ou de Monime, Vous partagez entre Racine et vous De notre encens le tribut légitime

He had lost his heart to her, but she had preferred the comte d'Uzès. 68 A few years later he transferred these verses to Adrienne Lecouvreur and. though he referred to both as typical tragic actresses,64 he declared in October, 1725, that la Lecouvreur had buried la Duclos, 68 he commented on the latter's ignorance. 66 and, in lc Dictionnaire philosophique, he condemned her as follows: 67

La mélopée théâtrale périt avec la comédienne Duclos, qui n'ayant pour tout mérite qu'une belle voix, sans esprit et sans âme, rendit enfin ridicule ce qui avait été admiré dans la des Œillets et dans la Champmeslé

It is quite possible that she was a fine actress in 1714, when she was forty-six, and that in the decade that followed her affectations increased with her age, so that she was unable to compete with Adrienne Lecouvreur when the two appeared in Voltaire's Marianne on March 6, 1724, la Lecouvreur creating the title-rôle, la Duclos the rôle of Salome. 88 It was not long after this that she married Duchemin, an actor only seventeen years old, thirty-eight years younger than herself. The result was what she might have expected. She soon accused him of unfaithfulness, of beating her, and of giving her a venereal disease * She obtained a separation, continued playing until 1733, retired officially three years later, and

```
** Frères Parfaict, XV, 321
** Quoted by J-J Olivier, Voltaire et les comédiens, Paris, 1900, p 380
** Moland edition, IX, 561-4
** Ibid, X, 220, XXXIII, 28-9
** Ibid, II, 323
** Ibid, XXXIII, 153
** Ibid, XXXIII, 153
** Ibid, XXXIII, 182 Cf XXVIII, 302, where she is said to have sung her lines in Athalie and appeared as a "Josabeth fardée" In 1721 she had the title-rôle in that transadu
```

that tragedy 64 Cf Olivier, op cit, p 18

⁶⁶ Cf the documents of 1727-30 published by Campardon, op cst., pp 93-6.

lived until 1748. In her last days she must have looked back upon the first fifteen years of the century as the best part of her career, a distinguished one despite the facts that she had been early overshadowed by la Champmeslé and twenty years later was surpassed by la Lecouvreur.70

Even in her best period she had had a formidable rival in Charlotte Desmares. Born at Copenhagen in 1682, while her father was there as a member of a French troupe, she grew up almost on the stage, taking parts as a child and called by the actors Lolotte. I have mentioned above rôles that were assigned to her in 1690-1700, before she became a sociétaire. It is quite possible that Dancourt wrote his Trois Cousines especially for her and his two daughters. She had many important rôles in the eighteenth century, such as those of the young heromes in Amasis, les Tyndarides, and la Mort d'Ulysse and that of the Pythonisse in Nadal's Saul She created the title-rôle in Athahe for the Comédic Française and the rôle of Jocaste ın Voltaire's Edine. She helped in the revival of "machine" plays, having the title-rôle in Psyché and introduced under her own name in the prologue written by Dancourt for Thomas Cornelle's Inconnu 71 In comedy she played Thérèse in le Double Veuvage, Marton in la Comédie des comédiens, Lisette in la Coquette de village, Lucile in la Foire Saint-Laurent That she distinguished herself in comedy as well as in tragedy is shown by the fact that Coypel painted her "sous les habillements de Thalie et de Melpomène " 72 Palaprat declared that she recalled "toutes les idées de son illustre tante quand elle joue la tragédie, et de Mile Beauval quand elle a un rôle comique " 78

The cluef actors who became sociétaires in 1701-15 were Sallé, Ponteuil, and Quinault-Dufresne The deaths of Champmeslé and Villiers gave opportunity for distinction to the first two, that of Etienne Baron to the third. Sallé had attempted for a while monastic life, then that of a singer in provincial opera companies He had been tested as an actor in 1698, but had been obliged to leave for Warsaw, where he acted in a French troupe till about Easter, 1701, when he returned to Paris and was admitted to the company in August. Late in the year he created the title-rôle in Amasis His fine voice and that of his wife, who sang in the Opera at Paris, made it

⁷⁰ Olivier, op cit, pp 379 80, mentions a painting and three engravings of her Since the painting represents her as Ariadne, she must have had the title-rôle in Thomas Corneille's Ariane

Thomas Corneille's Arianc

1 Cf the freres Parfaict, XIV, 308-10 The prologue was played by the Muse, la Desmarcs, Minn Dancourt, Sallé, Ponteuil, and Crispin (Paul Poisson)

1 Cf Campardon, op cit, p 78 He shows that she owned this portrait and prints of Corneille, Racine, and Molière

1 Guires of 1712, cited by Mélèse, The et Pub, p 201 Her immorality is emphasized in a song of 1713 (ibid. p 175) Alexandre Sallé and Charlotte Damour, to whom in 1746 she made important donations (cf Campardon, op cit, pp 70-85), were probably her illegitimate children

desirable for Dancourt to give them leading parts in his Impromptu de Levry and Divertissement de Sceaux. Sallé created the title-rôle in Nadal's Saul and the rôle of Danaus in Hypermnestre. The Mercure of August, 1701, declared that "tout Paris s'est empressé pour le voir jouer," 14 but his death in March, 1706, soon deprived the city of this promising actor.

Ponteuil, son of a notary and born at Paris in 1673,75 first played in an amateur troupe at the Hôtel de Soissons.76 He next acted in Poland and at Ghent, was tested at the Comédie Française on Sept. 5, 1701, and became a sociétaire on Nov. 25. He seems to have taken at first comparatively minor rôles, like that of Menès in Amasis, but, after Sallé's death, he had the title-rôle in la Mort d'Ulysse and played David in Absalon, Pharasmane in Rhadamiste et Zénobie, Domitian in Cornélie Vestale, Phocas in Hérachus, and Bélus in Crébillon's Sémiramis. It is said that Lesage meant to contrast the naturalness of his acting with the emphatic nature of Beaubourg's when he mentioned actors who played Dido's minister and Æneas." In 1740 Voltaire regretted that he could not be brought to life to play Mahomet. 78 though he and Beaubourg had been unwilling to accept Edipe He died in 1718.

The "tribu Quinault," as Voltaire called the family, "was impressive by its numbers. The father, Jean, had been tested at the Comédie Française in 1694 and had received a quarter of a share between Easter, 1695, and October, when he returned to the provinces, becoming a theatrical director at Strasbourg and living until 1728 His daughter Françoise, wife of Hugues de Nesle, has been mentioned. She was a sociétaire in 1708-13. Her brother, J.-B. Maurice, became a member of the troupe on June 25, 1712. He was followed by Abraham-Alexis, known as Quinault-Dufresne, on Dec. 21, 1712, by Marie-Anne-Catherine on Feb. 17, 1714, and by Jeanne-Francoise on Dec. 22, 1718 80 The only member of the family to attain great distinction was Quinault-Dufresne, who became the leading jeune

⁷⁴ Quoted by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 548-51

⁷⁶ Cf Monval, op cit, who gives his name as Nicolas Etienne Le Franc
76 Frères Parfaict, Mémoires, I, 33
77 Gil Blas, Book III, chapter 6
78 Moland edition, XXXV, 438
79 Ibid, XXXVI, 20

^{**} Of my Comedie Française, pp 11-2, and Moland, op cit La de Nesle played Thamar in Absalon and the title rôle in Cornélie Vestale She must have had a rôle of some importance in Ino et Mélicerte as her illness caused productions of the role of some importance in *Ino et Mélicerte* as her illness caused productions of the play to cease (cf frères Parfaict, XV, 138) She probably took the part of the young heroine, for Ino was played by la Desmarcs and the rôle of Thémistée was probably given to an older actress She died on Dec 22, 1713 A song of that year, quoted by Mélèse (*Th. et Pub*, pp. 174-5) mentions both her and "Quinault," who is said to possess an "orgueil extrême" The reference is probably to J B Maurice, as Quinault-Dufreene had very recently joined the troupe, but it is certainly not, as Mélèse misinforms us, to a "fils de l'auteur"! On Aug 2, 1715, in a revival of *Héraclus*, Maurice Quinault played the title-rôle, Quinault-Dufresne, the rôle of Martian of the frères Parfaict XV 216 Martian, of the frères Parfaict, XV, 216

premier of the troupe and created prominent rôles in Voltaire's tragedies and in comedies by Destouches. Voltaire refers to him a number of times and declares that, when he and his sister retired, Paris talked of nothing else. In 1778, however, when Lekain was his ideal actor. Voltaire asserted that Quinault-Dufresne had had only a "belle voix et un beau visage." 82 It is probable that in 1713-15 the young actor had already taken over the rôles vacated by the death of Etienne Baron. He became known for his beauty, his pride, and his indifference to alterations made by authors in the text of their plays.

The troupe suffered from the war and the poverty that accompanied it.83 from the competition of the Opera and the Foire, and from the monopoly of singing and dancing that had been granted to the Opera. On April 22, 1672, the king had reduced the music of the Comédie Française to what could be produced by two voices and by six violins He had renewed this order on Aug. 17, 1684. On Jan. 16, 1701, the prologue of Dancourt's Trois Cousines had been prohibited because a professional singer was employed to take part in it 84 The voices had to be those of the actors, according to a brief submitted by the Académie Royale de Musique in 1717.85 There were violations by the actors, but in the main they were obliged to submit to the ruling.

The Comédie Française, which had to defend itself against the Opera, passed to the offensive where the Foire was concerned The actors were as eager to see that their monopoly of dialogue was respected as were their rivals of the Académie Royale de Musique to prevent violations of their own monopoly. They were constantly in trouble with the showmen of the fairs and appealed to the police to prevent them from giving dramatic entertainments This controversy will be discussed below, in Chapter XIX. The actors found the competition of the forums so serious that Dancourt, after visiting with a police official a packed house at the Foire, once took him to the Comédie and showed him that the receipts for the day were less than the expenses.86 The Mercure of July, 1715, declared 87 that

^{**} Moland edition, loc cst, cf also II, 7, 534, III, 80, IX, 395, XXXIII, 199, 259
** Ibid. L, 353 For a quarrel in May, 1715, between Quinault-Dufresne and Moligny and for testimony in regard to the former's extravagance cf Campardon, op. cit, pp 236-41

^{**} Also from the insolence of noblemen, as is shown by the action of an ambassador of Savoy, who insisted (June 17, 1700) that two pages and a lackey should be admitted to the theater without paying Pontchartrain was obliged to protest Cf.

Depping, op cit, II, 764

** Cf Mélèse, Th et Pub, pp 417-8 and 221-2

** Cf Campardon, op cit, p 282. The actors were accused of employing musicians in 1717, 1718, 1725, and 1740

⁶⁶ Campardon, les Spectacles de la Foire, II, 302

av Cited by Mélèse, Th et Pub , p. 59

La Comédie et l'Opera furent désertés, comme de raison. Chacun courut en foule chez les sieurs Dominique et Baxter . . . Il y a apparence que si ces spectacles se continuent sur le pied où on les met aujourd'huy qu'ils effaceront bientôt jusqu'au souvenir des autres

Then there was the "droit des pauvres." On Feb. 25, 1699, it was decreed that the actors should be taxed a sixth "en sus de la recette," the money to be paid to the Hôpital général 88 As Bonnassies explained. this meant a seventh if the actors increased their charges by the amount they paid the Hôpital. They did increase them, at times even more than the tax, but, probably because their audiences diminished, they also decreased them, so that the increase in charges was not always enough to pay the tax. 39 They may have paid part of the tax in candles. When they sought to reduce the tax by deducting expenses before they calculated it, a decree of Aug. 30, 1701, ordered that the sixth be levied on "toutes les sommes qui seront reçues . . . sans aucune diminution ny retranchement, sous prétexte de frais ou autrement." 90 This ruling does not seem to have occasioned a general increase in admission charges. 91

There were also pensions of 1000 franes a year to be payed to full-share actors when they retired, of 500 francs to half-share actors. These were paid, not by the troupe as a whole, but by individual actors. New actors were also obliged to pay for the privilege of joining the company and to contribute to a sinking-fund 92 These expenses must have been hard to meet when the receipts were small In 1715-16 a share in the company produced only 3671 francs, considerably less than the average for 1681-1701 and less than in any previous year when France was at peace.98

⁰⁰ Cf Bonnassies, les Spectacles forains et la Comédia française, Paris, 1875, p 144. ⁰⁰ Cf my History of French Dramatic Literature, Part IV, pp 44-5, and my **Cf my History of French Dramatic Literature, Part IV, pp 44-5, and my Comédie Française, p 17. For instance, when the tax began to operate, in March, 1699, admission to the parterre was 18 sous, on Jan 4, 1700, it was 17% sous, on Feb 3 it was 17½ sous, on April 19 it was 17½ sous, on June 1 it was 17 sous; on Jan 1, 1701, it was 16½ sous

**Cf Bonnassies, op cit, pp 146-7, and Mélèse, The et Pub, pp 66-8, 422 The latter published the complete text of the document, which shows that Bonnassies was mistaken in holding that the sixth did not include a levy on the increase in

charges
1 This increase came in 1716, when, on account of new taxation, a "neuvième"

**This increase came in 1716, when, on account of new taxation, a "neuvième" was added; cf Bonnassies, op cit, p 148 New expense was incurred in 1704, when the government decided upon "le rachat de la taxe des boues et lanternes" The actors were obliged to find 2574 francs, which they did by withdrawing each day 115 francs from the receipts Cf Bonnassies, la Comédie Française, pp 132-3

**2 Cf my History of French Dramatic Interature, Part IV, pp 36, 41 The sinking-fund was first established to meet the cost of the new theater, payments on which were completed by April 27, 1699 (cf Bonnassies, op cit, p 132), but actors continued to contribute to it, apparently on account of maintenance and improvements Among the latter were the addition of four "lustres" to brighten the parterre when the English Pretender visited the theater (Oct 18, 1706), architectural alterations of the same year, costing over 1245 francs, and the lengthening of the "balcon" in June, 1711, cf Bonnassies, op cit, p 105

**On Feb 10, 1716, the tax for the poor was increased In 1718 the actors, in order to show its effects, noted that "il y a trois ans, avant l'imposition du

It is not surprising to find that the less careful members of the troupe ran into debt. The question had been raised as to whether their creditors could reimburse themselves from the actors' shares. In 1693 Parlement had decreed, in the case of Paul Poisson and his wife, that one-third of such shares could not be appropriated by the creditors. In 1709 Legrand asked that, when his share was calculated, deduction be made for the cost of his costumes, but he desisted when Parlement ruled that the share of an actor in debt should be divided annually into three parts, one of which should be delivered by the troupe's treasurer to the creditors, while the other two, after the actor's part in the expenses of the troupe had been deducted, went to the debtor. On June 3, 1715, it was decided to place the third reserved for the creditors in the hands of Lavoy, Dangeville, and Du Boccage 94

The first official ruling by the Gentlemen of the Chamber in regard to the troupe was issued on Oct 27, 1712.85 Actors were directed to learn the rôles assigned them by authors under penalty of losing their share of the receipts. If the authors did not express their desires, the rôles were to be distributed by a vote of the troupe. A fine of one écu would be levied on actors who failed to appear at rehearsals, unless the troupe decided to excuse their absence. Actors were forbidden to discuss other matters in their assemblies than those for which the meeting was called. If quarrels arose, a fine of fifty francs might be imposed. "Semainiers" were appointed to see that these regulations were carried out. If one of these officials failed to report in regard to the events of the week, he could be fined twenty francs In this manner new restrictions were placed upon actors. Exactions of courtiers, censorship, monopolistic practices, and financial difficulties help to explain why French drama did not reach in the eighteenth century the heights to which it had risen in the seventcenth.

In the prologue of Céphale et Procris, acted in 1711, Dancourt made Momus declare that none of the actors lacked talent, but that they neglected their business and attended too many suppers. We have seen that Etienne Baron, Paul Poisson, and Quinault-Dufresne were involved in quarrels They were also accused of dissipation. La Raisin was at one time the Dauphin's mistress. Both la Duclos and la Dancourt were said to attract certain nobles La Desmares probably had two illegitimate children. The reputation of these sociétaires gives some weight to Lesage's comments on actors and actresses in the part of Gil Blas that was published in 1715. He

neuvième," that is, from Easter, 1715 to Easter, 1716, a full share actor received 3671 francs (or 3741; Bonnassies gives both amounts in his Spectacles forains et la Comédie française, pp 150-1) For a comparison with the value of the shares in 1681-1701 of my Comédie Française, p 19

⁶⁴ Cf Bonnassies, la Comédie Française, pp 152-3 ⁶⁶ Ibid, pp 133-7

is supposed to be discussing Spanish actors, about whom he knew little. but his remarks may well have been inspired by the conduct of French actors, for whom he composed a number of plays. He undoubtedly referred to Michel Baron, 96 but one cannot so easily identify the other actors he mentions. Yet his general picture may have some truth, if we allow for the novelist's tendency to exaggerate and to satirize.

In Book III he described young nobles as spending the night with actresses "à boire et à dire des gueulées." The attendant of an actress remarks that "nous autres dames de théâtre, nous vivons sans contrainte et pêle-mêle avec les hommes." An actress is described as leading a most luxurious existence.97 The illegitimate son of an actress admits that he cannot know who his father is, for, when an actress appears "le plus attachée à un seigneur, elle lui donne presque toujours quelque substitut pour son argent" 98 Actors love gossip. The news that an actress has won a distinguished lover spreads rapidly among them 99 They are poor judges of the success a play may acquire 100 They look down upon an author when he comes humbly to offer them rôles in a play he has composed for them.101

The acting of several men and women is discussed in detail. Carlos Alonso de la Ventolaria had left the stage "par fantaisie, ct s'en est depuis repenti par raison." He is very old, but his hair, mustache, and eyebrows are painted black. He is said to be a great actor, but he has "une prononciation trop affectée, avec une voix tremblante qui donne un air antique et ridicule à sa déclamation "102 Another actor who has a great reputation is almost always "hors de la nature, il précipite les paroles qui renferment le sentiment, et appuie sur les autres; il fait même des éclats sur des conjonctions" He plays Æneas, but he is considered inferior to Dido's minister, who recites naturally.103 Dido is herself interpreted by an actress who knows how to "émouvoir et toucher," but who rolls her eyes excessively, raises her voice till she destroys its sweetness, and seems at times not to understand what she is saying. The critic prefers her

^{**} Cf Léo Claretic, Essas sur Lesage, Paris, 1890, pp 396 403 He makes a curious mistake in calling "la dame Baron" of the Foire Michel Baron's sister time Lesage was writing for her troupe, she was the widow of Michel's son

⁹⁷ Book III, chapters 3, 5, 9 ⁹⁸ Book V, chapter 1

¹⁰⁰ Book III, chapter 12 The editor of the 1828 cdition (Paris, Ledoux) thinks Lesage had in mind a play by Dufresny II this is so, the play must be la Coquetta de village, the only recent play by that author that had been successful 101 Book III, chapter 11

¹⁰⁸ Hold As the editor romarks, the old actor is Michel Baron
108 Book III, chapter 6 Beaubourg and Pontcuil, according to Clarette, op cit., p 395 In identifying Ponteuil he follows the Mercure de France of 1715

suivante, who is graceful and has a charming smile, though at times she interrupts a serious passage by yielding to a mad desire to laugh. 104

But whatever may be said of their private lives or their idiosyncracies in acting, the members of the troupe must be praised for continuing their activities through a long period of war, despite heavy taxation and the competition of the Opera and the Foire. They kept up the traditions of the seventeenth century both in tragedy and in comedy, continued to uphold as models Corneille, Racine, and Molière, were the first to interpret Crébillon, Destouches, Lesage, and the later comedies of Dancourt and Regnard, while preparing the way for the presentation of Voltaire's tragedies and of comedies by Marivaux.

¹⁰⁴ The editor, followed by Claretie (op cst, pp 393-4), states that the susvants is la Desmares, but one would not expect her to be given in 1715 so humble a rôle. Lesage probably had in mind a less prominent member of the troupe The emotional actress who played Dido may well be la Duclos.

CHAPTER II

TRAGEDY

Tragedy retained its prestige in 1701-15. If the actors considered comedy more profitable, they were not allowed on that account to neglect the more majestic genre:

Sa Majesté étant informée que les Comédiens jouant le moins possible de tragédies, ce qui est contraire à l'usage et au plaisir du public, ordre leur est donné de jouer alternativement une pièce sérieuse et une pièce comique, à peine de 300 liv d'amende payable par la Troupe en général, à moins que Nous n'en ordonnions autrement.

The king or his advisers may have felt what Dubos was subsequently to express,² that persons interested in plays spoke more often and with greater affection of tragedies they had seen than of comedies, and that they could recite a larger number of verses by Corneille and Racine than by Molière. The result was that, even though fewer tragedies were given than comedies, the former averaged about 127 performances a year at the Comédie Française, never sinking below 98 and, in 1708, reaching 152. They continued to be given also at court, and at times, as we have seen, members of the royal family acted in them.

Even if a young author had no chance of acquiring such august interpreters, he could hope to have his tragedy produced at Paris in the winter season, when its première would constitute an important social event, the more so as the actors never put on more than three new tragedies in a season, sometimes not more than one. Here was a road to consideration, even to fame. Unfortunately for him this road was partially obstructed by authors, dead or living, who had written tragedies before the century opened.

Chief among these were, of course, Corneille and Racine, whose cult continued to be practised. Twelve tragedies by the older author, if we include le Cul and Don Sanche, and nine by the younger were performed during the period, but it is a mistake to believe that Corneille had become more popular than Racine, for in the fifteen years Corneille's tragedies were acted 411 times, Racine's, 427. Other seventcenth-century plays also figured in the repertory: four tragedies by Campistron, acted 107 times, and four by

Reglement of 1712, quoted by Bonnassies, op. cst, p. 134 Reflemens critiques, fourth edition, Paris, Mariette, 1740, pp 58-7.

The only exception to the rule for giving new tragedies between Nov. 2 and Easter was furnished by Mile Barbier's Arrive et Pétus, first acted in June.

Thomas Corneille, acted 106 times, if we include his "machine" play, Circé. three tragedies by Pradon, two by Rotrou,4 two by Quinault (81 performances), and one each by Du Ryer, Tristan l'Hermite, and Boyer. The lack of spectacle in tragedies, both old and new, may account for the great success of the "machine" play, Psyché, written by Corneille, Molière, and Quinault, revived in 1703-8, and then performed 84 times.5

The actors also produced old tragedies by living authors who had ceased to contribute to the genre-La Chapelle, Brueys, and Dancourt,-or by authors who had begun to write in the late seventeenth century and were still active as tragic dramatists-Belin, Ferrier, La Fosse, Péchantré, Genest, Longepierre, Riupeirous, Duché, and La Grange-Chancel. Novelty, of course. had its charm, as is shown by the fact that several new tragedies were acted more frequently in a single year than any of the old ones, but, when novelty had worn off, most of the new tragedies were dropped from the repertory, while many of their elders continued to be popular.6 Of all tragedies acted in 1701-15, le Cid was the one most frequently performed; next, if we leave Psyché out of consideration, five tragedies by Racine; then certain tragedies by Corneille, Campistron, Thomas Corneille, Péchantré, Rotrou, and Quinault. All of these were given more frequently than the most popular new tragedy Crébillon's Rhadamiste et Zénobie?

Among the authors who had begun to write before 1701 and who continued to contribute to the repertory there were three who had had little success, Ferrier, Riupeirous, and Belin, two of whom had not seen fit to publish their plays. Duché had written only for amateurs at Saint-Cyr. Longepierre and Péchantré had each composed two tragedies and met with both success and failure. Genest had succeeded with two tragedies and failed with a third. There consequently seemed to be only two authors sure to please the public of 1701-15, La Fosse, whose Manhus had made the greatest hit of the preceding decade, and La Grange-Chancel, not yet twenty-five in 1700, but already the author of four tragedies acted at the Comédie Française.

⁴His Venceslas was acted 41 times. His Cosroès, with alterations by d'Ussé de Valentiné, was played eight times in 1704. D'Ussé reduced the king's "fureurs," eliminated the hero's love affair as not sufficiently attached to the action, and added stances at the beginning of Act IV, of the frères Parfaict, XIV, 342-5.

⁵The frères Parfaict, XIV, 307, attribute its success partly to the decorations, "machines," and ballets, partly to the acting of la Desmares and Etienne Baron, thought to be in love with each other. The play was first acted on June 1, on June 3 the Opera entered into competition by reviving Quinault's Psyché with Lully's music.

⁶Out of thirty two new tragedies only seven continued to be acted twelve months after the first performance. A few of the others were revived, but only some years after 1715.

after 1715

The most frequently acted tragedies and the number of times that each was performed were le Cid, 111, Phèdre, 92, Andromaque, 87, Psyché, 84, Iphyémie, 68, Mithridate, 67, Britannicus, 68; Rodogune, 52, Andromo, 50, Horace, 50; Polyeucte, 49, Ariane, 48; Essex, 47, Cinna, 44, Géta, 43, Nicomède, 43; Aloibiade, 42, Venceslas, 41; Agrippa, 41, Astrate, 40; Rhadamiste, 37

TRAGEDY 29

The beginners were slow to receive recognition. In the first four years of the century only one of them, Mile Barbier, had a tragedy acted, and her play was relegated to the summer season. It attracted so much attention, however, that the author was allowed to follow it with three other tragedies. A churchman, Nadal, next came forward, early in 1705. The following winter season saw three new authors, Pellegrin, Crébillon, and Danchet, begin their careers. For several years thereafter the actors accepted only tragedies by authors of some experience, but in the period between the beginning of 1713 and September, 1715, three other men and a woman began to write, the président Hénault, Chateaubrun, Deschamps, and Paul Poisson's daughter, Mme Gomez.8 Of these authors Nadal, Pellegrin, and for a while Deschamps were churchmen, Crébillon was a lawyer, Danchet a teacher, while Hénault and Chateaubrun held positions at court. Mlle Barbier was a cultivated bourgeoise; Mme Gomez, the daughter and granddaughter of actors and actresses. The social strata they represented were about the same as those of seventeenth-century dramatists, who also included in their ranks bourgeois, courtiers, actors, and churchmen.

Their literary background was classical and French. They reverenced Corneille and Raeine, but it is a mistake to suppose that they substituted them altogether for Seneca and the Greeks. Aristotle and Sophoeles are mentioned with respect and are even cited at times in opposition to the tastes of French audiences. Certain critics longed for greater simplicity than Corneille had shown except in Cinna, or Racine except in Bérénice. A few authors. Longepierie, Genest, Duehé, and d'Ussé composed or revived tragedies without sexual love, but most dramatists felt that love was essential and longed for startling situations, even if fairly complex material was required to create them.

The two points of view are represented by Malezieu and Crébillon. According to the former, when a gentleman praised a tragedy distinguished by its extraordinary and unexpected incidents, its exaggerated emotions, and certain verses thrown into relief by the emptiness of the surrounding text, the duchesse du Maine took him to task, went through the tragedy seene by seene, and asked him to explain

comment il étoit possible que ces Personnages se trouvassent ensemble, s'il étoit bien vrai-semblable qu'ils eussent pû être tous à la fois en ce lieu, s'ils pouvoient avoir la liberté de s'y parler, quelle raison un tel Acteur avoit de confier ses

^{*}The twelve most popular new tragedies of 1701-15 rank as follows in the number of performances given in this period Crébillon's Rhadamiste, 37, his Electre, 32; Mme Gomez's Habis, 29, Mile Barbier's Arrie, 28, Riupeirous's Hypermiestre, 26, Belin's Mustapha, 21, Danchet's Cyrus and La Grange-Chancel's Ino, 19, Crébillon's Atrée, 18, Duché's Absalon, 16, Pellegrin's Polydore, 15, La Grange-Chancel's Amasis, 14

Discours, published with Genest's Joseph in 1711

aventures à son Ami precisément dans ce temps plutôt que dans un autre, qu'est-ce qui l'avoit amené dans ce moment sur la Scène, ce qu'il étoit devenue, & quelle avoit été sa vie pendant quinze ou vingt années d'une absence aussi peu fondée que son retour.

She went on to ask how so many extraordinary adventures could happen in the same day and if the material was clearly presented. So convincing were her remarks that the gentleman ceased to admire the tragedy, to the great delight of M. de Malezieu, faithful servant of Sophocles and the duchess.

Clarity and verisimilitude, attained by those who select simple subjects and respect the unities, are the goals. The emotional power of a tragedy and the glimpse it may give of humanity's precarious situation were of no consequence to the duchess. One should applaud, not because one is interested or stirred, but because all is limpid and reasonable, as mirrors used to be at Versailles.

The other point of view is represented by Crébillon in the preface of his *Electre*. He argues that an author is not sure to please if he holds strictly to "cette simplicité si chérie des Anciens" As for love, if Sophoeles had lived in the eighteenth century, "il eût peut-être fait comme moi," but his own critics had not taken this fact into consideration. His presentation of Electra

a soulevé contre un Moderne inconsideré toute cette Région idolàtre, ou il ne manquoit plus au culte qu'on rend aux Anciens, que des Prêtres & des victimes Enfin quelques Sages protestent contre cet abus, les préjugés prévalent, & la prévention va si loin, que tels qui ne connoissent les Anciens que de nom, qui ne sçavent pas seulement si Sophocle étoit Grec ou François, sur la foi des Dévots de l'Antiquité, ont prononcé hardiment contre moi

As a practical dramatist and like his great predecessors of the seventeenth century, he felt that the first dramatic rule is to please. He consequently complicated the plot that a Greek dramatist had left and introduced love, following in both respects the example of Racine in *Iphigénis*. He used, it is true, devices that Racine had not employed, but he had the same problem of satisfying his own taste and that of his audience, while not departing too far from ancient usage. Let us see how he and his contemporaries sought to solve the problem of putting new wine into old bottles

So far as subject matter is concerned, they adhered in the main to the taste of their French predecessors. Ferrier, to be sure, who had begun his career by selecting for dramatization a theme from national history, contributed a tragedy called *Montézume* that must have been derived from sixteenth-century Mexican history, but the other tragedies are concerned with real or imaginary events of the ancient world or of the Turkish empire, as were those of Racine. Twelve plots came from Greek mythology, six from Roman history, five from the Bible or the history of Palestine, three

TRAGEDY 31

from Persian history, two from Turkish, one each from the histories of Egypt, Parthia, and the Caucasus, while one is concerned with romantic events supposed to have taken place in Spain before the Roman conquest. As usually in the seventeenth century, it is lands bordering on the Mediterranean that chiefly attracted the dramatists, while French history and legend were neglected.

The ancient writers mainly utilized were, besides those who composed the Bible, Plutarch, Tacitus, Hyginus, Justin, Herodotus, Pausanias, the Younger Pliny, Josephus, Zonaras, and the dramatists, Sophocles, Euripides, and Seneca. Four tragedies are largely derived from the last three authors, two from the Electra of Sophocles, one from Alcestis, one from Thyestes, while there are minor borrowings from other ancient plays. Longepierre came closer in material and spirit to his ancient model than Crébillon and La Grange-Chancel, who altered their sources by complicating their plots and by adding a large element of love.

Spanish literature exerted no influence, Italian, only in a few details drawn by La Fosse from the Pastor fido. English literature, previously reflected in La Fosse's Manlius, was avoided except that Belin probably owed something to the Earl of Orrery, for the Caton of Deschamps does not resemble Addison's Cato except in material drawn from common sources. French dramatists and novelists were utilized by several writers. Habis was derived from a tale by Mile de La Roche-Guilhem, Tomyris, in great part from le Grand Cyrus; Idoménée, from Télémaque; Rhadamiste, to a minor extent from Segrais's Bérênice, Mustapha et Zéangir, partly from Mile de Scudéry's Illustre Bassa. Latin plays by Father La Rue and French tragedies by Du Ryer, La Chapelle, Abeille, Magnon, and Boyer were imitated in certain respects, while Corneille and Racine were considered major guides.

Some of the themes must have been well known to the audience, those, for instance, of la Mort de César, Electre, and Saul. Others were unfamiliar, like those of Corésus, Ino, Pélopée, Mahomet Second, and Habis In two cases the subjects were partly disguised: that of Amasis, where, with the help of Herodotus, a Greek legend, already dramatized by La Chapelle, is presented as Egyptian history; and that of Xercès, where the biography of another Persian king is grafted upon that of Xerxes. Whatever may be said of such disguise, it had the advantage of sparing the author the kind of criticism that was leveled at la Mort de Néron when Péchantré was criticized for electing as his protagonist so odious a person as Nero, for putting his name in the title of his tragedy, and for making him less evil than he was.

There was so little respect for historical scenery that Montezuma's palace

had to be constructed in such a way that it could be used in various tragedies, including, perhaps, those in which Nero and Cleopatra appear. In the representation of manners the dramatists came closer to reality. Xerxes worships the sun. Roman institutions like the senate and the order of the Vestals are respected. Well-known characters are usually, though not always, presented in accordance with tradition.10 There was little that was likely to shock the historical consciousness of the early eighteenth century, but chronology might be considerably altered, and ancient tales were modernized in regard to the position of women, the rôle of love, and social behavior in general. The plays are eminently aristocratic. The leading characters are nearly always kings or princes unless Roman history prevents. Even in Cornélie, where our sympathies are with the people, the author is careful to show that Cornelia was the daughter of Scipio Africanus. At the same time, a king may be represented as a weakling, or a person who has committed a grievous error, and he may be murdered like one of his subjects. There is no departure in such an attitude from the usage of the seventeenth century. Unfortunately the authors did not have Racine's ability to supply a poetic background for themes drawn from Greek mythology, Roman history, and the Bible.

The dramatists all clung to the division into five acts, the elimination of the chorus, and the use as the standard meter of the alexandrine couplet. Though stances had long disappeared from plays written for performance at Paris, they are found in Cornélie Vestale, perhaps in imitation of those in le Cid and Polyeucte, and, as we have seen, were introduced by d'Ussé into the text of Rotrou's Cosroès. Elsewhere other verse forms than the alexandrine couplet occur only in letters, oracles, and similar prophetic lines, where the rime-scheme may be altered and verses of eight syllables, occasionally of ten syllables, introduced.

All the tragedies respect the unities. The time never exceeds twenty-four hours and usually seems not to be more than twelve. There are tragedies in which it is pointed out that the day selected for the action is a peculiarly important one. The place is usually the hall of a palace, but it is a camp in Absalon, Cyrus, Saul, Pélopée, and Tomyris, the Roman senate-house in Cornélie, and the temple of Vesta in Cornélie Vestale. Only very minor violations of the unity of action are found, as the author is usually careful to give the elements of his problem in the first act, to make his action progress without external stimulus, and to lead up to his final event, described almost at the end of his tragedy.¹¹

¹⁰ Exceptions are found in the presentation of Ulysses, who in la Mort d'Ulysse has lost his cunning, of Ægisthus in Pélopée, who has become a young hero, and of Cyrus in Tomyris, presented in accordance with Mile de Scudéry's ideas rather than those of Herodotus Péchantré diminished the guilt of Nero and Poppaea in order to render them more dramatic

11 Minor violations occur in the uselessness of Penelope in la Mort d'Ulysse, the

TRAGEDY 33

In most of the plays there is a background of war, foreign or civil. Politics are often involved, but they are usually of a personal kind rather than of larger significance. However, the rights of the people, as opposed to those of an oligarchy, are championed in *Cornélie*, while the conflict between the same oligarchy and a dictatorship is set forth in *Caton*. There is, however, nothing to be compared with the political discussions of *Cinna* and *Sertorius*.

Sexual love is found in all the tragedies except Longepierre's Electre. In Absalon and Joseph it is limited to the love of man and wife. All three of these tragedies were first acted privately. Elsewhere love between persons who are not married to each other was considered essential and was at times lugged in where it might better have been omitted. The love of brothers is especially emphasized in Mustapha et Zéangir, les Tyndarides, and Joseph, that of brother and sister in Mahomet Second and in the two tragedies called Electre; that of a father in Idoménée, Atrée, and Débora; that of a mother in Cornélie, Amasis, and Ino. The cri du sang occurs in a number of plays, even in the most hardened hearts.

This mysterious voice, whispering to a parent that the child he has not seen for years is his own, is one of the varietics of the merveilleux, some form of which is found in three-fourths of the tragedies. It appears in oracles, omens, evidences of the gods' wrath in storms, in earthquakes, in the shaking of an altar, and prophecies of events that are to take place after the play ends. The function of such supernatural manifestations may be merely to heighten the tragic effect, but they are at times of great importance in the plot, as they are in Idoménée, Alceste, and Corésus. Oracles are, of course, usually misinterpreted, so that the victim, striving desperately to escape his fate, brings about his own destruction. Predictions of what is to follow the play are rarer, but they are found in Atrée, Ino, Caton, Arme, and Joseph. In the first two tragedies they may be criticized on the ground that the audience was probably not sufficiently familiar with the manner in which Atreus and Ino met their deaths to appreciate the predictions. In Caton Caesar's end, in Arrie the deaths of Claudius and Agrippina are foreseen. In Joseph, as in Athalie, the coming of the Messiah is announced.

In order to make family situations more terrible, family relationships are employed, as had often been the case, from the Greeks down. They are especially conspicuous in the tragedies of Crébillon and Pellegrin. At times the effect produced is softened by the fact that the fatal blow is to a certain

late mention of Nero in Arrie et Péius, the delayed reference in Xeroès to the king's dislike of Darius's mother, the use of a deus ex machina in Hypermnestre, and some "unfinished business" remaining at the end of this last tragedy

extent accidental. In the *Electre* tragedies Orestes does not mean to kill his mother. In some other tragedies the murderer does not know who his victim is and would not have killed him if he had known.¹² Crébillon saved Idoménée from killing his son and Thyestes from actually drinking his son's blood. But in a number of cases no attempt is made at extenuation. Rhadamiste remains the murderer of his uncle and the man who had stabbed his wife and thrown her into a river. Atreus drives his brother to suicide, as he did not succeed in doing in Seneca's tragedy. No attempt is made to excuse Herod for murdering his wife.

Genuine horror, as differentiated from tragic terror, appears, it seems to me, in only four plays: Cyrus, in which a father tells of having been forced to eat his son, Atrée et Thyeste, in which a father starts to drink from a cup filled with the blood of his son; Tomyris, in which we hear that the heroine has placed Cyrus's head in a vase filled with blood; and Pélopée, in which a daughter has a son by her father and falls in love with this youth. On the other hand, the authors often create an atmosphere of gloom, of impending wee, and bring about a certain number of deaths, which, however, are not necessarily those of persons with whom we sympathize. In a number of tragedies only the wicked perish, while in Habis and Joseph not only is there no death, but all the principal characters are happy at the end.

Much more characteristic of the period than horror is recognition. This device, approved by Aristotle and illustrated in various ancient tragedies, had long been employed in French plays. In tragedy Corneille had used it in Hérachus and Œdipe; Racinc, in Iphigénie and Athalie. Before Corneille began to write tragedics, it had figured in plays by Dalibray and Mairet. Subsequently Du Ryer had introduced it into Saul, Gilbert, into Téléphonte; Thomas Corneille, into Timocrate and other plays, Quinault, into Astrate Racine, it is true, had not shown it on the public stage, for the recognition of Eriphile occurs in a récit, and Athalie had been played only in private, but his successors had employed it freely, especially La Chapelle in Zaide and Téléphonte, Genest in Pénélope, La Fosse in Thésée, La Grange-Chancel in Oreste et Pilade. As the last three tragedies were acted and La Chapelle's Téléphonte imitated in the early eighteenth century, it is quite natural that recognition should be found in tragedies written at that time. What is more worthy of comment is the fact that it occurs in about half the tragedies of 1701-15, a larger proportion than French tragedy had shown in earlier periods.

¹⁸ A son kills his father without knowing who he is in la Mort d'Ulysse; a father, his son in Rhadamiste, a mother, her son in Ino

TRAGEDY 35

Many situations involving recognition result in happiness. Others are ominous. The recognition may be mutual, or one person may recognize the other without being recognized. Sometimes the recognition is delayed for several acts, as in Joseph and Pélopée. In Hypermiestre it is effectively used to make a woman suddenly realize that the man she has promised to murder is the man she loves. It is still more striking in Rhadamiste et Zénobie when the hero and heroine meet for the first time after his attempt to kill her, for each not only discovers that the other is alive, but wonders what their attitude towards each other will be in the future. La Grange-Chancel, though he appreciated the scenic value of recognition and employed it in several tragedies, preferred to sacrifice the recognition scene that he would have been expected to give in Amasis in order to produce a more original situation. The danger, of course, in the use of recognition is that, in order to employ it, dramatists may sacrifice respect for probability in regard to character and events.

With the exception of this emphasis upon recognition and the use of horror in a few tragedies, the plays do not depart to any marked degree from the usage of the preceding decades. Characters, situations, and technique would have seemed quite familiar to Racine's generation. As this was the case, the actors were slow to substitute tragedies of 1701-15, after their first run, for seventcenth-century tragedies that had proved their value by remaining long in the repertory. The result was that the names of only two authors long survived in the minds of the general public, those of Crébillon and La Grange-Chancel. While plays of the former were acted at the Comédie Française in the nincteenth century, this can be said of no other tragic dramatist of the period.

The classical tendency towards uniformity is curiously illustrated by the publication of these tragedies, for twenty-two of the thirty-three were first printed by a single publisher, Pierre Ribou. He came nearer having a monopoly than even these figures indicate, as of the eleven tragedies he was not the first to publish one appeared in its second edition from his press, two were never published, and four were not printed till after his death. Moreover, as those that were first printed by his rivals—Le Breton, Brunet, Le Clerc, Anisson, and Ganeau and Estienne,—were either religious plays or their authors' first productions, it may be that Ribou did not consider them good risks. According to Beauchamps, Ribou obtained a privilège to publish all French plays. Of the four tragedies that appeared after his death, one was published by the Widow Pissot, one by Prault, one by P. Le

¹³ Recherches, II, 442 Ribou's intimate relations with the actors are shown by the fact that he and subsequently his widow received free admission to their theater; cf Bonnassies, op cit, pp 117, 165

Breton, and one by no less a person than Horace Walpole at his Strawberry Hill Press.

Among the men and women to whom these tragedies were dedicated were Louis XIV, his sister-in-law (two), the duc d'Orléans, the Duke of Bavaria, Louis duc de Bourbon-Condé, maréchal Villars, the duc d'Aumont, the duc de La Force, the duchesse du Maine (two), the duchesse de Bouillon, d'Argenson (two), and Walpole. The acceptance of these dedications shows that tragedy still retained its prestige in high French society.

In the six chapters that follow I will discuss in detail tragedies that are known to have been written or first acted in 1701-15. The first two are devoted to La Grange-Chancel and to other dramatists who had begun to write in the seventeenth century. The next two are concerned with tragedies by women and with those derived from the Bible or Josephus. The fifth of these chapters is primarily devoted to Crébillon, but it includes a study of Longepierre's Electre, as Crébillon wrote a tragedy on the same subject. The chapter that follows it discusses the eight tragedies of five dramatists who began to write in the eighteenth century.

CHAPTER III

THE TRAGEDIES OF LA GRANGE-CHANCEL

Of the seven authors who were already known to audiences of the Comédie Française when the century began and who continued to write tragedies, the one who contributed most to the genre in 1701-15 was La Grange-Chancel. He brought out three in those years, including his two best plays, Amasis and Ino et Mélicerte. These and his Alceste form the subject of this chapter.

The source of Amasis 2 was so successfully disguised that a certain "faiseur de brochures satiriques " a accused La Grange-Chancel of imitating an episode in the Grand Cyrus In reply the dramatist accused his critic of knowing neither Herodotus, nor Aristotle, nor Hyginus, who had referred to events in Egyptian history similar to the story of Merope He explained his disguising his subject by saying that he preferred names like Amasis. Apriès, and Sésostris, to Cresphonte, Téléphonte, and Poliphonte, which he would have had to repeat often if he had kept them as those of his principal characters As the three names have the same final syllable, their constant repetition would have seemed monotonous, if not ridiculous, but it is also possible that La Grange-Chancel had another reason for changing them, the hope that by so doing he would conceal his debt to La Chapelle. His reference to Horodotus, Aristotle, and Hyginus means that he wished it to be believed that he took the Merope story from Hyginus and Aristotle. the Egyptian disguise from Herodotus, although the fact is that he did owe something to the Grand Cyrus, a good deal to La Chapelle's Téléphonte, and possibly a suggestion to Athalie.

The main source is the Merope legend, related by Hyginus in Fables

Occurring in the preface of the 1758 edition, these words refer to the author of an article in the Nouvelliste du Parnasse quoted by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 228-31.

¹ For this author of my *History of French Dramatic Literature*, Part IV, pp 367-84 During the period studied he was mattre d'hôtel of the duchesse d'Orléans, was married in 1708, and led a peaceful existence that contrasted sharply with his life after the *Philippagues* becan to appear

[&]quot;Paris, Pierre Rihou, 1701 and 1702, 12", privilège (for the author's works), Feb 12, 1699, achové, Dee 19, 1701 Dedicated to "Madame," Louis XIV's aistern-law Republished, Amsterdam, Desbordes, 1702, Paris, veuve de Pierre Ribou, 1729 and 1731, in the author's Cuvres of 1734, 1742, 1758, and his Théâtre, Amsterdam, L'Honoré, 1746 The changes introduced into the edition of 1758 are misignificant. The play also appeared in the Rocueil Petitot, 1803, the Auteurs du second ordre, Paris, 1808, the Touquet edition, 1821, the Chifs d'auvre de Lajosse eto, 1821, the Répertoire of Paris, veuve Dabo, 1822-3 An Italian translation appeared in 1747 The play has been studied by Otto Nietzelt, La Grange-Chancel als Tragsker, Leipzig, 1908

CXXXVII and CLXXXIV and dramatized by Gilbert and La Chapelle. As La Grange-Chancel mentions Hyginus, it is probable that he used him directly, but he certainly also imitated La Chapelle, though it is unnecessary to suppose that he derived anything from Gilbert. As Campistron had done in Andronic and La Fosse in Manhus, La Grange-Chancel transferred this story to an entirely different period from that of his main source and to new surroundings. He learned from Herodotus that Amasis revolted against Apriès and made himself king, that he had a wife named Ladice and a son called Psammétite, and that Phanès turned against him. He kept these characters, but he made Psammétite the son of Amasis and wrote his name Psamménite. He could not have derived from Herodotus Ladice's leaving her husband and identifying Sésostris by means of a note as the son of Apriès, nor the succession of Sésostris to the throne. These themes came from the Grand Cyrus. Finally, the fact that Amasis is drawn into a temple, that priests assist in the undertaking, and that he dies as a result of it may well have been suggested by Athalie, the restoration engineered by Phanès corresponding to that effected by Joad.

La Grange-Chancel showed great skill in dramatic structure by weaving together these elements from ancient and modern writers into a play that is correctly unified and contains startling situations, rendered more effective by the use of prolonged suspense.⁵ The character that dominates the action

In his Telephonte, as in Amasis, the usurper has killed several of his predecessor's children, he does not marry the queen, though there is a suggestion that he had wished to do so, a dominant part is taken by a nobleman, the widowed queen hides and uses a dagger, before each play begins, the hero and heroine have met in simple surroundings and have fallen in love without the heroine's knowing who the hero is, the tyrant, protected by his soldiers, has the hero arrested, and the young prince admits his identity Finally, in both tragedies the faithful nobleman points out to the returning prince details in the architecture of his old home, of Telephonte,

II, 1, and Amass, I, 1

Amass has defcated Apriès, has put him and five of his sons to death, and has long held his widow, Nitocris, in captivity A sixth son, Sésostris, saved by Phanès and confided to Cléophis, has been brought up at some distance from Memphis Phanès has been making extensive preparations for Sésostris's restoration, endearing himself to Amasis, winning over the priests of Osiris's temple, concealing soldiers there, and spreading among the people news of the prince's return. He communicates these facts to Sésostris while showing him the hall of the palace where his father and brothers had been murdered. Just before his return to Memphis, the prince, acting in accordance with Phanès's directions, had, when attacked by Amasis's son, Psamménite, killed his opponent. He had also wounded the latter's aged attendant, Menès, who had received succor from Arthénice, danghter of Phanès, a girl who had already become interested in Sésostris without knowing who he is. The prince is now presented to Amasis and claims to be his son and to have killed the son of Apriès. In proof of this statement he submits Sésostris's sword as well as a ring and a note from the usurper's wife, who had left him when she suspected him of loving Nitocris. Amasis welcomes his supposed son and expresses his intention of marrying Arthénice in order to keep Phanès faithful Arthénice, questioned by her father, promises to obey him, but she shows that she dislikes the thought of marrying the usurper. She confides in an attendant the fact that she loves the young man we know to be Sésostris. Nitocris, happy that the omens are good and that she has seen Cléophis in the temple, is told by Amasis that her son is dead. Obliged to con-

is Phanès, faithful supporter of the legitimate monarchy. He shows the greatest foresight and resourcefulness in maturing his plans. He has to win the usurper's confidence, to keep fresh among the people the memory of the royal family, to bring back the prince at the right moment, and to win over the priests. More difficult still is the task of introducing the prince into the presence of the strongly guarded usurper and of preventing him from making himself known to his mother and to the girl he loves. It is Phanès who has enabled Sésostris to encounter the usurper's son and to provide himself with the tokens that deceive Amasis. It is he who has to deceive his own daughter and the queen as well as the usurper. He is never at a loss for a specious argument to delay the course of events until the time to strike arrives. Modeled on a character in La Chapelle's Téléphonte, he is the first of his kind, but by no means the last, in eighteenth-century tragedy.

Amasis and Sésostris do his bidding till one is murdered and the other succeeds to his father's throne. Amasis leads a wretched existence, constantly threatened by those who, he fears, may be as disloyal to him as he has been to Apriès. In order to foil them, he keeps changing his bedroom and surrounds himself with fierce guards from the east who do not speak the language of Memphis and who obey him implicitly. He trusts only them and Phanès, but he must have some doubts about this minister, for he would make sure of him by marrying his daughter, a plan that Phanès encourages in order to lure Amasis into the temple. Sésostris has all the nobility of soul that his enemy lacks, but he runs the risk of allowing his emotions to betray him, as they would have done if Phanès had not been constantly on guard.

The two women act more independently. Nitocris speaks boldly to the usurper, lives for revenge, and forms her own plot when she sees no other way of getting it. Her plan miscarries because of her trust in Arthénice, whose love prevails over other considerations. She is willing to obey her

firm this statement, Sésostris almost reveals his identity to his mother. Deeply moved, Nitocris offers to marry Amasis if he will put to death her son's murderer, but the usurper informs her that this murderer is his own son and threatens to kill her unless she delivers Cléophis to him before the end of the day. Nitocris decides to take vengeance into her own hands and invites Arthénice to assist her, but the girl, fearing for Sésostris, tells Amasis, who rescues the prince when his mother is about to stab him. The usurper would now put Nitocris to death, but Phanès advises him to wait till she can be confronted with Cléophis and their accomplices discovered. By this time Menès is sufficiently recovered to tell Amasis that the murdered youth was the usurper's son and that Sésostris killed him. The prince makes himself known and admits the truth of the charge. Amasis has him arrested and taken to the temple, there to be slain as a victim when Amasis marries Arthénice Phanès's soldiers, however, overpower Amasis's guards. Sésostris seizes a sword and kills Amasis. Phanès and Cléophis make known to the people the prince's return Nitocris joyfully receives her son and prepares to celebrate his marriage to Arthénice.

father to the point of marrying Amasis, but she cannot allow the man she loves to be murdered, even though she thinks he is the usurper's son.

The plot is so skillfully constructed that the interest of the spectators is caught at the beginning and held to the end. The exposition is largely part of the action since Phanès gives most of it as a means of inspiring in Sésostris desire for revenge and of instructing him in the course he is to pursue. The love plot is carefully woven into the main intrigue of political revolution. There are several examples of recognition, some of them leading to false conclusions. The most effective is in V, 5, when Sésostris makes himself known at the same time to his mother, who had sought to kill him, to Amasis, who had saved his life because he mistook him for his son, and to Arthénice, who, after saving him, had exposed him to fresh danger

Aristotle gives as a typical example of recognition the case of Mcrope when she learns who her son is just in time to avoid killing him. The corresponding scene in Amasis has lost the element of recognition altogether, but it is none the less striking on that account. The mother believes that her son has been murdered, mistakes her son for the murderer, and, as in the Mcrope legend, seeks to kill him, but she is prevented from doing so, not because she or someone else recognizes him in time, but because the usurper himself intervenes, thinking that his enemy is his own son. We have consequently a piquant situation in which a woman seeks to do the thing she most ardently desires not to do, while a man prevents her from doing exactly the thing that he would have been delighted to see done if he had known who the intended victim was (IV, 2)

Nitocris voulant le fraper Ah, traître, tu mourras
Amasis lus retenant le bras Arrête, malheureuse

Quel aveugle transport, quelle furcur te guide?
Quel démon, quelle rage a pû te posséder?

Nit Le bourreau de mon sang peut-il le demander?

Ses Je ne puis revenir de ma terreur extrême

La Reine sur mes jours, attenter elle-même!

O Ciel' quelle est la main par qui j'allois périr?

O Ciel' quelle est la main qui vient me secourir?

The setting of the play is in keeping with the action. We are shown at dawn the hall of an Egyptian palace from which can be seen on one side the city of Memphis, on the other tombs and the banks of the Nile. Within the hall are "Ces colomnes, ces ares, ces monumens pompeux," where Apriès and his sons have been murdered. There is also a picturesque element in the queen's description of her visit to the statue of Osiris (II, 1) in a place never visited by the sun, where the god "se voit au sombre éclat d'une pâle lumiere." The supernatural is represented by the oracle the queen consults, by her emotion on seeing her son before she knows who he is,

and by the hostile feeling roused in Amasis when he meets the same prince, though he is similarly ignorant of his identity. It is unfortunate that La Grange-Chancel lacked the poetic gift that was required to give full value to the dramatic situations he created.

The tragedy was first acted on Dec. 13, 1701. Amasis was played by Sallé, Sésostris, by Etienne Baron; Phanès, by Guérin, Nitocris, by la Beauval; Arthénice, by la Desmares; Menès, by Ponteuil.7 It was given fourteen times in 1701-2, was revived in 1731, and was acted until 1764. with a total of sixty-two performances at the Comedie Française, more than can be said of any other tragedy of the period except two by Crébillon. It was also acted at Fontainebleau A Dutch journal declared that it had great success.8 The frères Parfaict testified that in their time it was among the plays that the public "revoit toujours avec plaisir." They praised the structure highly, especially that of Acts IV and V, and objected only to the versification. They cited a laudatory article in the Mercure of January, 1731, a hostile attack in the Nouvelliste du Parnasse, and a reply to the latter publication in the Mercure of June, 1731 Apart from the evidence they give of public interest in the tragedy, these articles have little value. The last of them asserts that the play's first run was impeded by the excessive cold of the winter and by objections that an actress raised. The author, however, boasted, in the preface of his 1758 edition, of his play's success and insisted on its essential simplicity. He went out of his way to attack Voltaire's Brutus

On s'est fait une si grande idée du Sénat romain, que ce servit le tourner en ridicule que de l'exposer sur notre théâtre, d'autant plus que les acteurs muets sont toujours representés par les domestiques des comediens, dont la figure est ordinairement choquante, & que la tragédie est assez majestueuse par elle-même pour n'avoir pas besoin de ces ornemens étrangers

Such spectacles, he thinks, represent a childish taste acquired at school, where they are preferred to the unities. Those who put them on the stage run the risk of bringing back the irregularity that existed in drama before the time of Corneille. His own tragedy respects the unities. Its time is little more than that of performance. He notes that it has been translated into Dutch by Mauritius. He refers to Maffei's dramatization of the same subject, in which, as in Amasis, the hero's name is altered. He regrets that

^eThe rime Memphis fils, dear to Malherbe's followers, according to Théophile, occurs four times II, 2, 4, III, 5, V, 3

¹According to the Mercure of January, 1731, cited by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 227-8

Cf Mélèse, Rép, p 212

^{*}This is Sesostris, Koning van Egipte, Amsterdam, Van de Gaete, 1712 In this connection La Grange Chancel notes that Corneille's plays can be translated effectively, whereas Racine's lose in translation "infiniment de leur prix"

the Italian dramatist has not been more careful in constructing his play and asserts that his "copiste," that is, Voltaire, has imitated Maffei's defects in the hope that his tragedy would efface the beauties of a work that will outlive his own.

This boast that Amasis would live longer than Voltairc's Mérope, coupled with the attack on Brutus, suggests that the younger dramatist had been unkind in his criticism of La Grange-Chancel's tragedy, but Voltaire's printed work does not support this supposition. He found much gallantry in Amasis and more marvelous incidents than those of La Chapelle's Téléphonte, but he considered it superior to the latter tragedy in its structure, in the art and genius displayed, and in the interest it aroused. "Elle est écrite avec plus de chaleur et de force." 10 Elsewhere he referred to the "grand intérêt qui règne dans Amasis." 11 La Harpe praised highly the ingenious structure of the play, but he found that it surprised more than it moved the audience.12 He objected to the heroine's sudden love of Sésostris and considered the prince's rôle obscured by that of Phanès. He concluded that it was quite inferior to Voltaire's Mérope

Returning to Euripides, whose Iphigeneia among the Taurians he had previously adapted to the French stage, La Grange-Chancel composed ALCESTE, 12 a very free imitation of Alcestis. There had been no French play on the subject since the Alceste of Alexandre Hardy, which does not appear to have been utilized. Quinault's opera called Alceste supplied at most Hercules's love of the licroinc, but it may have indirectly occasioned the composition of the tragedy. When Perrault praised this opera at the expense of Euripides, Racine rushed to the defense of the Greek poet He even published in the preface of his Iphigénie a few lines he had translated from Alcestes, and he subsequently told La Grange-Chanecl that he had lioped to make a translation of the whole tragedy. After reporting this fact in the preface of the 1758 edition and even asserting that Racine had written an Alceste and burned it, La Grange-Chancel declared that his reading of Euripides, joined to what he had been able to "recueillir des idées de M. Raeine, mc firent naître l'envic de traiter ce sujet."

Moland edition, IV, 181-2
 Ibid, XXII, 250 Clément et La Porte, Anecdotes dramatiques, I, 54, point out that two lines of the Henriade were borrowed from Amasis

that two lines of the Henriade were borrowed from Amass

13 Cours de littérature, Paris, 1825, XIII, 138 45 As the heroine fell in love with
Sécostris before the beginning of the play, La Harpe's remark about her is unjust.

14 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1704, 12°, priv (for the author's works), Feb 12, 1699, approbation, Jan 28, 1704 Republished, Amsterdam, Van Dôle, 1733, in the author's **Théâtre, Amsterdam, L'Honoré, 1746, and in the author's **Ceurres of 1734, 1742, and 1758 Changes in this last edition are slight. The most important of them is the addition of four lines at the end of Act IV that will be quoted below. The play has been studied by Nietzelt, op cst

Alcestes, as it stood, would not have been to the taste of a French audience early in the eighteenth century, for its structure is irregular, it admits comic scenes, Hercules comes on the stage drunk.14 and Alcestis utters a pathetic farewell to her marriage bed. La Grange-Chancel even feared to bring the children on the stage, lest a "mauvais plaisant" in the parterre might make a disturbing comment, though Longepierre had introduced two into his Médée less than ten years before. He had to find for his tragedy more material than Euripides had employed, to give it greater unity, to ennoble the characters, to eliminate violations of the proprieties, to keep the gods off the stage, yet to preserve to a cortain extent an element of the merveilleux.

His chief device was to suppose that Hercules had loved Alcestis, had left her with Admetus's father, and had expected to marry her upon his return, but years had elapsed and she had married Admetus, so that, when Hercules reappears, he is faced with a problem similar to that of Sévère in Polyeucte. He has to conquer, not only Death, but his own passion. La Grange-Chancel had to explain the preliminary situation in much greater detail than Euripides had explained his. He had to justify the actions of the characters in their new situations, to maintain suspense, to make the action oscillate between disaster and rescue. It is now not merely a question of the heroinc's dying for her husband and being brought back from the grave, but of Admetus's attempt to send his wife away, Hercules's intervention in behalf of Admetus, and increased efforts to find for the latter a substitute It is only in V, I that we hear of the mother's leaving her children, a scene described by Euripides early in his play. Only the last two acts show much resemblance to the Greek original and even there the details are quite different.15 Some use is made of legends not found in Euripides:

¹⁴ As the play was in Greck, this incident did not diminish Racine's admiration, although he had been very sarcastic about a "heros ivre" he had claimed to find in a tragedy by a contemporary, presumably Corneille

¹⁵ After rescuing Alcestis from her brother, Hercules had confided her to Pherès,

After rescuing Alcestis from her brother, Hercules had confided her to Pheres, telling him that he hoped to marry her on his return from new adventures Pherès, fearing he would never come back, gave the girl in matriage to his son Admetus, who was as ignorant of Hercules's love as was Alcestis herself. Angered by this marriage, Jupiter had caused the earth to open and poisonous vapors to kill many persons. Consulted, Apollo had directed that a victim should be sacrificed each year till love triumphed over death. Five times victims, including Pherès's wife, have been selected after their names have been placed in an uril in the temple. Pherès has been so deeply moved that he has abdicated his throne in favor of his son The selection is now about to be made again. Alcestis fears that her husband will be chosen He seeks to send her and their children away, but she refuses to Hessone to Admetus The latter declines, but without explaining that he is married to Admetus, who soon tells Hercules herself and adds that, though she loves Admetus, her gratitude to Hercules for rescuing her might have persuaded her to marry him if she had known that he loved her Hercules seeks revenge, but he refuses to punish Pherès, who takes the blame, because of his age. Instead he goes to the

Hercules's sack of Troy, his rescue of Hésione, his offering her to another man, and the murder of Alcestis's father, inspired by Medea and carried out by his relatives.16 There are also a few borrowings from earlier French plays,17

The tragedy is well constructed. It is the marriage of Admetus that starts the action by causing Jupiter's anger, which results in the poisonous blast from the yawning earth and the need for selecting victims in order to placate the god. To solve the problem Alcestis's love is required, but also the heroism of Admetus, which induces Hercules to intervene and restore the heroine to her husband. To make the latter point clearer, La Grange-Chancel added in 1758 four lines to his original text, assigning them to Hercules.

> Puisqu'il vouloit tantôt me céder ce qu'il aime, Que pour me rendre heureux il s'immoloit lui-même, Ce n'est qu'en ramenant Alceste à la clarté, Que je puis égaler sa générosité

The play becomes essentially a study in magnanimity Not only does Alcestis, as in Euripides, offer up her life for her busband's, but Admetus is willing to die for her, and Hercules emulates his nobility by conquering his passion and saving the woman who has preferred his friend to himself. Even Pherès is given a struggle between affection for his son and desire to live, one that Euripides had not allowed him.

The first act gives the exposition and Alcestis's refusal to escape with the cluldren, the second, Hercules's return, his learning what has happened, and the expression of his desire for revenge. In the third act we hear of his adventures in the temple and the choice that is to be made among the three names. The fourth and fifth acts are devoted chiefly to Alcestis's

temple with the intention of killing Admetus, but he finds him calm and brave near the altar, although knowing that the victim must be Pherès, Alcestis, or himself Impressed by his attitude, Hercules feels his old affection return, destroys the apparatus that was to have been employed in the choice, and orders a sacrifice to be prepared Poisonous vapor, however, fells two bystanders, and Apollo's priest announces that Adinetus must be the victim unless someone dies in his stead As Pherès declines to be a substitute and no one else comes forward, Alcestis proposes Admetus would have prevented her sacrifice, if a "nuage épais" had not caused him to faint and if Pherès had not taken advantage of the situation to have him locked up. When he is free again, he reproaches his father and is preparing for exile or death when Hercules, who has rescued Alcestis from a monster at the brink of the abyss, brings her back. As the oracle has been fulfilled by Alcestis's love, the ground closes, and no more victims will be required. Hercules leaves the family reunited and goes in search of new adventures

16 Cf Hyginus, Fables LXXXIX and XXIV

Out, je vais dans le temple (I, 3, cf Athalie, v 1) Son frere déchiré, ses enfans massacrés

Du trône qu'elle occupe ont esté les degrés (III, 1; cf Cunna, vv 11,12)

²⁷ Le coup demeure en l'air, et n'ose retomber (I, 2, cf Rotrou, Antigone, I, 2)

plans, the restraint put upon her husband, her rescue by Hercules, and the appeasement of the gods. Obviously the material is well distributed, but too much of the action takes place behind the scenes. The author omits, not only the spectacular events that occur in the temple and at the edge of the abyss, but the scenes in which Alcestis says farewell to her children and her bed. This is clearly a case in which French tragedy suffered from an author's absurd reverence for what he held to be the proprieties.

Some of the devices employed are unfortunate. Admetus is kept from telling Hercules that he is married to Alcestis, as he would have done in all probability, in order that the information may be given him by the heroine herself. In order to keep Admetus from preventing his wife's self-sacrifice, the author could think of nothing better than to have his hero faint! Some evidence should have been given of Alcestis's love for Admetus before we are told that she has agreed to lay down her life for his. Nor is Hercules's sudden change from violent indignation to willingness to conquer his love sufficiently explained. The naive egotism displayed by the Admetus of Euripides makes him a more striking character than the nobler Admetus of La Grange-Chancel.

La Harpe 18 thought that the character of Pherès had so much "bassesse" that the presence of this ex-king in the tragedy was enough to ruin it. As, however, the modern reader does not share La Harpe's notions of tragic dignity, he may well consider that the presentation of Pherès is the most successful in the play. He had caused all the trouble by marrying Alcestis to his son, although she had been confided to him by Hercules, who, as he knew, intended to marry her himself. His nerves had been so shattered that he had given up his throne Subsequently he had suffered from the slights of courtiers who had formerly fawned on him and from his son's preference for Alcestis But, however little joy his life may bring him, he will not renounce it in order to save Admetus. The portrait is more striking than the conventionally noble characterizations that La Harpe preferred.

Whether eighteenth-century audiences agreed with La Harpe, or found in the play the shortcomings indicated above, they paid it much less honor than they did Amasis or the tragedy that was to follow. First played on Dec. 19, 1703, Alceste was acted only six times, till Jan 1, 1704. Its failure did not turn its author away from Euripides, one of whose lost tragedies was indirectly the source of Ino et Méliceete 19.

¹⁸ Op cst, XIII, 148-9.
¹⁹ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1713 and 1715, 12°, approbation, April 6, 1713, signed by Danchet, who mentions the pleasure the play has given Dedicated to the duc de La Force According to the Biographie générale, La Grange claimed that the duke had had the tragedy acted under his own name and that, obliged to restore it to its author, he wrote the dedication in praise of himself and signed it with La

In the preface of his 1758 edition La Grange-Chancel tells us that he relied upon Hyginus ²⁰ for the information that he could not derive directly from the lost tragedy. It seems that Athamas, King of Thessaly, thinking that Ino his wife, who had borne him Learchus and Melicertus, was dead, married Themisto, by whom he had other sons. Learning that Ino was alive, he brought her home, but concealed her identity from Themisto, who, desiring to kill her rivals' sons, asked Ino to dress them in black, her own children in white, but Ino did the opposite, so that Themisto killed her own children and, when she discovered what she had done, committed suicide. Subsequently Athamas became insane and killed Learchus, while Ino, with Melicertus, threw herself into the sea.

La Grange-Chancel retained Athamas, his mental affliction, his two wives, and Melicertus, but he suppressed Learchus and the king's children by Themisto. In compensation he gave the latter a son by a previous marriage and added a princess, daughter of the king's predecessor, and an officer loyal to Athamas. By altering the manner of Ino's disappearance and of her return he kept her identity concealed from Athamas until the middle of the play. He devised scenes of recognition and of rivalry between young lovers that are not in Hyginus and introduced reports of military operations that form no part of the legend.²¹

Grange's name Some confirmation of this accusation is found in strophe 12 of the third Philippique, where La Grange calls the duke a "Geai pare des plumes d'autrui" and accuses him of having in his baggage "beaucoup de vers empruntés"; cf. Labessade, Les Philippiques, Paris, Rouveyre, n d (1875?) The play was republished at The Hague, Van Dôle, 1733, in the author's Théâtre, Amsterdam, L'Honoré, 1746, in the Suite du Répertoire, Paris, veuve Dabo, 1822, and in La Grange's Œuvres of 1734, 1742, and 1758 The very considerable alterations made in this last edition will be examined below The play has been studied by Nietzelt, op. cst

** Fables I, II, and especially IV

** The King of Thessaly had died, leaving his throne to a daughter, Euridice A struggle followed between Athamas, husband of Ino, and Glaucus, husband of Thémistée Glaucus was killed and Thémistée succeeded in marrying Athamas, who made himself king She now hopes to make him abdicate in favor of Palamède, her son by Glaucus, and has kept Euridice locked up in a fortress for ten years in order that she may marry Palamède Mcanwhile Ino, when she saw that Athamas was preparing to take another wife, had fied with her son, Mélicerte, to her father, Cadmus, had entrusted the boy to him, had started home, and had been captured and sold as a slave to Thémistée, who had put her in charge of Euridice After reigning for ten years, Athamas, suffering from remorse over his treatment of Ino and from hallucinations, has gone half mad and allowed much of his power to pass into the hands of Thémistée, who is in turn influenced by Ino When the play begins, Pellé, the king's capital, is besieged by a Theban army, headed by a young man of unknown origin, Alcidamas, while Thémistée's brother, Thrasille, is bringing up an army to support his sister. The queen tells her son that she has learned through an agent, Licus, that Mélicerte still lives. She also tells him that she wishes him to marry Euridice, who, as she has fallen in love with Alcidamas, refuses to marry Palamède. She accuses the latter of being inferior to her in birth and of keeping her in captivity. She is encouraged in her stand by the supposed slave, Cléone, who appeals to an officer, Clarigène, and is recognized by him as Ino Athamas now wishes to abdicate and, despite Clarigène's protests, would have Palamède succeed him. News is brought that the Thebans have defeated Athamas's army and have slain Thrasille, but that Alcidamas, entering the city too quickly,

In making his alterations he must have been aided by his memory of Amasis and of other French tragedies. The rôle of Clarigène resembles to a certain extent that of Phanès in Amasis, while Ino ingratiates herself with her rival, Thémistée, as does Phanès with the king he wishes to dethrone. Mélicerte, a disinherited prince, returns to his old home under an assumed name as does Sésostris to his. A final prophecy had been employed by La Calprenède in la Mort de Mithridate and by Racine in Mithridate, where it had been applied to hostile Romans, whereas here, as in Joad's vision of a temple murder in Athalie, the prediction is of disaster to befall persons with whom we sympathize.²²

The most dramatic characters are the two queens and Athamas. We see Ino at first dressed as a slave and for two seenes speechless, then, recognized by Clarigène and making plans with him to foil her rival's schemes. She dominates the action, advising Euridiee, making herself known to her son in order to prevail upon him to return to the army, deceiving Thémistée in masterly fashion. Her words to this queen have one meaning for her, another for the audience. She has waited ten years for her revenge and is implacable in pursuing it, yet so evil is her opponent that she never loses our sympathy by impersonating unforgiving Justice. Thémistée has no redeeming virtue except her affection for her son and her thought that she is earrying out a scheme for which her first husband had lost his life. Otherwise she is thoroughly heartless, disloyal to the king, and scheming to lure her stepson to a meeting where she can stab him to death. The fatal flaw in her plans is due to her inability to recognize Ino, or to see through her designs. She masters the king and outwite Clarigene, but she trusts Inc. who delivers her message to the wrong person and causes her to kill both her son and herself.

Between these two able and conspiring women is Athamas, whose ambition

has been captured. Ino prompts Euridice to agree to marry Palamede on condition Alcidamas be spared and advises Thémistée to consent to this arrangement, as she can break her word after the marriage. Though a prisoner, Alcidamas is able to make love to Euridice and to learn that he is Mélicerte, son of Athamas is able to word to the king that the captive is his son. Athamas wishes the youth to return to the Theban army, but Mélicerte, impressed by Ino, is unwilling to leave till she tells him that she is his mother and urges him to go. Thémistée, however, has learned who Mélicerte is, suspects Ino of giving information to Athamas, and tells her that she can clear herself only by luring the prince, with the hope of seeing Euridice, into a dark passage where he can be murdered. Ino agrees and shows Palamède to the designated place. Thémistée soon boasts of having killed Mélicerte, but she sees him and asks whom she has slain. Ino informs her that it is Palamède, whereupon Thémistée stabs herself and, as she dies, expresses the hope that Athamas will turn against his son and that Mélicerte and Ino will cast themselves into the sea. Ino prays that the gods may forbid and is reunited to Athamas. Mélicerte will, of course, marry Euridice.

***Nextended**

³² Nietzelt, op cst, p 40, is quite right in rejecting N M Bernardin's supposition that the author borrowed from Quinault's Amalasonte, cf Pctit de Julieville,

Histoire de la langue et de la littérature française, V, 147

had led him to betray Ino and marry Thémistée, a step he has never ceased to regret. His mind has broken under his afflictions (I, 1):

Le sommeil pour jamais s'éloigna de ses yeux. Et toujours accusant les mortels & les Dieux, Au fond de ce palais, sa tristesse profonde Le rendit invisible aux yeux de tout le monde

He feels that his power has been taken from him, he knows that an enemy is besigging his capital, he longs to renounce the throne and to retire into obscurity, yet something of his old vigor remains when he refuses to sacrifice a prisoner upon his wife's demand. He is a tragic figure in the Aristotelian sense.

The other characters make less impression. Euridice and Mélicerte are typical lovers, but they are by no means mactive. Euridice resists efforts to marry her against her will and carries out suggestions made to her by Ino. Mélicerte, who does not appear until III, 5, is depicted as a successful warrior, an accepted lover, and a devoted son, so devoted that he feels a strange interest in the slave before he learns that she is his mother. Palamède has an ungracious rôle as a rejected lover, a defeated soldier, and the victim of his mother's schemes. Clarigène, who commands the royal guard, has prevented Thémistée from gaining complete ascendency over the king. His presence accounts for the fact that she has not already earried out her diabolical schemes, and he now assists Ino in foiling them. Licus, though given nothing to say, appears in the east of the first edition. He may have been present in V, 1, when Palamède seems to address him, but in the edition of 1758 he disappears altogether.

La Grange-Chancel admits that he may have overloaded his subject with incidents, but he claims that they were needed to produce the scenes of recognition, which were well received. In one of these Ino is recognized by Clarigène, in another by Mélicerte, in another by Athamas and Thémistée. Mélicerte is recognized by himself, by his father, and by the woman who had supposed she had killed him. Other scenes are characterized by suspense, sharp argumentation, persuasion, love-making, or plots of vengeance. The action is rapid. Effective lines in the last act recall a celebrated situation in Rotrou's Venceslas Thémistée, thinking that she has murdered Mélicerte, meets him and asks in anguish

Sur qui l'injuste sort, qui trahit mon courroux, Au licu de Mélicerte, a-t il conduit mes coups?

The play preserves the unities except for the fact that the prophecy leaves some "unfinished business." It has elements of the merveilleux in Athamas's dream, in the cri du sang when Mélicerte first meets his mother, and in

Thémistée's final prediction. It has elements of melodrama in the emphasis placed on villains and rescuers, in Euridice's falling in love with Mélicerte when from a distance she sees him fighting his way towards a fort, in the fact that the queen is gloating over the death of Mélicerte just at the moment when he appears, and in the great importance given to recognition. The tragedy lacks subtle psychology and effective expression.28 but it has much that pleased the audiences of its day. The author praised the manner in which the actresses who took the part of Ino interpreted the rôle.24 It was produced seventeen times in 1713, on and after March 10, and remained in the repertory until 1767, with a total of fifty-three performances, which made it, next to Amasis, the most successful of its author's plays.25

The play was criticized in great detail by Mlle Barbier.26 She admitted that the beauty of the tragedy outweighed its defects, that Act IV was worthy of Corneille and Raeine, and that the seene of recognition between Ino and Mélicerte showed that few of her contemporaries understood the stage better than La Grange-Chancel. She admired the introduction of Clarigène, the expressions of contempt put into the mouth of Euridice, and the dramatic use of an enemy as a confident—an arrangement that made her compare the tragedy with Thomas Corneille's Amane. At the same time she found fault with many details. Athamas rouses contempt and is cowardly in not seeking to avenge his son. Clarigène is not sufficiently respectful to Athamas Thémistic should accuse Clarigène of complicity with the Thebans, confides too readily in Ino, is imprudent in declaring that she has killed Mélicerte. In order to prevent Thémistée from suspecting Euridice of love, Ino should attribute to gratitude the interest that the princess takes in Mélicerte. Ino is too fine a person to take such diabolical revenge. In most of these criticisms Mile Barbier shows that she did not understand the stage as well as the man she was criticizing. The last one is especially inept as the force of Ino's character lies in the fact that, though admirable in other relationships, she is primarily bent upon vengcance.

Mlle Barbier shows to better advantage in other observations She accuses the author of failing to scatter his exposition over several scenes, of devising

by Danchet, Oct 27, 1713

²⁸ In V, 6, when Thémistee discovers that Mélicerte lives, she finds no more effective words to utter than "Ciel! quelle est ma surprise!"

²⁴ The first of them was la Desmarea, of the frères Parfaiet, XV, 248

²⁵ The author complained that the first edition was very badly printed. In 1758 he altered the text extensively, adding 29 lines (I, 4, II, 2, III, 5, V, I, 3, 4) and supplying two missing verses (II, 2, III, 1). A reference to Thrasille as if he were still alive (V, 4) is corrected. Other changes are made to avoid repetition (IV, 5, 7), to modernize the position of a pronoun (II, 7), or to make a couplet more effective by putting the first verse after the second (IV, 5, 6, V, 1). On the other hand, a few misprints have crept into the new edition that are not in the old (I, 3, II, 3, V, 5), but these are of slight consequence.

²⁶ Saisone littéraires, premier recueil, Paris, Fournier, 1714, approbation, signed by Danchet, Oct. 27, 1713.

too complicated a plot, of not making it clear that the subordinate themes influence the main one. She finds an anachronism in the account of Euridice's family, holds that certain situations lack proper preparation, and believes that there is too little probability in the manner in which Euridice and Mélicerte fall in love, as well as in Lycus's acquisition of knowledge while in prison. She takes up the play scene by scene to show that the author misuses certain terms, makes grammatical slips, is sometimes prosaic or "bas," fails to appreciate the value of a climax, or violates rules of prosody by allowing enjambement, or by admitting rimes between the sixth syllables of two successive lines.

Some of these criticisms are puerile, but most of them are justified. She admits in a few cases that the errors were due to faulty printing. There were other misprints she might have found. Some of the author's corrections in the edition of 1758, taken alone, would indicate that he was following her suggestions, but in many cases he failed to profit by her advice when he could easily have done so, and he made many changes that she had not suggested. It is consequently impossible to say whether he made use of her critique. As it is the most detailed piece of criticism made by a contemporary of a play written in 1701-15, it shows that La Grange-Chancel was then considered a leading dramatic author.

His reputation did not have equal distinction in the time of La Harpe, who, though he set down Amass and Ino as La Grange's chief plays and considered that the latter equaled its predecessor in art and surpassed it in interest, nevertheless found that the pathetic material in Ino was not well handled and that there was lack of verisimilitude, especially in the rôle of Thémistée, who confides too readily in a slave and is not so wary as she would have been in the situation that precedes the death of her son.²⁷

One cannot, however, refuse to La Grange-Chancel a place second only to that of Crébillon among the authors of tragedies first played in 1701-15. He did not, like Crébillon, Danchet, and Pellegrin, turn to the horrible. His respect for the proprieties did not seriously distort his work. He understood the classical system and was able to hold the interest of an audience and to create striking situations. He lived in close contact with the court and could see the importance of intrigue and the varying fortunes of its victims. His tragedies reflect to this extent the life about him. He needed to dig more deeply into the motives of his characters, to avoid melodramatic effects, to make more poetic use of the lessons Racine had taught him. What his future might have been if he had continued to devote himself primarily to tragedy it is impossible to say. He was only thirty-six when Ino appeared

⁸⁷ Op cit, XIII, 145-7.

and had many years in which to improve his art, but the text of this play indicates more hurried workmanship than does that of Amasis. His lost Sophonishe of 1716 was a failure. It is possible that he was losing his skill as a dramatist even before his personal difficulties led him into satire that brought about imprisonment and exile. Separated from Paris, he was unable to recover the ground he had lost, or ever to equal Amasis and Ino et Mélicerte. The estimate of J.-B. Rousseau, who preferred him to Crébillon, may well be the correct one, that he "embrasse bien un sujet...en ménage les incidens avec adresse," and does not lack facility at writing verse, but that he has "ni force ni vigueur dans son expression" and often falls into "le plat des Danchets et des Pradons, avec beaucoup plus de génie qu'eux." "

^{*} Œuvres, Paris, Lefèvre, 1820, V, 170-1.

CHAPTER IV

TRAGEDIES BY BELIN, FERRIER, LA FOSSE, PÉCHANTRÉ, AND RIUPEIROUS

Nine authors who had begun to write plays in the seventeenth century contributed tragedies to the repertory of 1701-15. La Grange-Chancel, who was active throughout the period, was discussed in the last chapter. Duché and Genest, who wrote at this time only religious tragedies, will be taken up in Chapter VI. As Longepierre's last tragedy has a similar subject to one by Crébillon, it will figure in Chapter VII. The five men who remain were not eminently successful. Of the six tragedies they composed, all were acted at the Comédie Française before the end of 1705, but two of them were never published and are now lost, only two met with considerable initial success, and only one was revived after the first year in which it was played

The first of the five dramatists was Belin. According to Beauchamps,¹ he came from Marseilles, was the duchesse de Bouillon's librarian, and "tailloit au pharaon." He had already produced an *Othon*, acted on Jan. 5, 7, and 9, 1699, but never published. His Vononez, the first tragedy acted in the new century, was played on Jan. 7, 9, 11, and 14, 1701 ² It probably dealt with Vononez I, King of Parthia, but, as it is lost, the subject cannot be definitely determined.

A happier fate befell his third tragedy, MUSTAPHA ET ZÉANGIR.⁵ The pathetic history of Mustapha, an admirable prince, put to death by his father, Solyman II, had attracted several dramatists. Bonarclli in Italy, Mairet and Dalibray in France, the Earl of Orrery in England had told the tale of Solyman, Mustapha, Roxelane, and Rustan, each with his own romantic embellishments. Among these authors Mairet gives the version

acted, Solyman was played by Sallé

At the first performance 705 persons paid admission, at the second, 602, at the third, 286, at the fourth, when Champinesle's Coupe enchantée was also acted, 358

The author's share in the receipts was 249 francs, 12 sous Cf my Comédie Française,

¹Recherches, II, 478.9 He declares that he knew this dramatist personally, but the frères Parfact (XIV, 358 60) point out that his statements must be accepted with caution, as he prints the name "Blein," attributes to Belin Péchantré's Mort de Néron, and gives his second tragedy as "Volonés" In view of these errors one heatstates to accept Beauchampe's statement that, when Mustapha et Zéangur was acted. Solyman was played by Sallé

^{*}Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1705, 12° Republished in the Théûtre françois of 1737 and, according to the catalogue of the British Museum, at "Alep [Paris]" in 1777 Beauchamps states, without submitting evidence, that the duchesse de Bouillon collaborated in the composition of this play

that is closest to Belin's, which probably owes him the theme of Mustapha's love for a Persian princess, daughter of King Tachmas. But Belin added the historical character of Zéangir, Mustapha's half-brother. Now Mile de Scudéry had introduced this prince, son of Solyman and Roxelane, into her Illustre Bassa.4 She had indicated his devotion to his brother, his love for Tachmas's daughter, and his suicide, inspired by the murder of Mustapha. The latter, however, is already married in the novel and is not in love with the Persian princess. Belin must have turned elsewhere to find the theme of realousy between brothers who are devoted to each other.

He may have discovered it in Orrery's Mustapha, acted at London in 1665. In this English tragedy Zéangir swears that he will die if Mustapha is put to death, yet he has to struggle with his jealousy, for he and his brother love the same foreign princess. their father's captive. As these details are also in Belin's tragedy, they suggest that he was influenced by Orrery, though we do not know how he had knowledge of his Mustapha. As, however, La Fosse had made use a few years before of Otway's Venice Preserved. and Chappuzeau had seen a performance of Orrery's tragedy at London," it is quite possible that Belin owed to the latter play the conflict between lealousy and fraternal affection in the soul of the younger prince. If this is true, it is the second example of influence excrted by an English play upon a French tragedy.

Belin declared in his preface that, though his subject was as dramatic as that of Eteocles and Polyncices, it had not been attempted by "tant de grands Poetes qui se sont immortalisés par la Scene dans le dernier Siécle." 6 He added that the name of the younger brother was "Dgehanghir, qui en langue Persane d'où il est tiré, signifie Conquerant," but that he had preferred "Zéangir, comme étant plus doux." He admitted minor changes Roxclane's offering the crown to Zéangir rather than to another son, Bajazet, the death of Rustan, which did not take place at this time; and the captivity of Taehmas's daughter, not mentioned by history, but "elle ne dit pas le contraire; & l'on doit passer quelque liberté aux Poetes."

It seems, then, that Belin employed as his chief sources Turkish history, Mairet's adaptation of Bonarelli, Mlle de Scudéry's Illustre Bassa, and Orrery's Mustapha. He composed a much simpler play than those of his predecessors, one that is free from Bonarelli's romantic tale of substituted infants, of a forgery, and of a disguised princess, as well as from the

^{*}Part I, Book V, Part II, Book V; and especially Part III, Books I and II. Living suggested that the Illustre Bassa was the source of the play

*Cf my History of French Dramatic Literature, Part V, p 26

*He may have thought that his four predecessors in drama had not put themselves among the immortals, or he may have held that Bonarelli, Dalibray, and Mairet failed to treat the subject because they left out Zéangir; Oriery, because his heroine is a widowed Hungarian queen instead of a Persian princess

political theme found in Orrery and the many complications imagined by Mile de Scudéry. He wrote a more purely tragic and psychological work, in which he dramatized influences brought to bear on Solyman to induce him to kill or to spare Mustapha, the success attained by the prince's enemies, and the suicide of Zéangir, who renders ineffective all his mother's machinations in his behalf."

Solyman, the pivotal character, is presented as an old man who wishes to rule justly and to avoid the savagery of his predecessors, but who is easily influenced by those who are closely associated with him. He had taken pride in Mustapha and expected him to be his successor, but he is tricked into believing that the prince is conspiring with the King of Persia and is seeking to take his father's life. He is a tragic victim of misplaced confidence and misguided devotion to power. His causing Mustapha's body to be exposed may be in keeping with Oriental manners, but it is, as the frères Parfaict remark, in conflict with the traits of character previously assigned the Sultan.

Mustapha is also tragic. Though he wins our sympathy, he is by no means blameless. For the governor of a province and the Sultan's eldest son to offer peace to the enemy and arrange his own marriage would have offended a more modern ruler than Solyman. And when he is forgiven, he immediately disobeys, nor does he endeavor to quell the mutiny. The penalty, though much more severe than he deserves, is brought about in part by himself. Sophic, too, is not entirely free from blame, as she resents Zéangir's excellent advice and could have saved the situation by refusing to listen to Mustapha.

Zéangir, on the other hand, is a purely pathetic figure. He suppresses his

TROXCIANE, who has persuaded Solyman II to marry her, desires to kill the Sultan's oldest son, Mustapha, in order to put her son, Zéangir, on the throne She is aided by the Grand Vivir, Rustan They have in their possession a letter that incriminates Mustapha in his father's eyes. The young prince had defeated the Persians, captured Sophie, daughter of King Tachmas, and fallen in love with her Without consulting the Sultan, he had offered Tachmas peace in return for his daughter's hand. It is the letter containing this offer that has heen called to Solyman's attention. The Sultan has Mustapha brought in chains to his army headquarters at Aleppo Zéangir persuades his father to allow Mustapha to defend himself. Solyman agrees to pardon him, but only on condition he leave the camp at once and without seeing Sophie Zéangir prevalls upon his brother to go, but he shows such interest in the princess that Mustapha feels he must see her in order to make sure of her love Zéangir explains to Sophie why Mustapha must go, but she lingers and is questioned by the older prince. He is reassured as to her love, but he is discovered by his father and angrily reproved. The Sultan might still have pardoned him, if he had not heard from Rustan that the soldiers were arming in the prince's hehalf. He has Mustapha arrested in spite of his resistance, brought back to the camp, and strangled by mutes in his presence. The soldiers, when they are shown the body, mutiny and murder Rustan. Roxelane, happy over Mustapha's death, reminds Zéangir that he is now the heir to the throne, but her son reproaches her hitterly for slaying his beloved brother, stabs himself, and, before he dies, admits to Sophie that he, as well as Mustapha, had loved her Roxelane realizes that his death takes from her the son in whose interests all her murderous schemes had been devised.

love in his brother's interests and renounces his chance of succeeding his father. His only fault lies in the fact that he is unwise to allude mysteriously to his feeling for Sophie. Contrasting with him are his mother, the ambitious and unscrupulous Sultana, and the equally evil Rustan, who fears the fate that may await him if Mustapha becomes Sultan. Belin declares that he made the Grand Visir, rather than Roxelane, advise Solyman in order to prevent the Sultan from appearing to be influenced by a woman. He allows her to plot, however, and to make use of Rustan. In so doing she hopes to avoid the suspicion that her charges against Mustapha were inspired by her love for her son.

All of these characters contribute to the action, which is without minor episodes. The first act is concerned largely with the exposition, the second, with conflicting pleas addressed to Solyman, the third, in which Mustapha first appears, with the prince's conditional acquittal; the fourth, with his new offense, the fifth, with the dénouement. The action would have been more rapid if the first two acts had been combined and if some of the seven monologues had been omitted. The tragedy would have been more appealing if the hero and heroine had appeared on the stage together in more than one scene. Little attempt is made to reproduce the picturesque side of Turkish life, though there are references to the Prophet and his laws. The tragedy would have profited by more striking effects in situation and vocabulary, but Belin is to be praised for seeking to follow the example set by Racine in Bérénice rather than to imitate some of his predecessors and contemporaries, who laid much stress on disguise, horror, and recognition.

Accepted on Nov. 15, 1704, the play was first acted on Jan. 20, 1705. On Feb. 15 Belin agreed that it need not be given after Feb. 19, provided it would be acted after Easter. This arrangement was made in order that the actors might produce Nadal's Saul, which they had accepted on Nov. 12, 1704 That Belin's consent was necessary shows that his tragedy was bringing in substantial receipts at the time the request was made It had been played sixteen times—every other day—when performances were temporarily suspended. After Easter there were seven more presentations of the play, but strangely enough, it was not acted subsequently.

If French tragedies in the last quarter of the seventeenth century and the first quarter of the eighteenth seem restricted historically and geographically in their subjects, it was not the fault of Ferrier, who had attempted in 1678 a theme derived from French history and who now crossed the Atlantic in his imagination to compose a Mexican tragedy, Montézume. His play was acted five times at the Comédic Française, Feb.

Cf. the Registres of the Comédie Française, cited by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 349.
For Ferrier cf my op cit, Part IV, pp 179-86

14 to 22, 1702, and once at Versailles, Feb. 26, in the presence of Louis XIV, the Dauphin, and the duchesse de Bourgogne, but it was never published. According to Voltaire, 10 writing about thirty years later, the stage showed a palace "d'un goût magnifique et barbare des esclaves armés de flèches étaient dans le fond", in front of them court dignitaries were prostrate before the king, who addressed them with these words

> Lever yous, votre roi vous permet aujourd'hui Et de l'envisager, et de parler à lui

The frères Parfaict 11 reproduce this information, but they give the lines as

> Esclaves, levez vous, votre maître, aujourd'hui, Vous permet d'élever vos regards jusqu' à lui

As no such lines, in either form, and no such situation are found in Dryden's Indian Emperour, which also introduces Montezuma, it is impossible to establish any relationship between the two plays. The only other piece of information we have about Ferrier's tragedy is that the actors voted on Jan 16, 1702 to have a palace constructed for the play, but in such a way that it could be used for other tragedies. They evidently had no objection to housing ancient Greeks and Romans in the palace of the Aztecs. It must have been the failure of the play rather than the expense of the decorations that deterred Ferrier's contemporaries from attempting in the next score of years to produce a tragedy with an equally exotic subject

Much more distinguished than either Ferrier or Belin was La Fosse,12 who had established his reputation by producing three tragedies, all well received and all published. Though no longer young, he tempted Fortune once more, this time with Contaus et Callirhot, 18 which proved to be his first dramatic failure. He tells us that he derived his plot primarily from Pausanias, whose account had been reproduced by Vigenère in his Annotations sur Philostrate and by Spon in his Voyage en Grèce, and had been utilized by Guarini in his Pastor fido.14 He admits that he followed Guarini in making the heroine "infidelle & parjure." because mere coldness in a girl is now considered a virtue rather than a vice. He explains that he

¹⁰ Moland edition, II, 320-1

¹⁰ Moland edition, II, 320-1
21 XIV, 252-4, they refer to the Mercure for February, 1702, pp 398-402 La Harpe, op cit, XI, 300, mentions the play only to express the opinion that, as it was unsuccessful and was never printed, it should not deprive Voltaire of the credit, to which Aleire entitled him, of opening the New World to French audiences.
21 For La Fosse of my op cit, Part IV, pp 385 99
21 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1704, 12°. Republished in 1713, 1718, 1719, and in the author's General, 1715, 1737, and in his Théâtre, Amsterdam, 1745.
21 Cf Pausanias, Description of Greece, VII, 21, 1, Blaise de Vigenère, Les images ou tableaux de platte peinture des deux Philostrates, Paris, 1614, Jacob Spon et George Wheler, Voyage d'Italie, de Dalmatie, de Grèce, et du Levant, Amsterdam, 1679, II, 112, Pastor fido, I, 2

represents Corésus as easily moved to anger in order that he may become the victim of his own error. He claims to have answered satisfactorily all criticisms of his tragedy.

According to Pausanias, Coresus, priest of Bacchus in Calydon, met with such contempt from Callirhoé that he begged the god for vengeance. Bacchus sent upon the people of Calydon a form of madness that caused many deaths. An oracle, when consulted, replied that Coresus must sacrifice upon the altar of Bacchus either Callirhoé or someone who would take her place. Though she sought to avoid death, the girl was led to the altar, but, rather than sacrifice her, Coresus stabbed himself and fell dead at her feet. Emotionally stirred and penitent. Callirhoé also committed suicide. Guarini changed the names of Coresus and Callirhoé, located the scene in Arcadia, substituted Diana for Bacchus, and made his heroine pretend to love the hero, but abandon him for another lover. La Fosse returned to Baechus, to Calydon, and to the names given the principal characters by Pausanias, but, like Guarini, he introduced a second man, had Callirhoé love him, and had him prefer another woman, also added to the cast. He made the oracle declare that the substitute must be one of Callirhoe's lovers. He added the girl's father, minor characters, and certain military and political elements. In this way he introduced new problems and caused four of the five leading characters to suffer for their departures from proper conduct. He is the first author to make a full-length tragedy out of this tale, which had previously supplied material for little more than an anecdote.15

16 Antinous governs Calydon while the king makes war on the Argives Anaxile, the governor's niece, has been received into his home, as she has neither psrents nor fortune. She has fallen in love with equally imperimous Agenor, from whom she has not heard for a month. She now learns that he has so greatly distinguished himself in war that the king has asked Antinous to give him his daughter, Callirhoé. The latter had been attracted to Agénor, but, as he did not respond, she had accepted her father's proposal that she marry Corésus, a priest of the god worshipped in Calydon. Antinous had sworn to give his daughter to the priest, but he now yields to the desire of the king, who objects to uniting two such powerful families as those of Antinous and Corésus. But the priest is deeply angered After a stormy interview with Antinous, he begs the god to avenge him. A poisonous vapor comes forth from the altar and drives people mad. Those stricken communicate their mental illness to others. Many die. The people demand that the god be appeased An oracle declares that Corésus must put to death either Callirhoé or one of her lovers who will offer to take her place. Meanwhile Agénor has returned from the war and seeks to better his fortunes by forgetting Anaxile and marrying her cousin, but, when he sees the former, his love returns and he proposes to break his engagement to Callirhoé. Antinois would escape with his daughter, but he cannot leave the country that has been committed to his charge. Corésus reproaches Antinois for breaking his word, but he tries to save Callirhoé by suggesting to Agénor that he die in her place. Agénor's death will not placate the gods as he loves, not Callirhoé, but herself. Antinois and Agénor oppose this contention, Corésus is in doubt, and Callirhoé, distressed to learn that Agénor does not love her, is more than ever willing to be sacrificed. Corésus has her come to the temple in spite of her father's efforts to prevent her from doing so, assembles the people, rejects Agénor, and seems about

Backed by the power of the god, Corésus is an important person in the state. His puritanical nature is shocked by Antinous's violation of his vow, and his pride is deeply wounded by Callirhoe's preferring Agenor. He is led to make an unwise use of his power and creates a situation from which he can escape only by taking his own life. The quandary in which Antinous finds himself resembles that of Félix in Polyeucte, except that his daughter is not yet married. Both are governors under the authority of a sovereign who makes war and favors one of his officers. Like Félix, Antinous sees the advantage of having as a son-in-law the sovereign's favorite and is willing to undo earlier commitments in order to bring this marriage about. At the end of the play he loses his daughter's society because he has put human claims before divine. She is less guilty than he, as she has to obey both the king and her father. Her punishment seems quite out of proportion with her offense. Agénor at one time allows ambition to prevail over love, but this is true only while he is away from Anaxile. He redeems himself by his courage in regard to the sacrifice. Anaxile is entirely guiltless.

The play is well constructed. As there is much caplanation to be given, the first act develops slowly, but it ends in a violent interview between the governor and the priest In Act II Anaxile wins her lover back and tells us of the god's vengrance. Act III brings the message from the oracle and shows the temporary triumph of Corésus. The fourth act is the best in the play, with the changes in situation produced by Agénor's prompt acceptance of death, Callirhoe's effort to be sacrificed, Anaxile's report that it is she whom Agénor loves, and Antinous's vain attempts to find a satisfactory solution of the problem. The knot is tightly tied, but material is left for the fifth act, in which Agénor confirms Anaxile's report, the people become more insistent, Antinous racks his brains, and the solution is reached by Corésus's act of self-sacrifice, well prepared, but not foreseen.

But La Fosse's art availed little. He could not make his audience look upon the breaking of an engagement as a proper starting point for a tragedy, nor does he appear to have won sympathy for Corcsus or Callirhoé. The play was poorly received. It was acted only four times, from Dcc. 7 to 13, 1703. The Mercure de Trévoux noted its lack of success and declared the choice of the subject "peu heurcux." 16 La Grange-Chancel addressed a poem to La Fosse in which, though he called him "grave et sublime auteur" and expressed surprise over the failure of his tragedy, he attributed it to " le froid qui regnoit dans ta piece" and advised him on account of his age

Callirhoe when he announces that he will solve the problem by dying in her place He then stabs himself Callirhoé retires to the temple of Pallas, consecrates herself to the goddess, and requests that Agenor marry Anaxile
¹⁸ Cf Mélèse, *Rép*, p 214

to give up dramatic composition. The frères Parfaict 17 believe that the play failed because La Fosse did not interest his audience, which amounts to saving that it failed because it failed. La Harpe 18 considered the tragedy merely a "mauvais roman" If La Fosse, who died in 1708, had lived until 1712, he might have found some consolation in the success of Callerhoé, the opera that Charles Roy partly derived from the play.19

Péchantré's first tragedy, Géta, had been unusually successful. His second had been a failure. In his third, LA MORT DE NLRON,20 he might have reproduced his first success, if he had not offended public taste by treating chronology in an original way and centering attention upon characters with whom the audience was unable to sympathize. He wrote a long and interesting preface in defense of his tragedy, one that he probably composed before he gave up hope that his play would continue to be acted.21 The chief objections raised by his critics were the choice of Nero as the principal character and one whose name appears in the title of the play; the depicting of events that were really separated by a number of years as occurring in a single day; and the delineation of Poppaea:

On se récrie d'abord contre son sujet, & l'on prétend qu'un titre aussi odieux, aussi affreux que celuy de Neron ne scauroit jamais soûtenir la dignité du Heros d'une Tragcdie

He answers that, as Nero inspired, not only the artists who depicted him on mcdals, but the builder of a beautiful mausoleum at Rome, he might also inspire a poet. He could have referred to the facts that Nero is the principal character in Britannicus and that, though Athalie had as evil a reputation as Nero, Racine had made of her name the title of a tragedy. Such considerations had no influence upon the frères Parfaict,22 who repeat the accusation that a prince should not be the principal character in a

¹⁷ XIV, 315-8 They state that a certain Naudijon claimed, long after La Fosse's death, to have collaborated in the tragedy

¹⁸ Op ost, VIII, 186

18 Though this fact is stated by the frères Parfaict, E. H. Polinger (Pierre Charles Roy, New York, 1930, pp. 120-7) follows Lêris (Dictionnaire, Paris, 1763, p. 92) in asserting that Roy took his subject from the Achaïques of Pausanias [sio] The presence in the opera of Agénor, whom Pausanias does not mention, makes clear Roy's debt to La Fosse, though he altered considerably the plot of the tragedy La Motte, Euvres, 1754, IV, 188-9, declares that the play and the opera are the same thing He attributes the success of the opera and the failure of the play to the fact that in the former the threatened sacrifice and the suicide are shown on the stage, whereas in the tragedy they are presented in a récit, so that the last act "n'en devient gueres plus vif que les autres"

so Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1703, 12°. Registered, March 3, approbation, signed by Fontenelle, March 21, prev, March 24 Republished in the Theatre françois of 1737 Copies of both editions are at the Johns Hopkins University. For Péchantré cf. my op cit, Part IV, pp 232 5, 400-1

It was played nine times, from Feb 21 to March 16, 1703 The author may have expected his play to be acted again after the Easter recess

³³ XIV, 297-8

tragedy, if his name inspires only horror and contempt. They are unwilling to accept Péchantré's apology that Nero's reign is tragic in the contrast between its early and its later years, that he rouses terror and pity for those he persecutes, that his own character is tragic in that some "grandes vertus" are mingled with his criminal tendencies, and that, when he suddenly falls from his high estate into "le dernier accablement," he excites fear and pity for himself.

In reply to the accusation that he puts into one day the marriage of Nero and Poppaca, their death, and the death of Octavia, he cites the example of Corncille in le Cid, Horace, Rodogune, and Hérachus, and that of Raeme in Mithridate. He declares that a poet is not a slave to history, but may substitute verisimilitude for verity. To this doctrine no intelligent critic can object, and we must sympathize with Péchantré's remark that the unities are "assûrement des legles bien gehennantes," but the fact that he telescoped well-known events to a greater extent than Corneille and Raeme had done may well have been one of the reasons why his play was not well received

In reply to the criticism of his interpretation of Poppaca, he insists that he showed the ningling of love and ambition in her soul, her fear for Otho, her desire to avenge heiself on Octavia. He had painted her as a "personne inégale," according to Aristotle's recommendation that, "si quidam insequalis fuerit suppositus, inacqualem eum oportet esse." Apparently what his critics wanted was a heroine like Racine's Junie or Mile Barbier's Arrie, who would not yield to imperial blandishments or threats

In defending himself Péchantré shows the same reverence as his critics for Corneille and Racine, and especially for Aristotle He claims that his method of presenting Poppaea's death, brought about unintentionally by Nero and discovered by him with horror, is in thorough accord with the teachings of the Greek philosopher He accepts as Aristotelian the necessity that the hero should be of high rank and the belief that the moral value of a tragedy lies in the example it gives of conduct to be avoided or imitated. though these doctrines were preached by Aristotle's interpreters rather than in the Poetics itself. He adds a paragraph on his own style, claiming to have avoided symbolic, metaphorical, and emphatic language in order to show "la dignité sans faste, le naturel & la netteté sans bassesse," to have consulted rather "le cœur que l'esprit," and to have adjusted his thoughts "au caractere de mes personnages" Apart from his free use of chronology, his theories were quite classical, but his critics may have been unwilling to admit that he had expressed in his play all the ideas he proclaimed in his preface. Let us see to what extent they would have been justified in this contention.

The tragedy is a sort of sequel to Britannicus, which Péchantré seems to have had in mind when he was composing it.23 There Racine had selected the moment in which evil forces prevailed over good in determining the course of Nero's career. Here Péchantré portrays the last day of Nero's life, referring back, as Racine had done, to his first years as emperor, but also to various crimes that followed the murder of Britannicus He emphasizes especially Nero's repudiation of Octavia, his marriage to Poppaea, his killing the woman he loves, and his suicide.24

The historical background is preserved, but with considerable alterations. Many of Nero's crimes are pointed out, but the importance of his turning against the army, evidenced by the death of Corbulo, is not emphasized, though there are references to the revolt of Vindex, Galba, and others. The repudiation of Octavia doubtless weakened Nero's popularity, but it was not the cause of his suicide, nor in reality did she kill herself. Poppaea was married to Otho, influenced Nero over a considerable period of time, and was not murdered accidentally. Nor did Nero kill himself in the palace.

²² The play hegins early in the morning, with Otho's waiting for Nero's rising, just as in *Britannicus* Agrippina awaits her son's In both tragedics Nero is won

just as in Britannicus Agrippina awaits her son's In both tragedies Nero is won over to virtue hefore he plunges into vice

"the empire is tottering Revolt has broken out in various provinces. Rome still respects the imperial family, but may turn against Nero if he repudiates Octavia. Otho is troubled by the fact that l'oppaea, whom he loves, has been invited to the imperial palace. Nero, haunted by his mother's ghost, informs Otho that he loves Poppaea and that he will send him to Lusitania. Poppaea loves Otho, but has been invisely introduced by him to Nero and is tempted by the thought of becoming empress. Otho obtains permission to see her for the last time. Octavia urges Nero to show himself to the soldiers and suppress the rebels, but the emperor merely boasts of his achievements. Otho begs Poppaea to resist Nero and refers to the possibility of escaping with her to Gaul or Spain, but the emperor offers her marriage and threatens to kill Otho if she refuses. Traseas warms Nero that the people are devoted to Octavia and that to rejudiate her will aid the rebellion, but the emperor believes that the rebels are checked, argues that Octavia cannot give him an heir, and sends him to get the senate's reply in regard to his divorce. Otho now tells and sends him to get the senate's reply in regard to his divorce. Otho now tells Poppaea that Octavia has a ship on the Tiber in which they can escape, but Poppaea insists that the only way she can save him is by obeying the emperor. Otho accuses her of sacrificing him to her ambition, Octavia threatens and warms her, but Poppaea Prefers to obey Nero. The emperor hamshes Octavia, but she refuses to leave Rome He feels remove and thinks of renouncing Poppaea, but Traseas informs him that, acting on his own advice, the senate has refused to approve of Nero's divorce. The emperor bids his freedman see to it that Traseas dies and orders Nymphidius to attend to the senate, but the latter leads the Pretorians to join the rebellion and Trascas kills himself in the hope that his death may bring freedom to Rome Nero divorces Octavia and marries Poppaea, but he is greatly troubled by the appearance at the altar of his mother's ghost. Otho is urged to selve the government, but he cannot turn sgainst Neio and Poppaea. He resolves to leave Rome and has a last interview with Poppaea, in which she expresses remorse and he promises never to love another woman. Shortly after she has left the stage, we learn that Nero, mistaking her for the ghost of Trascas, has run her through. He comes on the stage, calls for Poppaea, discovers blood on his sword, and learns that he has killed her. He accuses the gods and orders their altars to he thrown down, but no one obeys, he learns that Galba has been proclaimed emperor, and he thinks of flight. At this point Octavia, who has taken poison, comes to advise him to follow her example. After a struggle he decides to take her advice and to die more admirably than he has lived

Other departures from historical accuracy could be mentioned, but the general effect is reasonably similar to that of the accounts given by Roman historians. One can hardly agree with Péchantré that the deaths of Octavia, Poppaea, and Nero "peuvent & doivent même arriver dans un même jour," since they did not do so, but one must grant him the privilege of altering chronology in composing his tragedy.

Nero is primarily an imperial criminal who has murdered his mother, his stepbrother, and many other persons of importance, who has degraded his office, and who now orders Traseas put to death, seeks to muzzle the senate, repudiates Octavia, and takes Poppaea from his friend. As, however, Péchantré did not wish to depict a complete villain, he made Poppaea's death accidental and gave Nero some feelings of remorse and of regret for the virtue he had displayed in the early years of his reign. In order to excite pity for him, he exaggerated Tacitus's report of his hallucinations and kept him confident of his power till he suddenly finds that his commands are answered by silence. The portrait is more dramatic than a strictly historical one would have been

The three persons next in importance are Otho, Poppaea, and Octavia As the first of these is not married, he is saved the humiliation of seeing his wife taken from him. He is loyal both to Nero and to Poppaca, though he has ample cause not to be There is a tragic element in his fortunes, for it is his own introduction of Poppaea at court that causes his sorrows. Poppaea is more dramatic. She had always been ambitious, had been attracted to Otho because an oracle had predicted that he would become emperor She is divided between love for him and Nero's offer, hesitates, and is induced to become empress partly by ambition, partly by the urgings of her confidant, partly by the hope of saving Otho, and partly by a desire to triumph over Octavia, who has treated her with contempt. When we see her last, just before she is killed, she has become empress, but only to be disillusioned With her is contrasted Octavia, aristocratic, virtuous, loyal to Nero, but bitterly resenting the treatment she is receiving. She does not love Ncro, but she has the deepest respect for his position and her own. If they cannot live as emperor and empress, they must die by their own hands Her pride is shown when she meets Poppaca, but she is unable to silence her rival's ready tongue (III, 4)

Oc: Oui, c'est toi que j'accuse, orgueilleuse rivale, Porte ailleurs les attraits de ta beauté fatale, Respecte en moi les droits & le sang des Cesars, Garde-toi d'élever jusqu'à moi tes regards Tout ce qu'à mon égard te permet ta naissance, C'est beaucoup de respect & plus d'obeïssance Po : Je sçai ce que je dois à vôtre auguste rang, Mais je ne m'en croi pas indigne par mon sang Devant vous cependant le ne puis m'oublier. Faites venir Neron pour me congedier, J'obéirai, Madame, à vôtre ordre suprême 25

The minor characters, with the exception of Octavia's confidant, have functions of some importance. Trascas, the philosopher whom Tacitus had highly praised, is presented as a senator and a tribune, one who is profoundly shocked by Nero's conduct and is bold enough to tell him what he thinks of it He represents the survival of ancient Republican virtues in the Rome of Nero. Nymphidius has no such nobility of character, but he does not pander to Nero's vices. Instead, he offers to support Otho and. when the latter declines the throne, helps to give it to Galba. The freedman. Anicetus, on the other hand, though he speaks only a score of lines, is shown to be Nero's unscrupulous agent, while Fulvie is Poppaea's evil genius as Enone is Phèdre's.

Act I is given up largely to the exposition, including Nero's desire to repudiate Octavia, exile Otho, and marry Poppaea. Acts II and III are chiefly concerned with Poppaea's decision to abandon Otho for Nero, Act IV, with Octavia's struggle to retain her position, Nero's response to her, and his condemnation of Traseas. In Act V we hear of the latter's death and that of Poppaea and we witness the deaths of Octavia and Nero, for the emperor's suicide is supposed to follow immediately the last verse of the tragedy.

There are a number of scenes in which the characters are brought sharply into conflict. The most dramatic are those concerned with Poppaca's death (V, 9, 10), scenes in which, according to his preface, the author took special pride

> Neron sortant l'épéc à la main Je ne te verrai plus, Tribun que je deteste! Ton orgueil a mes yeux s'est venu presenter! Tu voulois m'arracher Poppée & m'insulter! Mais j'ai sçû te pumr de ton orgueil rebelle l'ombre s'est dissipée R'entre dans les enfers qu'on r'appelle Poppée se Et je nie reconnois Fulvie Scigneur, qu'avez-vous fait? Ce que j'ai fait, grands Dicux! De ce Spectre infernal j'ai delivre ces lieux Mais que vois-je? quoi donc ma main toute sanglante, Ce saug dont je suis teiut métonic, m'épouvante Fulvie, explique-inoi Je ne scai je ne juis .

²⁵ Cf Hermione's reply in Andromaque, III, 4

²⁶ Cf Tristan l'Hermite, Marianc, V, 3 The preceding line is addressed to Agrippina's ghost, seen by Nero in company with Traseas

F

Ce prodige confus qui me remplit d'effroi Quoi ma main! Aurous-1e? . Vôtre main s'est trompée N D'où vient ce sang? Helas! c'est du sein de Poppée

Nine performances were not enough to do justice to this play, if we compare the number accorded certain other tragedies of the period. That it was not more frequently acted may be due, not only to the critics whom Péchantré answered in his preface, but to a public demand that characters be more sharply divided into good and evil. The spectators may well have wanted to feel no sympathy for Nero or Poppaea, to see a more forgiving Octavia and a more triumphant Otho The recognition seenes in Amasis and Hypermnestre appealed to their more strongly than Nero's asking desperately what was the meaning of blood upon his sword, especially as blood was not supposed to appear upon the stage Péchantré was too daring for most of his contemporaries.27 not daring enough for those who ultimately revolted from the conventions of his time. It is unfortunate that the kind of variation upon the classical system that he attempted was not followed up, as it might have led to sounder reforms than those that eventually prevailed.

Lake Belin and unlike La Fosse and Péchantré, Théodore de Riupeirous 28 (1664-1706) won his chief success, not from his seventeenth-century plays. but from one he wrote early in the eighteenth century. He was born at Montauban in a Protestant family, but was converted to Catholicism, entered the church, and was introduced to Père de La Chaise, who put him in contact with great nobles. One of them persuaded him to give up his eeclesiastical profession and become a "commissaire des guerres." He is said to have been "galant, armable, enjoué dans la conversation," distinguished for the mildness and unselfishness of his character. He composed a number of poems, a Traite des Medailles, and five plays The first of his tragedies. Méléagre, written in his youth, may never have been acted. The three that followed, Annibal (1688), Valérien (1690), and Agrippa (1696), were performed at the Comédie Française and brought their author nearly a thousand francs, but they were never published. His dramatic talents must eonsequently be judged by his one surviving play

assert that the author's name, which appears under various forms, was written by him as it appears here, cf also my op. cit, Part IV, pp 237-8, 400-1.

²⁷ He not only showed blood upon the stage and altered chronology considerably in order to produce dramatic concentration, but he allowed a break in liaison (V, 8-9) and began V, 5 with four asides in succession. The heading of II, 4 in the first edition indicates that Tigellin is on the stage, but this must be a misprint as he is given nothing to say and is not listed in the cast

** Cf the frères Parfaict, XIV, 323-36, who cite at length an Eloge of 1745 and

This was Hypermnestre,29 the subject of which had been dramatized by Gombauld and Abeille. The latter's Lyncée was, as the frères Parfaict point out, the principal source of the tragedy. Gombauld seems to have influenced it only by the intermediary of Lyncee. 30 As in Abeille's tragedy, Danaus is warned of his danger by an oracle, Hypermnestre, the king's favorite daughter, after agreeing to kill Lyncée, refuses to do so, defends her attitude to her father, and enables her lover to escape from the palace, Lyncée does not seek to avenge his brothers and is not guilty of the king's death, there are no soothsayers, and the name Iphis is given to one of the characters. There are even a few verbal similarities.81

On the other hand, there are decided differences between the two tragedies. In order to fulfill the oracle and at the same time to clear Lyncée of a murder that might have prevented his marriage, Abeille had introduced a mother and son whose lines take up much of the play. Riupeirous omitted them and cleared Lyncée by the intervention of the people, stirred up by the hero's confidant. He also eliminated the account of Danaus's accession to the throne and avoided indicating that in this extraordinary tale there were as many as fifty brothers and fifty sisters. He reduced his characters to three important persons and their attendants and emphasized the relations that existed between the lovers before the time of the play. Lyncée had come to Argos under an assumed name, had saved the king's life, had fallen in love with Hypermnestre, and had caused her to be deeply interested in him without learning who he is. These facts make it easier to understand her readiness to carry out her father's brutal command They also make possible an effective scene of recognition.32

Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1704 and 1716, 12° Republished in the Théaire françois of 1737

^{**}O Unless he suggested for it the name of Idas, one of Danaus's ministers in *Hypermacstre*, a son of Egyptus in les *Danaides* (IV, 2)* For the classical accounts of the tale of my op cit, Part II, p 616

**I Cf. my op cit, Part IV, p 179 The general conception of IV, 5, and of V, last seeme, resembles that of *Lyncée*, IV, 5, and V, last seeme

scene, resemoies that of Lyncee, iv, 5, and v, hat scene 2 Lyncée, son of Egyptus, has concealed his identity, rescued Danaus, King of Argos, from the King of Corinth, and put the latter to flight. He has fallen in love with Hypermiestre, one of Danaus's many daughters, but, believing his courtship inseless, has avoided making love. He is now about to leave. Danaus bids him farewell, then confides in Arcas that he has been warned by Apollo's oracle that he well, then confides in Arcas that he has been warned by Apollo's oracle that he will die unless his sons in-law perish shortly after their marriage. He has consequently refused to marry his daughters, a decision that has led to his battle with the King of Corinth and has induced him to send Iphis to dissuade Egyptos, Danaus's relative, from his desire to marry his sons to their consins. Iplos now returns, tells of his failure, and declares that the sons of Egyptus will soon arrive. Danaus resolves to kill them in order to save his own life and to have revenge upon Egyptus for driving him out of Egypt. He bids Arcas urge the young stranger to delay his departure, as he may need his help, and he begs Hypermnestre to come to the temple and swear obedience. She is troubled by this request and admits to her confidant that she loves the stranger After her visit to the temple she tells of sceing the alter shake. Danaus now hids her save his life by slaying Lyncée. She

The author's task was to tell a well-known story in such a way that Hypermnestre and Lyncée would retain our sympathy and remain united in spite of the king's violent death. This fact explains why he presents the lovers as he does and why he causes the people to intervene. When Hypermnestre agrees to do murder, she is moved by her affection for her father, her feeling that it is her duty to obey him, and the oath she has taken before the trembling altar:

Out, le fer dans mes mains trouvera son usage, Des sermens que l'ai faits, il faut qu'il me dégage Il faut, en immolant un époux odicux, M'acquitter envers vous, & satisfaire aux dieux

Even after she has learned that Lyneée is the man she loves, she promises to keep her oath and save her father's life, but after her marriage she sees things differently. By prevailing upon Lyneée to escape she gains enough time for the people to save her husband. Her rôle is primarily emotional, one that allows an actress considerable range in expression. The question of what punishment followed the breaking of her vow is not answered. Perhaps the author hoped it would not be asked.

He presented Lyncée as brave and devoted, a most respectful lover and a valued servant of the king. His relations with his brothers are passed over almost in silence. No explanation is given of the fact that he remains in ignorance of their arrival after it is known to the king and Hypermnestre. When he hears of their death, he cries, "laissez-moi les venger," but we hear nothing more from him on the subject. Riupeirous apparently sought to avoid the question of what kind of life he would lead with his many sisters-in-law who had murdered his many brothers. Instead, he stressed Lyncée's qualities as a lover, especially in two scenes of love-making and one of recognition. This last is especially effective, for, when Hypermnestre discovers that her fiancé is Lyncée, she suddenly realizes that she has agreed to murder the man she loves (III, 3):

hesitates, but agrees, thinking that Lyncée is unknown to her. The stranger confesses his love, but gets an ambiguous reply. Hypermnestre learns that she is to marry Lyncée. The stranger protests against her marriage till he learns the name of the bridegroom, then admits that he is Lyncée. Hypermnestre is shocked, but she tells her father that she will keep her promise. However, when the marriage has been celebrated and night has come, she cannot bring herself to do the deed, explains the situation to her husband, and begs him to escape. When her father discovers what she has done, he sends Arcas to arrest Lyncée and proposes to put him and Hypermnestre to death, but the people, won over by pity for the youths already shain and by the explanations of Lyncée's confidant, intervene when the execution is about to take place, kill Areas, then Danaus, and demand that Lyncée succeed to the throne. He tells Hypermnestre that she is queen and assures her of his devotion. She must mourn her father, but she does not refuse to live with Lyncée.

Vous, seigneur! Quelle horreur vient frapper ma pensée? Je fremis . . . Non, seigneur, vous n'êtes point Lyncée, Je ne le croirai point. Mon trouble, mon effroi Ne m'annoncent que trop

The presentation of Danaus offered little difficulty. He is superstitious, thoroughly selfish, cunning in his devices. He is chiefly motivated by a desire to save his own life, even though he has to sacrifice Lyncée, who once rescued him, but he is also moved by a desire to have revenge upon Egyptus. Riupeirous was criticized for having him leave the murder of his sons-in-law to his daughters, but this was so essential a part of the legend that it would have been hard for him to alter it. Moreover, the fact that the people revolt suggests that the king's hold upon them was not strong enough for him to have had the young men arrested and put to death by royal command.

The arrangement of the material is simple and effective. The first act is largely concerned with Danaus's murderous plan and other elements of the exposition, the second, with Hypermnestre's promise to carry out her father's command and with Lyncée's confession of love, the third, with her aveu and her discovery that the man she loves is the prince she has been asked to murder, the fourth, which follows the marriage, with her effort to save Lyncée and her father's discovery of her activities; the fifth, with preparations for the execution of Lyncée and Hypermnestre and the reversal of fortune brought about by the intervention of the people. The unity of action 18 not altogether preserved as the people play the rôle of a deus ex machinâ, and there is the "unfinished business" I pointed out in discussing Hypermnestre and Lyncée. On the other hand, the time, though it includes the fatal night, is probably less than twenty-four hours, the place is limited to the palace, perhaps to two rooms in it, as Act IV would be more properly located in Hypermnestre's chamber than in the hall where the king makes his plans. The small number of characters and the reduction of the plot to its essentials, though following the tradition of Racine's Birénice, brought upon the play in 1726 the criticism that it lacked variety. It was even suggested that a rival sister, "aussi méchante qu'Hypermnestre est vertueuse, auroit fait un jeu. & un contraste admirable " 33

The same critic objected to the character of Danaus as revolting and to Lyncée's ignorance of his brothers' arrival, though he admitted that the latter circumstance enabled the author to compose "une des plus frappantes situations qui ayent jamais paru sur la Scene" He also suggested that Lyncée, as soon as he learned of his brothers' murder, should have rushed out and killed Danaus, thus fulfilling the oracle. This would have made

^{**} Cited by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 320 The critic may have been thinking of Théante's rôle in Gombauld's Danaides

the ending similar to that of Gombauld's Danaides, but Riupeirous preferred to keep Lyncée innocent of his father-in-law's blood in order that he might have some prospect of future happiness, without bringing upon his wife the accusation that she was an unnatural daughter.

Acted first on Feb. 13, 1704, the play was given sixteen times in that year, despite the illness of la Duclos, who took the leading feminine rôle. It held the stage until 1709 and was revived in 1726 and in 1744-5. The total number of performances was forty. Only six tragedies of the period were played more frequently. It failed, however, to suit the taste of Hamilton, who wrote to Boileau with reference to Voiture,

Que ses rondeaux sont au-dessus De la Taurique Iphigénie, Et des vacarmes rebattus Que vient faire dans sa manie La belle-fille d'Egyptus²⁴

It is, however, absurd to compare classical tragedies with rondeaux. If instead of doing so Hamilton had made a serious comparison of those discussed in this chapter with others of the period, he might well have found that three of them, Mustupha et Zéangir, la Mort de Néron, and Hypermnestre, were equal to all but the best plays of Crébillon and La Grange-Chancel and superior to those written by other authors than Crébillon who began to compose tragedies in 1701-15.

^{**} Gurres completes d'Hamilton, Paris, 1805, III, 289 The editor identifies the first tragedy as La Grange-Chancel's Oreste et Pilade, the second as Hypermnestre, but he attributes the latter play to Longepierre

CHAPTER V

TRAGEDIES BY WOMEN: MLLE BARBIER AND MME GOMEZ

The seventeenth century produced eleven women dramatists. None of them attained high rank in her profession or wrote more than a modest number of plays, but they at least made it possible for women to have their productions accepted for performance at the Comédie Française. The most successful tragedy written by a woman in the seventeenth century, Mile Bernard's Brutus, was given for the last time in 1699. The eighteenth century was not slow in finding for her a successor in Mile Barbier, whose first play appeared in 1702. Her four tragedies and one by Mme Gomez, grand-daughter of Raymond Poisson, constitute women's contribution to the tragedy of 1701-15. They make up almost one-sixth of the Parisian tragedies now extant, a larger proportion than women had previously supplied.

Marie-Anne Barbier, who was born at Orléans in the latter part of the seventeenth century, lived in Paris and seems to have been well read in dramatic theory and in French tragedy. She claims to have been a friend of Boursault and refers to Corneille and Racine. It was the latter's seductive style, she holds, that kept alive the controversy as to the relative merits of the two dramatists, a statement that would lead one to suppose that Corneille was the author she especially desired to imitate. Her critique of La Grange-Chancel shows that she accepted fully the doctrines of French classicists. She was a feminist who sought to emphasize in her plays the accomplishments of her sex. When an attempt was made to deprive her of the credit of writing her tragedies and the argument was used that an unaided woman could not have done so well, she listed in reply the names of such literary women as Mlle de Scudéry, the comtesse de La Suzc, Mme Deshoulières and her daughter, and, as an author of tragedies, Catherine Bernard. Moreover, she selected as heromes for three of her own tragedies Cornelia, mother of the Gracchi; Arria, who had to show her husband how to die; and Tomyris, who conquered Cyrus. And when she published her tragedics, she dedicated three of them to women, to "Madaine," Louis XIV's sister-in-law, to the duchesse du Maine, and to the duchesse de Bouillon.

Besides her tragedies, she wrote a comedy, three operas, a collection of tales entitled Théâtre de l'amour et de la fortune, and two volumes entitled

For these eleven women of my op cut, Part V, pp 867

Saisons littéraires, which contain literary criticism, fiction, and occasional verse. She died in 1742.2

Her first play was ARRIE ET PÉTUS.⁸ Its title had already been employed by Gabriel Gilbert, but the events of his tragedy take place in the reign of Nero, those of Mile Barbier's in that of his predecessor, Claudius. She states that Boursault suggested the subject to her and advised her to present the hero and heroine as husband and wife in accordance with history. She preferred at first not to take this advice, but she finally decided to let them marry between Acts III and IV. Though she mentions Martial, Suetonius, and Tacitus, her chief sources seem to have been the Younger Pliny and Zonaras.4 From them she learned of Vinicianus, of Scribonianus, prefect of Dalmatia, of their revolt in which Petus was implicated, of the latter's hesitation at the thought of death, and of Arma's heroic suicide. She added the heroine's effort to avenge her father. Claudius's love for her, Agrippina's jealousy, the flight from Rome, and the heroine's refusal to marry the emperor. She kept Narcissus because, as he had urged Claudius to kill Arria's father, Silanus, he would naturally support Agripping in her effort to keep Arria from reigning a

The emperor is represented as weak, easily deceived, influenced by flattery.

The Biographie générale gives a brief account of her After publishing her tragedies separately, she brought out in 1714 her Théâtre de l'anour et de la fortune in two volumes and the first volume of her Saisons littéraires, the second volume of which collection appeared in 1722 Her tragedies were republished at Leyden by B J Vander Aa in 1719 and 1723, with a few eclogues and odes, and at Paris by Briasson in 1745.

Frans, Michel Brunet, 1702, 12°. Dedicated to the duchesse de Bounlon Republished, Paris, Michel Brunet, 1702, 12°. Dedicated to the duchesse de Bounlon Republished, Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1703 and 1713, Lyons, Pour la Société, 1710, and as indicated in note 2 Dutch translations appeared in 1719 and 1774. The frères Parfaict, XIV, 258 9, insist that the abbé Pellegrin wrote the best part of the play and assert that he told them so himself. Mile Barbier, however, flatly denies that she had a collaborator. Her word should be taken rather than that of Pellegrin, when the state of the play appeared large effect that he told the state of the play appeared large effect will Raphyris double but the faboration. reported long after the play appeared, even after Mlle Barbier's death, by the frères Parfact, not the most judicious of chronielers

4 Cf Martial, I, 13, the Younger Pluny, Ep, III, 16, Cassius Dio, LX, 16, Zonaras,

XI, 9.

The Emperor Claudius has agreed to marry Agrippina, but he loves Arrie, whose The Emperor Claudius has agreed to marry Agrippina, but he loves Arrie, whose father he had put to death Arrie not only refuses to marry Claudius, but she encourages Pétus, whom she loves, to murder the emperor. They have formed a conspiracy with Vinicien and Scribonien, whose army is approaching Rome Narcisse reports that conspirators, when tortured, have implicated, not only Vinicien, who has killed himself, but many senators and Arrie Claudius has Arrie arrested, but he refuses to grant Agrippina's request that she be put to death Pétus explains to Agrippina that it is desirable to exile Arrie, so that Claudius may give her up Arrie at first refuses to go, but, when Pétus threatens to confess to Claudius that Arrie at first refuses to go, but, when Fetus threatens to Colless to Claudius that he was in the plot, she agrees to marry him and escape to Scribonnen's army Agrippina arranges for Maxime, commander of the guard, to lead them out of the palace, but they are pursued, captured, and brought back Claudius threatens that, if Arrie will not marry him, he will kill Pétus When she answers that Pétus is her husband, he renews his offer, for emperors are above the law Arrie asks permission to see Pétus alone, explains the situation, stabs herself, and tenders him the dagger. He follows her example Before Arrie dies, she predicts that Agrippina will murder Claudius Claudius

love, and jealousy. Pétus, who is a consul, becomes weak only through love, fearing for Arrie, who remains as heroic as she is in the ancient accounts. She cannot live without Pétus (V. 6):

> Banni, donc, cher époux, la frayeur de ton ame. Et ne refuse pas l'exemple d'une femme. Elle tire un poignard, & se frappe P. · Que faites-vous, Madame? O desespoir fatal! O malheur t

A retirant le poignard & lui le presentant: Tien. Petus, il ne fait pas de mal.

She is moved to avenge her father, takes an active part in the conspiracy, renounces the opportunity to become empress, and kills herself in order to impress his duty upon her wavering husband. She belongs to Corneille's school rather than to Racine's, except in the simplicity of her last words, borrowed from Pliny.

Agrippina is an ambitious woman, endeavoring to gain power, rather than, as in Britannicus, seeking to recover what is slipping from her grasp. She is a hypocrite and an intriguer, sure of dominating the emperor if she can get Arme out of the way. In representing her superstitious nature and her devotion to Nero, Mile Barbier follows Tacitus. When she learns from the "Ciel" that Nero is to reign and to kill his mother, she cries (IV, 5):

Si mon fils doit regner, qu'il me tue, & qu'il regne ?

The fact that this is the first reference to Nero in the play shows that the author had not yet completely mastered her art. Moreover, both her Agrappina and her Narcisse, who is little more than a confidant, suffer by comparison with Racine's celebrated portrayal of these characters.

The plot is as simple as Mlle Barbier claims it to be, but the late mention of Nero and the fact that we are not told what happens to Sribonien prevent the unity of action from being altogether achieved. She makes no use of recognition and introduces no scene of horror, but she has elements of the merveilleux in the prediction regarding Nero and in Arric's concluding words to the Emperor Claudius

> Je vois déja le sort que le Ciel te prepare Il destine une main à cet illustre emploi, Trop indigne de nous, mais trop digne de toi. Tu ne meritois pas une mort éclatante Agrippine . . . à ce nom, Tyran, je meurs contente

emperaret matremque oocideret atque illa Occidat, inquit, dum emperet"

^{*}Cf the Younger Pliny, loc cit . "Praeclarum quidem illud eiusdem, ferrum stringere, perfodere pectus, extrahere pugionem, porrigere marito, addere vocem immortalem ac paene divinam 'Paete, non dolet'"

'Annals, XIV, 9 "Nam consulenti super Nerone, responderant Chaldaei, fore ut

In making her début as a dramatist Mlle Barbier had exalted her sex in its power for good and for evil by the emphasis she placed upon Arrie and Agrippina. She had prepared herself for her task by studying her sources and the methods of Corneille and Racine. Unfortunately she did not have sufficient talent to create many striking situations or phrases. Yet her tragedy was well received. Though first acted in the summer, an unusual season for new tragedies, it was given at the Comédic Française sixteen times, from June 3 to July 8, 1702, and once at Fontamebleau, on Sept. 21.8 Revived in 1711, it was acted six times. Its initial success may have been helped by the fact that the actors had voted on April 24, 1702, to give a "petite comédie" after it, beginning with the first performance, though this usage was not to scrve as a precedent for tragedics first acted in the winter season. The Gazette de Rotterdam declared in 1703 that this tragedy and her Cornélie were "de la force de celles de Cornelle et de Racine." 10

The complete title of her second tragedy was Cornélie, mère des Grac-QUES.11 It was first read before the actors on Oct 31, 1702. They insisted that the last act must be rewritten. When this was done, it was read to them again, on Nov. 14, and was accepted, but it was acted only eight times.12 Like its predecessor, the tracedy has a theme drawn from Roman history that emphasizes the heroism of a woman. Mile Barbier states that she followed Plutarch closely except that she introduced an oracle and Opimius's daughter Lacinie 13 in order to contrive a conflict between love and duty that would cause "cette suspension qui ne laisse respirer les Spectateurs qu'après la catastrophe." She admitted that she made Gauls of certain foreigners. She also took from Plutarch details that she does not mention,14 but she brought into her play so many extrancous elements that its effect is quite different from that of its source.15

Dangeau, Journal, VIII, 505
Cf frères Parfaiet, XIV, 258-9 An old favorite, Hauteroche's Souper mal apprêté,
Was the "petite comédie" given with Arrie
Cited by Mélèse, Rép., p. 213
Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1703 and 1713, 12° Dedicated to "Madame," sister-in-law of Louis XIV Republished as indicated above, note 2. A Dutch translation appeared in 1733, a German, by L. A. V. Gottsched, in 1741
So reports Joannidès The frères Parfaiet, who are less accurate, state (XIV, 1902) that the play was acted six times, from Jan. 5 to 16, 1703, and could have

²⁹³⁾ that the play was acted six times, from Jan 5 to 16, 1703, and could have been presented on two more days except that "M Ponteul n'est pas encore en état de jouer à la Romaine," on which account Mile Barbier accepted 40 écus from the actors in lieu of what she might have received from two additional performances These were probably given later in the year and brought the author no share in

the receipts

18 Plutarch, in his Tiberius and Caius Gracchus, states that Licinia, daughter of

Publius Crassus, was married to the younger Gracchus

14 Such as the arrest of Flavius's son, the charges brought against Carus in connection with Flagellae, the idea of sharing the "biens communs", the facts that Attalus had left his fortune to the Roman people, that Octavius was deposed as tribune, and that Cornelia refused to marry Ptolemy

15 Tiberius Gracchus has been murdered, but not avenged His brother, Caius, has

Though Cornelia is known to have been the mother of the Gracchi, ancient accounts of her do not state that she took an active part in Caius's enterprises except to bring some strangers into Rome. Mlle Barbier increased her importance by having her win her son back to the popular cause, bring into Rome a large number of Gauls, and inspire the people to attack the senate. She is unwavering in her support of popular principles, in her demand that Tiberius be avenged, and in choosing death for her son rather than compromise. She is an imposing figure, but Caius is more dramatic, for, contrary to Plutarch, he has to struggle against his love. Hc is represented as highly daring and emotional, but his special political views are not explained in detail. He contrasts with Drusus, a traitor to the people's interests, and with Opimius, whose aristocratic pride and contempt for the rabble recall the character of the Roman senator in La Fosse's Manlius. He goes even farther, for he wishes to rule Rome himself, so that he is a predecessor of Sylla and other dictators. In the end, however, he weakens, for the fact that his life is saved by Caius wins him over completely. His daughter has inherited some of her father's prejudices, but she appears chiefly as a woman in love with an enemy of her house.

The subject is one that required a masculine pen. The personal element is made more important than the political. Questions of state lead to no rapid give and take in the Cornelian manner, though there seems to be imitation of Cornelle both in Caius's argument (III, 3) that he must

been elected tribune and has enthusiastically supported the people against the senate, but he loves Lieinne, daughter of a leading senator, Opimius The latter has told Lieinne that her marriage to Caius will hring peace and has persuaded her to lure him to the senate house. Lieinne has almost conquered her love for Caius and has been troubled by an oracle, predicting that blood precious to Rome will be shed by a hand she loves, so that she fears Caius will kill her father. When she meets him, she shows her agitation and begs him never to see Opimius. He fears he has been led into a snate. His colleague, Drusus, joins him and appears to work for the people, but he is willing to support the senate if he may marry Lieine. Opimius admits to his daughter that he fears Caius, asks her to encourage the latter, and speaks proudly to the tribune's mother, Cornelie, when she demands that liherty be given to the people, conquered property shared by all, and her son, Tiberius, avenged. She urges Caius to remember his biother and have faith in the people. She wins him over so completely that he decides to renounce Licinia and escape from the senate house. Opimius and Drusus interview him while the people besinge the building. Opimius demands the head of Fulvius, who had occasioned the death of a lietor Caius reminds the senator that his colleagues are more disturbed by the murder of this lietor than by that of Tiherius Graechus. Drusus supports Opimius, while Caius remains a friend of the people, even though it means his renouncing Lieinie Cornelie rejoices and allows Caius to spare Opimius, as there will be others to kill him, but Caius is disarmed and arrested. Opimius leaves to Cornelie the question whether or not her son may live. She bids Caius decide for himself, but she indicates that she would condemn surrender in anyone clse. He chooses death and informs Licinie, who begs her father the spare him. The people storm the senate house and rescue Caius, who promises Licinie to save her father. At the head of Gauls whom

resist Lacinie in order to be worthy of her and in his echo (III, 4) of Horace:

je renonce au grand nom de Romain, Si pour le meriter il faut être inhumain

Some verses do, however, depict the eternal conflict between the convinced democrat and the Fascist. When Caius is wavering, he speaks to his mother verses to which she retorts sharply:

Caius Mais si le peuple enfin au gré de son caprice
Rejette cette paix, & veut que tout perisse,
Il faut qu'à sa fureur je m'oppose aujointd'hui,
Et je dois le forcer d'être heureux malgré lui
Cor.: Le forcer d'être heureux, quel nouvel esclavage!
Quoi! déja du Senat vous parlez le langage,
Tribun Eh' depuis quand tout ce peuple à vos yeux
N'est il qu'un frenctique, & qu'un capricieux? 10

Mlle Barbier showed considerable skill in constructing a plot that would cause all the minor interests to influence the dénouement. For this purpose she made Licinie the daughter of Opimius, invented the senator's ambition to be a dictator, the rivalry of Caius and Drusus, the presence of Caius and his mother in the senate house, the capture of this building by the people. She added a touch of the merveilleux by her use of the oracle. It is probable that in its original form the fifth act was simpler, for we know that she altered it and that, according to her preface, it was criticized, after she had made the changes, for containing too many incidents. In reply to this charge she asks if it is not realized that nothing moves spectators more than "les peripeties, quand elles naissent du fond du sujet."

The sudden changes of fortune experienced in Act V by Caius, Licinie, and Opimius did not, however, keep the tragedy long in the repertory. Though the play was not censored, Cornelia and her son could hardly be expected to rouse much enthusiasm while France was being ruled by a royal dictator. It was only in Holland that it was said to recall Cornelle and Racine. That it was not revived late in the century, when the French popular party had triumphed, must have been due to the fact that the plays of Mile Barbier were by that time forgotten

Her next tragedy, Tomyris, 17 is greatly inferior to her preceding plays. It marks the substitution of romance for history as the material on which she worked. Her inspiration came only to a slight degree from Herodotus, but amply from the *Grand Cyrus*. Perhaps it was her feminism that led

¹⁶ II, 5 One can imagine Pétain, about 1041, carrying on a conversation like this with a French democrat, if for "Senat" one reads "Nazi" 17 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12° Republished as indicated above, note 2 A Dutch translation appeared in 1763

her to select, not only a triumphant queen as her principal character, but a novel by a distinguished woman as her source. The facts that Herodotus supplied are that Cyrus attacked Tomyris, Queen of the Massagetes; that, after some success, including the death of the queen's son, Cyrus was defeated and killed, and that the queen dipped in blood his severed head and bade it drink its fill. The characters of Mandane, Aryante, Aripithe, and Gélonide are Mile Scudéry's creations, as are Tomyris's love of Cyrus, begun when he came to see her as an ambassador, her failure to win his affections, his devotion to Mandane, the captivity of this princess, the queen's jealousy, the final victory of the Persians, and Mandane's rescue. Unlike Mile de Scudéry, Mile Barbier had Cyrus perish and his own head, not that of his double, soaked in blood. She made Aryante the son, not the brother of Tomyris. She reduced the action to events that take place in a day and within the queen's tent after the death of Spargapise. 18

Tomyris is violent, deeply in love, jealous, domineering, and guileful, but the author fails to give her characteristics that would prepare us for the element of horror that is introduced when Cyrus's head is dipped in blood (V, 10).

Une troupe barbarc entoure Tomyris,
Tandis que par trois fois, sans qu'aucun cri l'arrête,
Dans un vase de sang elle plonge une tête,
Et dit, à chaque fois, d'un ton mal assuré,
Saoule toi de ce sang dont tu fus alteré

Cyrus is not the great conqueror we should expect to find, but the amorous adventurer that Mile de Scudéry had described and Boileau had held up to ridicule. Mandane is the well-behaved and devoted heroine and captive that she is in the *Grand Cyrus*. Aryante is a somewhat bewildered warrior, bullied by his mother, rebuffed by Mandane, and finally overcome despite

18 Though defeated by Cyrus, Tomyris still holds Mandane in captivity When Cyrus's ambassador offers peace if she will surrender Mandane, she refuses on the ground that she wishes to prevent the union of Medes and Persians, but really because, as she admits to her confidant, Gélonide, she loves Cyrus and is jealous of Mandane Aryante begs her to spare the captive princess as he wishes to marry her Tomyris agrees to this marriage, but Aryante districts his mother and talks of revolt At this point Cyrus attacks and is captured Aryante would put him to death, but Tomyris tries to win his love. She threatens to kill Mandane unless Cyrus persuades her to marry Aryante. Cyrus conquers his emotions enough to give this advice and to make Mandane believe he no longer loves her. Thinking that Cyrus will marry Tomyris, Mandane agrees to accept Aryante, but, when she sees this prince, she urges him to separate Cyrus and Tomyris, then insists upon seeing the Persian king again. Tomyris agrees to the interview in order to have an excuse for executing Mandane. The lovers meet and find that their love is as strong as ever. Each takes the hlame when Tomyris questions them. She orders Mandane to be executed, but Aryante rescues ber and offers to give her back to Tomyris if Cyrus is put to death. The Persians again attack, this time successfully. Tomyris hids Cyrus choose between her and death. He chooses death. Aryante is killed in battle and Mandane is set free, but Tomyris has Cyrus slain and his head plunged in a vase filled with blood. She then commits suicide.

his threats. Though the tone of the tragedy is somber, its situations are at times close to comedy, especially when Tomyris seeks to rationalize her love and when Cyrus and Mandane quarrel, then renew their expressions of affection. Cyrus even makes one think of the Fourberies de Scapin when he exclaims (II, 5), "Que venois-je chercher dans ce climat barbare." In short, the tragedy is a curious combination of sentimentality, violence, and horror, presented in accordance with classical regulations. It was acted only six times, Nov. 23 to Dec. 3, 1706.

The dedicatory poem published with her fourth tragedy indicates that Mlle Barbier gave up literary composition after the failure of Tomuris, but returned to it through the encouragement of d'Argenson. Moreover, he gratified her by weeping when she read to him La Mort DE JULES CÉSAR. 19 Women are still important, but they do not have the two leading rôles. The source is Plutarch's Life of Caesar, from which most of the characters, the main facts, and some of the details are derived. The principal additions are Calpurnia's consulting an oracle, Caesar's matrimonial scheme, his being warned about the conspiracy by Brutus, his suspecting both Antony and Brutus, and his receiving a crown just before he is murdered. Much emphasis is placed upon Octavia and Portia, especially upon the part these ladies, as well as their lovers, play in the efforts made to save Caesar or to bring about his murder.20

The tragedy is thoroughly classical in form. The action requires only a few hours and takes place in a room of Caesar's palace. The various themes lead up to the main event, Caesar's murder, which is reported almost at the end of the tragedy. The play must have been criticized chiefly for the delineation of character, as the author makes a special effort in her preface

10 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1710, 12° (an edition that the catalogue of the British Museum misdates 1707, probably as a result of confusion with the date of Tomyris)

Museum misdates 1707, probably as a result of confusion with the date of Tomyris)
Dedicated to d'Argenson Republished as indicated above, note 2. An Italian translation appeared in 1724, Dutch translations, in 1728 and 1736

**Ocaesar wishes to be made king He has the support of Octavia, his adopted niece, and of Antony, but he fears the senate's opposition. He has shown favor to Brutus, who, he knows, loves l'ortia, Cato's daughter. As he seeks to weaken the opposition, he proposes to marry Brutus to Octavia, Portia to Antony Brutus pretends to agree to this proposition in order to keep Caesar from discovering the considerate in the decimal of the paragraphy of the par pretends to agree to this proposition in order to keep Caesar from discovering the conspiracy formed by himself and other senators, but by doing so he angers Portia To soothe her he is obliged to tell her about the plot Octavia obediently accepts Caesar's proposal, though she regrets the loss of Antony, who protests so openly to the army that he is suspected of sedition Troubled by evil omens, Caesar learns from his wife's dream and from an oracle he has consulted that his dearest friends are conspiring against him He questions Antony and Brutus, who deny that they are guilty, accepts their statements, and generously allows them to marry the women they love. In return Antony decides to make Caesar king, while Brutus feels such remorse that he sends an anonymous note telling Caesar of the plot, but without naming the conspirators Caesar again consults the two men. Antony advises him to go to the senate house, Brutus, to stay away from it Caesar goes. Brutus learns that Caesar has crowned himself, hurries to the senate house, draws his dagger, and joins the assassins Caesar eries, "Et toi, mon fils, aussi!" He covers his face and is stabbed to death. Antony promises to place him among the immortals face and is stabled to death. Antony promises to place him among the immortals

to defend her characterization of Caesar, Brutus, and Octavia. Accused of making Caesar fear death too much and of keeping him in a state of continual agitation, she explained that her protagonist dreaded, not ordinary death, but the death of a tyrant, that he feared to lose in a day the labor of years, and that he was moved by omens and influenced by Calpurnia, who was no ordinary woman. She added that she could not have roused pity and terror if she had made Caesar "insensible à ses propres malheurs." She insists that she did not subordinate him to Brutus, who is moved to remorse by Caesar's generosity, and that Brutus will be considered the greater of the two only by those who put devotion to liberty above other considerations. As for Octavia, since history represents her as obeying her sense of duty rather than her feelings, Mlle Barbier did not feel that it was proper to make her, in imitation of Racine, "une Hermione ou une Roxane."

According to this apology, she violated history in order to make Caesar more dramatic than he was, but she did not dare to do so in the case of Octavia. This is the reverse of the familiar classical doctrine that an author must not alter the character of a well-known person, for Caesar is certainly far better known than Octavia. One is led to suspect that Mile Bernard's real reason was that she preferred to attribute weakness to a man rather than to a woman. The result is that it is hard to understand how a wavering and superstitious politician could have mastered Rome, or how an audience could take much interest in Octavia, who allows herself without protest to be transferred from one prospective husband to another.

Mlle Barbier was far from writing a political pamphlet. There is no discussion of autocratic or of democratic rule. Even Brutus does not object to Caesar's power. The only point is that at Rome prejudice against kings existed and that, when a dictator attempted to make himself king, certain people, like Antony and Octavia, approved, while others, like Brutus and Portia, disapproved strongly enough to condemn him to Jeath.

Portia is more bitterly opposed to Caesar than Brutus is. She has inherited her father's hatred of the dictator and refuses any sort of compromise, while Brutus goes so far as to warn Caesar of the conspiracy and decides to help murder him only when he hears that he has put on a crown. Octavia and Antony are similarly contrasted, she obeying Caesar blindly, he having a special reason for making Caesar king, as an oracle has predicted that only under the leadership of a king will Rome conquer Persia.

The play is unlike earlier tragedies on the subject, but it seems to have been influenced by Cinna in the scenes in which Caesar consults Antony and Brutus. The author's feminism is shown in the fact that each of the three principal men is deeply influenced by a woman: Caesar by Calpurnia's dream and her consulting an oracle; Brutus, by Portia's uncompromising

attitude; Antony, by the loss of Octavia and by the permission he ultimately receives to marry her. The merveilleux has a larger part than in the author's earlier tragedies. She claims that her last three acts won more applause than she had expected, an admission that the first two were less successful. The fourth and fifth are, indeed, more dramatic than the earlier acts, which are largely devoted to plans for marriages in which we take little interest. Where matters of large political consequence are involved. Caesar's schemes for getting support by arranging marriages seem absurdly out of place.

Like Tomyris, the tragedy had only six performances, but, as the author received from them over 400 francs, some of them must have been well attended.21 A lengthy criticism by "D. E.," 22 written not long after the play was acted, was published by Mlle Barbier in the second volume of her Saisons littéraires. The critic praises the author for her constructive imagination, for verses that he considers worthy of Corneille, and for the historically accurate characters of Brutus, Portia, and Antony, but he has no kind words for the portraval of Caesar and Octavia, as the former is easily frightened, his niece cold and tiresome. He holds that the oracle should be mentioned in the second act rather than the fourth and objects to the fact that the spectators have to wait for this fourth act before their interest is aroused. He also points out anachronisms and violations of the proprieties.28

It is with this play that ends the career of Mile Barbier as an author of tragedies.24 She had composed a larger number of them than any French woman who had preceded her. She suffered from her admiration for Corneille and Mile de Scudéry, as the novelist led her into the absurd romanticism of Tomyris and the dramatist lured her into attempting political themes that she was unable to develop. In her most successful tragedy. Arrie et l'étus, these influences are less apparent than elsewhere. Her creative ability, her taste, and her gift of expression are less striking than her knowledge of classical technique and her ingenuity in plot construction.

at The frères Parfaict, XV, 26, quote the Registres of the Comedie Française to the effect that the play was given from Nov 26 to Dec 7, 1709, and that "Mile Barbier de Vaulx" received from it 433 francs, 10 some

Barbier de Vaulx" received from it 433 francs, 16 sons *** Analyzed by the frères Parfaict, NV, 27-34 *** Voltaire showed little knowledge of the play. He referred to it contemptuously (Moland edition, III, 310) as a tragedy in which Caesar and Brutus are "amoureux et jaloux," though neither is jealous and only Brutus is "amoureux" in the sense that Voltaire implies. He declares that Mile Baibier had as a collaborator "un des plus beaux génies de la France," a reference to Fontenelle, according to Moland There is no other evidence that Fontenelle had anything to do with it Voltaire may well have confused this tragedy with another written by a woman about another Brutus, Mile Bernard's Brutus, in which Fontenelle is said to have collaborated. La Harpe, op cst, XI, 267, repeats Voltaire's error about Caesar and Brutus and follows him in assigning the tragedy to Fontenelle and Mile Barbier. ** Unless the "nouvelle pièce de théâtre de sa façon" that, on Oct. 2, 1710, she had come to read to Mme Le Hui was a tragedy Cf. H. Omont, "Journal parisien d'Antoine Galland," Mémoires de la Société de l'histoire de Paris, XLVI (1919), 86

But she must be remembered for the brave fight she made in behalf of her sex. Her Tomyris puts her Cyrus to death. Her Arrie, Cornélie, and Portia proclaim to hesitant males their duty. Her leading women never compromise their ideals. But the presentation of their strength, though gratifying to feminists, does not compensate for poverty of imagination or mediocrity of expression.

The only other woman who wrote tragedies for the Parisian stage at this time was Madeleine-Angélique Poisson (1684-1770),25 daughter of Paul Poisson and wife of a Spaniard, de Gomez. She was the author of many contes and turned quite naturally to dramatic composition as her grandfather had written plays and her parents and grandparents, as well as her brother Philippe, were or had been professional actors. The first of her four plays was Habis,26 derived, according to the frères Parfaict, from a tale by Mlle de La Roche-Guilhem. They declare that she took from it her subject, the "marche" of her action, her chief persons, and the dénouement, which they consider the most successful part of the play. They reproach her for asserting that she wrote it "seule, sans aueun secours," but, as French tragedies regularly had sources, she must have meant, not that her play had no source, but that she had no collaborator. She was probably replying to the charge that she had one. As similar accusations had been made against Catherine Bernard and Mile Barbier, it seems that there was considerable support in France for the belief that a woman, unaided by a man, was incapable of dramatic composition

Except for the correctness of the form, which strictly fulfills classical requirements, the play resembles a romantic tragi-comedy. An oracle constitutes an important motif. The hero, condemned to death when a child and rescued from the sea, arouses his relatives' emotions before they know who he is and is recognized with the help of a birthmark. The happy ending is brought about by a sentimental change in the attitude of the principal villain 27. The tale from which the play was derived was probably inspired

²⁶ Cf the Biographie générale and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale ²⁶ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1714, 12° Dedicated to the Duke of Bavaria Republished in the author's Œuvres mélées, Paris, Prault, 1724, and in the Nouveau théâtre françois, Utrecht, Néaulme, 1736 A Dutch translation appeared in 1718 Her other plays were Marsidie, which was not acted at the Comédie Française, Sémiramis, acted there in 1716, and Cléarque, acted there in 1717 ²⁷ Melgoris, king of a Spanish tribe, had married Axiane, his daughter, to the King of Gétulie When she bore a son, Habis, an oracle had informed Melgoris that the child would grow into a hero and deprive him of his throne. To save his own life, Melgoris had his daughter locked up and her child thrown into the sea.

The King of Gétulie, thukurg that both had perished, killed himself, but, the boy.

^{**} Melgoris, king of a Spanish tribe, had married Axianc, his daughter, to the King of Gétulie. When she bore a son, Habis, an oracle had informed Melgoris that the child would grow into a hero and deprive him or his thronc. To save his own life, Melgoris had his daughter locked up and her child thrown into the sea. The King of Gétulie, thinking that both had perished, killed himself, but the boy, rescued by a minister of state, Phesrès, and brought up in a wild country, distinguished himself in fighting against brigands, learned from his guardian that he was the son of Axiane, and wou the favor of her father Kuown as Hespérus, he led his grandfather's troupes to the aid of the Garamantes and brought back Princess Erixène for Melgoris to marry. Though the princess prefers Hespérus, she agrees

by Herodotus's account of Cyrus's youth, a subject dramatized by Danchet eight years before Mme Gomez's tragedy appeared, but, if this is true, geographical and historical names have been completely altered.

The only preparation for the king's final change of heart is found in a slight feeling of remorse that is assigned to him and in his growing affection for his grandson, but this is hardly consistent with his continued cruelty towards his daughter, kept in confinement for twenty years. During this period her maternal instinct has remained fresh, but she has not learned prudence and is almost tricked by her father into revealing her son's identity. This noble son and his beloved Erixène are but superficially characterized. A more interesting character is Phesrès, the willy statesman, who works in the interests of Habis while retaining the confidence of the king. He is foiled only by Habis himself. His is a type found in Amasis and in several other tragedies of the period. The only thing worth noting about the minor characters is that one of them, Erixène's confidant, is given nothing to say

The author preserves the unities, maintains suspense almost to the end of the play, introduces elements of the merveilleux, and shows on the stage three scenes of recognition. Perhaps these last and the striking, if sentimental ending are what brought the tragedy remarkable success. It was acted twenty-five times between April 17 and June 19, 1714, continued to be performed in the two years that followed, and was revived in 1732-4. As there were in all forty-eight performances, it was acted more frequently than any other tragedy of the period except two by Crébillon and two by La Grange-Chancel. Mme Gomez was encouraged to write other tragedies, but they were most unsuccessful. Her original good fortune must have been due to the acting, or to some fancy of her audiences, weary, perhaps, of more somber tragedies and enjoying romance and scenes of recognition.

However this may be, the part played by women in French dramatic history has now become less negligible than ever. There had been great actresses in the seventeenth century. Their traditions were carried over into the eighteenth by la Beauval, la Duclos, and la Desmares. There had been influential women who showed interest in the theater. Mme de Maintenon, the duchesse de Bourgogne, the duchesse du Maine, and the princesse de

to do her duty Hespérus makes himself known to his confidant, then to his mother, then to Erixène, who assures him that he needs no high rank to win her love Phesrès prepares an elaborate intrigue, spready the report that Habis lives, and rouses the people, who besiege the palace Hespérus, hiewever, calms them, arrests the leaders, and seeks to persuade Phesres to escape, but the minister allows himself to be seized by the king, since Hesperus has ruined his plans. Melgoris is greatly attracted to Hesperus, but he invists upon knowing where Habis is Hespérus promises to tell him, argues that Habis is entirely innocent, and finally makes himself known Axiane pleads for him. Melgoris is deeply moved, spares Habis, and, to fulfill the oracle, abdicates in his favor. To complete the sacrifice, Melgoris gives Erixène to the new king.

Conti were active patrons of drama in the last years of Louis XIV. It remained for Mile Barbier and Mme Gomez to replace Mile Desjardins, Mme Deshoulières, and Mile Bernard as dramatists. It may be said of them that Mile Barbier composed a larger number of tragedies than any of her feminine predecessors, and that Mme Gomez produced the tragedy that was the most frequently acted of all written by women before the end of 1715.

CHAPTER VI

BIBLICAL TRAGEDIES: DUCHÉ, NADAL, GENEST

The interest aroused in Biblical tragedies by Mme de Maintenon's enlisting the services of Racine for Saint-Cyr and the resulting popularity at court of Esther and Athalie had attracted other authors. Bover had composed Jephté and Judith for Saint-Cyr, Duché, Jonathas. The actors of the Comédie Française had played Judith successfully in 1695-6. It is consequently not surprising that Duché should compose two other Biblical tragedies and that one of these, Absalon, after being played at court, should be accepted by the Parisian actors. They also played Genest's Joseph, after it had been acted at the home of the duchesse du Maine, and Nadal's Saul, which they were the first to give. They subsequently produced Nadal's Hérode, which may be regarded as a Biblical play since it is derived from Josephus and two Biblical characters take part in it. These five tragedies. two by Nadal, two by Duché, and one by Genest, constitute nearly one sixth of the extant Parisian tragedies brought out in 1701-15, a surprisingly large number if one remembers that they appeared on the eve of the Régence.

Duché de Vancy had begun his carecr as a dramatist by composing in 1699 for Saint-Cyr Jonathas, a play given five times at the Comédie Française in 1714. He wrote two other tragedies, the first of which, Débora,2 was acted in 1701 at Saint-Cyr, according to the Bib. du th fr, but never at Paris The chief source is Chapters IV and V of Judges. A few borrowings are made from other books of the Bible, while the account of the storm that helped rout the Canaanites comes from Josephus The author keeps the main persons and events of Judges, but he adds a number of characters and a plot of jealousy, in which are involved Heber the Kenite. Jail, Sisera, and the latter's Hebrew wife, Axa, whose name had been given by Boyer to the heroine of his Jephté.

The first three of these characters are called Haber, Jahel, and Sisara The last of them is an ambitious general who has sought to strengthen his position among the Canaanites by marrying an Israelite. He first tried to marry Jahel, then, as she refused his offer, married Axa, whom he now proposes to repudiate if Jahel will change her mind. He is presented as a

¹ Cf my op cst, Part IV, pp 325-7 • Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1712, 12° Republished in the Théâtre françois of 1737

calculating villain, cool to Axa's passionate outbursts, energetic, and heartless. Haber, who has adopted the religion of Israel, remains faithful to Jahel even when he believes she has ceased to care for him. She is represented as pious, patriotic, devoted to Haber, not at all the person to nail a former lover to the earth with a tent-peg. With her is contrasted Axa, ambitious, devoted to Sisara, for whom she has given up her country and her religion, jealous, murderous, and pathetic. Débora, on the other hand, is wise and serene, a Joan of Arc who foresees the triumph of her cause, but who has lost the power of poetic expression that she displays in the Book of Judges.⁸

There are too many characters to allow the author to give all of them distinct personalities. The double love-intrigue seems quite out of place in what should have been primarily a patriotic and religious production. The plot is constructed with little skill. Most of the situations that might have been impressive are kept behind the scenes. While there are references to earlier events recorded in the Bible, little effort is made to give the tragedy a Biblical atmosphere, or to reproduce other Hebraic metaphors than those found in a few remarks about broken reeds, whited sepulchres, and sands of the sea. The author's respect for the unities of time and place hampered him considerably. He showed ingenuity, but no great regard for probability in combining the various threads of his action in such a way as to bring about the deaths of Sisara and Axa, the marriage of Jahel and Haber, and the triumph of Israel.

In favor at court and writing for Saint-Cyr, Duché managed to slip into his tragedy a passage that seems intended as praise of Louis XIV. The King of Canaan, according to Sisara, can be made to see through the eyes of his general. He is not the ideal monarch who sees things for himself. He is not like the king referred to in the following lines (II, 5)

*Eliab and Amram declare their loyalty to Débora, who has been restored to power Eliab had been forced by the Canaanites to hreak the engagement of his daughter, Jahel, to Haber, "cbef des Cinéens" Jahel had obediently pretended disloyalty, and Haber had departed with his men Rejected by Jahel, Sisaia has married Amram's daughter, Ana, who had adopted her husband's religion and persuaded him to march against her people Debora sends for Barac, who has assembled an army Haber assures Jahel that he had brought 12,000 men to help the Hebrews Sisara threatens to destroy the Hebrews unless in three days they hand over the Altar and the Ark As Amram has little power, Sisara wishes to divorce Axa and marry Jahel When his plans are discovered by his wife, she plots Jahel's murder, reproaches her husband to no avail, and warns liebora that Jahel may be carried off Debora has Jahel taken by her father to a place of safety while she goes with Barac to attack the Canaanites, whose destruction she has foretold Sisara arrests Haber, learns that Eliab and Jahel have been captured by his men, and goes off to battle Eliah foils an attempt to murder Jahel Haber makes his escape and with Barac utterly defeats the enemy Fleeing from the battle, Sisara enters a tent in which Jahel has heen placed, seeks rest, and falls into a state of unconsciousness, whereupon Jahel drives an iron tent peg into his head Déhora, who has been captured and left with Axa, is rescued Axa kills herself on her husband's corpse Jahel triumphs and will marry Haber

Tu me parle d'un Roi de qui la vigilance En tous heux, quoiqu'absent, fait sentir sa prudence; Qui bornant le crédit qu'il donne à mes pareils, Limite leur pouvoir & pese leurs conseils, Et qui par sa sagesse & son vaste génie, Seul de tous ses Etats entretient l'harmonie

Duche's next and last play is greatly superior to his earlier productions. The subject of Absalon amay have been suggested by Mme de Maintenon, as it was once supposed at court that Racine was going to dramatize it. If William III had died only a few months earlier than he did, seekers after historical parallels would probably have seen in the play an allusion to James II's expulsion from England by his son-in-law and the latter's death. As a matter of fact, the only political implications are in the dedication, where Louis XIV is praised for keeping his word and making peace, though, by doing so, he allowed his enemies to combine against him anew.

In the preface Duché admits altering the Biblical account (II Samuel) in several respects. He says that he made Absalom penitent and attributed most of his errors to Achitophel in order that the spectators might pity the protagonist and realize that similar weaknesses might lead them into similar crimes, for "tel est le but de la Tragedie, elle doit plaire, mais en même tems elle doit instruire, & son principal objet est de purger les passions." He admits that, contrary to scripture, he changed the place of Absalom's death and added Thamar, whose rôle helped in the success of the play. Somewhat troubled by his alterations, he consulted prominent churchmen and was reassured.

He makes more changes than he mentions, for he brings Absalom, his family, and Achitophel into David's camp, has David prefer Achitophel's advice to Joab's, arranges for the latter a plan of battle, and makes Absalom's wife a descendant of Saul. Moved by his idea of the proprieties, he avoids referring to David's polygamous life and to Absalom's cohabiting with his father's concubincs. Respect for the unity of place, need for femining rôles, and desire to bring important characters together on the stage account for many of his alterations.

⁴ Paris, Anisson, 1702, 4°, priv (for publications of the Académie des Inscriptions), Dec 12, 1701, approbation, June 1, 1702, registered, June 20, 1702, achevé, July 15, 1702 Dedicated to Louis XIV Republished, Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1712, veuve Ribou, 1730, in the Nouveau théatre françois, Utreebt, Néaulme, 1735, in the Théatre françois of 1737, in Petitot's Répertoire of 1803, in the Auteurs du second ordre, Paris, 1808, and in the Répertoires of 1818 and 1822-3 A Dutch translation appeared in 1741, an Italian, in 1751

⁶ Cf my op cut, Part IV, p 299

The scene is laid in a camp near Manhaim (Mahanaim) in Gilead, whither David has fled from a rebellion ostensibly headed by Amasa. He has with him Absalom and Achitophel, as well as Joab. The last of these advises David to attack.

David is represented as an aged king, easily influenced, pious, kindly, devoted to his wayward son. Neither his heroic, his amorous, nor his artistic qualities are revealed. Fortunately he has the support of sturdy Joab and of cunning Cisal, who do much to determine the course of events. Pitted against these three legitimists are three rebels, Absalom, Achitophel, and Zamri. The last of these is merely a confident and messenger, but Achitophel is represented as the brains of the opposition. He shrewdly influences both David and Absalom, takes part in the fighting, and is employed, like Enone in *Phèdre*, to diminish the guilt of the protagonist

Absalom, rather than David, is the central character. He is handsome, faithful to his wife, devoted to his daughter, but ambitious, intensely hostile to Joab, moved to sudden anger, easily influenced by Achitophel. His plan is not to kill, or even to dethrone his father, but to secure the succession for himself. He admits his fear that his older brother may reign, as well as his dislike of Joah, but he lays upon Achitophel most of the responsibility for the rebellion. Wavering between revolt and submission, he is caught in the web he has helped to spin. Despite his efforts to keep out of the battle, he is led into it by his hatred of Joab. His defeat brings about his fatal encounter with the oak. His repentance, which causes him to par-

but Achitophel urges him to await reenforcements that are to come next day. David accepts Achitophel's advice and urges Absalom to be friendly with Joab Achitophel reveals to Zamri the facts that Amasa is expected to attack the next night, that the men of Ephraim will turn against David, and that Absalom will be made king after allowing his father to escape Cisai now reaches camp with Absalom's mother, wife, and daughter. The wife, Thares, as a descendant of Saul, is unjustly suspected of irrging Alisaion to rehel. When she finds that he cannot clear himself, she persuades David to swear that, if there is a traitor in the camp, his wife and children shull be tortured and burned. Then she gives herself as a hostage into Joab's keeping. Her conduct nearly causes Absalom to give up his plans, but Achitophel persuades him to join the rebels and promises that Scha, whom David trusts, will, with the Ephraimites, restore to Absalom his wife and diughter. Tharès now informs her husband that David has just heard that Amasa minus is proclaiming Absalom king. She begs him to renounce his plan and, when It urges her to escape with him, tells him that she is a pristoner. He leaves after promising to rescue her. A letter, brought for Absalom, falls into her hands. Further proof of her husband's designs is given David by Joab, who asks him not to trust former followers of Saul, but to have confidence in Scha and the Ephrainites. The queen accuses Tharse of complicity in the rebellion, but Thares produces the letter that had been intended for Absalom. Written by Scha himself, it shows that he has been plotting to make Absilom king. Cisai reports that Achitophel has joined Absalom and that Scha and Amasa are advancing. David decides to retroit into the city and to have a talk with Absalom, whose wife and dainsts his hostility to Joab and hus desire to be named David's successor. His father pardons him, but missis that the chiefs of the conspiracy be given up. Absalom agrees, but, learning that Joab has driven Amasa back, he goe

don Joab, is introduced to make the audience pity him despite his attack on his father's authority.

Duché introduced into his cast three women: David's queen, suspicious of her daughter-in-law, whom she blames for Absalom's rebellion, but subsequently penitent for having suspected her; Tharès, accused as a descendant of Saul of working for David's defeat, but in reality intensely loyal to the king and willing to sacrifice her life in order to prevent her husband from rebelling, and Thamar, who could have been omitted so far as the plot is concerned, though she is connected with the action by helping to motivate her father's decisions. This last character was probably added in order to give a rôle to the duchesse de Bourgogne.

The play is well constructed. Absalom's character is highly dramatic. His four interviews with David, Achitophel's scheming, and the part played by Tharès are especially effective. There is no scene of recognition, but there is a coup de théâtre when Tharès clears herself by producing the letter. The exposition is made dramatic, suspense is well preserved, the account of the battle is fairly brief, and the material is arranged in such a way that interest is maintained to the end of the tragedy.

According to the frères Parfaict, the play was written to be acted at Saint-Cyr, where it met with such success that the duchesse de Bourgogne decided to give it at Versailles. She had it rehearsed on Dec. 29, 1701, and on Jan 3, 5, and 18, 1702. On the 19th Louis XIV returned from Marly earlier than usual in order to see it performed in the "cabinet de Madame de Maintenon, où l'on avoit fait faire un fort joil théâtre." The duchesse played Thamar in a dress embroidered with all the erown jewels—a singular costume for a fugitive in an army camp. The duc d'Orléans played David; the comte and comtesse d'Ayen, Absalom and Tharès, Mile de Melun, David's wife Other rôles were taken by Michel Baron, who probably played Achitophel, by the young comte de Noailles, and by some of his father's servants. The play was acted on the same stage on Feb 3 and 22. Louis XIV and Mme de Maintenon were so much pleased with these performances that the king sent the author 1000 francs and Mme de Maintenon sent him 100 pistoles.

After Duché's death his widow obtained permission to have the tragedy acted at the Comédie Française, where David was played by Ponteuil, Absalom, by Beaubourg; Joab, by Philippe Poisson, Achitophel, by Guérin; Tharès, by la Duclos; Thamar, by la de Nesle. The frères Parfaiet, who indicate the distribution of these rôles, cite an article devoted to the play

^{&#}x27; XV, 109

Dangeau, Journal, under the dates mentioned

in the Mercure de France of August, 1730, which finds some negligence in the versification, prefers Acts II and IV to the others, considers Absalom's hatred of Joab poorly motivated, the queen and Thamar useless, and the queen's change of attitude towards Tharès unexplained. This last statement shows that the critic had only a superficial knowledge of the play, for it is obvious that, when Thares shows the letter to David, she both incriminates Absalom and Séba and alters the opinion that the queen had previously held in regard to her The frères Parfaict praise highly the rôle of Tharès and the interview it. Act IV between David and Absolom. The tragedy was acted twelve times in 1712, from April 7 to 29. It had in all thirty-nine performances at the Comédie Française, remaining in the reportory until 1755.

La Harpe praised it highly, though he considered the queen's rôle useless, David not sufficiently active in the last act, and the account of Absalom's death too long. He found the action well developed in the first four acts, the characters well drawn, the scenes in which Thares offers herself as a hostage and in which David interviews Absalom most effective. He quoted from it at length and regretted that it was no longer acted Absalon is certainly superior to its author's other plays and. I should say, to all other Biblical tragedies written in the twenty-five years that followed the production at court of Athalie.

Duche's principal early eighteenth-century rival was Augustin Nadal (1664-1740), a native of Poitiers who was preceptor in the home of the marquis d'Etampes and secretary to the duc d'Aumont. These connections introduced him into the circle of the duc d'Orléans. Louis XIV's brother. and enabled him to visit England. In 1708-9 and in 1711 he was an editor of le Nouveau Mercure. He was a member of the Académie des Inscriptions. He wrote some occasional verse, a brief imitation of Paradise Lost, treatises on tragedy and on Roman customs, eulogies of Racine, attacks on Voltaire, and five tragedies derived from the Bible and Josephus Hc showed considerable knowledge of dramatic technique and of French seventeenthcentury dramatists, but little originality in the form or content of his plays. 10

In his first tragedy, SAUL, 11 he sought to bring up to date the play of

Op est, VIII, 173 86
 For liss life of the Biographic générale. His works were collected in an edition. in three volumes, Paris, Briasson, 1738 Besides Saul and Illrode his tragedies were Antiochus ou les Machables, acted on Dec 16, 1722, published in 1723, Marianne, acted on Feb 15, 1725, published in 1725, and Osarphis ou Moyse, the performance of which was prevented in 1727, though it was allowed to be published the following

year 11" Tragédie tirée de l'Ecriture Sainte," Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1705, 12°, priv, April 4, registered, April 21 In signing the approbation of March 20, Danchet referred to the saintliness of the subject and the beauty of the verses Dedicated to the duc d'Orléans, whose appreciation of literature is praised Republished, Paris, veuve Ribou, 1731, and in the author's Euvres of 1738 An Italian translation was

the same name by Du Ryer. In his preface he ranked his theme with that of Œdipus, quoted Ecclesiasticus, enumerated Saul's offenses, and explained that he added the episode of Asser in order to increase David's peril and that he brought David into Saul's camp so that he could bring about his reconciliation with the king. He admitted that he had altered the Biblical narrative by referring to Jerusalem as if the city were obedient to Saul, but he claimed that a poet need not be so accurate as an historian. Those who consider it a sacrilege to make "la moindre alteration des circonstances tant soit peu considerables de l'Ecriture Sainte, nous ont appris par leur exemple à négliger quelquefois leurs préceptes" These words repeat almost literally the attack that Boyer, in the preface of his Jephté, had made upon Racine's Esther.12 Not only does Nadal make no acknowledgment here of his debt to Boyer, but, when he quotes the passage in the preface of his Osarphis, he attributes it only to himself.

When he composed his play he made a similarly unacknowleded borrowing from Du Ryer. He selected the same theme. Saul's sins, his consultation with the witch, and his death in battle with the Philistines. Like Du Ryer he introduced the question of Saul's relations with David and his fear that Jerusalem would revolt, he brought Michol into her father's camp, and he provided David with a rival for her love. There are a number of close similarities.13 Nadal's chief changes are in technique, in certain names, in the fact that he borrows from the Bible charges made against Saul that Du Ryer had not mentioned, that he keeps the interview with Samuel's ghost behind the scenes, and that he brings David upon the stage. The result is to make the tragedy more technically correct, the action in the first two acts more rapid, but to present Saul as a far less appealing character and to lose much of the power and picturesqueness of the older play.14

published in 1756 The play has been studied by M A. Thiel, la Figure de Saul, Amsterdam, H J Pans, 1926, pp 59-67

Amsterdam, H. J. Palis, 1920, pp 50-04

13 Cf. my op cet., Part IV, p. 319

14 Most of these have been pointed out in my edition of Du Ryer's Saul. Baltimore,
1931 Cf. Du Ryer's Saul, v. 991, "ce peuple saint par tes Lois égorge," and Nadal's
Saul, I, 1, "de Ministres saints quatre vingts égorger." Cf. also in my edition lines
539-40, 560, 839-40, 897 900, 905 b, 943-4, 952 7, 1043, 1228, and the notes on them
that quote similar verses from Nadal's tragedy. The first act of Du Ryer's play ends with Michol's couplet

> Quand ie parle pour toy dans yn mal si pressant, Est-ce pour vn coupable, on pour vn innocent

The 1705 edition of Nadal's Saul reads

Si je t'implore ô Ciel! dans un mal si pressant, Est ce pour un coupable, ou pour un unocent?

In the edition of 1738 Nadal, possibly to conceal the borrowing, as a new edition of Du Ryer's play had come out the year before, altered the couplet to

> Daigne m'apprendre, ô Ciel, dans un mal si pressant, Si David est coupable, ou s'il est innocent

14 Jonathan fears that Saul, having disobeyed God, may be defeated by the Philistines

The king is described as having suffered from the silence of Heaven ever since he had disobeyed by sparing Agag, killing the priests who defended David, and offering a sacrifice without waiting for Samuel. He is a weak and suspicious person, influenced both by his children and by Asser. Instead of preparing for battle, he consults a witch. Unwilling to accept his fate, he still seeks to destroy David and is cruel enough to assign the task to Jonathan. It is only when the battle is lost and he has given himself a fatal wound that he wishes David well. His death was by no means inevitable, for, if David and Michol escaped, Saul might well have done so. Nadal's desire to bring David on the stage weakened his prescritation of his protagonist and the ending of his tragedy.

David is represented as a maligned and persecuted hero, faithful to Michol and to Saul. It is not explained why so accomplished a warrior takes no part in the battle after his soldiers have rescued him. Jonathan shows his devotion to David and seeks to protect his father, but he criticizes and disobeys Saul, as does Michol Asser is shown as David's unworthy rival and Saul's evil genius

The action takes place in some twelve hours and within Saul's tent. We no longer see, as in Du Ryer's tragedy, the witch's cave and the ghost. Nadal thought it more effective to bring the witch to Saul and allow her to report the ghost's words, uttered behind the scene

Dans la Tragedie de Saul, qui est de moi, il y a une reconnoissance qui quoique nouvelle au Theâtre, eut un succès assez grand pour en parler avec quelque confiance

unless he is rescined by David. In order to reconcile them he has brought to the camp his sister, Michol, David's wife, but there is danger from Sanl himself, whose mental condition may make him uncompromising, and from his confident. Asser, whose family has aspired to the throne and who is in love with Michol. Sanl has just been persuaded by his children to recall David when he have that he had advancing against him with the Philistines. Michol is distressed by the report that David is to marry a Plolistine princes. Sanl's subjects are on the verge of revolt. When David is brought in by Jonathan, he is accused by Sanl of seeking with the enemy's support to seize the throne. Divid denies that he is doing so and declares that he has refused to marry a Plolistine, that he is willing to depotite peace with the enemy, or, if Sanl decides to fight, that he will support him with his 600 followers. Sanl now restores David to favor, but he is influenced by Assert odoubt the wisdom of this step. Unable to reach a decision, or to get a naiswer from Heaven, he sends Asser to find a sorceress. The witch is brought to Sanl's tent, where the king, disguised, reassures her as to her safety and asks her to consult Samuel's ghost. She begins her incantations, sees the earth shake and an old man appear. She learns from him that her questioner is Sanl. Before we are allowed to see the ghost the séance is interrupted by Jonathan. When Saul return) to the stage, we learn that Samuel has told lime that he is to be dethroned for sparing Agag and that David will succeed him. Saul bids Jonathan kill bavid but the prince confides in his friend and urges him to leave the camp. The Philistines attack. Arrested by Asser, David is rescued by his own men, while Saul's soldiers revolt. The Philistines that both Jonathan and Asser have been killed. Saul commits succed after admitting to David that he has been unjust and urging him to rule wisely.

le changement d'état & le dénouëment le suivit de près . . elle conjura l'ame du Prophete, dont la voix s'éleva du fond de la Terre pour lui faire entendre qu'elle parloit au Roi même qu'elle venoit d'outrager l'évocation étoit terrible, le phantôme toujours prêt à paroître, jettoit par-là dans les esprits plus d'épouvante qu'il n'eût fait en se montrant lui-même. l'apparition fut coupée par le[s] cris de la Pythonisse

Mais que m'apprend sa voix en montant jusqu'à moi?

Ah Dieux, le suis perdué! & vous êtes le Roi

La premiere représentation de cette Scene a été l'époque d'un coup de Théâtre, j'ose dire des plus éclatans, entre le célébre Salé, & la Demoiselle Desmares, aussibien que la perfection de leur leu l'Actrice cût [sic] besoin de toutes ses graces & de toute sa beauté pour ne pas faire peur; l'altération des traits de Salé, & sa terreur ont laissé au Théâtre des tous de tradition, qu'on y respecte encore 18

Nadal had no justification for calling this recognition new, as Du Ryer's scene is similar, for it was not till after the recognition in the older tragedy that the ghost appeared. Nor could Nadal claim even verbal ingenuity as Du Ryer had written (vv. 955-6).

> Mais sa diuine voix montant jusques à moy M'apprend en mesme temps que vous estes le Roy

Moreover Saul's report of the interview pales in comparison with Du Ryer's scene in which Samuel's ghost actually appears and makes known to Saul the punishment that is about to be visited upon him.

A still more serious fault is that Nadal did not sufficiently concentrate his efforts, as Du Ryer had donc, upon the tragic character of Saul, pursued by divine wrath, convinced of his approaching destruction, but devoting all his energies to saving his children and his country. For the resulting loss of impressiveness there is small compensation in the few technical improvements that Nadal introduced: the reduction of the place to a single tent, of the time to not more than twelve hours, the explanation of Michol's presence in the camp, and the assurance of her escape at the end of the play

This tragedy, though it does more credit to its author's knowledge of technique than to his dramatic imagination, was fairly well received. It was acted twelve times between Feb. 27 and March 26. It might have had a better record, as it was accepted by the troupe on Nov 12, 1704, if its presentation had not been delayed by the success of Belin's Mustapha et Zéan air 16

In his next tragedy, HÉRODE, 17 Nadal showed greater originality. His imitation of Du Ryer's Saul may have led him to Du Ryer's contemporary. La Calprenède, whose Mort des enfans d'Hérodes may have suggested his

Nadal, Œuvrce, Paris, Briasson, 1738, II, 206-8
 Cf frères Parfaiet, XIV, 349
 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709, 12° Republished in the author's Œuvres, Paris, Briasson, 1738 In the preface Nadal calls tragedy the "chef d'œuvre de l'esprit humain" He dedicated the play to d'Aumont It was translated into Italian in 1756

dramatizing the account in Josephus that had been the source of the latter play. Unlike La Calprenède, Nadal suppressed one of Mariamne's sons, referred to Selléius, introduced Thirron, and showed on the stage neither the preparation of the forged letter, nor the trial.18 He modified the ending by having Herod revoke the death sentence and another person prevent the reprieve from arriving in time to save his son. This alteration may hav. been suggested by the Esser plays of La Calprenède, Boyer, and Thomas Corneille.

Nadal tells us that he omitted Aristobulus because he might have been confused with Mariamne's brother and because he had the same interests and found himself in the same situation as Alexandre. He is pleased with his introduction of Thirron, now a minister of state rather than, as in Josephus, an old soldier This statesman must have been employed primarily to contrast with Salome and her wily agents, but he is not, as the frères Parfaret assert, entirely episodie, for he influences the final actions of Salome, which in turn determine the character of the dénouement 18

Nadal's changes made his tragedy more of a psychological study than La

18 I have found only very minor resemblances in wording between Nadal's tragedy and La Calprende's In the Mort des enfans d Hérodes, I, 2, Herod calls to Mariamne and expresses remorse, as he does in Herode, II, 5, in the older play, Mariamne and expresses remorse, as ne does in nervae, it, 5, in the older play, II, 4, "Vons regnez trop long temps pour les vænd din ieune homme," addressed to Herod, expresses the same thought as Herode, II, 6, "Et le régne d'un pere est un fardeau pésant." This remark, in view of Louis XIV's age, was more daring than it had been in the time of La Calprene de Herod's ravings at the end of Nadal's tragedy may have been influenced by those of Oreste in An Iromaque.

10 As Salome has designs upon the throne, she plots to poison Herod's in all against has now her Mariamane Alexandre, may have from Rome Rome where he has non the

"As Salome has designs upon the throne, she plots to poison literod's hind against his son by Mariamne, Alexandre, just back from Rome, where he has won the emperor's favor. She employs Philon, in whom the young prime confides, and Antipater, Herod's son by an earlier marriage. Both Antipater and Herod love Glaphira, a Cappadocian princess engaged to Alexandre. Hend reproves Antipater when he finds him quarreling with his brother and agrees that Alexandre marry Glaphira next day. He hopes that this net of self satisfice will help hom to conquer his remorse over the execution of Mariamne, but soon Salome, by reminding him of the removal of Alexandre marry. his age and of Alexandre's popularity, stirs him up against this prince Philon convinces Alexandre that Herod is his rivil and advices hom to escape with Glaphirs to her father's court Entriested with the arrangements for this flight, l'inlon per suades Alexandre to write a letter asking the Roman governor of Syi a for per mission to pass through his province. This letter is used by Salome to make Herod believe Alexandre is conspiring against him He orders his son to be tried and proposes to send Glaphira bome with her father's ambissador. The Council recommends pardon for the prince, but Herod takes matters into his own hands and orders his execution. Glaphira then persuades Herod to pardon Alexandre, but Salome makes the prince believe that Glaphira is giving herself to Herod in payment. Salome makes the prince believe that Glaphira is giving herself to Herod in payment for his elemency, so that Alexandre's attitude towards his father is resentful Thirron, arrested by Salome and freed by Antipater, warms Herod against killing his son and tells him that Salome plans to marry an Arabian prime, Silémis, and that she has designs upon Herod's throne. The king now sends for Alexandre and Salome, but he hears that the prime is surrounded by a cried troop, that the people have killed Philon, and that Antipater is defending Alexandre. Salome brings the news of the prince's death. She had prevented Herod's message from reaching the guards. Realizing that Thirron has made known her schemes and that the people guards Realizing that Thirron has made known her schemes and that the people are seeking her life, she avoids arrest by committing sincide Herod is left bewildered, lamenting over Alexandre and Mariamne and seeing visions of horror

Calprenède's and less pathetie. Alexandre, who has the longest rôle in the play, is presented as a respectful son and ardent lover, with enough of his mother's pride to offend dangerous enemies like Salome and Antipater. He is the innocent victim in whose death the action culminates. Salome, whose rôle is next in length, is portraved with decided skill. She is not merely an embittered woman, seeking evil for its own sake, but one who aspires to reign. For this purpose she intrigues with Sillerus and seeks to remove Alexandre from her path as she had removed his mother dominates Philon, arrests Thiiron, plays upon Herod's fears that his son is conspiring against him and upon the love of both father and son for Glaphira It is she who prevents the reprieve from saving Alexandre She shows imagination, subtlety, and daring. Her smeide, which is not historical and resembles that of Cleopatre in Rodogune, is hardly in keeping with her character "J'avois sur qui jetter le meurtre d'Alexandre," she says (V, 8). Why, then, did she not make use of such a person? Her rôle would have been still more sinister if Nadal had left her, as Josephus did, not only unpunished, but rewarded for her crime by both her brother and the Roman emperor.

Herod, though he has fewer lines than Alexandre and Salome, is the pivotal character on whose decisions most of the action depends. He still suffers from remorse over his execution of Mariamne. He loves the princess he has promised to his son. He is highly emotional, jealous of his power, suspicious. and easily influenced, by no means a monster, but a somewhat pathetic monarch, the victim of evil suggestions and of his own impulsiveness. Thirron represents the Jews who have remained loyal to the royal family. His blunt honesty contrasts sharply with the treacherous methods of Philon Glaphna loves Alexandre, foresees disaster, and misinterprets Herod's feeling for her as paternal affection. Antipater, no longer a bastard, appears at first as Alexandre's rival, but, after becoming disillusioned in regard to Salome, endeavors to defend him We do not see enough of him to follow the steps in this unexpected reformation. The people, though absent, have an important rôle both in rousing Herod's jealousy by their appreciation of Alexandre and in their uprising, which causes Philon's murder and Salome's suicide

The exposition coincides with the beginning of the action. The time is little more than that of performance. The place is a room in Herod's palace. As all the important characters contribute to the development of the plot and as no unprepared influences are introduced, the action may be considered united. The structure is open to criticism chiefly in the final scenes, which do not allow enough time for the attempted rescue of Alexandre,

or for Salome's discovery that Thirron has revealed her machinations to Herod. Knowing, perhaps, that the material of the fifth acts of Tristan's Mariane and of La Calprenède's Mort des enfans d'Hérodes had been drawn out to too great a length, Nadal went to the other extreme and crowded the action in his concluding scenes.

Mathieu Marais noted that the censor had removed two lines from the play and questioned his wisdom in so doing ²⁰ They are among the bold observations that Thirron addresses to Herod (V, 5).

Esclave d'une femme indigne de ta foi, La verité jamais n'a percé jusqu'à toi

The censor seems to have feared that they would be applied to Louis XIV and Mme de Maintenon. He might also have objected, if the Dauphin had been less of a monenty, to Salome's insinuation that a father's reign is a heavy burden (II, 6), and to these lines from IV, 8.

Et fatiguer d'un Roi, dont les deutins s'achevent, Vers cet astre naissant tous vos regards s'élevent

But in the censor's mind there was more danger to the realm from la Maintenon's domination of the seventy year old monarch than from his son's desire to succeed him.

Such verses did not cause the suppression of the play, which was acted nine times in the severe winter of 1709, from Feb 15 to March 12. According to the frères Parfaict, the author's share in the receipts was 652 francs, 3 sous. The tragedy was not revived Perhaps the fact that it contained no disguises, recognitions, or similar melodramatic devices prevented its having a longer career.

For entertainment in her château at Clagny the duchesse du Maine engaged the abbé Genest, author of *Pénélope* and an habitué of her home, to write a play in which she would herself have a rôle. He selected a masculine subject, that of Joseph and his brethren, arranged that she should take part in the production, and brought out, in strict accord with Christian and dramatic rules, a tragedy called JOSEPH.²¹ His chief source was

³⁰ Mélèse, The et Pub, p 80, quotes this remark from Marais's correspondence. The censor acted in June, 1709

The censor acted in June, 1709

12 Paris, Ganeau et Estienne, 1711, 8° Dedicated to the duchesse du Maine
Providège to Geneet, member of the Academy, abbs of Saint Vilmer, "Anmônier
ordinaire de nôtre tres chere & tres amée [sic] Fille la Duchesse d'Orleans," April
2, 1707, registered, April 21 The approbation, signed Fontanelle [sic] and dated
Jan 10, 1710, states that he believed "l'Impression en seroit aussi agreable au
Public, que la Representation l'a été." There is a copy of this edition at the Johns
Hopkins University Solcians, no 1488, lists an edition of Roien, Hérault, 1711,
12° The play was republished by Ganeau et Estienne in 1731 and 1743, by Delalam
in 1788 An Italian translation was published twice in 1720, again in 1732 and
in 1755

Genesis, Chapters XXXVII, XXXIX-XLV, especially the last three, but he also made some use of Exodus and he may have derived from Athalie the idea of putting into Joseph's mouth a prophecy about the Messiah.

He followed the Biblical narrative, adding a ceremony given at the court of Pharaoh in Joseph's honor, prophetic references to the fortunes of the Jews, and minor characters, including an old Hebrew who had known Joseph when he was a boy. He identified Simeon as the brother who suggested putting Joseph to death and made of Joseph's cup the receptacle used for reading the future. One could hardly have written a five-act tragedy in verse that showed fewer departures from a source in narrative prose. In this respect he outdid Racine, Boyer, Duché, and Nadal, but his drama suffered from his pious fidelity.

Love appears only as conjugal, fraternal, and fihal affection. Azaneth is given some part in the plot, for it is she who persuades Pharaoh and his queen to invite Jacob to Egypt. Hély is introduced chiefly to give Joseph an opportunity to tell his story. Only four of Joseph's brothers speak Ruben, who regrets that he had not done more for Joseph, Simeon, who had proposed that Joseph should be put to death, who has about the affair, and who is now the most pessimistic of the group; Judah, who takes the lead as in the Bible, and Benjamin, the innocent brother, represented as quite young, although, according to Genesis, he had ten sons when he settled in Egypt. Joseph, the principal character, is described as possessing a prophetic gift, as being a man of great kindhness, devoted to his father and Benjamin, homesick for Palestine, resentful of the treatment he has received from his older brothers, but able to triumph over his emotions

Regarded as a savior of the people, he is about to have a festival given in his honor, to the delight of Azaneth, his wife. He has freed from captivity Hely, an old Hebrew who had cared for him in his youth and who, while seeking him after his disappear ance, had been captured and sold into slavery. Joseph tells this man his adventures in Palestine and in Egypt, including the recent visit of his ten brothers, whom he has sent home with the exception of Simeon, detained as a hostage, with the request that they bring down Benjamin Joseph interviews Simeon, hears him assert that one of his brothers has been killed by wild animals, accuses him of prevarication, and greatly frightens him. He is about to send Hely to Palestine when the brothers, including Benjamin, arrive and make him presents. Joseph frees Simeon and invites them all to a feast. When Joseph expresses to Azaneth his fears for his father, she suggests his inviting him to live in Egypt. The brothers make their departure, but they are soon brought back, accused of stealing the vase in which Joseph reads the future. When it is found in Benjamin's liggage, the youth insists that he is innocent and his brothers wish to die in his stead. Though dismissed, they are inwilling to leave without Benjamin. Finally Joseph has all cleven brought in, makes himself known, and declares that he was only testing them. He bids them offer a home in Egypt to Jacob and his descendants and predicts five more years of famine. Azaneth reports that Pharaoh has agreed to the establishment in his country of Jacob and his family. Pharaoh comes to confirm the invitation and hopes that, if his guests are persecuted, plagues may come upon his land. Joseph predicts the multiplication of Jacob's descendants and the coming of the Messiah.

Genest offers, of course, no explanation of his questionable political and economic views and defends him against the charge of torturing his brothers by having him explain that he is merely trying to determine whether they have become more merciful since the time that they sold him into slavery.

By inventing the festival Genest made of the day represented an unusual one, in accordance with classical prescription. The time is little more than that of performance. The place is a hall in Memphis. The unity of action is preserved. The proprieties are respected to such an extent that Joseph blushes when he reaches the part of his autobiography concerned with Potiphar's wife and reduces it to a statement (I, 4)

Une Femme livree à son indigne erreur, M'impute un attentat qui me faisoit horreur!

It is amusing that Potiphar's wife should make blash the Joseph of the abbé Genest, who was writing his tragedy for the wife of Mme de Montespan's son by Louis XIV. When the due do Maine saw the play, which, according to Malezieu, he knew almost by heart, he probably did not blush for his mother, but he may have seen an allusion to his father in lines applied to Joseph (I, 1)

Toujours du bien publique faisant tous ses plaisirs, Par des ordres constans, où la sagesse brille, Ce grand Etat n'est plus qu'une seule famille, Qui n'a de mouvement que pai ses volontez, Et ne fait que louer & benir ses bontez

It is explained that Joseph is a monotheist and that he has no use for the gods of Egypt, but neither the quaint nor the poetic qualities of Genesis are reproduced. Despite the two scenes of recognition that were to be expected from the author of *Pénélope*, the play is a dull production. It is haid to understand why it should have drawn teams from spectators in the gay entourage of the duchesse du Maine

Dangeau declares ²³ that Joseph was acted at Clagny on Jan. 24, Feb. 1 and 8, 1706. The Mercure shows that it was performed there on two other occasions in February or March, and gives the cast. Two professional actors who had retired from the Comédie Française and had played in Longepierre's Electre, Baron and Rosélis, took the parts, respectively, of Joseph and Hély. The duchesse du Maine played Azaneth, Malezieu, Judah, his sons, Ruben and Benjamin. Simeon was played at two performances by de Vernonselle, then by the marquis de Roquelaure. Pharaoh was acted by the marquis de Gondrin; Thiamis, by d'Erlac, captain of the Swiss guards; Thermutis, by Mlle de Mérus According to the dedication, the work was

³² Journal, XI, 167, 22, 267

highly approved by the duc and duchesse du Maine, the former shedding tears both at readings and at performances of the tragedy.

Instead of writing a preface. Genest published with his play a Discours de Mr de Malezieu, addressed to the duchesse du Maine and referring to the tears shed over Joseph by the prince de Condé, the due de Bourbon-Condé,24 and the prince de Conti.25 Opposing those who might object to the play on account of its simplicity and its avoidance of love, Malezicu recalled the great success at Sceaux of Philoctetes as translated by himself and the fact that the duchesse du Maine had argued for simplicity and verisimilitude against a man who had preferred a complex play. Malezieu praised Cinna as a model of simplicity and probability. These qualities he also found in Joseph He admired the exposition and the manner in which Genest delayed the chief scene of recognition till the last act. He found the narrations interesting and pathetic, the play full of lessons in tenderness, gratitude, generosity, and elemency.

Unhappily Paris was less emotionally stirred than Clagny. The tragedy was given at the Comédic Française only eleven times, six in 1710, beginning on Dec 19, and five in 1711 The Mercure de Trévoux of February, 1711, noted that the play has no love in it and that it follows the Bible closely The writer found in it poetic qualities, satisfactory treatment of manners and characters, and a striking scene of recognition, but he thought that this last effect might have been brought about more gradually. When they had quoted this article, the frères Parfaiet, writing after the death of the great persons who had been interested in the play, expressed the opinion that, apart from the scene of recognition, the play was cold, its texture ordinary, the characters of little interest, and the verse prosaic Voltaire paid it the doubtful compliment of calling it the "moins mauvaise" of all tragedies written on this interesting subject 26 The principal interest it aroused was probably occasioned by the fact that the duclicsse du Maine acted in it.27

These five plays represent the prologation into the carly eighteenth century of the group of Biblical tragedies inspired by Racine's Esther and Athahe Four were played at the Comédie Française, but only one of these, Absalon, remained long in its repertory. Four derived their plots from the Old Testament, the fifth from Josephus. None of their authors had Racine's

²⁴ He calls them "Monseigneur le Prince, vôtre Pere" and "feu Monseigneur le duc" The latter, who died in 1710, was the brother of the duchesse du Mainc ²⁶ Teals over Joseph must have been a prerogative of royal blood, for an ordinary

mortal who saw the play acted at Clagny asserted that he was not touched by the performance; cf Mélèse, Th et Pub, pp 401-2

Moland edition, XXX, 64

The circle at Sceaux this fact meant so much that, when Genest addressed a

poem to the duchesse du Maine, he entitled it, "Jacob à l'illustre Azancth", cf Divertissemens de Socaux, Trévoux, Ganeau, 1712, p 331

gift of infusing Biblical poetry into his verse. Except for the association of their subjects with the Bible and a brief passage at the end of Joseph, none of them is genuinely religious. The authors were hampered by their respect for scripture without being able to find in it inspirational compensation. Chiefly to be noted is the authors' attitude towards love between the sexes. It is of primary importance only in Debora. It exists in Nadal's tragedies, but it is there overshadowed by other emotions. In Absalon and Joseph it is found only as conjugal devotion. These two tragedies and Longepierre's Electre are the only tragedies of the early eighteenth century in which is realized a reform suggested in the seventeenth and excimplified by Racine's last two plays. That all three, like those of Racine, were first played in private and that only one of the three, Absalon, was even moderately successful indicate that sexual love was considered by most audiences of the time a most desirable element in tragedy.

CHAPTER VII

CRÉBILLON AND LONGEPIERRE

Prosper Jolyot was born at Dijon on Jan 13, 1674. His father, maîtreclerc of the Chambre des comptes there purchased in 1686 a piece of property near the city called Crais-Billon, which gave the dramatist the name of Crébillon He was educated by the Jesuits at Dijon, studied law at Besançon, and became a lawyer at Paris, where he mingled with various young writers at the eafé Laurent He is said to have composed in 1703 a play called La mort des enfants de Brutus that was refused by the actors of the Comédie Française and is now lost. His first play of which we can be ecrtain was Those that followed in 1707-11 established his reputation. acted in 1705 He was married in 1707, shortly before the birth of Crébillon fils, with whom he lived long after his wife's death. He prospered temporarily from the speculation inspired by Law, but he soon lost most of what he had and lived in considerable poverty, surrounded by cats and dogs. In 1731 he was elected to the French Academy From 1733 to his death he was an official censor, a fact that roused Voltaire's hostility. After obtaining other positions, one of them connected with the Bibliothèque du Roi, he died in 1762 1

Unlike La Grange-Chancel, he came to dramatic composition rather late, eomposed with normal speed between the ages of thirty-one and forty-three, then worked so slowly that he produced only three tragedies in thirty-eight years. His last play appeared when he was over eighty. His poverty and his various occupations may explain this enrious irregularity. The five tragedies with which I am concerned belong to the first decade of his productivity.

IDOMÉNÉE was completed in August, 1705, and accepted by the actors on Sept 10² Crébillon's principal source was Féncion's recent novel, Télémaque, but he added details suggested by the Iliad and by Servius's commentary on the Enerd (III, 121, XI, 264) He found in Télémaque the

² Paris, Fr Le Breton, 1706, 12°, prev, Jan 30, approbation signed by Fonteuelle Dedicatory poem to "Monseigneur le duc," that is, to Louis duc de Bourbon-Condé The play was republished, Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1711 Dutch translations appeared in 1723 and 1740, Italian, in 1764 and 1795 For other editions and a study of the

tragedy of Dutrait, op cst

¹ For Crébillon of especially Maurice Dutrait, Etude sur la vie et le théâtre de Crébillon, Bordeaux, 1895 His plays and the dates when they were first acted are Idoménée, Dec 29, 1705, Atrée et Thyeste, March 14, 1707, Electre, Dec 14, 1708, Rhadamiste et Zénobie, Jan 23, 1711, Xeroès, Feb 7, 1714, Sémiranis, April 10, 1717, Pyrrhus, April 21, 1726, Catilina, Dec 20, 1748, Le Triumvirat ou la Mort de Cioéron, Dec 23, 1754

account of his hero's return from Troy, the storm, the offer to sacrifice the first person encountered, the son's proposal to die, Sophronymc's attempt to find a way out of the difficulty, and the son's death Crébillon softened the ending by having the young man kill himself instead of dying by his father's hand. The protagonist's escape by boat is changed into an attempt to send others away. Servius suggested the idea of a rebellion Merion is mentioned in the Ihad. Crébillon made Merion lead the rebellion, had it result in his execution, and added the rôle played by his daughter, the rivalry between father and son, the consultation of the oracle, and the death of Egésippe Details of the affliction sent upon the people may have been suggested by La Grange-Chancel's recent Alceste The continued success of Racme's Iphigenie may have encouraged Crébillon to attempt a tragedy that brought in the question of human sacrifice. His alterations and additions produced somewhat the effect of Corneille's when he wrote his (Edipe, for one may well ask why a love story should be introduced into a somber tragedy that sets forth the deadly effects of a now a

In this distinctly masculine production love is accompanied by little tenderness and seems perfunctory. Idoménee is quite tragic, the victim of an imprindent vow, whose consequences he seeks desperately to evade. His love for Erixène, though uneonvincing, adds to his woes. His son is an admirable young man. He conquers his love sufficiently to mige Erixène to marry his father, for whom and for the suffering populace he ultimately dies. Erixène, who is inspired chiefly by a desire for vengeance, resents the fact that she loves Idamante and admits it only when he is about to die. The minor

Returning from Troy, Idoménce found on Samos Envene, daughter of his comrade, Mérion, who, hurrying ahead, staited an uprising in Crete, but was defeated and captured by the kings son, Idamante Just lafore Idoménic reached Crete, a terrible storm put his ship in such danger that, in order to appease the gods, he swore to sacrifice to them the first of his subjects he would see on the island. This turned out to be Idamante, who was boldly facing the storm while others were seeking shelter. Idomenée put Mérion to death, but he was unwilling to fulfill his vow. Storms continued and his subjects began to perish. To gain time he has sent Egésippe to consult an oracle, only to be told that he knows what should be done and that the gods demand "le sang d'Idoménee". This answer is revealed by Egesippe to Erixène, who, though she loves Idamante, has refused to respond either to his courtship or to his father's Instead, she seeks to rouse the people in order that the king may be put to death, the gods satisfied, and her father avenged Idoménée, like the king in Venceslas and its Spanish source, offers his throne to his son in order that he may not have to sacrifice him, but Idamante refuses the offer The king next gets ships ready and hids his son escape with Erixène to Samos, but the conversation makes him discover that Idamante is his rival. As he calls his son a traitor, the young man comes near killing himself. Meanwhile Sophronyme has discovered Egésippe's betrayal of confidence and has put him to death. The situation grows worse. As in Alceste, a gulf opens and sends out poisonous firmes Idoménée decides to kill himself, makes peace with his son, and goes to consult the gods. Idamante is told by Sophronyme the true interpretation of the oracle After learning from Erixene that she loves him, he kills himself. As he dies, the sun comes out, indicating that the gods have been appeased. Idoménée reproaches them and expects that his own death will soon follow.

characters, Egésippe and Sophronyme, have considerable importance in the plot. Though Sophronyme is only a confidant, he does not hositate to act, so that he becomes a forerunner of Palamède in Crébillon's *Electre*.

The rôle of Idoménée, which runs to over 600 verses, takes up too much of the tragedy. He and his son together speak more than two-thirds of the lines in the play. There is only one rôle for an actress besides that of a confidant. There is some descriptive writing, chiefly in the accounts of the storm and the oracle. Over the whole tragedy hangs a pall induced by the wrath of the gods, thirsty for human blood and visiting the father's error upon the son. The appreciation that the tragedy received may have been attributed by the author to this air of gloom, so that he sought for his next play a still more forbidding subject.

Idoménée was acted thirteen times in 1705-6, a creditable showing for an author who was making his début. The frères Parfaict admire the exposition, but they find the subsequent action confused and the oracle obscure The exposition is, indeed, superior to that found in some other plays, as there is a definite reason for the revelations other than that of satisfying the audience, but the action develops clearly enough While the oracle, like all oracles, has to mislead some of the characters, it does not confuse the audience, for it states that Idoménée knows how to interpret it. A sounder piece of criticism is one quoted by the frères Parfaict from a dissertation of 1740, in which Idoménée's love of Erixène is ridiculed for reducing a hero of the Trojan war to the status of an "amourcux en cheveux gris." It is especially this love affair that La Harpe was to condemn 'Voltaire found the tragedy insipid and was greatly surprised that Albergati Capacelli had translated it into Italian.5 The play was not acted after 1706, but, before the author could be aware of this fact, he was already at work on his next tragedy

This was ATRÉE ET THYESTE, the most horrible of Crébillon's plays. The subject had been attempted by Monléon some seventy years before and had met with Cornelle's disapproval, although its claim upon the attention

 $^{^{\}bullet}$ Op cit, XIII, 3 11 He goes into considerable detail in discussing the style of certain passages

^a Moland edition, XLII, 217
^a Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709, 12° Dutrait lists an edition published by Ribou in 1707, but Crébillon states that he waited three years to have his tragedy printed, that he did so only after a defective Dutch edition had appeared, and that he published Electre at the same time Consequently it is most improbable that there was a Ribou edition before 1709, though there must have been an earlier unauthorized edition published by a printer in Holland Goizet mentions an edition published at The Hague by T Johnson in 1711 For other editions and a study of the play, cf Dutiait, op cit A Dutch translation appeared in 1716, Italian translations, in 1760, about 1787, and in 1782 (republished, 1796 and 1798) Another Italian translation was made, but not published A Portuguese translation came out in 1805 The play was criticized at length and infavorably by La Harpe, op cit, XIII, 11-46

of dramatists had been sanctioned by Seneca's example. Crébillon may well have thought that, after dramatizing a theme of human sacritice, he might attempt one of cannibalism, especially if he avoided the actual drinking of human blood, just as, in the earlier play, he had substituted suicide for a king's offering up his son as a sacrificial victim.

The essential facts that he employed came from Seneea's Thyestes, but there are few verbal similarities between the two tragedies. Instead of two young children, born to Thyestes by adultery with his brother's wife, he has a grown son, born in this fashion. He is also given a daughter by another woman. Substitution of children is introduced to furnish danger of incest and an additional scene of recognition. Crébillon adds the plan of a inhitary expedition, a shipwieck, Atreus's changes of purpose, the letter left highin wife, and Thyestes's suicide. He increases the supernatural element by employing the crit du sang and softens the exposition and the dénouement by having Thyestes carry off Lirope before her marriage to Atreus had been consummated and by having him discover what is in the eup in time to avoid drinking it

There is one dominant rôle that takes up about two-fifths of the play, that of Atreus, while the part given to women occupies less than one-fifth of it. In this respect and in the perfunctory representation of love the tragedy resembles *Idoménee** It differs from the latter play in that the one love affair has to be given up, since the lovers learn that they have the same father, but the two tragedies are alike in subordinating love to other emotions, those associated with the vow in the earlier play, those centered round the personality of Atreus in the later *

[&]quot;Afrens had berely married Erope when his biother, Throstes, bad carried her off and hegotten by her a son, Phisthene In revenge Atrens had laid waste Myreine, had captured Erope, and had poisoned her He had adopted her son by Threstes and had substituted hun for one he had had by another wife. He has for twenty years been planning to have Phisthene kill Threstes and then he had had by here for her for twenty years been planning to have Phisthene kill Threstes and then he had he led by Phisthène from Euboea, where the scene is laid, against Athens, where Thre tes has taken refuge. He now brings in Phisthène and has him swerr to avenge boin a vow that the young man takes unwillingly. Phisthène by Original Who has escaped with her father from the sea. She asks hun to get them a ship so that they may continue then voyage. Atrens agrees to furnish the ship, but he missts upon seeing her father. He learns that Threstes has been away from Athens for a mouth and, when he questions the girl's father, recognizes hun see his hated irrother. He threatens to kill him, while Threstes repronches him for murdering Erope. When Phisthène pleads for Threstes, Atreus pretends that his hatred is soon to end. He removes to a safe distance Phisthène's friends and the soldiers who are devoted to him, and orders the young man to keen his promise by killing Threstes. When Phisthène refuses, Atreus threatens to put Théodamie to death. Phisthène wishes to send away Threstes and his daughter, but Threstes refuses to leave lam. Atreus now shows his brother a letter left by Erope stating that Phisthene is her son by Threstes. The latter realizes why he has such a deep infection for Phisthène, who is obliged by this discovery to renounce his love for Théodamie. Atreus de lates that his hatred is gone and offers to swear to the fact by means of an ancestral

Atreus, whose wife Thyestes had stolen, has planned his revenge during twenty years. He proposes to have his brother die by the hand of the child begotten in adultery, so that the erime may bring about its own punishment. For this purpose he had prepared the expedition that Plisthène was to lead against Athens. When this is rendered unnecessary by the presence of Thyestes in his court, Atreus employs two devices to make Phathène murder He has him swear to avenge him and he threatens to kill Théodamie if he fails As these measures are without effect, Atreus contrives a more horrible method, deciding to murder Plisthène and have his father drink his blood Mile Barbier entitized Crébillon for these changes of plan, but Atreus never renounces his main purpose, which is to bring his brother to a horrible end by means of the son he has had by Ærope. This he carries out to his own satisfaction, if not to that of Mile Barbier. She and the frères Parfaict also eritieize Crébillon on the ground that Atreus would not have believed that Plisthene would keep his oath, or that Thyestes would fail to recognize the drink as blood, but such belief is not inconsistent with the character that Crébillon depicts, that of a man not necessarily gifted in understanding how others would act under given circumstances and ever seeking the most hourble form of vengeance. Moreover, the long delay, the resourcefulness in crime that Atreus displays, his hypocrisy, and, as a climax, the introduction of the blood-filled cup make the character all the more effective. He is certainly one of the most striking creations of the period.

The other persons are like flies caught in the web of this deadly spider. Threstes, the simpleminded brother who had sinned through love, had suffered for years, had been shipwrecked, is now shaken by a terrible dream and is imprudent enough to venture into the presence of Atrens. He is as willing to forgive and to restore proper family relationships as his brother is incapable of such sentiments. Though Plisthène is more intelligent than his father, he cannot cope with his uncle. His sympathy with the afflicted refugees, his unwillingness to be bound by an unholy oath he had been tricked into making, his unfortunate love, and his untimely death make him an appealing figure. Théodamie has only a brief rôle, that of a dutiful daughter who is on the point of falling in love when she discovers that Plisthène is her brother.

cup Thyestes agrees to accept this evidence of good will, but Plisthène is suspicious Atrens has the young man arrested and put to death. The cup, filled with the blood of Plisthène, is offered to Thyestes, who, when about to drink, sees what the liquid is, notes that the sum is darkened, refuses to drink, and learns the truth from Atreus. He gives himself a fatal blow and, while dying, predicts that some day Atreus will be more unhappy than he, a reference to his murder by Ægisthus, reported in Hygnius's Fable LXXXVIII.

* Saisons littéraires, second recueil, Rouen, 1722, quoted by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 428 39

Mile Barbier objected to the exposition on the ground that Atreus did not have sufficient reason to reveal his plans at the beginning of the play. She found Thyestes too imprudent, Atreus too poor a judge of character. She held that the real plot does not begin till the fourth aet, that the two seemes of hypoeritical forgiveness are too much alike, and that the use of the cup is abominable. On the other hand, she considered the tragedy more terrible than Seneca's, was greatly impressed by Crébillon's style, praised especially the account of Thyestes's dream, and wished that the dramatist would write epic poetry 10

Crébillon said in his own defense 11 that he did not invent his subject and that he considered it one that would inspire pity and terror, the more so as he had sought to soften it and to adapt it to French manners. For this purpose he had had Ærope carried off from the "Autels même," 12 had made Atreus less horrible than he is in Seneca, 18 and had not allowed Thyestes even to lift the cup to his lips. He claimed that the seene is no more horrible than the one that concludes Rodogune, but he noted that the public had disapproved of it so deeply that he was himself believed to be an "homme non avec qui il ne fait pas sûr de vivre." He missted, too, that the double scene of hypocrisy was justified. When he reproduced in 1743 the preface of his first edition, he added a paragraph to the effect that the public had changed its attitude towards the play.

Voltaire did not object to the incident of the cup and, like Crébillon, found it no more horrible than the last seene of Rodogune. Like Mile Barbier, he preferred the tragedy to Seneca's, but he held that Atreus should not have waited twenty years for his revenge, diclared the love episode useless, and considered the play badly written 14 Though Grimm approved of Voltaire's criticisms, he called the tragedy Crébillon's best play 1 An anonymous apologist summed up his remarks by ealling 4:rée et Thyeste

Mélèse, Rep., p 217) "Il lui fant de l'epique pour imposer au public Il sçait qu'on a applandi au songe de son Atrée" The audience may have heen struck by such descriptive lines as

La mer magit au loin, & le vent vous appelle (1 3) La voile se déploye, & flotte an gre des vents (11, 1)

¹¹ Preface of the edition of 1743, I, 1178
12 In discussing the question of coccage he quotes "L'itort il? Ne l'étoit il point?" from La Fontaine's Coupe enchantee, meaning the conte, in which the quotation is found (Grands Ecrivaius edition, V, 132), not Champmesle's play of the same name 18 He refers, perhaps, to his kindaes: to Thyester and his daughter before he

discovers who they are 14 Moland edition, XXIV, 3467 Atreus's idea in waiting twenty years was, of course, to have the child of adultery old enough to avenge him

eourse, to have the (find of address) of par Grimm, Diderot, etc., Paris, Garnier, V (1878), 119, XII (1880), 383

"un Rembrant dans l'ecole de Melpomene." 16 Nevertheless, it is especially this tragedy that gave Crébillon his reputation as the dramatist of horror. 17

It would seem, however, that the general public was not averse to being horrified. From March 14 to April 8, 1707, the play was acted ten times, up to the Easter recess, and the receipts were so good that, when it was given again, in 1712, the author continued to receive a share in them. It was acted eight times in that year and was revived from time to time down to 1806. It was even played at the Comédie Française in 1866, when the actors added a prologue, freely translated by Henri de Bornier from Seneca's first act, which Crébillon had not reproduced hat the total number of performances was only forty-four, it can be said of it that it remained in the repertory of the Comédie Française longer than any other tragedy of the period. It would seem from its history that the fatal cup could not be long endured, but that from time to time French spectators were not averse to its appearing before them. 20

Though dramatized by Æschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides, the story of Electra had been given to the French public only once in the seventeenth century and that in an Electre (1677-8) of Pradon that was never printed and is now lost. Atonement for this neglect was made twice in the first decade of the eighteenth century, but Crébillon did not lead the way. He was anticipated by Longepierre, whose Electre 21 was first acted early in 1702.

The chief source was the *Electra* of Sophocles, followed rather closely in the first three acts, but with changes in the order of events. Æschylus supplied a model for Clytemnestra's grateful greeting of Orestes and for the reference to the Fates at the end of Longepierre's tragedy. Euripides may have suggested the pedagogue's making Orestes known to Electra and the young hero's hesitation before he murders. The presentation of Orestes's

¹⁶ Quoted in the Œuvres de Crebillon, Paris, 1772, III, 257

¹⁷ So, for instance, the editor of the 1828 edition of Lesage's *Guvres* (II, 195-8) thinks that his author was referring to Crebillon when he tells of a dramatist who had all the characters in a play muldered, although the context shows that Lesage is satirzing school plays, known for their large east, their lack of form, and their display of blood on the stage

¹⁸ Cf the frères Parfaict, XIV, 426 In their Mémoires pour serur à l'histoire de la foire, I, 100 1, they show that a parody of the tragedy was acted at the Foire Saint-Laurent in 1709

¹⁹ Cf Dutrait, op eit, p 231

²⁰ According to an anecdote told by La Porte and Collé and repeated by Dutrait, loc cit. an Euglishman remarked at the cufé Procope that he found the tragedy "fort belle, mais la coupe" la coupe 'Ah' M de Crébillon, transeat a me

calix iste."

⁵¹ Paris, veuve Pissot, 1730, 12° Republished in the Théâtre françois of 1737 An Italian translation was published in 1743 and in 1758 For Longepierre of my op cit, Part IV, p 358, and Baron Roger Portalis, Bernard de Requeleyne Baron de Longepierre, Paris, Leclere, 1905, who, pp 6574, discusses Electre Cf also F-F Frantz, Oreste dans la tragédie française, Paris, Fontemoing, 1910, pp 2941

madness may have been influenced by the Orestes of Euripides or by Racine's Andromaque. As he eliminated the chorus, Longepierre thought it necessary to find something to take its place. Instead of bringing in new characters, as Racine had done in Iphigénie and as Crébillon was to do in his Electre, he altered his material in such a way as to create new situations. He supposed that an oracle had warned Orestes not to make himself known to his sister till after he had seen his mother. This supposition enabled him to present in different scenes Orestes's discovery of who Electra is and her recognition of her brother. The latter scene is made more effective by a borrowing from the Merope legend. Though he probably knew Hyginus and Aristotle, he seems to have imitated La Chapelle's Télephonte, in which there is a scene that resembles closely the one 22 in which Electra is prevented from murdering Orestes. While the attendant comes primarily from Sophocles and Euripides, the increased importance assigned to him by Longepierre may also be due to imitation of La Chapelle.

Longepierre shows none of Euripides's cynicism and comparatively little of the mystical qualities found in the Choephori. His play is much closer to Sophocles, with some of the supernatural element retained in the use of an oracle, in the dream, and in talk about the gods and Fate. Orestes and Electra struggle more than they do in the ancient accounts, Ægisthus has a larger rôle, and Pilades does not remain mute, as he does in Sophocles. Owing probably to the influence of the latter dramatist, Longepierre composed the first non-Biblical tragedy of the century from which love is excluded, a reform in which he preceded Voltaire by many years 23

²² Electra, thinking that the stranger has murdered her brother, is about to kill him when she is stopped by the faithful attendant, who informs her that the stranger is Orestes minself. The situation in *Telephonic* is exactly the same except that the

woman is the mother, not the sister, of the intended victim

18 The scene opens at dawn in a "salon du Palais d'Againemnon" at Mycenae
Electra longs for the return from Phocis of Orestes in order thirt he mily avenge
their father. She has not compromised with Againemnon's murdi-rers is he bister,
Chrysothemis, has done. The latter informs her that Clytemnestin, who has had
a terrible dream, wishes to offer sacrifice at their father's found habitions and
offerings must be prepared. Electra refuses to help, but she allows her sister to
take part of her veil. An attendant brings a note from Orestes, promising that he
will soon arrive. The darkness of night enables the young prince and his friend
Pilades to penetrate into the palace, while Pamène, Orestes s "gonvernenr," arranges
a revolt that will follow the murder of Ægisthus. False rinnors have been spread
that Orestes has died. An oracle has forbidden him to make himself known to
Electric before he sees their mother and has directed him first of all to visit their
father's toinb. After the young men have left with Painène, Ægisthus expresses his
sufferings as king, his feals of an inracle that has called him a regicide, his dread
inf. Electra and Orestes. He derives little comfort from his wife's account of her
dream and asks her to soften Electra, but her attempt in do so ends in mutual
threats. A letter brought by Pamène, reporting the death of Orestes, reassures
Clytemnestra, but distresses Electra, who urges Chrysothèmis to stab Ægisthus
When her mild sister refuses to do so, she decides to take matters into her own
hands. She meets the two strangers, who allow her to hold the urn in which the
ashes of Orestes are supposed to be. Disguised Orestes tells of killing the prince in

More than half the verses of the tragedy are assigned to women, who are the only speakers in the first act. Electra has by far the longest rôle, one that is longer than those of the protagonists in Crébillon's Idoménée and Atrée et Thyeste. Her guiding passion is desire for vengeance. She hates both Ægisthus and her mother, upbraids her sister, and centers all her affections upon Orestes, whom she had rescued twelve years before and for whose return she eagerly waits. She retorts bitterly to Clytemnestra and Ægisthus, cooperates with Pamène, and has a marked influence upon her brother. Her emotions are so intense that she is able to picture the scene in the banquet hall without seeing it. She is tragic in that, when her dearest project has been accomplished after twelve years of misery, humiliation, and conspiring, she finds that her brother, the hope of the tribe, has lost his reason.

Orestes is less effective. He has to be prompted, not only by Electra, but by Pamène and Pilades. His mental equipment seems unequal to his task, so that its execution is followed by collapse. His character is weaker than Electra's, but stronger than that of his other sister, mild and timid Chrysothémis. Their mother defends herself for the murder of Agamemnon by referring to the sacrifice of Iphigeneia and to the fact that her husband brought Cassandra home from Troy, but her apology wins little sympathy. Fearing that her children will murder her to avenge their father, she persecutes Electra and triumphs when she hears that Orestes is dead, though she feels some qualms when she gets the report, which causes in her husband unmixed delight.

Ægisthus has been devoured night and day by his fears, has come to hate his wife, but he remains bound to her by their common interests. He has been troubled by the oracle and by his fear of divine punishment. When he thinks that Orestes is dead, he renounces his faith and regards his fears as due to a "credule erreur" (IV, 3) His only gods are now "la soif de regner, un zele ambitieux." His plan for Electra shows a sadistic tendency, the last trait of his character to be revealed to the spectators before they hear of his death Pilades is little more than a confidant, but Pamène

a forest in Phocis, whereupon Ægisthus proposes to give Electra to him as a slave in order that she may be constantly attached to her brother's murderer Electra conceals herself and, when Orestes appeare, seeks to stab him, but Pamène stops her and tells her that her intended victim is Orestes himself Pamène thinks it is now time to act, but he and Electra have to rouse Orestes, who is suddenly troubled by a plaintive murmir. As a result of their urgings, the prince enters the banquet hall and kills Ægisthus Electra describes the scene correctly, though she sees it only in her imagination. Orestes comes to confirm her report and asks for his mother, but Pamène tells him that she had received a fatal blow from her son when she threw herself between him and Ægisthus. Orestes beholds streams of blood, thinks he sees his mother dying, and loses consciousness, while Electra cries that it would have been better if the gods had not listened to her plea.

is an energetic conspirator, as eager for revenge as Electra, but more resourceful in his planning.

The tragedy is well constructed. Through her influence upon Orestes, Electra has a definite part in bringing about the denouement. There is, however, too large an element of chance in Pamène's arriving just in time to save Orestes from Electra, and the author's effort to spare his hero the guilt of murdering his mother is unfortunate. He could not alter the essential fact of the legend, but he wished his audience to remain in sympathy with Orestes. He consequently arranged an accidental killing in keeping neither with probability nor with the tragic effect that he desired to produce Apart from these two defects and the author's lack of poetic endowment, the tragedy preserves quite well the spirit of Sophocles, much better than does Crébillon's play on the same subject. It is noteworthy, too, for the absence of sexual love and the prominent part given to women

Electre was originally acted on Jan. 22, 1702, at the home of the princesse de Conti at Versailles. The actors were professionals, including Michel Baron and Rosélis, who had retired from the troupe of the Comédie Française and who played, respectively, Orestes and Ægisthus, and la Duclos, who took the part of Electra The tragedy was acted at the same place on Feb. 5 and 12. Dangeau 24 wrote about the first performance

Monseigneur [the Dauphin] alla d'îner chez Madaine la princesse de Conty à la ville, ou l'on joua le soir dans sa galerie Electre, qui est le plus bel ouvrage de théâtre qu'on ait vu depuis la mort de Corneille et de Racine Longepierre en est l'auteur, la pièce fut jouée à merveille, et le vieux Baron joua avec les comédiens, quoiqu'il ait quitté le théâtre il y a longtemps. Toute la cour y étoit, hormis le roi, qui n'a pas voulu honorer ce spectacle de sa présence

Saint-Simon also indicated where it was given and before whom He declared that it enjoyed "le plus grand succès" and that it was "sans amour, mais pleine des autres passions, et des situations les plus intéressantes" The Mercure declared that Longepierre had written the play several years before and had not meant to give it to the public, that there had been rehearsals at Paris to which the "beau monde" had been admitted; that Baron's art was superior to what it had been twenty years before, and that no actress had ever played with such force and grace as la Duclos. Other journals praised the play and noted the absence of love from its verses. It was not acted at the Comédie Française till 1719, when it was given nine times on and after Feb 22. It might have had a more satisfactory career if Longepierre had allowed it to be played before it competed

³⁴ Journal, VIII, 298

²⁵ Œuvres, Boislisle edition, X, 6

²⁶ Cf Mélèse, Rép, p 213, Th et Pub, p 267

with a tragedy on the same subject by Crébillon. Voltaire regretted its failure as Longepierre had eliminated love and as his "déclamation" was "à la Greeque." He believed that its lack of success encouraged dramatists to look upon love as essential in tragedy.27

To compare Longepierre's play with the ELECTRE 28 of Crébillon reveals the taste of the early eighteenth century. "Ce n'est point la Tragedie de Sophocle, ni celle d'Euripide que je donne," wrote Crébillon, "c'est la mienne." His tragedy is, indeed, far from being an adaptation of Sophocles, though the Greek dramatist's Electra was his principal source. He kept the main facts, but he found the plot much too simple, the murder of a mother by her son too horrible, the elimination of love unpopular with those for whom he was writing. He consequently complicated the plot, followed Longepierre in making Clytemnestra's death accidental, and introduced two affairs of the heart For this last purpose he gave Ægisthus a son and a daughter. To ereate new situations he made Orestes believe that he was the son of Palamède and added a tale of shipwreck, death, rescue, and heroic military achievements

Despite his boast of originality, he imitated both Sophocles and Longepierre.29 He may have derived from La Grange-Chancel's Amasis the idea of luring the usurper into a temple by a promise of marriage in order that he may be put to death there. He had already employed in his 4trée et Thyeste a prince's ignorance of who his father is, an arrival by shipwreck, and help given a prince by a man who is the son or the supposed son of his encmy Electre is in a sense a sequel 30 to Atrêe et Thyeste, for in it the grandson of Atreus murders the son of Thyestes.31

Paris, Pierre Ribon, 1709, 12° For other editions and a study of the play of Dutrait, op at of also F F Frantz, op est The play was translated into Dutch in 1714. An Italian translation was published in 1750, 1754, 1762, and 1766. Another Italian translation was made, but not published. For a Spanish translation of C B Qualin, PML 1, LVIII, 152.

19 In Credition's play as in Longemerre's Orestes not only kills his mother accidentally and without realizing that he has done so, but he loses his mind when he discovers what he has done. Both plays start with a long monologue spoken by Electra and both greatly enlarge the rôle of Sophiodes's pedagogue. Dutrait failed to take these resemblances into consideration, for he believed that Longemerre's translation to lone before it was acted in 1719. tragedy was written not long before it was acted in 1719

⁶⁰ Or, if one piefers, it is a sequel to a sequel Pellegrin was soon to write a play in which Ægisthus kills Atreus

at Just before dawn Llectra tells us that she has given up hope of Orestes's return, that on this day she is to marry Itys, son of Ægisthus, and that she would murder her funce if she were not restrained by love. She is told that there is no hope of a popular revolt nutil Orestes returns, as Ægisthus and his family are protected by a strunger, Tydee, whom Itys has rescued from a shipwreck and who has recently defented the kings of Athens and Corinth Flectra tells Itys, who has loved her for ten years, but who would not marry her without her consent, that she will agree to their numon only if he will first kill Egisthus. On the other hand, Clytemnestra warns her that she will be put to death unless she marries Itys. Clyteunestra has

The longest rôle is that of Orestes, who has become an invincible warrior and has been well received by Ægisthus. He loves the usurper's daughter. He acquires a spiritual struggle that is not in the ancient tale, for he at first believes himself to be, not Agamemnon's son, but merely his former subject. His discovery that he is Orestes supplies a scene of recognition and has an important function in the plot as it convinces him that he ought to murder Ægisthus. His character is stronger than that of Longepierre's Orestes, who knows who he is from the beginning and has no love to overcome

This is the first play in which Crébillon gave a long rôle to a woman, but, though Electra has much to say, she has little influence upon the action except that her proposed marriage is used to snare Ægisthus. As in earlier accounts she is her stepfather's implicable enemy, but she now has to overcome her love, as she had not had previously to do. Her mother feels no remorse, is willing to see both of her children put to death, and expresses only hatred when she receives the fatal blow from her son. As Dutrait notes, Clytemnestia is the only mother that Crebillon portrayed. He probably thought that a normal mother is out of place in a tragedy. The third woman, Iphianasse, adds little to the play. Though she love, Orestes, she conceals her feelings and offends him by her respect for rank and her suspicions of Electra. At the end of the play she is surrounded by guards, but we are not told what disposition will be made of her

This is true, too, of her brother, Itys, apparently an excellent young man, sighing for Electia, dutiful to his father, attached to the supposed Tydee,

been troubled by a dram and would appease Agamemnon's shade, but Ægisthus looks for a favorable turn of events, especially because he has Tydee's support. Peace and the hand of his daughter, lphianasse, have been asked for by the King of Corinth, but Ægisthus prefers to give her to the man who will bring him the head of Orestes, preferably to Tydee. This young warrior reports that both his fathir. Palamède, and Orestes perished in the shipwreck and that he has himself been greatly troubled by a visit to a temple and no ominious prediction. When he makes love to Iphianasse, she declares that he has insulted her as her husband must be a king or the killer of Orestes, but Ægisthus offers him the girl's hand when he hears that Orestes is dead. Tydee is ton between love of the princess and loyalty to the memory of Orestes. He is inged by Electra to kill Ægisthus. He is offended by Iphianasse, who is suspicions of his relations with Electra. He is influenced especially by Palamède, who has escaped from the shipwreck and is shocked to find that, instead of killing Ægisthus, Tydee has rescued him from his enemies. As the young man still hesitates, Palamede informs him that he is Orestes. In order to protect him, Palamède had brought him up as his son and had called his own son Orestes. This revelation wins him over. In the meantime bleetra has seen on her father's tomb gifts that make her think her brother has returned. When Orestes assures her that he lives, she recognizes him. Palamede now urges her to agree to marry his in order to lure Ægisthus into the temple. After some hesitation she agrees, but, when Itys calls her to the altar, she hegs him to wait. While they talk, Ægisthus Gytemberto reproaches Orestes and dies. Orestes seeks to kill himself, is disarmed. Orestes is told that he fatally wounded his mother when she tried to save Ægisthus. Clytemestra reproaches Orestes and dies. Orestes seeks to kill himself, is disarmed by Palamede, and becomes mentally deranged.

but unable to cope with the children of Agamemnon. The other men who have important rôles are Ægisthus and Palamède. The former is chiefly engaged in protecting himself against the children of the man he has murdered. When he expresses disbelief in dreams, he is seeking to obscure the memory of Agamemnon. He would protect himself by marrying his son to Electra and by offering his daughter to the man who will slay Orestes. He shows none of the pessimism attributed to him by Longepierre His confidence in Tydée, who murders him at the end of the tragedy, is an example of tragic irony. The one general idea that he expresses seems strangely democratic unless we realize that it occurs in lines employed merely to win over Tydée (II, 4):

Loraqu'on a les vertus que vous faites paroître, Ou est du sang des Dieux, ou digne au moins d'en être

Palamède is far more intelligent. He has for twenty years been planning to avenge Agamemnon and place Orestes on the throne. He has even used as a shield for the prince his own son, whose life has been lost on the expedition undertaken in behalf of Orestes. He dominates the plot and selects the moment when the usurper is to perish. He belongs to the series of faithful and resourceful supporters of legitimate heirs to a throne, suggested by Sophoeles and developed in France by a number of dramatists, including La Chapelle, La Grange-Chancel, and Longepierre. *2

The action is arranged in such a way that it contains several scenes of recognition, true or supposed. Orestes is recognized as Tydée and is made known in turn to himself, to Electra, to Iphianasse, and to Clytemnestra. There are descriptive passages devoted to the shipwreck, to battle, to the murder of Agamemnon, to what Clytemnestra has seen in her dream. The love scenes are ineffective, but they serve to provide actresses with longer rôles than Crébillon had previously allowed them. It is probable that the introduction of love, the descriptive passages, and the increased respect shown by Orestes for his mother made the play more successful than a stricter reproduction of Sophocles, like that of Longepierre, would have been. It was acted fourteen times between Dec. 14, 1708, and Jan. 12. 1709. It might have had a longer initial run if the theater had not been closed from Jan. 14 to 22 on account of the excessive cold. As it was, there were four more performances in 1709. The tragedy remained in the repertory until 1818, with a total of 163 productions.

The play did not escape criticism. In the Nouveau Mercure of 1709 an

^{**} In view of this fact, it is unwise to suppose, as did one of Crébillon's critics, cited by the frères Parfaict (XIV, 501), that any special influence was exerted upon the dramatist by Danchet's Cyrus

author 33 held that Electra influences the action too little and that, as she must have been about fifty, her love of Itys should not have been introduced. She should not, for his sake, have risked the success of the plot against Ægisthus Her recognition of Orestes would have been more effective if she had not previously believed that Tydée might be her brother Orestes could not have been born after the siege of Troy. It was imprudent of him to leave a sword on Agamemnon's tomb. Ægisthus is represented, not as a villain, but as a "fort honnête homme," too much so to suspect Tydée's intentions when the young man admits that he is a friend of Orestes. Clytemnestra is too black a character She should have been given some virtue to win our sympathy, as had been done in the case of Racine's Phèdre. The description of her dream contains a passage that is obscure. Palamede, the finest character, shows little originality as he is derived from Danchet's Cyrus He takes too long to reach Mycenae after the shipwreck, fails to reprove Orestes for making himself known to Electra, and acts unworthily when he proposes that she should agree to marry Itys

The critic finds that the names of Tydée, Iphianasse, Itys, and Palamède are too well known in other connections to be employed here. To have the son and daughter of Ægisthus love the daughter and son of Agamemnon is worthy of a comedy Tydée's pompous description in Act II, though very popular, is out of place. The action does not begin till Act III A fatally wounded woman should not be brought on the stage to die, nor does the scene show originality, for it is derived from Pellegrin's Mort d'Ulysse. A Crébillon put into his play "tempête, & songe, & oracle, & furcur Voilà de quoi faire le plus bel Opera du monde."

Much of this criticism is puerile, but some of it is justified. Crebillon seems to have had it in mind when he wrote his preface. He admitted that he had made his plot unnecessarily complicated, that there were "longueurs" in Acts I and II, especially in the last half of Act I, and that much of Act II resembled an epic poem rather than a tragedy. However, as something had to be added to the original story, he had preferred new episodes to declamation. He claimed the right to alter his source, as Racine had done so in Mithridate and Britannicus, to give Orestes the age he pleused to give him, and to make Electra fall in love, especially as she acquired in this way a moral struggle and became more pitiful than she had been in the Greek tragedies. The only fault he admits in this connection is that her love does not produce enough events.

²² Quoted by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 491 511
²⁴ In this play it is Ulysses, not a woman, who is brought in to die As a similar situation is found at the end of Mithridate, there is no reason to suppose that Créhillon was imitating Pellegrin

The frères Parfaict *5 accept his argument and hold that the defects of the play are well concealed by its beauties, that it rouses great interest, that its situations are "heureuses & pathétiques," its thoughts "neuves, hardies, & brillantes," its versification "forte & nombreuse" Writing in 1748, they assert that the play then received the same applause that it won when it was new.

Voltaire 36 sought to replace the tragedy with his Oreste, but he succeeded only in having his play acted as often as Crébillon's while both were in the reportory He also attacked Electre directly. The heroine should not be in love. She, her brother, Itys, and Iphianasse form a comic "partie carrée." Palamède should not be the principal character Orestes ought to know who he is and should not head Ægisthus's army. There is too much material. The adventures of Tydée lack verisimilitude. In Crébillon's description of the storm the dramatist yields to the poet. Voltaire admits, however, that, despite errors in diction, there are "belles tirades" and tragic verses, that the rôles of Electra and Palamède and especially Electra's recognition of Orestes are effective. On the whole, he sympathized with Frederick the Great's liking for Electre and Rhadamiste, but he could not resist pointing out what he held to be the superior qualities of his own tragedy.

La Harpe '7' made a long parallel between Electre and Oreste, very much to Voltaire's advantage. He criticized Crébillon's play, not only for the desects indicated by Voltaire, but for the préciosité of Iphianasse, for the sudden change in the character of Orestes when he discovers who he is, for having three acts begin with monologues spoken by Electra, and for our being left in ignorance of the fate that will befall Itys and his sister. Like Voltaire he both objects to Palamède's having the principal rôle and admires the part in itself. He adds a personal recollection

J'ai toujours remarqué qu'à la vue de ce personnage, il s'élevait un cri de joie, et ce n'est pas seulement parce que son rôle est plem de chaleur et d'énergie, c'est parce qu'en effet la tragédie, oubliec jusque-là, entre avec lui sur la scène 35

Crébillon reached the chmax of his dramatic career with his next play, RHADAMISTE ET ZÉNOBIE, 39 the most frequently acted new tragedy of 1701-15

⁸⁶ XIV, 511 12

^{***} Moland edition, V, 190 5, XVII, 187-90, XXIV, 348 51, XXXVII, 3

*** Op cet, XII, 102-206

*** Ibid, p 121

*** Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1711, 12°, priv Feb 8, 1711, approbation of Feb 21 signed by Bondin, who thought that the "Public on verioit l'impression avec autant de plaisir qu'il en a v0 les representations" Dedicated to the prince de Vaudemont A copy of this first edition is at the Johns Hopkins University For other editions and a lengthy study of the play of Dutrait, op cit A Dutch translation appeared in 1713 For Italian translations, especially that of Fragoni, published seven times in 1724 98, cf. Luigi Ferrari, le Traduzions staliane del teatro tragico francese, Paris, Champion, 1925 For a Spanish translation of 1784 and an adaptation of

To compose it he abandoned Greek mythology for Tacitus, but he also made use of Segrais's Bérênce, the second French novel he had imitated in a tragedy, and, perhaps, of Racine's Mithridate.40 The first two sources give the names of Pharasmane, Rhadamiste, and Zénobie, their relationship, and much of the material mentioned in the exposition, which makes Rhadamiste more evil than he really was, but, so far as the action of the tragedy is concerned, there is little that is historical about it except the fact that Pharasmane caused the death of his son, Rhadamiste Crébillon added Arsame, Rhadamiste's visit as a Roman ambassador to his father's court, his attempt to escape with Zénobie, the fact that Pharasmane plans to marry her, her wanderings in Media, and the disposition that is finally made of lier Consequently the tragedy is in the main an original production. Its most romantic elements come, not from Segrais, but from Tacitus 41

1799, cf C B Qualia, PMLA, LVIII, 1558 In 1768 an English translation by Arthur Murphy was published at Loudon as Zenobia "by the author of the Orphan

of China," an unconscious tribute to Voltaire's celebrity

of China," an unconscious tribute to Voltaire's celebrity

40 Dutrait, op cit, pp 530 34, gives the passing from the Annals, Book XII, and
shows what modifications Ci-billon introduced. On p 195 he notes that Voltaire
was the first to call attention to Segnis's Berearce (1648 51) as a source of the
play (cf Moland edition, XXIV, 355), that this borrowing was denied by two of
Cr-billon's editors, but that it was so firmly believed by Brinietière (luit he con
cluded that the dramatist had not read the passing in Tuitius (cf Epoques du
theatre français, Paris, Rachette, 1896, p 211) Dutrait believed that Tucitus was
the main source, but that Crebillon took from Segnais at least the mention of
Artinisse as a city and the choice of Mitrane as the captum of Pharasimane's guards
has thought that Arasime's love of Zonobie might have been suggested by Tiridate's He thought that Arsame's love of Zenobie might have been suggested by Tiridate's love of her m the novel, but Crebillon needed no such suggestion. It would be more eorrect to say that the mention in Rhadamiste, II, 1, of Firidate's desire to marry Zénobie was suggested by the novel Vollowing Voltane, Dutrait also mentioned resemblances between the play and Mithridate About all one can say is that in both tragedies the scene is laid not far from the Envine and that a father and his two sons, one respectful to him, the other hostile, love the same woman, who loves

4 Pharasmane, King of Iberia, near the Caucisni, had two sons, Rhidamiste and Arsame Rhadamiste was brought up by his micle, Mithridate, King of Armenia, and was engaged to his daughter, Zenobic, but, as Pharasmane and Tiridate King of Parthus, had invaded Armenia, Mithildate offered Zunobie to Tirdate in return for military assistance. Rhadamiste ripelled Pharasmane, dethroned Mithildate, but promised to restore the latter to his throne if he could marry Zinobie. After this marringe had been arranged he unidered Mithidate and married his daughter, but a revolt of the Armemans drove bim from the temple with his bride in his arms Fearing, while they were being pursued, that she night be captured and possessed by another man, he stubbed her and thiew her into the river Araxe. Wounded in by another man, he stubbed her and thiew her into the river Araxe Wounded in the ensuing conflict, Rhadamiste was rescued by the Romans under Corbulo. He aided them in war for ten years, then hearing of his father's designs upon Armenia, he got himself appointed Roman ambassador to his con't at Artanisse with the thought of possibly nuirdering Pharusniane. Meanwhile Zenobie, rescued and healed by shepherds, had found her way into Media, where, known as Ismanie, she was by shepherds, had found her way into Media, where, known as Ismanie, she was by shepherd by Arsame and brought to the Deritan court. Both Arsame and his father now wish to marry her. She believes that Pharusniane has killed Rhadamiste and she is much attached to Arsame, but the old king has decided to marry her on the day represented in the tragedy. Arsame who has been away, rejoins but, but he can get from her only the promise that she will not marry his father. When Pharasmane finds them together, he orders Arsame to give up his love and retire to Colchos mane finds them together, he orders Arsame to give up his love and retuc to Colchos Zénoble thinks of persuading Arsame to kill his father, but she decides instead to seek the aid of the Roman ambassador Pharasmane receives the latter and Hieron,

Pharasmane is a haughty old king, proud of his blood and of his victories, brooking no opposition. He has attacked his brother, persecuted Rhadamiste, and now he arrests his other son, Arsame. His forehead is described as "superbe" In his courtship he employs no gallantry. He commands Zénobie to marry him in the same imperious manner that he adopts when he orders the Roman ambassador to leave his kingdom. He boasts of the stern simplicity of his surroundings and is eager to war upon the Romans. The impression he produces is weakened at the end of the play by his releasing Arsame and allowing him to marry Zénobie, actions attributed to remorse over the killing of Rhadamiste, but hardly in keeping with what we have previously seen of Pharasmane.

His two sons are contrasted in their attitudes toward him and towards Zénobie. Arsame does not openly disobey his father, but he returns from war without waiting for his order and he is slow in leaving Iberia when told to do so. He refuses to join a revolt against Pharasmane and to assist the Romans Whatever he does that fails to meet with his father's approval is caused by his love of Zénobie, to whom he is respectful and devoted, though not oppressively so. His character pales in contrast with his father's and still more so with that of his extraordinary brother.

Rhadamiste has murdered his uncle, has sought to kill his wife, and indicates that he may avenge himself upon his father. There are, however, extenuating circumstances. His uncle had broken his promise to give him Zénobie in marriage. When he stabbed his wife, he was moved by passionate jealousy of a man who might capture her. When he has an opportunity to kill his father, he does not seize it. He feels too much remorse over his erimes and is too deeply in love to make one think of him as a complete villain. He is rather a tragic hero, torn by conflicting emotions (II, 1),

furieux, incertain, Criminel sans penehant, vertueux sans dessein, Joüet infortuné de ma douleur extrême

He has returned to his old home with a half-formed political plan and the

ambassador of Armenia, who wishes to offer his country's throne to Arsame The two ambassadors warn Pharasmane not to invade Armenia and anger him so greatly that he orders them to leave the country Arsame begs the Roman to take the supposed Isménie away with him, but declines to intrigue against his father When the ambassador and the princess meet, there is mutual recognition Zénobie forgives her hushand and agrees to escape with him at nightfall Rhadamiste shows soms jealousy towards Arsame, which is increased when he finds him conversing with Zénobie Though she admits that she cares for Arsame, she is willing to escape with her husband Pharasmane arrests Arsame and is uphraiding him for plotting with the Romans when news is brought that the Roman ambassador is abducting Zénobie The old king rushes out, finds the supposed Roman fighting with his guards, and stabs him Brought in dying, Rhadamiste reveals his identity Moved by this revelation and remembering that Rhadamiste had seemed to avoid him during the fight, Pharasmane softens and, contrite over killing one son, spares the other and sends him off with Zénobie to rule over Armenia

feeling that Heaven may punish him for his crimes. When he recognizes Zénobie, he is all contrition, but he soon becomes jealous of his brother, delays his departure on account of his passion, and falls a victim to his own designs. When he is attacked by his father, he spares him, but, when he is brought in dying, he reproaches Pharasmane bitterly (V, 6)

La soif que vôtre cœur avoit de le [mon sang] repandre N'a-t elle pas suffi, Seigneur, pour vous l'apprendre?

A moment later he is delighted to "retrouver mon pere" Such variations bewildered Crébillon's critics, though a modern reader can easily understand them. Rhadamiste speaks over a fourth of the play, indulging in long tirades of explanation or emotional expression. One of his most effective lines, intended as an insult to his father and as a means of making him break with Rome, is a judgment on himself and an example of tragic irony. 42

Ah! doit-on heriter de ceux qu'on assassine?

Zénobie is presented with much less skill. She is a Romantic heroine with a classical veneer. After being stabbed, thrown into a river, and obliged for ten years to wander about in a strange land, she is taken to a royal court, where she finds that she has preserved enough of her beauty to win the love of a king and his two sons. Though she loves Arsame, she refuses to tell him so while she believes herself to be a widow. She is prevented by a "devoir rigoureux," but what this is she never explains. It cannot be that she disapproves of a widow's marrying her brother-in-law, for this is what she is about to do when the play ends. It is when she knows that her husband lives that she admits she has loved Arsame. However, there is no doubt about her reverence for the sanetity of marriage.

Que l'himen est puissant sur les cœurs vertueux! (IV, 2) Mon époux est vivant, ainsi ma flâme expire (1V, 4)

This despite the fact that her marriage had not been consiminated, that her husband had attempted to kill her, that he now shows violent jealousy, and that she has fallen in love with another man. Dutrait compares her to Pauline in *Polyeucte* and to the heroine of the *Princesse de Clèves*. Crébilon may have had these characters in mind when he created her and sought to put the matrimonial bond to a severer test than Corneille and Mme de La Fayette had done. It may be for such purpose that he made her brave Pharasmane, in whose power she is, forgive Rhadainiste, who would probably have again attempted to murder her, and seek to discourage Arsame, whom she loves. But, unlike her classical prototypes, she does not carve out

⁴³ II, 2 The frères Parfaict, XV, 97, call attention to the fact that this line can be applied to Rhadamiste, but they fail to note its full effectiveness

her own destiny, for her plans to have Pharasmane murdered, to elope with Rhadamiste, and to renounce Arsame all fail. Only her desire to see her father avenged is accomplished, but that is done without her participation and apparently to her regret. She remains an artificial creation, presented without proper explanation.

The other characters are unimportant. The political element is subordinated to the personal, to the rivalry of three men closely related by blood to one another and to the woman they love. There is little local color except in the king's proud reference to the "faste sauvage" of his country, which produces, instead of gold, "du fer, des Soldats" (II, 2). The exposition contains too much material and is presented in conversations that show little skill in the use of dialogue, but the rest of the play is quite simple, eonsisting largely of preparations for an abduction, the failure of the attempt, and the icsulting death of Rhadamiste and marriage of Zénobie Except that the talk of revolt has no effect upon the plot, the unities are preserved. The intrigue is arranged in such a way as to produce several effective scenes. the interview between Pharasmane and the ambassadors (II, 2), the scene of double recognition (III, 5) that was called by La Harpe "l'une des plus belles sans contredit, et peut-être la plus belle qu'il y ait au théâtre," 48 the scene in which Rhadamiste shows that he is jealous of his brother (IV. 4), and the final scene of recognition and death (V, 6). These scenes, skillfully spaced, and the intense manner in which Rhadamiste, Pharasmane. and Zénobie express themselves are probably what gave the play its great success

First presented to the public on Jan 23, 1711, it was acted twenty-three times, until March 19, and ten more before the end of the year. The author's share was 2918 francs, 2 sous, more than any carlier tragedy had produced for its author, so far as can be judged from extant records. Beaubourg played Rhadamiste, Philippe Poisson, Arsame, Ponteuil, Pharasmane, la Duclos, Zénobie 44. By the end of 1718 there had been forty-nine performances of the tragedy. It remained in the repertory of the Comédie Française till 1829, with a total of 288 performances, more for this period, 1711-1829, than those of Cinna, Polycucte, Bajazet, or Mithridate

This result was accomplished despite the warning of critics that the public would do well to restrain its enthusiasm. The abbé de Pons found that the scenes were not linked.⁴⁵ the characters not well sustained. The whole

⁴⁸ Op cit, XIII, 65 Nadal, Œuvres, II, 204-5, also praised this scene highly He noted that Zenobic recognized her husband by the sound of his voice

[&]quot;Cf the frères Parfaiet, XV, 80, 88 The tragedy was parodied in Apollon à la foire on March 1, 1711

⁴⁶ His Lettre oritique of 1711 is quoted by the frères Parfaict, XV, 87-8 In the printed copies of the play all the scenes are carefully linked

appeared to him "confus et embarrassé," though he admitted that there were "traits hardis," happy expressions, interesting situations, and that at times the characters expressed themselves with a majesty worthy of Corneille. Dufresny,46 too, found that there was too much material, but he praised the manner in which most of it was packed into the early scenes, so that it was separated from the action He pointed out that it does not immediately become clear why Rhadamiste is not recognized by his father and brother and that it is highly improbable that Arsame and the ambassadors would have reached the court almost at the same time. Though he admires the scene in which these ambassadors appear before Pharasmane, he objects to the king's receiving them together. He holds Arsame mexcusable for abandoning a post confided to his care, Pharasmane, for stopping to speak to Arsame when he hears that Zénobie has been carried off However, he admires the heroine's superhuman virtue, the character of Pharasmane, well sustained except at the end, and that of Arsame after the first scenes in which he appears He comments less on the violation of rules than on Crébillon's success in spite of them.

In 1726 an anonymous author, said to be the abbé Pellegrin,47 judged that Pharasmane does not conduct himself as a statesman should, that the play has a "fond de Roman," that Zénobie should not t'ink of having Arsame kill his father, or Arsame propose to take revenge on Zénobie's husband He could not understand why Rhadamiste is not recognized by one of his father's subjects, he was unmoved by the celebrated scene of recognition because it boded no good for Zénobie, and he found Rhadamiste cruel to his father at the end of the play.

Voltaire 48 referred to the report that Boilean, upon hearing a part of the play read, put the author below Pradon, but he was not so severe himself. He agreed with earlier critics that the exposition was obscure and that it was improbable that Rhadamiste should be unrecognized at his father's court. He also thought it unlikely that he would agree to carry oil a woman merely because his father loved her. He held that Argame's love lacked power and that Pharasmane was too much like Racine's Mithirdate. On the other hand, he considered the latter king less proud and less tragic than Pharasmane, he declared that the scene of double recognition had greatly pleased, he called the tragedy Crébillon's best, and he credited it with "du tragique, de l'intérêt," striking situations and veises 40 He even went so

⁴⁵ Cf Cuvres, 1747, IV, 286 96 III criticism first appeared in 1711
47 Cited by the freres Parfaict, XV, 88 102
48 Moland edition, XX, 567 8 It may have been this report that induced J B
Rousseau to say that, if Le Verrier had read the tragedy over Bollau's tomb, the
old critic would, like Achilles, have risen up and demanded that it be sacrificed to
his shade, of his Graves, edition cited, V, 155
48 Moland edition XXIV 2558

⁴⁰ Moland edition, XXIV, 355 8

far as to say that Rhadamiste and Electre had special beauties that were lacking in Corneille and Racine.50

La Harpe 51 expressed the greatest admiration for the play, especially for the rôle of Rhadamiste and for the effective situations of Acts II-V. He agreed that there was far too much material in the exposition and he found fault with many expressions, but he considered the play enough by itself to give the author a very honorable position among tragic poets. After La Harpe several critics admired the tragedy. Geoffroy called it the last sigh of this "tragédie mâle, simple et vraie, créée par Corneille et Racine." Villemain considered it the only work of genius in the history of tragedy between Racine and Voltaire. Gérusez found in the play "la nature vraie et terrible." 62 Nisard held that Rhadamiste could be compared to Rodrigue. Zénobie to Pauline, praised the verse of the tragedy, and asserted that it contained "des actes entiers, des caractères vivants" that recall the masters of the genre.58 Vitu reproached the Comédie Française for dropping the play from its repertory in 1829,54 but later writers have tended to support the opinion of the actors, in spite of Dutrait's effort to give Crébillon the third place among French authors of tragedy.

Already Petit de Julieville had called the play "plus étrange que belle, et plus violente que forte," the work of a man who observed the world only through a dream.55 Brunetière admitted that it had "un air de grandeur et d'héroisme qui rappelle quelquefois Corneille," but he considered it a melodrama in which disguise is employed to cultivate surprise and terror. 58 He gives as the cause of its being dropped from the repertory the fact that it had been surpassed in startling effects by the authors of Romantic tragedy. Later writers have been inclined to agree with him Lion called Rhadamiste "un pêle-mêle de galanterie et de rage jalouse, d'amour, de vertu et d'héroisme, qui donnait à la tragédie, sinon la vic, du moins l'apparence de la vie" 57 Lanson's account of the play presents it in much the same fashion.58 Mr Tilley repeats a part of Brunetière's comment 58

⁵⁰ Ibid . XXII, 249 51 Op cit, XIII, 46-89

⁵² These three crities are eited by Dutrait, op cst, pp 485-8 * Histoire de la littérature française, Paris, 1863, IV, 167-8

⁸⁴ Cf Dutrait, op cit, p 490

⁵⁵ Ibid , p 491 ** Ibid, p 491
** Epoques, Paris, Hachette, 1896, p 225 In this connection Brunetière attacked recognition as a purely melodramatic device and denied that it is to be found in Racine He overlooked the reported recognition of Eriphile at the end of Iphigémie and the recognition of Joss in Athalie, V, 5 He also failed to remember that one of the greatest of tragedies, (Edipus Rex, is based on recognition of Tin Petit de Julieville's Histoire de la langue, etc., VI, 547
** Histoire de la littérature française, Paris, Hachette, 1903, p 639 In attempting to establish the thems that Crébillon sought to combine the horrible with the bienséant Lanson asserts that, "éclairé sur sa victime, il [Pharasmane] se tuera

The play seems to me to deserve neither the enthusiasm nor the scorn that it has received. For over a century it was regarded as one of the leading French tragedies, yet for more than another century it has not been considered worth reviving. There is too much material in the exposition and that material is presented in a prosaic fashion, but after the first act the tragedy moves swiftly, with striking scenes separated from one another by quieter discussions that make them all the more effective. Zénobie is certainly an unreal person and Arsame is like many another character in French tragedy, but Pharasmane has a vigorous, even a poetic rôle, while Rhadamiste is a most interesting character, wavering between right and wrong, jealous, passionate, murderous, yet with yearnings towards a better life that win our sympathy. In portraying these men Crébillon was not hampered by respect for the proprieties. It is unfortunate that they have not received more generous appreciation from recent critics.

Despite the great success of Rhadamiste, Crébillon next attempted a milder type of play, without disguise and recognition and with nothing more horrible than suicide, or than murder committed by a person not related to the victim. He did not, however, abandon as source material the ancient history of western Asia. In composing Xercès ⁵⁰ he turned to Justin as he had previously turned to Tacitus, and borrowed from seventeenth-century plays as he had previously borrowed from a seventeenth-century novel

After his unfortunate expedition against Greece, Xerxes, according to Justin (III, § 1), was murdered by his chief officer, Artabanes, who accused the king's elder son, Darius, of the murder and convinced the younger, Artaxerxes, that his brother was guilty. With the boy's assistance Artabanes put Darius to death, but the murderer's confident told the truth to Artaxerxes, who succeeded in killing Artabanes. From this account ('rébillon derived his five principal male characters, including the confident, whom he called Tysapherne, the name of a Persian mentioned by Justin in another connection. He kept the murder of the king by Artabanes, Artaxerxes's suspicions of his brother, and the fact that the officer's guilt was revealed by his confident, but he had the latter put Artabanes to death and he allowed Darius to live

action horrible—et bienséante" Unfortunately for the theory, Phyras, mane does not kill himself. Noticing his error, Lanson changed the text to "vout se tuer," thus avoiding the resetting of more than one line, but the alteration, though economical, is no nearer the truth, for Pharasmane gives no indication that he desires to kill himself. Moreover, suit de 18 found in some of the fluest tragedies ever written

^{**}MLR, XVII (1922), 366

***MLR, XVII (1922), 366

***First published in his (Turres, Paris, Prault fils, 1749-12° When Fontenelle signed the approbation, Feb 26, 1749, he stated that he had found nothing in the play unworthy of the author's great reputation. I own a copy of this edition. For play unworthy of the play of Dutrait, op cit. Ferraii, op cit., mentions two others and a study of the play of Dutrait, op cit. Ferraii, op cit. mentions two operations of 1756. For La Harpe's condemnation of the trigedy of his op cit. XIII, 93-102

But Justin's tale gave Crébillon no feminine rôle Dutrait supposed that the dramatist had invented the non-historical portion of the plot, although an anonymous author, quoted by the frères Parfaict, 61 had suggested in 1749 that other material was provided by Boisrobert, Magnon, and Boyer. Goldstein 62 eliminated the first of these and proposed instead Thomas Cornelle's Darrus. I would in turn eliminate Thomas Cornelle, who dramatized a different account, for Goldstein's evidence is unconvincing. It is clear, however, that both Boyer and Magnon influenced Crébillon, the former cspecially in the plot, the latter in details of the text. The historical narrative they had dramatized, that of Artaxerxes II, his sons, and the Greek mistress of his brother Cyrus, was attached by Crébillon to the account of Xerxes's death, so that his play gives an example of a partially disguised subject. His method resembles that of Campistron in writing Alcibiade, which he based partly on history, partly on Du Ryer's Thémistocle.

As in Boyer's Artaxerce, Crébillon's powerful minister has a daughter whom he would use to promote his ambition, but whom Darius refuses to marry, the king has to choose one of his sons as his successor; a request made by the one selected must be granted, rivalry between the king and one of his sons is real or pretended, and a minister tries to incite a prince against his father, while hoping ultimately to secure the throne for himself Both of the important feminine characters owe their existence to this play and to Magnon's Artaxerce.

Finally, there is a relationship that has been overlooked between this tragedy and Rhadamiste, for Darius returns, like Arsame, after a victory and without his father's permission, is coldly received by the king, loves the same woman as his brother, lingers at court after he has been ordered to leave, and is ultimately allowed to marry the woman he cares for and to become a king himself In both tragedies a proposed elopement leads to the dénouement.68

⁶² Darius, Xcrues und Artauerues in Drama der neueren Literaturen, Leipzig, 1912,

⁶¹ XV, 161 2

pp 84 102

**Artahan, Xerxes's minister, plans to make himself king after getting rid of the monarch and his two sons, Darins and Artaxerxes He poisons the mind of Xerxes monarch and his two sons, Darius and Artaxerxes. He poisons the mind of Xerxes against popular and victorious Darius while this prince is away from Bahylon with the army, and persuades the king to appoint Artaxerxes as his heir Since the selection carries with it the right to have a request granted, the younger prince asks for the hand of his cousin, Amestris, whom the king had promised to Darius Hearing that his elder son is returning, Xerxes hopes to persuade him to accept the situation by offering him Egypt and Artaban's daughter, Barsine Darius had once loved this woman, but Xerxes, fearing he would be too powerful if he hecame Artaban's son-in-law, had pretended to love her himself and had thus induced her to reject the prince, who had subsequently fallen in love with Amestris. When Darius reaches the court, he is hriitally received by his father and learns that his brother is his successful rival in politics and love. Even Amestris, who has been made to believe that he loves Barsine, accuses him of perfidy and allows him no opportunity to that he loves Barsine, accuses him of perfidy and allows him no opportunity to

The Persian monarch is represented as essentially weak, fearing both his powerful minister and his popular son, winning temporary success by pretending to love Barsine, but allowing himself to be led by Artaban into selecting the wrong successor, then seeking to cover up his mistake by a show of steruness. Thoroughly inconsequential, he ensity falls a victim to Artaban and excites little sympathy among those who surround him or those who read the play. His sons are quite superior to him. Darius has suffered from the fact that his mother was not loved by his father, but he has become a popular hero. Though cruelly hurt in his pride and his love, he is guilty only of trying to escape with Amestris. He could easily have become a tragic character. His brother has a rôle that resembles that of Attale in Nicomède Though brought up in luxury, he does not intrigue for the throne. When he discovers that his brother and Amestria love each other, he yields her to him, even convincing her of Darius's loyalty. When he believes his brother guilty of killing their father, he hesitates to have him executed, and, when he learns that he is innocent, he gives him half his kingdom. He once (III, 2) expresses himself in a line that is worthy of Racine

Il me hairoit moins, s'il ne vous simoit pas

The longest rôle is that of Artaban, a wily, conscienceless, and ambitious person, who dominates the king and stirs up family strife for his own advantage. He makes only one mistake, that of trusting Tysapherne, and intends to remedy this by killing his confidant, but he fails to act quickly enough to prevent this error from being fatal to himself. He explains his motives in striking verses

Me venger & régner, voilà mes souverains, Il n'est loix ni scrimens qui puissent retenir Un cent débarrassé du soin de l'avenir Un ceur comme le mien est au dessus des Leix La crainte fit les Dieux, l'andace a fait des Rois 83

explain Artaverxes, however, learns from a stormy interview with his brother that he loves Amestris and, when he discovers that she still loves Parius, he convinces her that his brother has not broken faith with her. When Amestris and Darius are sure of each other, the latter proposes they escape together and accepts help from Artaban, who arranges for them a rendezvous in the palace at night. The minister removes the issual guards and, before Amestris arrives, borrows Darius's dagger, claiming that he wishes to show it to the girl in order to convince her that her lover is waiting for her. Artaban then murders the king with it, accessed Darius of the crime, and submits the dagger as evidence. Artiverxes is inclined to believe the accusation as he has been shocked to find Darius and Amestris together at night A contril condemns Darius to death, but Amestris rouses the people sgainst Artaban Barsine reports that her father's confidant, Tysapherne, who had witnessed the king's murder and whom Artaban had intended to kill, has just murdered Artaban Barsine has already poisoned herself. Artaxerxes, convinced of his brother's innocence, yields Amestris to him and agrees to give him half his kingdom.

Some preparation for his confident's change of heart is made in the questions asked him early in the play and by Artaban's noticing his pallor when the king was being murdered. He is the villain's helper, but he has a conscience that makes him undo his master's plot and kill both Artaban and himself. The two women are contrasted in the fact that one is guided by love, the other by ambition. Amestris refuses the throne because she loves Darius, while Barsine refuses Darius because she loves the throne. The kindly author rewards love and punishes ambition

The dramatic material is distributed in a somewhat unusual fashion. Artaban is the leading character in Acts I, III, and V, but he is much less prominent in the others. Xerxes, though he gives his name to the play, does not appear after Act III Barsine comes on the stage only in Acts II and V. Amestris has almost as much to say in the third act as in all the others put together. Though the atmosphere of the play is that of tragedy, comic material is employed when Barsine, after rejecting Darius, tries unsuccessfully to win him back, on and when Amestris and Darius exemplify the familiar quarrel and reconciliation of lovers. Artaxerxes's pompous lovemaking and the contrast between the king's bluster and his weakness also approach the comic

There are a number of details that seem improbable. Amestris believes too readily that Darius has given her up. The sight of Darius's dagger would not have convinced her that he was waiting for her, nor would the prince have entrusted this weapon to Artaban. Artaxerxes is also too ready to accept the dagger as proof. He is too quick to believe his brother guilty and is too easily persuaded of his innocence by Barsine's report. There is no sufficient reason for her suicide Tysapherne's murder of Artaban is insufficiently explained. The failure of the play may have been due to such evidence of carcless workmanship, added to the fact that the spectators found here none of the scenes of violence or recognition that they associated with the name of Crébillon, no such striking characters as he had shown them in his earlier plays. The tragedy was acted only once, on Feb. 7, 1714.66

With this play ends Crébillon's contribution to tragedy before the death of Louis XIV. He had attracted attention with his somber *Idoménée* and its problem of human sacrifice. He had risen to prominence among dramatists with his Atrée and its horrible cup. In Electre he had produced a peculiarly eighteenth-century version of an ancient tragedy. This was followed by Rhadamiste, his masterpiece, a play that demonstrated his ability

and considers Artaban too outspoken when he reveals his motives, notes that this last verse attracted attention

⁶⁶ Cf Armande and Clitandre in les Femmes savantes

^{**} According to Dutrait, op cit, pp 39 40, the actors wished to give the play again, but Crébillon insisted upon its withdrawal

to contrive striking situations and to create passionate characters. He was the only French author who wrote more than three tragedies in 1701-15. His love of romance, of complex material, of terrible situations made him seem to be leading classical tragedy into new paths, while respecting its rules of unity and propriety, but his medium was not well adapted to his experiments. After the Romantic movement had produced tragedies of its own, French audiences preferred to return to Corneille and Racine, or to attend plays by younger dramatists, their own contemporaries, with richer vocabularies than Crébillon, more facile prosody, and more varied dramatic material Crébillon fell between the two schools. He has, however, an assured position in the history of French drama. One may hesitate to agree with Dutrait that he should be ranked third among authors of classical tragedy, but one may well grant him admission to a group of writers, each of whom has some claim to this distinction: Rotrou, Du Ryer. Thomas Corneille, Campistron, and Voltaire.

CHAPTER VIII

PELLEGRIN, DANCHET, HÉNAULT, CHATEAUBRUN, DESCHAMPS

Seven men and two women wrote their first tragedies for the Comédie Française in 1701-15. Of these Crébillon, Nadal, and the women have already been discussed. The five who remain composed eight tragedies at this time. Several of these plays were well received and all were eventually published, but none was acted after its first season.

The author who led the way was S-J. Pellegrin (1663-1745) of Marseilles. who began his adult career as an "aumônier de marine." but who came to Paris and wrote for the Comédie Française, the Foire, and the Opera. Known for his poverty and for mingling religious and operatic interests, he was ridiculed by Legrand as M. de La Rimaille, but he won at least the distinction of writing the first libretto for which Rameau composed the music. Though he was the author of various plays and operas, as well as of religious works in prose and verse, only two of his tragedies were acted ın 1701-15.1

The first of these was POLYDORE,2 derived from Fable CIX of Hygnus. Genest's lost Polymneste, acted in December, 1696, probably dramatized the same story and may have brought it to Pellegrin's attention. It seems that Priam sent his son, Polydorus, to be brought up by the boy's sister. Iliona, who had married Polymnestor, King of the Thracians Shc pretended that he was her own son and that Depylus, her son by Polymnestor, was her brother. After the capture of Troy the Greeks bribed the Thracian king with gold and the offer of Electra's hand to put Polydorus to death, but Polymnestor slew his own son, mistaking him for his wife's brother, and was subsequently killed by Iliona at the instigation of Polydorus. Pellegrin declares that he kept Hyginus's story except that he made Deipylus the son of Polymnestor by an earlier marriage. He did so in order to spare Iliona the responsibility for causing her son's death. He added a character called Laodamie, who, according to the frères Parfaict, is given love that is "trèséquivoque & mal soutenu" They quote verses from IV, 1, that show considerable resemblance to Héraclius Polymnestor evidently found himself in the same quandary as Phocas:

¹ For Pellegrin of the Biographie générale, the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale, La Harpe, op cit, XIV, 58, and Maurice Albert, les Théâtres de la Foire, Paris, Hachette, 1900, pp 49 50

² Paris, M Le Clerc, 1706, 12°, priv, Dec 15, 1705 The Bib du th fr, III, 142, gives the publishers as Pierre le Clerc, Brunet, and le Breton The frères Parfaict, XIV, 369 73, discuss the tragedy I have been unable to examine a copy of it

Mon malheur est si grand, il est si peu commun, Que je trouve deux fils, & n'en puis trouver un . . En faveur de tous deux me laissant prévenir, Je ne sçais qui je dois ou venger ou punir

The frères Parfact find little to praise in the tragedy. "L'exposition en est très-mal faite; la conduite embrouillée, les épisodes sont mal imaginés, les situations manquées, & les personnages peu intéressans" They declare that the sudden catastrophe surprises because of its improbability and that the versification is weak. Yet, accepted on Sept. 19, 1705, the tragedy was acted fifteen times, from Nov. 6 to Dec. 3, and Pellegrin thought well enough of it to select the same subject for an opera, produced in 1720 and in 1739.

His second tragedy was La Mort d'Ulysse, derived, like its predecessor, primarily from Hyginus, who in Fable CXXVII had related the adventures of Ulysses' son by Circe, Telegonus, sent to Ithaca by his mother and there slaying his father, when the latter and Telemachus, unaware of his identity, attack him. Pellegrin adds an oracle that bewilders Ulysses. He brings in Penelope and creates Axiane, a princess whose father had sought Penelope's hand and who is loved by both sons of Ulysses. In dramatizing this material the author made use of various French plays.

The tragedy is based on two romantic notions, belief in oracles and in the cri du sang Ulysses, who lacks entirely the cunning for which he is renowned, is an essentially weak monarch, moved by dread of an oracle he

^{*}Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12°, the priv is that of Polydore, Dec 15, 1705 The approbation, signed by Fontenelle, is dated Dec 14, 1706 There is a copy of it in the Princeton University library The author derived from his tragedy the opera called Talegone (1725)

ealled Talgone (1725)

*Ulysses has returned from Troy and slain all the rival suitors except Amphinome, King of Samos, who has died in captivity and left a daughter, Ariane, about to marry Telemachus. This projected marriage has recalled to Ulysses Cine a parting prediction that his blood would be shed by a very dear hand. Calchas, consulted by messenger, warns him to beware of his son. Thinking that Ariane will turn Telemachus against him, Ulysses decides to marry her to a young stranger, Telegonis. This young man, as we learn subsequently, is the son of Ulysses and Circe. His father had left him in charge of Polite, and Illysses had heard that he had died, but he has in reality been sent by his mother to kill Ulysses, though a strange feeling has not only prevented his doing so, but has caused him to save Ulysses' life. In return he has been made commander of the army. Axiane refuses to marry him and seeks to start a mitiny. Ulysses sends Telegonus to put down the uprising and arrests both Axiane and Telemachus. After questioning the latter, Ulysses is sure of his innocence, but thinks it wise to banish him Soon, however, his paternal affection induces him to change his plan, to tell his legitimate son about the oracle, and to promise to marry him to Axiane. Telegonus resents the loss of the girl and seeks to kill his rival. In the fight that ensues Ulysses receives the blow intended for Telemachus. Explanations follow. The dying Ulysses' discovers that Telegonus is his son and that the oracle has been fulfilled. Overcome with horror at his deed, Telegonus kills himself.

The frères Parfaut, XIV, 422-5, compare the opening scene to that of Crébillon's Idomenée, the scene (III, 4) in which the angry father perceives his son's look of innocence with Phedre, IV, 2 Britanneus may have inspired 'Le barbare qu'il est m'etouffe en in'emhrassant" (V, 3), le Cul, "C'est peu de vous aimer, Seigneur, il vous adore" (IV, 2) and "Et dans ma propre Cour me fera t on la loy'" (IV, 4)

misinterprets. He pays no attention to Circe's threats till he has engaged his son to Axiane. His belief that Telegonus has died is based merely on a letter. He foolishly prevents Telemachus's marriage, then approves of it, though nothing has happened in the meantime to lessen the force of the oracular pronouncement. His actions in regard to Telegonus are partly determined by a mysterious feeling he has for one he believes to be a stranger. He is a somewhat pathetic figure, but one who violates classical prescription in regard to well-known legendary characters

Penelope is presented as a devoted mother rather than as a faithful wife. She is quite useless, as the frères Parfaict point out, except to provide the troupe with a second feminine rôle of some distinction. Axiane speaks boldly to Ulysses and will probably dominate Telemachus, a mild youth, ever seeking to calm the hot tempers of those by whom he is surrounded. In depicting him, Pellegrin may have been influenced by Télémaque. Telegonus is much more forceful and interesting. As the son of Circe and Ulysses, he has a split personality, dominated, now by vice, now by virtue. He is attracted to Ulysses, as his father is to him, though both are unaware of the relationship. It is unfortunate that he was not given a larger part with greater emphasis upon the inner conflict. The only other character worth mentioning is Eumaeus, promoted from his humble position in the Odyssey to the post of Ulysses' confidant, a similar elevation to that assigned to him in Genest's Pénélope.

The background of the action is not clear. Ulysses has been carrying on a successful war, yet he has caused much unrest among his subjects. Though Axianc is kept under guard, she is able to conspire. The merveilleux is represented, not only by the oracle and the cry of the blood, but by the darkening of the sun and the burst of a thunderstorm when Ulysses is wounded. The double recognition scene, placed almost at the end of the tragedy (V, 11), is effective. When Ulysses is wounded and Telegonus regretfully explains that Circe had sent him to Ithaca for that purpose, Ulysses asks how he know her and is told that the young man had been brought up at her court

U Je tremble de quel Pére as-tu reçû le jour? La mort m'avoit ravi des ma plus tendre enfance Celuy que l'on croyoit auteur de ma naissance IJ Je frissonne Son nom? т Polite U Je frémis Grands Dieux! à quel forfait je reconnois mon Fils? Т Moy' votre Fils, Seigneur' Dieux' quel affreux mystere! Moy même à quel forfait reconnois je mon Pére?

Except in the character of Ulysses and the fact that Penelopc is useless,

classical regulations are respected. The play's obvious faults were partially redeemed by the scene of double recognition, if one may judge by its satisfactory, though brief popularity. Acted first on Dec. 29, 1706, it was not given a second time until Jan. 13, 1707, but it ran until Feb 4 Joannidès assigns to it eleven performances, the frères Parfaict, thirteen. According to these last writers, Ulysses was played by Ponteuil, Telemachus by Etienne Baron, Telegonus by Beaubourg, Penelope by la Desbrosses, Axiane by Charlotte Desmares.

Pellegrin wrote a third tragedy, one that was read in 1710, but has not survived in its original form. On Feb. 4 of that year the due du Maine wrote his write that two days before he had been present when a tragedy called Pŕlopí E o was read at Versailles, and proceeded to give her a detailed analysis of it.

Pélopée tells her confidant that in her vouth, when she was known as Amestris. she had met a "rustre" called Eurotas, who had courted her in the hollow of a rock and either seduced her or married her secretly. The boy born of this union was taken away shortly after his birth and Eurotas disappeared at about the same time As an oracle informed Pelopée that fifteen years later she would find a husband at Argos and would have other matters cleared up, she established herself in that town. Only the day before she has been given an apartment in the palace and is now called a princess, though she does not know who she is. We also learn that she has been attracted by the admiration of a young man at court, that Atreus, King of Argos, loves her, and that there has been an uprising among the people In Act II Atrens makes love to the princess with so little success that he engages Uriste, the young man who loves her, to speak to her in his behalf. We hear that the uprising, which continues, has been caused by sympathy for Thyestes, whom Atreus, his brother, keeps in prison. Pélopie receives word that Thyestes is her father and writes him an affectionate letter. In Act III Uriste carries out Atreus's commission, but shows at the same time his own love of the princess. Atreus finds him on his knees before Pelopée, but pretends not to notice his attitude. In Act IV Atreus learns from a courtier who had retired from society that Uriste is the son of Thyestes Atrens begs him to keep this information to himself and has him placed under guard He gets possession of the letter that Pélopee had written to Thyestes, concludes that she loves him, and plans a terrible revenge. By showing the letter to Uriste he convinces him that Pelopie loves Thyestes. Uniste hecomes so jealous that he agrees to obey Atrens and murder Thyestes, who is to be brought out of prison for that purpose A captam of the guards begins the last act by seeking Uriste Thyestes then enters with a friend, sees the place where two of his children were niurdered, and wonders what has become of a son he has had placed at the

⁶ In its final form it was played at the Comédie Francaise on July 18, 1733, and, under the name of the chevalier Pellegrin, was published at Paris, P. Le Breton, 1733, 8°, with a dedication in verse to the marrelal due de Villurs, the hero of Denain, then in the next to last year of his life. The play was republished, Utrecht, Ettenne Némulue, 1733.

Ettenne Néminne, 1733

The letter was published by A de Bouslisle in the Annuaire Bulletin of the Societé de l'histoire de France for 1908, pp 223 31 In his brief introduction Boissisle calls the tragedy an imitation of Æschylus, but I have been unable to discover on what fact this opinion is based

mercy of wild animals Uriste appears, shows Pélopée's letter, asks Thyestes how he knew her, and proposes a duel Thyestes declines and presents his chest for Uriste to pierce At this moment the captain introduces the courtier whom Atreus had arrested Uriste, interrupted when about to strike Thyestes, is told that his intended victim is his father. Instead of striking, he embraces him and learns from him that Pélopée is the daughter of Thyestes. Though he nearly dies as a result of this information, he goes out to put his father on the throne. In the fight that ensues Atreus dies, while Pélopée holds the stage and tells us with what pleasure she expects to marry Uriste. Thyestes returns in triumph and is recognized by Pélopée as Eurotas. She upbraids him till she learns that he is her father. Then she sees that "il y du qu'as-tu and stabs herself. Thyestes desires to kill himself "en se voyant son propre gendre; mais on s'y oppose, et je crois qu'il va faire de belles réflexions."

The due du Maine comments that the subject is frightful, "tous les personnages sont parents sans se connoître, tantôt ils s'aiment un peu trop, tantôt ils se haissent de même, et les convenances des âges y sont aussi forcées." He notes the author's skill in keeping the explanation of the relationships for the end of the play and in making monsters appear to be "de très honnêtes gens" He finds the verses "beaux et aisés" and wonders why he has been "ni touché ni intéressé."

The play was derived, like the two that had preceded it, from Hyginus. The due du Maine does not indicate that Uriste was Ægisthus Probably in this case and in others the text was more explicit. Crimes committed by members of a family upon one another, mysterious calls of the blood, and scenes of recognition had already been employed by Pellegrin, but he had previously introduced no such element of horror. He was probably influenced by Crébillon's Atrée et Thyeste, which was first acted in March, 1707, and of which Pélopée may be considered a sequel. In both plays Atreus seeks to have Thyestes's son murder his father. Pellegrin was quite indifferent to the improbability of a love affair between a woman and the fifteen-year old son she had had by her father. That a play based upon such a theme could be read at court near the end of Louis XIV's life makes it appear that the censorship exercised by the king and Mme de Maintenon was less strict than has been supposed

Apparently, however, Pellegrin was restrained from giving this play to the actors during Louis's reign and during the Regency Perhaps the accusations of incest with his daughter that were brought against the due d'Orléans made it seem unwise to offer the tragedy to the public till after his death. However this may be, it was not played until 1733, when it was

* Fables LXXXVII and LXXXVIII The theme of the sword employed to identify the ravisher must have been omitted by Pellegrin

^{*}Boisliste knew no other example of this expression, though he could have found one cited from La Fontaine hy Littré, s v catu, cf also Huguet, Diotionnaire du Seizième Siècle, s v catus

well received, according to Pellegrin, who could have supported his assertion by the fact that it was then performed sixteen times. In publishing it, he indicated his principal source and declared that respect for the proprieties made him change the rape of Pélopée to a secret marriage. Boisliste noted that between 1710 and 1733 the tragedy "subit quelques modifications," but these were much more considerable than this observation implies.

In its new form the young hero is called Ægiste, Atreus is not in love with Pélopée and pretends that she is his daughter, though he knows that she is his nicce, Thyestes is not imprisoned till the middle of the play; some importance is given to the intervention of Tyndarus, King of Sparta, a theme that may have been suggested by the Ægyste of Séguineau et Pralard, a play acted in 1719 and now lost, but of which an analysis was preserved by the frères Parfaict, Pelopée first appears on the stage at the beginning of the second act, loves her husband faithfully, is aware that he is Thyestes, and does not kill herself. By sparing her Pellegrin softened the ending, but he left "unfinished business," as we are not told what will be the effect upon the trio of the discovery that Pélopée is her father's wife and the half-sister of her son. 10

In his first three plays Pellegrin dramatized ancient tales he had found

Thyestes, supported by Tyndarus, King of Sparta, has marched against Atreus, who had deprived him of Argos and made him drink his son's blood. Sostrate, who has been hiding in Atreus's dominions, is faithful to Thyestes and asks Areas to take him a note explaining his innucence of a certain crime. This note falls into the hands of Atreus, who learns from it that a warrior who has been protecting him is the sou of Thyestes. The latter had given his daughter, Pélopée, to Arbate to be slain, but Arbate had brought her to Atreus, who I ad substituted her for his own daughter, curried off from her cradle. Thyestes had subsequently forced his way into Atreus's palace and taken away Pélopée, who had been recaptured by Ægisthus Atreus has offered her in marringe to Thyestes, who has refused the fire apparently because he believes his ally, Tyndarus, would marry him to his daughter, Clytemestri. Thyestes has challenged Ægisthus, and Atreus urges him to fight, but Pélopée, whom Ægisthus loves, persuades him to spare Thyestes. She admust to her confidant that she is secretly married to Thyestes and has hid a child by him, but that she has given the boy to Sostrate to rear, for Apollo's craile has warned her that he will be in danger of committing parried and incest. At the heginning of Act III Thyestes is in prison. He has felt so much emotion upon meeting Ægisthus that he has been unable to use his sword and has been captured by the youth. He now recalls an oracle that told him he would again rule over Argos. Par un fils qui naftra de ta fille & de toi. To avoid incest he had given his daughter to Arbate to kill. Pélopée greets him and proposes that they make known their marriage, but Thyestes would delay in order not to offend Tyndarus. Ægisthus wishes to defend Thyestes him to agree to Atreus's demand. He calois a mutiny and prepares to kill Thyestes, but, as he dislikes assassination, he proposes that they fight in Tyndarus's camp. Thyestes refuses the combat. At this point Sostrate enters, is accused by Thyestes of k

in Hyginus, themes that had been neglected by most other dramatists and must have been little known to the public. When he introduces familiar characters like Ulysses, Penelope, and Ægisthus, he may present them with slight regard for the kind of reputation they had acquired in earlier literature. Oracular pronouncements and mysterious appeals of kinship play an important part in his tragedies. He seeks sensational situations, presented at the expense of probability. His skillful use of surprise may explain the early success of his tragedies when acted at Paris. Their failure to remain in the repertory seems due to his inability to select themes or to create characters that inspire a desire for more intimate acquaintance. Like the due du Maine, the spectators soon found that these tragedies neither touched nor interested them

Antoine Danchet (1671-1748) was born in Auvergne, at Riom, was educated there and at Paris, and showed such aptitude for classical studies that he was given a "chaire de Rhétorique" at Chartres. He returned to Paris as a tutor and composed verses of various kinds. He was thrown with Boindin, Saurin, J.-B. Rousseau, and other frequenters of the café Laurent. He became known to the public through his opera, Hésione, 11 produced in 1700. As the bulk of his work was written to be accompanied by music, he became in a sense the successor of Quinault, with Campra replacing Lully as composer. He was highly enough esteemed to be elected to the Academy of Inscriptions and the French Academy and to hold a position as censor, a fact that accounts for the appearance of his name signed to various "approbations" of the period.

In the midst of his operatic occupations he found time to write four tragedies, the first of which was Cyrus.¹² In the Avertissement of this play he tells us that the general idea of it and one of its leading characters were derived from a Latin tragedy, composed a few years before. According to the Discours that heads the 1751 edition of Danchet's Théâtre, the tragedy to which he refers was written by Father La Rue ¹³ He held that he should be allowed to imitate the modern author of a Latin tragedy as the great French dramatists had made successful use of Seneca, Sophocles, and Euripides Brueys had advanced a similar argument in the preface of Gabinee when he defended his use of Father Jourdan's Susanna. The frères Parfaiet

¹¹ It was verses written in imitation of lines in this opera that began the literary quarrel which ended in J.B Rousseau's exile, of H A Grubbs, J.B Rousseau, Princeton, 1941, pp. 44, 46

Princeton, 1941, pp 44, 46

Princeton, 1941, pp 44, 46

Princeton, 1941, pp 44, 46

Republished in his Théâtre of 1741

and 1751

²⁸ This must be his Curus restitutus, a tragedy written for performance at the College de Clermont in 1673. A programme published in that year, in 1679, 1691, and 1705, has been preserved at the Bibliothèque Nationale, as well as the text, which forms part of Caroli Rusei. Carminum libri quatuor, Paris, 1680, 4°

(XIV, 412) think that Danchet was ill advised to imitate a school play, for such works need only "une versification forte, de grandes maximes, & des sentimens d'un héroisme très-relevé," while crities of the popular stage insist upon structural correctness, verisimilitude, natural and well-sustained characters, and "beaucoup d'intérêt."

The subject that La Rue had dramatized goes back to the first book of Herodotus Astage remains, as in the Greck account, superstitious, cruel, vengeful, ready to destroy his grandson in order that his throne may not be in danger, and especially intent upon punishing Harpage. At the end of the French play he kills himself, although, in the Greck account, he is held in captivity by his grandson. This gloomy, self-centered inonarch contrasts with the other characters, who vie with one another in virtue. Harpage is probably the character influenced by La Rue, for he is given more importance than in Herodotus and is presented differently. It is he, not his agent, who saves Cyrus. His son is served up to him at a royal feast, as in Herodotus, but, instead of seeking revenge, he now thinks only of saving Cyrus. He even begs the young prince to spare Astiage and seeks to give up his life in order to preserve the royal family.

The other characters are less interesting. Before Cyrus learns who he is, he is ashamed of his supposed rank and is eager to distinguish himself in war in order that his origin may be forgotten. He is, however, a respectful son and lover, grateful to Harpage and extremely tolerant of his grandfather's evil desires. Under Harpage's influence he even agrees to restore Astrage to his throne. The presentation of Harpage's drughter, Palmire, is marred by her unwillingness to listen to Cyrus's courtship until she learns that he is a member of the royal family. Mandane, a lachrymose princess, is introduced chiefly to bring about a scene of double recognition.

Astrage is too deeply a villain. The other characters are too noble As the frères Parfaict suggest, the characterization is that of a school play. On the

¹⁴ The battle between the Medes and the Persians is about to begin when Harpage kneels before Cyrns, tells him who he is, how he came to be in his present situation, and calls him to be proclaimed king by the army. Not only does Cyrus win the battle, but he saves Astiage's life and brings him back as a prisoner Harpage urges Cyrus to release this grandfather, who, intouched by this generous advice, offers to release Cyrus's parents if Harpage is put to death Cyrus's refuses His mother, Mandane, escaping from imprisonment, urges her son to save his father, Cambise, whom Astiage will execute inless Harpage is slain and his daughter, Pshuire, is prevented from marrying Cyrus. Harpage accepts these terms, refuses Astiage's offer to have Cyrus die in his stead, gets the king to write an order, and visits the hostile camp after leaving word that Palmire is to avoid Cyrus. When he reaches the camp, however, he finds that the chieftains of the Medes are angered by Astiage's plan and have decided to disregard it, to free Cambise, and to ask Cyrus to rule over them. Harpage returns in trimuph Cambise will follow Cyrus nobly offers to restore Astiage to the throne of Medis, but the old king, seeing that his prophetic dream has been fulfilled, realizing the fulfility of struggling against divine predictions, and suffering from shame over his defeat, poisons himself. Cyrus will succeed to the double throne and make Palmire his queen.

other hand, the structure is classical. The unities of time and place are preserved, that of action may also be so considered, for, though Mandane could have been kept off the stage without changing the dénouement, her rôle is closely attached to the main action of the tragedy. There are numerous situations that make possible moral struggles, but these, owing to the fixity of the characters, are little developed. The cri du sang is introduced when Cyrus and his mother meet and when Astiage encounters his grandson without knowing who he is, but in the latter case knowledge drives out sentiment, for we soon hear the king calmly propose to Harpage that he put Cyrus to death. Danehet anticipated Crébillon by introducing horror into his tragedy (I, 2), but he emphasized the ghastly situation less than Seneca had done or than Crébillon was to do.

> Ce cher fils, l'en frissonne & d'horreur & d'effroi, Par son ordre égorgé fût [sic] offert devant moi Attentifs aux effets d'un si barbare outrage, Ses regards curieux observoient mon visage Je demeural muet dans ce eruel moment, Je lui parûs [sic] sans trouble & sans ressentiment, De mon sang qui erioit j'étouffai le murmure, Et la rage en mon cœur suspendit la nature

The frères Parfact report that Danehet read the tragedy to the actors on July 8, 1705, that they offered suggestions, and that he followed their advice with the result that, when he read it again, Jan. 27, 1706, they accepted it and agreed to play it promptly. It ran from Feb. 23 to March 19. and these twelve performances were followed by seven others before the end of the year. What must have especially pleased the spectators was the use of recognition. There are three scenes of reconnaissance, one of which, as in la Mort d'Ulysse, is double 15

The other tragedy that Danchet wrote in this period was LES TYNDARIDES 16 In his preface he expresses surprise that, while Helen and Clytemnestra had appeared in many tragedies, their brothers had been forgotten by poets.17 He thinks this is because dramatists prefer to describe violent passions rather than to portray examples of sublime virtue. He adds that in this tragedy he has not written for those who believe that a father, a brother. relatives, honor, and virtue may be sacrificed to love

¹⁵ The popularity of the play is indicated by the Gazette de Rotterdam, cited by

Mélèse, Rép., p 217

18 Paris, Pierre Ribon, 1708 12° Republished in Danchet's Théâtre of 1741 and no puetle in verse addressed to Bignon, prévôt 1751 With the play is published an epistle in verse addressed to Bignon, prevôt des marchands, who with his brothers has supplied the poet with models for his play 17 Not strictly true, for the twins appear and Pollux speaks in Euripides's Helen, while Father La Rue had written a Latin comedy called Tyndarde, the prologue and

first act of which are preserved in an eighteenth century manuscript, listed in Soleinne, no 3638

His main source seems to have been Hyginus, Fables LXXVII and LXXX, where it is stated that Idas and his brother, Lynceus, were engaged to Phoebe and Hilaira, that the girls were earried off by Castor and Pollux, and that in the quarrel that resulted Castor killed Lynceus, Idas killed Castor, and Pollux avenged his brother by slaying Idas. The devotion of the brothers and the idea that Pollux was immortal, while Castor was not, are also found in Hyginus Danchet transferred the responsibility for Lynceus's death from Castor to Idas, thus clearing Castor's reputation and offering an example of brotherly hatred to contrast with that of brotherly devotion. He suppressed Phoebe and gave three lovers to Hilaira, whose name has become Elaire He added the location in Cyprus, the method of selecting a king, the shipwreck the proposed departure for Greece, and minor incidents. 18

Danchet's main purpose was to present an example of perfect brotherly affection. Castor doubts Pollux only for a moment, while Pollux never wavers Each is willing to sacrifice his love of Elaire for the other's sake. As Castor learns that he is not loved, while Pollux knows that he is, the latter's sacrifice is the greater. With their affection is contrasted the lack of fraternal feeling in Idas, who kills his brother when he finds that he is his rival. Elaire has an important function in the plot and helps to bring cut the strength of the brothers' devotion to each other. Idas is as complete a villain as Astiage had been in Danchet's earlier tragedy.

The plot is simple The play is well constructed and has a number of dramatic scenes. The oracle and the promise of immortality are examples of the merveilleux. There are scenes in which love is confessed, but there

¹⁸ As the King of Cyprus is dead, various candidates have been competing for the succession. The man who defeats his rivals will not only be made king, but marry Elaire, daughter of the deceased monarch. Idus, a descendant of Perseus, defeats other contestants and finally kills his brother, Linede, but Flaire is invilling to marry a man guilty of fratricide, the more so as she is already in love with Pollux. The latter and his brother, Castor, had pursued Theseus when he carried off Helen, their sister, and had been shipwiseded on the coast of Cyprus. Thinking his brother dead, Pollux had been on the point of killing himself when Elaire intervened. They were soon joined by Castor. At the beginning of the play Idus wishes to send the brothers to Greece and has prepared ships for that purpose, but Castor and Pollux have both fallen in love with Elaire and desire to protect her and to punish Idas for killing his brother. Castor tells Pollux of his love and thus prevents him from making a similar confession. Flaire appeals to Pollux to prevent the fight between Castor and Idas, but Pollux refuses to interfere. She then persuades the people to postpone the crowning of Idas and admits to him that she loves one of the brothers, but will not say which. When Castor tells Elaire that he loves her, he discovers that she loves Pollux and reports the fact to his brother. When he finds that Pollux also loves her and has kept vilent in order not to be his brother's rival, he withdraws from the fight in favor of Pollux in order hat the latter may marry Elaire. In return Pollux decides to give up Elaire and adheres to this decision even when the princess declares that she loves him, but, when he learns that Idas has insisted upon fighting Castor, that the latter's sword has broken, and that he has received a fatal wound, he avenges him by killing Idas. He now sees that he is the one of the brothers declared by an oracle to be immortal and implies that he will inarry Elaire, though for the present he is chiefly moved by grief ov

is no scene of recognition. The fact that sexual love is deliberately subordinated to another emotion shows that Danchet accepted Corneille's attatude towards the function of love in tragedy.

Les Tundandes was acted thirteen times, from Dec. 16, 1707, to Jan. 11, 1708. According to the frères Parfaict, the rôles of Idas, Pollux, and Castor were taken, respectively, by Ponteuil, Beaubourg, and Etienne Baron, that of Elaire by la Desmarcs. Danchet admits that at the first performances the spectators failed to apprecite his exaltation of virtue, but he thinks that his critics learned that he was appealing to their intelligence rather than seeking to move their feelings. An anonymous author discussed the play at length in le Nouveau Mercure for April, 1708.19 He blamed the spectators for not appreciating the tragedy. He praised the choice of the subject, the simplicity and unity of the play, the contrast between the two examples of fraternal feeling. He thought that Danchet derived the contest over Elaire of claimants to the throne from a Latin tragedy on the same subject.20 He admired especially the interview between the brothers (II, 3) and the fourth act, but he concluded that there should have been other spectators for Danchet, or, to win those of the day, another poet.

A hostile critic attacked the parterre's judgment, which favored the play. Danchet in a sharp reply identified this critic as Abeille.21 At the Foire Saint-Laurent the tragedy was parodied by Lenoble the following year in les Poussus de Léda 22 However sentimental one may find the theme of brotherly devotion, the cmphasis placed upon it by Danchet shows that he was seeking to depart from the usual presentation of love on the stage. He had been preceded in his study of fraternal affection by Belin in Mustapha et Zéangir and, long before, by Corneille in Rodogune, but there are few other examples in French tragedy that he could have known.

The third author to produce a tragedy penetrated into social circles to which Pellegrin and Danchet could not aspire, but this fact failed to spur his creative imagination. Charles-Jean-François Hénault, born at Paris in 1685, was a pupil of the Jesuits and during two years prepared himself to be an Oratorian, but he gave up the church for the law, for letters, and for society In 1710 hc became "président de la première chambre des enquêtes," in 1724 a member of the French Academy. He was superintendent of Queen Marie Leczinska's household and, at the end of his life, held at least nominally the same position in the establishment of Marie Antoinette. After his wife's death he lived for many years with Mme Du Deffand. He was a most amiable

¹⁸ Cited by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 457-66

This may be La Rue's Tyndarde, cf above, n 17

Tof Mélèse, Th et Pub, pp 312-3

Cf. the frères Parfaict, Mémoires sur les Spectacles de la Foire, I, 100.

man of the world, but also a writer who composed historical works, occasional verse, and even plays.28

His one dramatic composition that preceded the death of Louis XIV was Cornflie Vestale,24 a tragedy that he described in his memoirs as "une déclaration [déclamation?] en quinze cents vers où quatre vers auraient suffi." 25 So modest an estimate might well disarm critics, but it has failed to do so

The principal source is the Younger Pliny (Epistle IV, § 11), who speaks of a Roman knight, Celer, and a Vestal virgin, Cornelia, put to death by Domitian for illicit relations, though they protested that they were innocent. Senator Licinianus is mentioned in the same letter. Hénault created for the lovers parental opposition to their marriage, for Celer a distinguished career in Gaul, for Cornelia the love of Domitian. He made of Licinianus a confident of the emperor and a false witness against Cornelia. He added Emilic, head of the Vestals, making her a relative of Doinitian and a rival of the heroine for Celer's love. To present these characters to the public he employed his knowledge of earlier plays,28 but he displayed little talent for dramatic composition.27

23 (f. Henri Lion, Le President Henault, 1685 1770, Paris, Plon, 1903, and François Rousseau, Mémoires du Prémdent Henault, Paris, Hachette, 1911

24 Though acted in 1713, it was not published intil 1768, when Horace Walpole, to whom it is deducated, printed it at Strawberry Hill Republished, 1769, in Pieces

de théatre, without place or printer's name

25 Cf Fr Rousseau, op cit, p 23

26 "Je l'aime indifferent, le fuirés vous sensible" echoes Andromaque, v 1365

The situation in III, 4, when Domitian, after dismissing Celer, discovers him with
Coincile, resembles Musiapha et Zeangur, IV, 5 An emperor's love for a Vestal, coincide, resembles Musiapha et Zeangir, IV, 5. An emperor's love for a Vestal, resulting in her death and that of the emperor's rival, had been portrayed by Pichantië in Geta. Lion, op cit. p. 195, finds in the play "pas mad de Britannicus, in pen d'Andromaque et un pen eneore de Nicomède". Henault may have considered the "grande there" at the end of his tiagedy justified by the example of Bajazet Though he does not employ the word "stances," he gives in I, 2, a correct example of the usage, unique in tragedies of the period 1701 15. In so doing he may have been justified by

been unitating le Cid and Polyeucle
27 Shortly after his accession to the throne, the Emperor Domitian had fallen in love with Cornéhe when he saw her take her vows as a Vestal. He now visits the Temple of Vesta under the pretext of seeing his relative, Emilie, who had been made a Vestal by his brother, Titus. He informs Emilie that he has directed the senate to give a triningli to Celer, who has just quelled an uprising in Gaul. Emilie sees that Domitian loves Cornelie and hopes that, if he marries her, a precedent will be established for her own marriage to Cele. The latter and Cornelie still love each other, though hostility between their families had caused her to become a Vestal and him to seek to suppress his love by fighting the Gauls Celer, who does not know that Cornélie has taken her vows, but who has heard that peace has been made between her family and his, hurries back to Rome in the hope of winning her Meanwhile Domitian has offered her marriage and been refused. He receives Celer warmly till he discovers his love for Cornélie, whereupon he orders him to return to Gaul, but Emilie, wishing to gain time, persuades the emperor to allow Celer to postpone his departure She then pretends to Celer that Cornélie will yield to Domitian Celer accuses Cornélie of wishing to be empress. She hotly denies that she does. He kneels to ask pardon and is discovered by Domitian, who had been summoned by Emilie, and is arrested. Licinien brings criminal charges against Cornélie in order to force her to marry the emperor Domitian offers to save Celer The fundamental difficulty about the subject is that it is not explained how the emperor could expect to marry one Vestal virgin and give another to Celer. Since this problem is not cleared up, the mental struggles that are based on it move us little. The characters are thoroughly virtuous or thoroughly evil. There is little variety in the scenes till we near the end, when extraordinary violence is employed. It is not made clear why Cornélie finds it necessary to stab herself, though her death not only disposes of the heroine, but occasions that of two other important characters. At the end of the play, as Licinie has been slain by the gods, only the emperor and two attendants are left alive.

The structure, except for the lack of inevitability in the heroine's last deed, is entirely classical. Lion is mistaken in calling it "implexe" and in saying that the action "se passe on ne sait où," 28 for the plot, however absurdly presented, is simple enough, and the place, though not indicated below the dramatic personae, is obviously within the precincts of the Temple of Vesta, in an imitation seventeenth-century convent parlor, a place familiar to the spectators. The time must be only a few hours. The one death that takes place on the stage, Emilie's, is a suicide. The element of the merveilleux is considerable, but it is placed in a récit (V, 8)

Le ciel vomit du sang, le soleil qui nous luit, Céde a [sic] l'obscurité d'une subite nuit, Le Tibre inugissant inonde son rivage, Le tonuere cent fois a percé le nuage,

While there are few ideas in the play, there may be an early trace of eighteenth-century anticloricalism in the selection of two consecrated virgins as ardent lovers, in the sorry rôle played by the priests, and in such lines as these (I, 3)

C'est dans le sein du temple, au pied de ses autels, Que l'on voit se former les plus grands criminels

The most interesting thing about the play is the story of its publication. It was announced without Hénault's name at the Comédie Française, was acted there only five times,²⁹ and was left unpublished for over half a

if he will marry Emilie and pretends to Cornèlie that his offer has been accepted, but the lovers are not deceived and prepare to die together. Blood falls from the sky, the sun is darkened, a bolt strikes Licinici, and the people seek to rescue Cornèlie. When a priest lays his hand upon her bandeau, he is stricken dead, but she stabs herself with the sacrificial knife, Celer imitates her, and Emilie, after telling about these events, kills herself. As she dies, she advises the emperor to follow her example.

²⁶ Op cut, p 195
²⁵ From Jan 27 to Feb 5, 1713 When Hénault wrote a preface for his plays, quoted by Lion, loc cut, he admitted that he had presented this tragedy under another person's name The frères Parfaut (XV, 131-8) thought it to have been written by Fuzelier, who loaned them "le manuscrit de sa Tragedie pour en tirer

century. Apparently Mme Du Deffand called Walpole's attention to the existence of the manuscript, which he then offered to publish at his Strawberry Hill press. She refers to the matter several times in her correspondence with her English friend. 30 On Nov. 9, 1767, she writes that to publish the play would require "trop de soin et trop de dépense," but continues to inquire about the progress of the printing. Walpole was at first unwilling to include a preface in which Hénault dedicated the play to him but he finally consented. On Feb. 17, 1768, Mme Du Deffand writes that fifty copies will be shough for Hénault, though a hundred would please him. The author became nervous, fearing criticism, but was reasouted by the reminder that the play would not be for sale and that it would be given only to persons selected by himself. On July 6 Walpole was informed that the copies he had sent had reached Puris, seventy for Mmc Du Deffand, who on July 3 had sent one to Voltaire, 31 and eighty for Hénault This left fifty for the publisher, for only two hundred were printed 12

The octogenarian was obviously pleased. "Cornélie n'aura pas perdu pour attendre." he wrote in his dedication. "C'est pour elle un magnifique établissement" One may hope that he was sufficiently serene not to be hurt, when he received his copies, by the printer's errors, especially in the matter of accents, or by the severe criticism with which Grimm gracted the publication 33 Some of the critic's observations, though maccurate, are not lacking in humor

On ne sait pas trop pourquoi toutes ces vestales, si amourenses, out choisi un état pour lequel elles ont si peu de vocation 36 Le délateur Lumen tue par le tonnerie, qui anrait beaucoup mieux fait d'aller au fait, et de tomber sur Domitien. Les pontifes qui veulent frapper Coinélie sont eux nêmes frappés de paralysie, et restent perclus de leurs membres " A voir le galant ce douceroux

cet extrait" It seems improbable, however, that this author of farces and of plays for the Fone had a hand in Hénault's solemn production. He had probably acted only as an intermediary between the "président" and the actors. The manuscript he loaned differs from the printed text, for the fières Parfact quote a number of verses from it that are omitted or altered in the published play. They give the cast as Ponteuri (Domitian), Quinant [probably J-B Maurice Quinant] (Celer),

cast as Foncent (Domitian), Quinant (phobally 5-B Mairice Quinant) (Celer), Guérin (Licinien), Du Bocage (Maxime, Capitaine des gaides de Domitien), la Desmares (Emilie), la de Nesle (Cornélie), la ballé (Albine, a confidant)

30 Cf Mrs Paget Toynbee, Lettres de la Marquise Du Deffand à Horace Walpole (1766-1780), London, Methuen, 1912, I, 341 seq

31 Voltaire wrote on July 13 that he had received the book, but he was kind enough to say in regard to it only that he remembered seeing the tragedy acted over fifty years earlier, cf Moland edition, XLVI, 73 4, 77

^{*2} One of these is now in the library of Princeton University

³⁸ M Tourneux Correspondance nar Grumm, Duderot, etc., Paris, Garnier, VIII (1879), 125-9

²⁴ Yet the text states that Emilie had been forced by the Emperor Titus to take the veil and that Cornelie had followed her example because she despaired of marrying Celer

²⁵ Only one priest is paralyzed and he falls dead

président Hénault au milieu d'un souper, on ne se douterait pas qu'il fût capable d'user de moyens aussi violents pour se défaire des gens qui l'emharrassent. Heureusement ces petites plaisanteries se passent derrière le théâtre

His conclusion is that "ce plan puéril est exécuté de la manière la plus faible et la plus froide."

Jean-Baptiste Vivien de Chateaubrun (1686-1775), maître d'hôtel of the Regent's son, the duc d'Orléans, and a member of the French Academy, had a curious dramatic career, for the first of his plays was acted on Nov. 13, 1714, the remaining three as late as 1754-6. It is said that these last were held back because of the duke's religious scruples. Perhaps, too, the success of his first tragedy, which was played only twelve times, was not sufficient to encourage opposition to his protector's feelings.

Entitled Mahomet Second, 36 it was the first Turkish tragedy of the century to follow Mustapha et Zéangir. Chatcaubrun declares that all he took from history was the facts that Mahomet II captured Constantinople, that Constantine and his Greeks died after fighting desperately, that Mahomet loved a captive named Irene, and that, roused by his soldiers' protests, he gave up love for fame. He admits that some historians declared that the Sultan put his mistress to death, but he has the authority of Bayle 37 and others for having her die in a different manner. He adds that he chose the name Comnenus because of its celebrity and because a member of the family is said to have shared "la gloire et les malheurs de la défaite des Grees" He is not to be confused, according to Chateaubrun, with the prince of the same name who was Emperor of Trebizond and who was put to death by Mahomet II.

Nevertheless the facts that, when the Sultan captured Trebizond, he took into his harem the daughter of the last ruling Commenus and converted one of this prince's sons to his religion 38 may well have suggested to Chateaubrun certain elements of his plot, for he laid his scene in the Sultan's seraglio at Constantinople, where the youthful daughter of Commenus is held and into which her brother, who pretends to be a loyal Jamissary, is allowed to penetrate. The young man's conspiracy, his suicide, and his sister's may well have been Chateaubrun's inventions. They enabled him, despite many improbabilities, to produce two fine scenes of recognition, the

²² Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1715, 12° Republished in the Nouveau Théâtre françois, Utrecht, Néaulnic, 1734, and in the Théâtre françois of 1737 For the author of the Biographic générale

²⁷ Cf Decisionname, s v Mahomet II Bayle mentions Guillet de Saint George, who in his Histoire du regne de Mahomet II (1081) refers to the Sultan's killing Irene, his mistress, in order to convince his soldiers of his military ardor lirene had been enslaved by a bacha and given to Mahomet

enslaved by a bacha and given to Mahomet

** Cf Chalcocondylas in Corpus historiae byzantinae, Bonn, 1843, p 497, and von
Hammer-Purgstall, Geschichte des Osmanischen Reiches, Pesth, 1840, I, 467-8.

invention of which may have been his principal reason for writing the tragedy.³⁹

Despite its Turkish theme, the play shows little resemblance to Bajazet, but it was probably influenced by Andromaque. Maliomet's attitude towards his captive resembles that of Pyrrhus towards Andromache, while, like Hermione, he expresses angered astonishment towards a man for carrying out his own order in regard to a person he loves. Mahomet is violent enough in his language to give an impression of force and vitality, but the idea that the conqueror of Constantinople entreated a seventeen-year old girl for mercy is almost comic. Chateaubrun admits in his preface that he was criticized for making the Sultan so respectful, but he considers himself justified because love makes one seek the happiness of the beloved and because Mahomet must have been impressed by Irene's distinguished birth. This defense shows that the author treated Turkish manners like French.

There is some hesitation, but no moral struggle in Mahomet's mind, nor is there a struggle in the minds of Thémiste and his sister. The play is a tragedy of intrigue, but, as the conspiracy fails primarily because someone who does not appear on the stage is a traitor, the plot is incomplete. In

When Mahomet II captured Constantinople, the emperor and Thémiste's father and two brothers were killed, while Thémiste, left unconscious, was rescued and revived. He took the name of Osmin, fought for Mahomet, and now, twelve years later is a leader of the Jamesaries. He desires vengeance, but he has waited till his conspiracy may benefit his country. He plans to persuade the army to insist that the Sultan march away from his capital, so that, with the help of Scanderbeg, Corvinus and revolting Greeks, he may capture the city. He reveals these plans to his confidant, Chius, then urges Mahomet to renounce his love for a captive and lead his army to victory. Mahomet declares that the army has no bismess giving him advice and hids Thémiste punish those who murnur, but he admits to his confidant, Achmet, that he disapproves of his own conduct in yielding to Irene's "charme vaniquem". The girl, however, refuses to submit to the man who caused the death of Comnenus, her father. When Thémiste returns with the report that the soldiers will revolt unless Irene is turned over to them, Mahomet hids him write a letter agreeing to deliver her up, but he explains to Achmet that this is only a ruse and orders him to send for Zizim and his troupes. He points cut to Irene the danger she is in and offers marriage, but she declares that she prefers to die Thémiste writes two letters, one of which, carrying out Mahomet's orders, is shown to him, while the other asks that a picked corps be sent to force the emperor's hand Irene begs. Themiste to put her to death at the spot where her family has died. When she tells him her relatives' names, he discovers that she is dead, but, as she leaves the palace, she is captured by soldiers, so that Thémiste is obliged to rush to the rescue. He confides her to Chius, but she returns to the palace because she thinks Themiste's life in danger. He plans to have her go with Chius to the spot where her father died, lure Mahomet there, and have her go with Chius to the spot where her father died, l

other respects it is skillfully constructed in accordance with the classical system. There are only three characters besides the four attendants. Little attempt is made to reproduce Turkish atmosphere, to impress us with the pathetic fate of the Greeks, or to depict the historical background and the danger to occidental civilization caused by the triumph of the Turks.

The action is slow in the first part of the play, but in Act III it begins to move rapidly and continues to do so during the rest of the tragedy, somewhat at the expense of probability. Both scenes of recognition may have been appreciated According to the Bibliothéque françoise, cited by the frères Parfaict (XV, 183), the first of these (III, 4) attracted much attention, but was spoiled by the comic line,

Quoi, mon frere, c'est vous! quoi, c'est vous que j'embrasse!

François-Michel Chrétien Deschamps (1683-1747) was born near Troyes. The selection of Louvois as his godfather was a singular choice for a writer who was subsequently to portray the virtues of Cato. He entered the church, gave it up for the army, in which he held a lieutenancy, but, after a single campaign, he obtained a financial position that enabled him to receive his discharge in 1733. According to the frères Parfaict, he had an amorous disposition and became a "malade imaginaire," treating himself with such assiduity that his illness became real and ended his life. They attribute to him a tyrannical nature that suffered no contradictions and sacrificed his wife's financial interests to his own. He was "d'une moyenne taille, mal campé sur ses jambes, les yeux ronds, extrêmement durs, & qui peignoient parfaitement son caractére."

His first tragedy, begun in 1712, but not acted until Jan. 25, 1715, was CATON D'UTIQUE ⁴¹ His own unbending character may have attracted him to the Younger Cato, while his love of amorous adventure may have suggested the addition of romantic episodes to Plutarch's somber account of the Roman's last day. Deschamps declares in his preface that, as Plutarch had supplied him merely with Cato's having to decide between suicide and falling into Caesar's hands, he has added the rôle of Cato's daughter, Portia, brought up among the Parthians, and has made Pharnaces take part in the play, though he was never at Utica. He gave him vices to contrast with Cato's virtues and placed Caesar between them morally, as a "Politique ambitieux" who was at the same time "dissimulé, intrépide, clément, livré à l'amour." He adds that the actors left out forty of his verses in IV, 2,

⁴⁰ Cf frères Parfaict, XV, 185-96 ⁴¹ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1715, 12° Republished in the *Théâtre françois* of 1737 Deschamps wrote a *Licurgue* that he did not give to the actors, an *Artaxerce*, played in 1735, but not printed, and two tragedies that have survived, *Antiochus et Cléopâtre*, acted nine times in 1717, and *Médus*, acted eight times in 1739

with the result that Portia was criticized for passing too quickly from love to hatred of Caesar. He has replaced them in the published play.⁴²

While the chief historical source is Plutarch's Life of Cato the Younger, there are borrowings from other portions of Plutarch, from other ancient historians, and from Corneille.⁴³ So much romantic material is added, however, that most of the play resembles a seventeenth-century romance rather than a tragedy. A child, captured in battle, is substituted for a king's daughter. She feels strangely drawn to her own father before she knows who he is Caesar does his courting during a short pause in military operations. Abduction is threatened and much fighting goes on behind the scenes.

Less than a third of the scenes are devoted to the political considerations that brought about Cato's death, yet the unity of action, like those of time and place, is preserved, for it is Pharnaces's desire to marry Portia that

42 There are in reality thirty-two, indicated by marginal stars in the edition of 1737 Cate holds out at Utica, while Caesar, after defeating Scipio and Juba, advances Cate's daughter, Portia, brought up by the wife of Crassus, had fallen into the hands of Arsaces, King of Parthia, after he had defeated the Romans As she resembled the king's daughter, who had just died, she was substituted for the dead princess. She was sent to Rome to marry Pharnaces, son of Mithridates, but the civil war prevented their union. Now he has come to Utica to marry her, hut, as she has learned that he has murdered her supposed brother, Picorus, she wishes to get out of the engagement. She has another reason in the fact that she has fallen in love with a Roman ambassador whose name she does not know. As Arsace has recently died, she seeks Cato's advice. He hopes she will continue the allume made by the king and promises to protect her from Pharmaces. He learns from a letter left by the Parthian monarch that his supposed daughter, Alsene, is really Cato's daughter, Portia Surprised to find himself the father of a queen, he tells Pharma es that she cannot reign. When Pharmaces threatens to join Caesar if she renounces the throne, Cato gives him permission to do so. Pharmaces then sends messengers to Cacsar offering to kill Cato if he may be allowed to keep his own throne. Mean while Cresar's emissary, Domitius, comes to acrange an interview between his master and Cato and to seek for Caesar the hand of the supposed Parthian quen Caesar is willing to come without hostages if Cato promises that he will not be molested Cato agrees to his terms, but he warms that Pharmaces cannot be trusted and states that he will consequently meet Caesar in a fort that is out of Pharmices's reach Arsene (Portia) refuses to marry Phainaces on the ground that he killed her brother, a fact she did not know when they became engaged. He decides to bring his soldiers to the fort, to kill Cato, and to carry her off. When Caesar reaches the fort, he makes love to Arsene (Portia), who recognizes him as the amhussailor to whom she had been attracted and encourages him to hope. Caesar now offers the lives of Pharmaces's (missaries to Cato, who refuses to come to term inners Roman liberty is restored. Deformed by Cata that she is his daughter, Portin now turns against Caesar, who finds that his mission has failed. Domitius reports that Pharmaces is attacking the followers of Cato and Portia Cato goes to help them and puts Pharmaces to flight Portin agrees to marry Caesar if he will liberate the people of the West When he refuses, she curses the Roman cupirc and predicts the misfortunes that were to befall it Meanwhile Caesar's soldiers rescue him Caesar has Pharmaces beheaded Cato stabs himself and is brought on the stage to die after urging Portia to go to Spain and continue the struggle against Caesai

be Cato if he were not Caesar's wars and about Mithridates, Caesar's desire to he Cato if he were not Caesar (III, 3, if the story of Alexander and Diogenes in Plutarch's Life of Alexander), "Pour être vrai Romain fant il cesser d'être homme?" (IV, 2, ef Horace, vv 81-2) Pharnaces's attempt to win Cuesar by offering to put Cato to death is inspired by Ptolemy's murder of Ponipey, described by both Plutarch

and Corneille

leads to his attack upon Cato, this attack permits Caesar's soldiers to surround Cato, and the fact that the old Roman is surrounded occasions his suicide. Otherwise he might have escaped to Spain, as he advises his daughter to do.

Cato is described as an uncompromising lover of liberty. He admits that, if a master had to be chosen, Caesar would be the man, but he is absolutely opposed to any government not based on the free expression of popular will. He has supreme contempt for kings and insists upon his daughter's giving up her throne as soon as he knows that she has one. The character is so rigid that it has little in it that is dramatic.

Caesar is more interesting. Except that he chooses a peculiar time for making love, he does not allow his feeling for Portia to overcome his ambition. He frankly admits that the latter desire comes first. In his scene with Cato (III, 3) he recalls his victories over the Germans, his making of the Mediterranean a Roman sea,44 the unjust treatment he had received from Pompey, his efforts to make the Roman people happy, and the apparent approval of the gods. He offers Cato peace and a consulate, as well as the lives of those who have sought to betray him. He reserves a still stronger defense of his policies for his talk with Portia (V, 2), in which he explains that Rome has suffered from her triumphs, that, since she has grown great, she cannot bear the weight of her power, and that consequently dictators have arisen Hie promises to be a benevolent despot. His apology is based on the idea, already expressed by Corneille in Othon, that a large country cannot continue as a republic, a theory to be held by Montesquieu and which has been discarded only because of improved systems of transportation and communication

The other important characters are drawn with little skill Pharnace is completely a villain. Portia is a romantic heroine, who falls in love at first sight and falls out of it almost as quickly. She is a convert to democracy, not because of conviction, but because she discovers who her father is. The play contains much that is improbable and sentimental. Deschamps showed some eleverness in arranging interesting situations, but he lacked the power to develop the dialogue as Corneille would have done, especially in political discussion.

The tragedy was at first reasonably successful. It had fourteen performances in 1714. It was highly praised in the *Journal des Savants* 45 because of situations that bring out Cato's "beau caractère . . . dans toute son étendue" Moreover, "La Poésie de cette pièce est aisée, naturelle, et les

 [&]quot;J'enformais l'Océan dans nos vastes fronticres" Mussolini would have appreciated the line if he had read it before the autumn of 1942
 May 20, 1715, p 311, cited by Mélèse, Th et Pub, p 310

caractères sont bien observés." It obtained further notice because of Addison's Cato, a French translation of which had appeared in 1713. There is no resemblance, it is true, between the two tragedies except in the material derived from common sources and in the facts that both dramatists depict the end of Cato's career, employ a tense military situation as a background for love making, and have Caesar send an ambassador to Cato, yet the Mercure galant 46 published a Parallèle between them in which Deschamps's work was preferred on account of its structure, Addison's because of "le caractère dominant de la Tragédie. & la force de certaines situations." Possibly because of this comparison, Ozell translated Deschamps's tragedy into English 47 Nor did his play pass unnoticed in Germany. Gottsched based his own Sterbender Cate on both the English tragedy and the French. He expressed his preference for the structure of the French play, agreed with Deschanips that non-historical material might be added, and praised the interview between Caesar and Cato, but he found his fifth act defective and held that Cato died in it like a desperate man rather than a philosopher. 40

None of these eight plays equals in dramatic interest the tragedies of Belin, Péchantré, and Riupeirous discussed above in Chapter IV. This fact was ominous for the future. The authors showed, like Crébillon, a predominant interest in Greek mythology and, like him, turned also to Roman history and to the legends of ancient Persia. Danchet and Pellegrin resembled Crébillon in their liking for horror, but they were much less effective. The mediocrity of the eight tragedies left Crébillon as the only dramatist to be long remembered among those who began to contribute to the genre in 1701-15

⁴⁶ March, 1715, pp 62-127, according to the frires Parfaret

⁴⁷ The second edition of this translation was published at London in 1716 According to the title page, the translated play had been acted at Lincolns Inn-Fields
42 Cited by Louis Riccoboni, Réflexions historiques, Paris, Guerin, 1738, pp. 233-8

CHAPTER IX

COMEDY

If comedy lacked the prestige of tragedy,1 it nevertheless remained the more popular genre, averaging some 450 performances a year, a number made possible by the fact that a comedy in one act often followed on the same day a longer one or a tragedy. Mohère, whose plays were acted over two thousand times, supplied about two-sevenths of the material; plays written in the seventeenth century by Thomas Corneille, Montfleury, Hauteroche, Dancourt, and Regnard combined were acted about as often, whereas new comedies, whether composed by beginners or by older authors, constituted only about one-fifth of the comic presentations. The comedies most frequently produced were old.2 Those that ranked next were comedies by authors who had begun to write in the seventeenth century.3 The comedy by a new author most often acted in the period was le Port de mer, but its sixty performances were little more than a third of those enjoyed by Hauteroche's Crispin médecin. It is true, however, that the period produced several comedies that were more highly appreciated by succeeding generations than by their contemporaries. Eight of these had by 1936 been performed at the Comédie Française over 400 times.*

The principal authors of new plays were the actors, Dancourt and Legrand, the ex-actor, Michel Baron; experienced dramatists, Boursault, Campistron, Brueys, Regnard, and Dufresny, and those who now had their plays acted for the first time: Lesage, the novelist, Destouches, secretary to an ambassador, Boindin, an ex-soldier; and Lafont, a man of letters. Single comedies were written by La Motte and Charles Roy, known at the time chiefly for their operas; La Grange-Chancel, famous for his tragedies, Abeille, an actor, Guérin, an actor's son, J.-B. Rousseau, a distinguished poet, Marivaux, just beginning his career, and several authors whose names are unknown.

"deux génies d'un caractère différent, mais d'une egale habilete"
"Crispin médecin, 169, Tartuffe, 163, Plaideurs, 156, Médecin malgré lui, 154, George Dandin, 147, Avare, 136, Grandeur, 130, Deuil, 124, Sganarelle, 121, Amphitryon, 113

¹ Cf ahove, Chapter II In the 1707 edition of le Diable bosteux Lesage contended (Chap XIV) that it was more difficult to write a successful comedy than the kind of tragedy then heing composed in France, for "l'on peut avec le seul secours du bon sens faire des tragédies comme celles qui se font présentement en France Mais il faut autre chose que du bon sens pour composer des comédies qui y reussissent aujourd'hui" In 1726 he modified this opinion, declaring that the two genres require "deux génies d'un caractère différent, mais d'une egale habilete"

^{*} Folics amourcuses, 91, Double Veuvage, 84; Galant Jardinier, 83, Avacat Patelin,

^{76,} Légataire, 66, Ménechmes, 62

* Folies amoureuses, 1117, Legataire, 988, Avocat Patelim, 885, Orispin rival, 679, Ménechmes, 541, Turcaret, 455, Galant Jardinier, 429, Usurier Gentilhomme, 417

* Rousseau's comedy was acted at court, not at Paris So far as is known, Mari-

145 COMEDY

Of the new plays, thirty-nine, so far as can be determined, were in one act. sixteen in three acts. seventeen in five acts. Dancourt's Second Chapitre is in two acts, but its prologue gives it the convalent of another act, while Guérin's lost Psyché de village, in four acts and a prologue, has practically five. Fifteen comedies had prologues when they were originally acted. These were sometimes used to defend the play. Occasionally they may have been employed because they enabled the author to show a second locality without violating the unity of place. More comedies were written in prose than in verse, but the difference is not great, and all but four of the five-act plays are in verse. As a variation upon alexandrines and prose, "vers libres" are frequently employed. In four comedies they are the dominant form. Obviously there was entire freedom in regard to the number of acts in a comedy and to the choice of prose or verse. Authors could in these respects adapt their form to the nature of the entertainment they were preparing to give.

Ten of the comedies are adaptations of older plays in Latin. Spanish, or French. Plautus's Menaechmi, Captivi, and Mostellaria, Terence's Andria and Adelphoe, Rojas's No hay amigo, Calderón's Peor está que estaba, the fifteenth century Patelin, Guérin de Bouscal's Sancho, and Lesage's Traître puns were all brought up to date, most of them with considerable changes. Of these the only ones that were thoroughly successful in their new form were the Menaechmi, the Andria, and Patelin The fate of Spanish adaptations shows that the vogue of the comedia had passed.

Authors were sometimes influenced by comedies they had previously written, or they turned to earlier dramatists, especially to Molière, whose Tartuffe influenced profoundly Dancourt's Madame Artus and Destouches's Ingrat, while one can find elsewhere echoes of le Misanthrope, le Malade imaginaire, le Bourgeois Gentilhomme, Amphitryon, Pourceaugnac, and les Femmes savantes. Boisrobert's Trois Orontes and de Visé's Veuve à la mode were certainly imitated, while other seventeenth-century comedies, besides these and Molière's, were probably followed in minor details. In a few cases authors borrowed from other than dramatic authors. Hyginus, Ovid, Petronius. Cervantes, and La Fontaine. Many of the plays show no direct influence, but all the dramatists probably owed much to Molière and to plays of the two preceding decades, especially to those of Dancourt.

Some information may be gleaned from prefaces and prologues, occa-

Malezieu is said to have made a translation, now lost, of his Heautontimoroumenos

vaux's play was never acted, nor was the work of Bordelon, probably not written

for performance Malezieu's productions were largely operatic

An example of stances occurs in Dancourt's Sancho Pança, V, 2, where its
presence at so late a date may be explained by the fact that the play is little more
than a new edition of one that had appeared nearly seventy-five years before

sionally from the texts of the comedies, in regard to the authors' opinions of their predecessors, their art, its interpreters, and the spectators. Boursault, Regnard, Dancourt, Lafont, and Destouches praise Molière. Boursault finds Molière so versatile that now the only way to be novel is to moralize, though Dancourt claims that he can still find new material in the study of manners. Dufresny maintains that a comedy should have a clear and logical plot, situations that surprise, though preparation for them has been made, and occasionally "quelque plaisanterie sans grossiereté." Regnard insists on a well-constructed play that entertains "les gens d'esprit avec art." Bordelon holds that the scenes of a comedy should be related by a common aim, that the dénouement should surprise, and that the chief rule is to bring enjoyment to the audience. Lesage implies that the spectators like to see characters with whom they can sympathize and that, unlike the Spaniards, they prefer the study of character to action. Dancourt, following Molière, puts comedy on a level with tragedy.

The acting of Raymond Poisson is remembered with high approval. No actors of the day are so good as some of their predecessors. They do not lack talent, but they at times neglect their duties. However, according to Dancourt, a spirit of harmony, understanding, and urbanity usually reigns among them. Critics are attacked for attending a performance, not because the play is good, but because it is new. Applause is said to be unfashionable. Sifflets are in vogue. Pickpockets frequent the theater. The parterre is the supreme judge, while vanity rules on the stage, coquetry in the boxes. We are told that performances at the Comédie Française begin at 5 P. M., an hour later than those of the Opera. Dufresny parodied the offerings of the latter institution. Satirical thrusts at the rival theaters of the Foire were made by Dancourt, Legrand, Lesage, and Lafont.

As most of the plays were written while France was at war, there are allusions to fighting in Flanders, Germany, and Italy; many of the young heroes are officers in the French army; there is a complaint about the scarcity of males; and there are references, though less frequently than in the preceding decade, to the difference between winter and summer lovers. When Dancourt wrote a new prologue for Thomas Corneille's Curcé, he praised Louis XIV for suppressing the Huguenots and for sparing the Dutch. When peace was made, he wrote two plays to celebrate the event. One could not guess, however, when reading most of his comedies, that France was engaged at the time in a most disastrous struggle, one that caused much suffering among the people. Nowhere have I noted any hostility to the enemy, English, Austrian, or Dutch.

The manners are those of the lesser nobility, of bourgeois, large and small,

COMEDY 147

of servants, and of peasants. Business takes on new importance for comedy with studies of tax-collecting, usury, and agiotage by Dancourt and Lesage, of wills and inheritance by Regnard and Dufresny, of tontine insurance by Lesage. Forfeits are not infrequently introduced. Efforts are made by the bourgeois to purchase nobility, by underlings to acquire a fortune and with it social position. A peasant complains of working for others and hopes to have others working for him. There is criticism of the great for their pride, amusement at the expense of parvenus, but no dissatisfaction is expressed in regard to the social system itself.

Though he wrote no piece à thèse, one can see by reading Dufresny that harm may be done by gambling, professional wet-nursing, and the lack of proper legal protection for widows and orphans. He ridicules belief in dreams and in the cry of the blood, though both had a place in the tragedy of his contemporaries. He also laughs at belief in astronomical influences, as does Legrand at alchemy. These dramatists are, however, seeking material for comic effect rather than weapons for social reform.

They are also contributing to the representation of manners, as are the dramatists who mention various kinds of entertainment, such as fireworks, games, dances, singing by professionals or by amateurs. We are shown the homes of prosperous Parisians and see or hear of their summer places near Paris, or as far off as Brittany and reached by coach. A good deal is said about food and wine, and there are references to tobacco, which has not yet won the approval of cultivated persons, though even women, if they are like Mme Turcaret, may indulge in it. Manners are stressed especially in certain plays by Dancourt, Dufresny, and Lesage. On the whole, they are not made more prominent than in the preceding decade, except in regard to financial affairs.

Pagan divinities occasionally appear. They are found in Dancourt's Céphale et Procris and Impromptu de Surêne, in Lafont's Danaé, in the prologues of Regnard's Ménechmes and of Roy's lost Captifs, and in a playlet by Regnard that was not acted, les Souhaits. There are young lovers in nearly all the comedies, but they are not usually given leading rôles. They are often deeply indebted to valets, whose most popular names are Frontin and Crispin, and to suivantes, who are frequently called Lisette, sometimes Marton, Marine, Toinette, etc. While the valet is usually clever and somewhat unscrupulous in his methods, the blundering or naive type is also found. The suivante is his feminine counterpart, well known to modern audiences through the long success of such plays as Turcaret and le Légataire universel.

A king and a minister of state are introduced into Esope à la cour; a governor, into le Naufrage. The nobility is by no means excluded, but the

dominant class is that of the bourgeois. There are many business men, lawyers, and notaries, a few physicians, an apothecary, an undertaker, a dyer, sea captains, and wine merchants. A Jew and his daughter are introduced into *Port de mer*. The Christian clergy, though admitted to the stage late in the seventeenth century, is excluded. Peasants appear in several plays, as do members of the criminal class. Fathers and guardians are common. Mothers appear less frequently. Dufresny had a special fondness for widows. The dramatists may hold up to ridicule members of any of these categories.

The moralizing tendency of the eighteenth century has often been noted, usually without much effort to distinguish its prevalence at different periods. In 1701-15 it was by no means regarded as essential. The most moralistic production is Boursault's Esope à la cour, a play that attacks the vices of the court: adoration of the soverign, embezzlement of public funds, selfseeking, gossip, snobbishness. It rebukes an old man's pursuit of wealth, preaches love as essential to marriage, urges gratitude and tolerance, even argues for the existence of God. In three of his plays Destouches, too, seems moved by ethical rather than by artistic considerations. He condemns distrustfulness, ingratitude, and slander. Baron's Ecole des pères has a moral lesson. But in all the other comedies the authors seek primarily to amuse. Their plays may contain moral material, but even the satirical elements contribute to the comic effect rather than to the ethical. So far is Dancourt from playing the part of a moralist that in his Céphale et Procris he invites us to sympathize with marital infidelity, while Regnard makes us laugh with his heroes, despite their dubious honesty. As these plays of Boursault and Michel Baron were the last they wrote, it remained for Destouches alone to carry into later years such moralizing habits as had been illustrated in the first fifteen years of the century.

Classical structure prevails. The unity of time is everywhere respected, unless we consider the prologue of Dancourt's Second Chapitre as part of his play. In this comedy and le Diable boiteux the unity of place is violated if the prologues are regarded as part of the plays, while in three comedies derived directly or indirectly from Spanish comedias the place includes two or three localities in a town Elsewhere the unity of place is respected. I find, on the other hand, minor violations of the unity of action in thirteen comedies, though the authors seldom fail to link their scenes. Almost all the plays, with the exception of those by Regnard and Boindin's Bal d'Auteuil, respect the bienséances. One must conclude that no author of comedies sought to be original in regard to the unities and to the linking of scenes, that occasional departures from classical custom are due to the exigencies

COMEDY 149

of a special situation, and that Regnard and Boindin were almost alone in the license of dialogue or situation.

The chief provider of the Comédie Française was Dancourt; next came Dufresny and Legrand, then Regnard, Lesage, and Destouches. Dancourt, as the leader of the troupe, was chiefly relied on to supply entertainment for a special occasion or to rewrite for his comrades an older comedy. Regnard, though he at times disregarded rules of prosody, was the most gifted of these authors as a poet. Dufresny was the dramatist most interested in ideas. Lesage wasted his time upon Spanish plays and subsequently deserted the Comédie Française for the Foire. What he accomplished in the few years that he devoted to original composition for the Comédie makes us regret that he did not contribute to it over a longer period. Legrand, who was quite inferior to these writers, learned how to amuse an audience with a one-act play, as did Boindin and Lafont. Destouches produced comedies of greater length than these three and sought, more than anyone else, to revive character comedies, but he lacked imagination and lightness of touch. His best work lies in a later period.

Most of these authors and of those who made less important contributions had learned the art of dramatic construction and were able to invent a witty dialogue. So much was this the case that Voltaire preferred several short comedies of the period to many plays by Mohère. Of the longer plays those best known are Turcaret, le Légataire universel, and les Folies amoureuses, but les Agioteurs and les Ménechmes deserve to rank with these. Among the shorter, le Galant Jardinier, le Double Veuvage, le Faux Instinct, la Coquette de village, Crispin reval, l'Epreuve réciproque, and le Port de mer seem to ment special attention

In the next eight chapters I will group my discussion of the comedies under the names of their authors, following chronology as far as possible. These will be followed by a chapter on lost plays and on those which, though associated with the Parisian region, were not acted at the Comédie Française. A final chapter is devoted to the crude, but intriguing productions of the Foire.

Cf below, Chapter XII, note 33

Almost all the comedies that were acted at the Comédie Française and were printed not long afterwards, were published by Pierre Ribou Unlike tragedies, very few of them were dedicated

CHAPTER X

DANCOURT

Though Dancourt is often discussed as primarily an eighteenth-century dramatist, the greater part of his work and the plays for which he is best known were acted in the seventeenth century. Before 1701 he had written a tragedy and thirty-three comedies and had helped to write four other plays, whereas in the eighteenth century he composed nineteen plays, two of them very brief, and rewrote two earlier productions. Nor can it be said that the quality of his later comedies equals that of le Chevalier à la mode, la Maison de campagne, or le Mari retrouvé, while, if one judges by success, the argument can be used that his seventeenth-century plays were acted at the Comédie Française more than five times as often as those that appeared in the eighteenth century.

This is not to say that, when he had reached the age of forty, he had written himself out. Not only was he still to produce a considerable number of comedies, but he was to seek new fields in dramatizing the business of the broker, in capitalizing the success of a recent novel, and in writing comedies in which plot and characters are subordinated to singing, dancing, and spectacle. As the leading author of the troupe, he was called on to compose plays for special occasions and to rewrite comedies that required adaptation to the tastes of the audience. While such labors prevented his having more time for original plays, they may have served to keep up his interest in dramatic composition.

I will first discuss the comedies in which he adhered to the methods he had developed in the seventeenth century, whether they are in one act, in three acts, or in five and whether they are written mainly in prose or in alexandrines, next, three comedies that have frames and are more fanciful than those of the first category, though they pay some attention to manners, then, two comedies in five acts and in verse that are revivals of older French plays, one by Lesage, the other by Guérin de Bouseal, both inspired by Spanish productions; and finally, spectacular comedies that make considerable use of "vers libres." With these last I will include the new prologues and divertissements that Dancourt wrote for spectacular comedies by Molière and Thomas Corneille.

¹ For Dancourt and his seventeenth century plays cf. my op. cst., Part IV, pp. 577-95, 768-817.

I -Comedies of Manners

The play with which Dancourt began his career as an eighteenth-century author is Colin-Maillard, in one act and in prose, ending with a divertissement, a type of play that had become familiar to his readers in the preceding decade. It is remarkable neither for its plot, nor for its characters, but, as the frères Parfaiet aptly put it, "les détails font valoir le fond." The plot, like that of Dancourt's Tuteur, is merely the arranging of an elopement by which a girl escapes from an elderly guardian and is united to the man she loves. The characters show little originality. Robinot is the typical "barbon," who would marry his ward, not only because a wife is a "mal necessaire," but because it is difficult for him to disentangle his own accounts from hers. More interesting than the young lovers and the clever valet are Mme Brillard, a widow who remembers her youth and is well disposed towards the lovers, and Claudine, an outspoken peasant, easily deceived by a brilliant young officer, but shrewd enough, when she discovers that she has been tricked, to return to her peasant fiancé.

The merit of the play lies in the bright and swiftly moving dialogue, the patois of Mathurin and Claudine, the picture of rural manners, and the gay ending, with the game of blindman's buff, the singing, and the dancing, all skillfully attached to the action. A similar use of this game had been made almost forty years before in Chappuzeau's Colin-Maillard, but, except

¹ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1701 and 1702, 12° Music by Gilliers Republished in editions of the author's collected plays, in the Fin du Repertoure, Paris, veuve Dabo, 1824, and by Ad Rion in 1878 Dutch translations appeared in 1739 and 1765.

^{*}Robinot, a widower living at Andresy, has brought his ward. Angelique, from a convent to his home, where she is chaperoned by his aunt, Mme Brillard. He plans to marry her, though his aunt reminds him that he and his deceased wife had promised her to a young captain. Eraste Robinot's gardener, Mathurin, also a widower, is engaged to a peasant girl, Clandine, who attends Angelique. This girl informs Robinot that Angelique is unwilling to narry him and that, the evening before, her mistress had received a visit from a young man whom they had concealed in a cabinet until, while Robinot was blindfolded in a game of blindman's buff, he had made his escape. Robinot, despite his aunit's warning, goes to get his cousin the bailiff to make out the marriage contract and asks Mine Brillard to look after Angelique and to have village musicians come by the time he returns. Eraste and Lépine, his valet, now consult the aunt about an elopement Mathurin overhears them and, since they have no money with which to bribe him, threatens to tell Robinot Eraste makes love to Claudine, davrles her with the prospect of becoming a lady, and forces Mathurin to agree, in order to win her back, not to tell Robinot and to supply Eraste with a peasant costume. Angelique, however, deceived by Eraste's trick and believing that he has deserted her for Claudine, agrees to marry Robinot before night. Eraste with Mmc Brillard's help convinces Angelique that he is loyal to her. He is then passed off by Mathurin as a peasant, come to take part in the entertainment. Peasant singers and dancers arrive. A party of blindman's buff is arranged. While Robinot is playing the blindman and the peasants are singing, Eraste elopes with Angélique, accompanied by Mine Brillard, who will see that they are properly married. Claudine has been reconciled to Mathurin. Robinot is left in despair while peasants give the divertusement.

for the title and the device that enables the lovers to elope, there is no resemblance between the plays. Good examples of dialogue are the following:

Hé bien, Monsieur, clle dit qu'elle aime mieux mourir que d'épouser un vilain, un pied plat, un laid mâtin, un vieux pénard

Vous voyez, mon neveu Me Brillard

Comment, Madame, est-ce que vous croyez que c'est de Monsieur qu'elle parle?

Qu'est-ce à dire de moi? Mr Robinot

Mais écoutez, Monsieur, cela pourroit bien être, car elle dit qu'elle ne vous aime point, & je gagerois bien qu'elle dit vrai

La petite insolente! Et pourquoi ne m'aimeroit-elle point!

Parce que vous ne lui paroissez point aimable Et puis, voulez-vous que je vous dise, il me paroît qu'elle en aime quelqu'autre

Elle en aime quelqu'autre?

Me B Vous voiez, mon neveu

Cl Est-ce que vous vous êtes doutée de cela, Madame?

Si je m'en suis doutée! oui vraiment je m'en suis doutée

Cl. Oh! bien n'en doutez plus, cela est certain (sc 3)

e'est que nous ne portons jamais de bourse nous autres Mathurin Morgué taut pis, c'est pourtant un meuble bian necessaire

Vous avez raison mais au défaut de bourse nous vous ferons nôtre billet si vous voulez, hem?

Un billet? non Je n'avons pas de foi pour des billets de Capitaines

L Mana

Non, voyez vous, je sis incorruptible 4

The comedy was first acted on Oct 28, 1701. The first run ended, according to the frères Parfaict, on Dcc. 10, after the twenty-third performance. By the end of 1713 it had been played 52 times, by the end of 1792, 228 times. It ranks eleventh among its author's plays given at the Comédie Française, second among those first acted in the eighteenth century.

The next year Dancourt produced at the Comédie Française l'Opérateur Barry, first acted there on Oct. 11, 1702, but already presented at a private entertainment in 1700. It was well enough received to be acted nineteen times, but it was not revived.5 He next prepared a new prologue and divertissement for a revival of Molière's Amants magnifiques and then produced the most successful play that he wrote during the eighteenth century.

This was LE GALANT JARDINIER,8 which, like Colin-Maillard, was in one

^{*}Sc 8 In sc 20 Mathurin employs a comparison that had appeared in Louise Labd's Débat de Folie et d'Amour, "Discours IIII," and in Tasso's Torrismondo (cf my op cit, Part II, p 35) "Il en cst du ménage, vois-tu, comme d'unc charué, où sont attelez le mari & la femme! tant qu'ils tiront tous deux de conçart, la charuë va bian mais si la femme se met queuque fantaisie dans la carvelle, le mari se chagraine, l'un tire à dià, l'autre à uriau, la charue deviant mal attelée, & le ménage s'en va à tous les diables"

^{*} For this play of my op cut, Part IV, pp 812-3

* Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1705, 12° Music by Gilliers Republished in editions of the author's collected plays, in the collection of plays by authors of the Second Ordre in 1808, in the Repertoire of Paris, viewe Dabo, 1822-3, in the Chefs-d'œuvre des auteurs comiques, Paris, Firmin-Didot, 1845, and by Ad Rion in 1878 It was

act and in prose, was located in the country near Paris, and had, if not a final divertissement, something very much like one near the end of the play. It is again a playlet with a lively dialogue, amusing bourgeois and peasants, increasing animation as the action progresses. A scene between two stammerers, each of whom thinks that the other is imitating him, was probably due to the author's recollection of a similar situation that he had introduced into one of his early comedies, le Notaire obligeant.

Dubuisson is a prosperous bourgeois, shocked by accusations of gay living brought against one prospective son-in-law and by the supposed extravagance of the other, also by the thought of putting his "potager en parterre, le beau projet! & que mettre dans ma soupe? des tulipes?" (so 10) He has gone to live in the country in the hope of reducing wedding expenses and he is willing, merely because of Caton's money, to marry Angélique to a mar his wife describes as "un vilain, un ladre, un vieux coquin." Mme Dubuisson thinks more of their daughter's happiness, but she, too, is anxious to avoid expense and is far from appreciating the evening serenades. Angélique is an ingénue fresh from a convent who falls in love with the first handsome

translated into Portuguese in 1773. There is a brief study of the play in Lement, la Comedie en France au XVIII encele, Paris, Hachette, 1888, I 119 21

Toubuisson, who has a long at Paris and another in the country, a few niles from the city, has planned to marry his daughter, Lucile, to L'andre, a young officer, son of his wealthy friend, Orgon. As Léandre has not been heard itom for a nionth and as Dibuisson has been told that he is dissipated, he decides to give his daughter to Caton, though he is miserly and ngly. Meanwhile Leandre has net Lucile in a coach when she was returning with her mother from a convent. In order to be near her he has bribed Lucas, her father's gardener, to disguise him and his valet, La Montagne, as his nelpers. For several nights Léandre has been entertaining Lucile with music and fireworks. Mine Dubuisson suspects Caton of paying for this entertainment, which she dishkes. Her husband has engaged La Montagne to clear up the mystery. While in Paris the valet has learned that Orgon has offered a reward for the discovery of his son. A copy of the notice is brought linck by him, but is dropped, so that it falls into the hands of Lucas, which though he cannot read, has his suspicious roused by it. He discovers from a conversation between Léandre and La Montagne that a reward has been offered by Orgon, is hished by them to conceal their identity, and then goes off to inform the father of his kai's whereabouts. While he is away, L'andre pretends to sleep in the garden and overhears Lucile tell Marton, her suivante, of her love for him. When they discover a portrait of Lucile attached to his arm, one that he had had painted without her knowing it, they are convinced that he is not a gardener, but the officer she had seen in the coach. The love making that follows is interrupted by the arrival of Dubnisson and Caton Léandre talks like a peasant and pretends that he has been showing how vegetables could be replaced by flowers. It is reported that an expensive supper his been brought into Dubuisson's kitchen and that various guests, including a certain Bavardin, are arriving. Dubuisson accuses Caton of extravagance and leaves him with Bavard

officer she sees. Her clever survante and the peasant gardeners complete the family group.

Léandre belongs to the same social stratum as Dubuisson, but his father's wealth has given him the ability to spend money freely. He is not only an ardent lover, but a man who shows decided cleverness in carrying out his plans. His valet is energetic and witty. The stammering old men and the shrewd peasants, who talk in patois and have not learned to read, add comic scenes, while the entertainers provide dancing and singing in the next to last scene.

The representation of manners includes the picture of a bourgeois home in the country near Paris, references to the guarding of girls in a convent, to the return of officers from the war in Germany, to advertising for a lost son, to an illiterate peasant's method of outwitting persons better educated than he, to his hope of some day becoming a collector of taxes, to Parisian shops, and to a bill for food.

l'un a été dans la rue S Honoré, chez des Marchands d'étoffes, l'autre chez des Marchands Joüailliers, sur le Quai des Morfondus celui-ci chez Crepi, celui-là chez la Morliere (sc 2)

Deux potages, huit entrées Vn Marquassin, six Perdrix, une douzaine de Cailles, quatre Gelinottes de bois Cent quatre vingt deux livres dix sols (sc 14)

The scenes are varied and entertaining. A domestic interview between husband and wife is followed by a gay scene in which a valet jests, by scenes introducing peasants, by the love scene in the garden, the visit of a rôtisseur, the quarrel between the stammerers, the scene of dancing and singing, and the final scene of explanation and parental consent to the lovers' marriage. The public responded with enthusiasm. First acted on Oct 22, 1704, the play had seventeen performances by Dec 6, 83 by the end of 1715, 429 by the end of 1793. It was acted at the Comédie Française more frequently than any other play by Dancourt except les Vendanges de Suresnes.

It was four years before Dancourt brought out a third comedy of manners, but when he did so he produced one in five acts on which he may have been at work while he was composing plays of a different kind. MADAME ARTUS 8 owed its title-rôle to Tartuffe, a part of its intrigue and some of its characters to Saint-Yon's Façons du tems, according to the frères Parfaict, who greatly exaggerate Dancourt's debt to Saint-Yon and do not sufficiently emphasize what he owed to Molière. I should say that Tartuffe furnished him with his point of departure. From it he probably derived the name of his hypocrite by dropping from Tartuffe its first and last consonantal sounds,

Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1708, 12", and 1720 Republished in editions of the author's ollected plays.
XIV, 484

but. in order to distinguish his play from its model, he made both hypocrite and dupe women instead of men. Tartuffe and Orgon gave him Mme Artus and Mme Argante, a name that is also not unlike that of her prototype. Like Orgon, Mme Argante has a son and a daughter who has a lover. In Tartuffe the girl whom Orgon's son loves is mentioned, but she does not appear, whereas the girl loved by Mme Argante's son is brought on the stage. Damis, uncle of the brother and sister, corresponds to Cléante, Finette to Dorine. Mr. Ludet, the notary, is added, as is Merlin. The hypocrite pretends to be unworldly, but she tries to control the family and to marry Dorante, as Tartuffe under the mask of religion tries to dominate Orgon and to seduce Elmire. In both plays the hypocrite first appears in the third act. 10

From the Façons du tems Dancourt may have derived suggestions for the characters of the young spendthrift, his elever valet, and the mature woman who wishes to marry a young man, but the resemblances are not close enough to justify the frères Parfaict's statement except in one incident, that of the forest the young man has had cut down and the excuse given that it was necessary to provide a view, not only from the salon, but even from the "cuisine" and the "cave" It would seem that, after the frères Parfaiet had noticed this resemblance, they jumped to the conclusion that Dancourt had borrowed extensively from Saint-Yon, though nowhere else is there verbal similarity. It is even possible, though it cannot be proved, that Dancourt helped Saint-Yon revise his play and that he had contributed the scene in question to the Façon du tems, so that in imitating it he was merely taking back his "bien" where he found it Most details of the plot seem to have been his own.11

¹⁰ Mmc Artus expresses contempt for Mmc Argante, as Tartuffe does for Orgon Dorante says of Mmc Artus, "Voilà, je vous l'avoue, une grande Coqu'ne" (IV, 3) and "Voilà, je vous l'avoue, une étrange personne" (IV, 8), as Orgon says of Tartuffe, "Voilà, je vous l'avoue, un abomnable homme!" (v 1520) When the character of the hypocrite has been revealed, the impostor is in both plays ordered out of the house by the dupe Dancourt also makes comic use of well known trugedies "Et quelle mere encore!" (I, 1, of Andromaque, v 359), "Mais du bois abbatu n'est pas un si grand crime" (I, 4, cf le Cid, v 366)

¹¹ Mmc Artus, though of humble origin, had, thanks to a small legacy, established herself socially and attracted the attention of a rule vidow. Mmc Argante. She now

[&]quot;Mme Artus, though of humble origin, had, thanks to a small legacy, established herself socially and attracted the attention of a rich widow, Mme Arganite. She now lives in the latter's house, impresses her with her piety, makes herself very comfortable, and urges her hostess to control structly her children, Célide and Dorante Mnie Arganite objects to her daughter's marrying Eraste and gives her son so little money that he goes to an estate in Brittany, which has been left him by his father, but is still under his mother's control, and has the wood cut down and sold. While there he falls in love with beautiful young Rosette, gives her a written promise of marriage, and hurries back to Paris in the hope of concealing these matters from his mother His uncle, Danns, reproves him both for cutting the wood and for becoming engaged His mother proposes to break off the match, but the girl's uncle, Ludet, a engaged his mother proposes to treat on the materi, but the girl's unite, Euclet, a notary who has dealt with both Dorante and Mme Artus, pays the latter to introduce the girl, under the name of Massine, into Mme Argante's home, where she is to be instructed by Mme Artus. The latter plans to marry Dorante herself and to get his mother to settle on him all that she has In return for money placed in Ludet's hands, Mme Artus signs a contract to bring about the marriage of Eraste and

In presenting Mme Artus, Dancourt imitated Molière, but he avoided the difficulties from which Tartuffe had originally suffered. There is nothing in the rôle that can be mistaken for a satire upon religion. Eraste tells us much more about the lady's past than we know about Tartuffe's. He describes her (II, 3) as an "Intriguante" who had appealed to his aunt's sympathy and been left four or five thousand francs, which had enabled her to marry a Swiss. When he died, he left her childless and with "quelques rentes pour vivre." The family of the Swiss had brought suit, but she had won, thanks to a young financier who loved her and some coquettes who influenced the judge. After that experience she lived now as a prude, now as a coquette,

Et mélant l'air du moude, & l'air de la retraite, Par fois en bracard d'or, souvent en linge uni, Logeant presque toujours dans un Hôtel garni

She has an apartment and her own servant in Mme Argante's house and influences her hostess as she pleases (I, 2)

La loue à tout propes, l'aplaudit, la earesse, Flûte jusqu'au l'ortier, jusqu'au chien du logis, Sous cet air de vertu qu'on voit bien qu'elle affecte

She is not in love with Dorante, but she sees that by inarrying him she can get control of the family fortunes. To win him she has caused his mother to limit his finances so strictly that he may be driven to marry a much older woman. She falls a victim, however, to her love of gain, which induces her to take her rival into Mme Argante's home and to agree to work against the desires of her hostess. She lends money at sixteen percent interest, claiming that she takes only five and gives the rest to charity. She is a capable woman, soft of speech, eager for money and position, without a conscience, and less capable of judging people than she thinks she is. It is unfortunate that so interesting a character appears in only thirteen of the forty-six scenes.

The most original of the other characters is Ludet, by no means the ordinary notary of comedy, but a man of unusual ability who wins the

Célide, while she pretends to Mine Arginite that she is helping her win Eraste despite his love for her daughter. Mine Arginite makes love to Eraste, who pretends to favor her suit, while Dorante treats Mine Artus in similar fashion. Damis, when he discovers Mine Arginite's absurd desire to marry Eraste and after he has met Rosette, and the young people. The notary arranges things so that, when Mine Arginite signs a contract for her sons marriage, thinking the bride is to be Mine Artus, she is really uniting him to Rosette. Mine Artus is triumplant, but her talk enables Mine Argante to discover that her supposed friend has accepted a bribe to marry Eraste to Celide. Then the fact is revealed that the contract enables Dorante to marry Rosette. The young man promises to let his mother retain all the rights she has given away in the contract, whereupon she consents to her children's marriages to the persons they love and orders Mine Artus out of the house.

157

DANCOURT

confidence of those with whom he deals and is able to deceive the eleverest of them in the interests both of Mme Argante and of justice. Eraste and Célide are conventional young lovers, except that to Eraste is given the task of revealing Mme Artus's past. Rosette pretends to be naive, but she is able to outwit even Mme Artus. Dorante is both a devoted lover and a spendthrift. He is persecuted by his mother, who sees that he is locked out if he comes home late and obliges him to have recourse to usurers. She is herself a silly woman, whose widowhood weighs heavily upon her, who overestimates her charms, and who is easily deceived by Mme Artus.

The comedy shows us a wealthy Parisian home, divided into apartments and guarded by a concierge. The father had owned Kerkameau le Château in Brittany. News about the estate is sent by the "Fiscal du lieu." Mme Argante has to see a tenant farmer about a lease. A girl may be detained by her family in a convent. When she has no fortune, she "travaille à la tapisserie" There is talk of how ladies spend a spring morning (II, 2).

Madame Artus là haut après son bouillon pris, Sur un grand canapé se tranquillise à l'aise, Et Madame à l'instant vient de sortir en chaise

The scene inspired by les Façons du tems is amusing. Merlin is explaining his master's excellent intentions in having the trees cut down (I, 5)

Kerkameau le Château, Mon-ieur est à my côte, Pas tout à fait pourtant, mais il est en bon lieu, Le bois en question le resseroit un peu Ses arbres fort toffus s'élevoient jusqu'aux nues, Et partant le Château n'avoit aucunes vûes Il en faut, le fait est de sçavoir d'un Château Ce qui plaît mieux à voir, on des bois, on de l'eau J'aime l'eau

Damıs Merlin

Nous aussi c'est la grande manière On découvre à present des prez, une rivière, Qui lentement coulante, arrose un verd gazon, Puis des Côteaux lointains perdus dans l'horison, Et la vûe autrefois de toutes parts grimpante, Du côté de ce bois est maintenant plougeante Mais ce Bois, il faloit l'étester seulement

Damis Mais ce La vue

Merlin On n'en cût eu que dans l'appartement Dorante Je le voulois ainsi

Merlin

Oui, mais moi qui rafine,

Jai crii qu'il en faloit jusques dans la cuisine

Damis

Dans la cuisine

Merlin

Bon! dans la cave à présent En haut le coup d'œil plonge, en bas, il est rasant Je vous suis caution qu'il a de quoi s'étendre

Interesting scenes are those of love-making by the elderly women (II. 4 and IV, 7) and of the hypocrite's unmasking. Dancourt, however, devoted too many scenes to preparation and explanation, so that few are highly dramatic. It was, perhaps, for this reason and for the too obvious imitation of Tartuffe that the play was unsuccessful. It was played only five times, on and after May 8, 1708. That it did not go unnoticed, however, is stated by a contemporary publication, which asserted that it made "beaucoup de bruit, parce que bien des gens y sont caractérisez." 12

LES AGIOTEURS 18 18 more completely a comedy of manners than any other play that Dancourt wrote in the eighteenth century. Unlike Madame Artus it is in prose. Though it has only three acts, it is as long as is that comedy in five. The plot is reduced to a minimum, shoved into the early scenes and those at the end of the play in order to leave room for the study of speculation, its methods, its practitioners, and its victims.14

The heavy expenses that a series of long wars brought upon France caused the depreciation of paper money and the relative increase in the value of gold. In order to remedy this situation, the king reduced the amount of gold in the louis. At times he found that he had reduced it too much and altered its value accordingly. Dangeau refers to the louis's losing five sous on April 1, 1701, and thus falling to 12 francs, 10 sous, but it had risen so much by the autumn of 1703 that it had to be reduced in order to be worth no more than 13 francs. On March 1, 1708, it was pulled down to this value. On March 25, 1709, it was reduced to 12 francs, 10 sous, but two months later it was put back to 13 francs. These fluctuations gave an excellent opportunity for speculation. Agio, a word borrowed from the Italians, was introduced about 1704 to indicate the profit that might be realized by exchanging gold and paper. An agioteur was a new kind of

18 Journal de Verdun, July, 1708, cited by Melève, Rep, p 219
18 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1710, 12° Republished in editions of its author's collected plays For a study of it of Jules Lemnstre, la Comédie après Molière, Paris, 1903,

plays For a study of it of Jules Lemattre, la Comédic après Molière, Paris, 1903, pp 156-60

14 Zacharie, a usurer, has brought from the country and established at Paris his godson, Trapolin, now decelly engaged in agiotage Minic Sara, a wealthy widow who hopes to marry Trapolin, lends him money Both Zacharie and Trapolin plan to wed her niece, Suzon, but the latter, to whom Trapolin has entrusted gold that he wishes to conceal from his associates, prefers wealthy young Chitandre, until recently an army officer Suzon has in her service Claudine, a country girl who is visited by her cousin, Lucas This illiterate peasant hears much talk of paper that can be changed into gold, finds a sheet with writing on it, and takes it to Zacharie to be cashed, but the usurer discovers that it is a love letter, written by Trapolin to Suzon, in which he speaks slightingly of Zacharie and Sara. The result is that, when Suzon announces her engagement to Chitandre, and Trapolin, hard pressed for money, offers to marry Sara, the widow rejects him and demands the return of the sums she had loaned him Zacharie offers to marry Sara. She accepts him, but she will not forgive Trapolin. The latter has had other losses and sees himself ruined by the fact that Suzon will use the gold he had left in her hands to repay Chitandre for money he had advanced to Trapolin for money he had advanced to Trapolin

speculator, 18 whose possibilities for drama Dancourt was not slow to recognize. He had shown in le Chevalier à la mode the widow of a financial magnate, in le Retour des officiers a tax-collector; in the Second Chapitre a sous-traitant. Then Lesage had brought out Turcaret. It was quite natural that Dancourt should add to the subjects treated in these plays by dramatizing the kindred theme of agiotage. In so doing he went into much greater detail than can be found in any earlier money play written in France

Trapolin had got his start as Zacharie's clerk, later becoming his associate. He works with another usurer, Craquinet. In order that their relationship should not be suspected, they have taken houses that open on different streets, but have in the rear a common wall, through which they have cut a hole Trapolin has only to unlock a cupboard and knock on the wall behind it to establish communications with Craquinet Looking to the future, he begins to employ one of his cousins who is serving a judge at Paris He also keeps on good terms with a procureur of dubious reputation, Durillon, and collects information from him and others about the affairs of prospective borrowers. He finds out how desperately they need assistance, and estimates accordingly the value of the paper they bring, or wish to borrow. He and Zacharie have enough influence among financiers to set the price of paper (I, 8)

Zacharie Comment va le courant aujourd'hui?

Trapolin Je ne sçai, je nai point vû le Thermometre, je ne suis pas encore sorti mais il ira comme nous voudrons, quand on est trois ou quatre forts bureaux de bonne intelligence

- Z Quels fonds avons nous? cela nous réglera
- T Quantité de papier, & fort peu d'argent, & pour ne pas manquer quelque bonne affaire, il faut incessamment de l'espece
- Z puisque le papier nous gagne, & que l'espece est rare, il est bon de baisser aujourd'hui le papier de huit pour cent quand nous nous serons défaits du môtre, on le remettra sur le même pied, ou on le rehaussera, s'il est possible

Consequently Trapolin notifies M. Villain, "rue Trousse-vache, à la dame Gigogne," M. saint Denis, "rue saint Bonnet, à l'Image saint Claude," M. Laîné, "rue Julien-rcbec, à la Casaque retournée," and Mme Bersabée, "au Cheval qui chiffre, rue Geoffroi lânier" He is sure the smaller concerns will follow the lead of these By such devices Zacharie had made one third profit out of 40,000 frances of conversions between the beginning of the week and Thursday. They are both disgusted with Claquinet when they find that he has loaned 13,000 frances in paper and has asked for it only 15,000 in specie at the end of six months, a little over 30 percent if paper is at par. Trapolin himself lends 22,000 in paper for 25,000 in specie at

¹⁸ On July 9, 1712, Dangcau (Journal, XIV, 152) reports that agioteurs had been arrested for spreading bad news in order to help their business

the end of three months—over 50 percent if paper is at par. He takes ample security and lends at times under the names of other persons.

Trapolin's associates include Zacharie, older and less daring than his godson and less in danger of failure. His marriage with a wealthy widow, suggested at the end of the play, will probably keep him till his death a menace to Parisian society. The other usurer, Craquinet, is introduced chiefly to illustrate Trapolin's nefamous practices and his boldness in fleecing his customers. Dubois represents the apt pupil from the country at the beginning of his career, one, however, who returns to his modest position with a judge when he sees that Trapolin is ruined. There is also a financial magnate, Cangrène, who comes to consult Zacharie and Trapolin about the morality of a deal by which he supplies a friend with 600 francs and subsequently accepts in payment a bond worth 2000, even obliging the friend to add 200 tranes to close the deal Cangrène's father-in-law had criticized him for his usurious practice, but he is, of course, reassured by Zacharie and The group represents the début, the progress, and the defeat or triumph of agioteurs, just as two characters in Turcaret show us the beginning and end of a tax-collector's career

Dutilion, the procureur, is on friendly terms with Trapolin and brings him business. He is a widower who would like to marry money. He is discreet and polite, seeks a cloak of respectability by being "Commissaire des pauvres" and "Marguillier de sa petite Paroisse" (II, 2), but he has been rudely reproved by the judge whom Dubois serves, one of the gentlemen who, as Durillon is obliged to admit, "sont les maîtres" (II, 3)

We are shown several dupes and near-dupes, while reference is made to others. A coquette, conceived out of wedlock, gets Trapolin to lend money to her fiance, a provincial judge, whose aunt objects to the match. Their marriage will consequently be kept secret till the aunt dies. Trapolin will be paid out of what the judge inherits. A baroness borrows in order to gamble, if giving as security the income she will receive two years later. She is patronizing and insolent, but she impresses Trapolin and Durillon with "un certain air de superiorité qui détermine à faire tout ce qu'elles veulent, malgré qu'on en ait." (II, 13). Mmc Malprofit engages in business ventures and has to conceal from her husband her extravagant purchases. She also has to pay for damage caused by her pet monkey. She gives Trapolin 8000 francs in paper to exchange for specie with which to meet her obligations, but he expects to settle with her creditors to his own advantage. A "fils de famille," Daudinet, is persuaded to borrow 20,000 francs in paper, for

¹⁶ She admits that she is infatuated with pharaon and lansquenet, two of the games forbidden by law on Feb 21, 1710, cf Dangeau Journal, XIII, 105

which he must return 22,000 in paper at the end of six months. His security is a house, loaned for the purpose by Durillon, who is sure the family will have to pay.

All transactions do not run as smoothly as these. Chicanenville seems to be a satisfactory dupe, but he gets gold that was not meant for him. Trapolin had slipped it into a bag without telling Dubois, who turns the bag over to Chicanenville without opening it. The error was due to the pressure of business and may have been corrected, but we are not told how the affair turns out. Dargentac, who pretends to be a Gascon, passes off bad coins on Trapolin and probably skips to Genoa before he can be caught. Finally, Clitandre, to whom Tropolin owes a large sum, is constantly put off, so that he is unable to equip his regiment, but, thanks to his marriage, his money will be restored to him by Suzon Trapolin has so many affairs on his hands that even his skill and assurance cannot save him from failure.

Other characters are Mme Sara, a familiar figure in comedy of the time, that of a rich old woman who wishes to marry a young man and is deeply offended when she finds that he prefers a woman nearer his age, Suzon, a very capable girl who manages her affairs successfully, avoids marrying an agroteur, and not only eaptures Clitandre, but enables him to get back the money he supposed he had lost, and the peasants, Claudine and Lucas, who merease the comic element by their patois and naiveté.

The play gives an interesting picture of financial transactions at Paris in the early eighteenth century, when heartless brokers were taking advantage of the country's sufferings in war. It shows a large variety of types in scenes that contain some excellent dialogue. Its unity lies in the subject rather than the plot Hardly any of the characters win our sympathy, but we prefer Chtandre and Suzon to the rest. The play must have pleased especially by its actualité, for it had twenty performances in 1710, between Sept 26 and the end of the year, and was given once at Versailles,17 but it was not revived after that year

The last coniedy that Daneourt wrote before the death of Louis XIV, LE VERT GALANT, 18 marks a return to methods he had employed in much earlier plays, such as la Gazette de Hollande and les Vendanges We find ourselves in the society of lesser bourgeois, whose business, amusements, and relations with one another and with soldiers are dramatized. The love intrigue is The play is in one act and in prose, with a brief divertissement at the end, called "la Musique." The chief event is worthy of a medieval

¹⁷ According to a letter written on Nov 30 by the duchesse d'Orléans and cited by Mélèse, Rép, p 220

18 No place, 1714, 12° According to Dr Starr, the approbation is dated Sept 19, 1714 Republished in editions of the author's collected plays

farce: an inelegant trick is played upon a would-be adulterer and leads to the triumph of virtue.19

The source of the play, according to the frères Parfaict, 20 was an anecdote that told how a husband surprised an abbé with his wife and gave him a bath that left him with a green tint. They quote the Mercure galant as saving that Dancourt told the tale in order to rouse interest in the play. This suggests that the play may have inspired the anecdote. If it did, the point of departure would be the pun indicated by the title, which may indicate an enterprising ladies' man, or a lover painted green, both of which definitions ultimately fit M. Tarif. The husband becomes a dyer in order that the operation of painting his rival may easily be carried out. His owning a farm explains why he was not expected to sup in Paris. The choice of military men as his helpers simplifies the task of mastering the rival. There seems to be no special reason why Tarif should be an agroteur except that Dancourt had recently written a play dealing with this somewhat novel profession, unpopular enough to characterize a villain. The introduction of the lawver serves to meet objections that might be raised in regard to the legal aspects of the situation created by Jérome's act of punishment. Such considerations explain the composition of this logically constructed play that resembles both a farce and a comedy of manners.

"Jerome, a Parisian dyer, owns at some distance from the city a farm that he likes to visit. He has recently married a young wife. His niece, Javotte, lives with them. Her brother, Eraste, an officer in the dragoons, is impecunious, but hopes to marry Angélique, niece of a stock-jobber, M. Tarif. This marriage has been proposed by a neighbor, Mime Clopinet, pictures of the young people have been exchanged, and the only obstacle is that Tarif, anxious to retain control of his niece's fortune, is unwilling for her to marry. Jérome invites Tarif to sup at his farm, but the invitation is declined. When the men have separated, Mime Tarif informs Jérome that her husband has fallen in love with his wife, that Mime Jérome has confided in her, and that she has invited Tarif to supper with the intention of playing a trick on him. Jérome decides to play a trick himself and thinks of a method. He bids his wife continue her preparations for supper and enlists the aid of Eraste and his valet, Lépine, who had formerly worked in Jérome's establishment. Mime Jérome and Javotte receive Tarif, who removes his wig, puts on a cap belonging to Jérome, and starts to enjoy with the ladies the expensive repast he has had brought in Eraste and Lépine intrude upon the party and are followed by Jérome. Tarif tries to explain his presence by saying that he had stopped in to while away the time before keeping an engagement, in preparation for which he would visit a basyneur. Jérome offers to have him bathed by Lépine, who, with Eraste, takes him to Jérome's vats, undresses him, and dyes him green, giving him three coats. While they are away, Mime Tarif and Mime Clopinet arrive, followed by Eraste and Angélique, who are eager to insarry. Jérome, now worried over the consequences of his act, consults a cousin who is a lawyer and is advised to enter a complaint against Tarif before the latter can bring charges against him. Tarif, turned green, now appears. He threatens, but he is laughed at by Lépine and rebuked by his wife. He begs to have th

Jérome dominates the action. He must have managed his business well, as he now has 200,000 francs, a home and a dye-shop at Paris, and a place in the country. He wishes to retire from business and purchase "quelque Charge qui m'anoblira" (sc. 3). He at first considers Tarif his good friend and remarkably honest for a man of his profession. He is hospitable to his nephew and niece and apparently thinks it no mésalliance to marry this girl to his former apprentice. He shows his imagination by the trick he plays on Tarif. When he fears he has gone too far, he consults a lawyer, but he does not lose his composure enough to keep him from jesting about his victim's color. His rôle of justicier never renders him solemn or morose.

Tarif is a speculator and a pursuer of women. When we first see him, he claims to be engaged in furnishing a dowry "en especes pour du papier, sur lequel il y a moitic à gagner" (sc 5). He has deceived his wife on various occasions. When he had sought too great intimacy three months before with Mme Clopinet, two of her husband's clerks had beaten him. He is easily deceived by women's flattery and is comic in the contrast between his desires and his manners. He cannot resist telling Mme Jérôme how much he has paid for the supper 12 francs for the pheasant, "les deux perdrix neuf livres dix sols, & treize francs l'oiscau de riviere & la becasse" (sc. 13). He is no match for the soldiers and wins no sympathy when he is painted and begs to be cleansed

The other characters are less striking. Eraste is the usual impecunious young officer, but he is distinguished from many of his predecessors by his humble origin, of which he is obviously ashamed as he wishes to keep his brother officers in ignorance of the fact that he is Jérôme's nephew. Lépine is not merely a clever valet, but one who knows the dyer's trade and has been made bold by army life. Mme Jérôme is described by her husband (sc. 3) as a "bonne grosse réjouie, belle & de bonne humeur" She and Mme Clopinet uphold social virtues and are quite capable of controlling men like Tarif. The latter's wife is equally virtuous, but has a somewhat different rôle, as she is chiefly concerned with seeking revenge. The competent lawyer, the two girls in love with uniforms, and a "garçon teinturier" complete the cast. The last of these is introduced to bring out the fact that Jérôme is preparing to "teindre en vert ce meuble de damas" (sc. 2) and consequently has ready at hand the materials for punishing Tarif.

The dialogue is brisk and amusing, especially at the end of the play, when Tarif appears in his new color Jérôme proposes to change the green to "feuille morte", Lépine, from "vert brun" to "céladon" A song is sung to emphasize what has been accomplished

La nature le rend galand Mais ce n'est rien que la nature, Si l'art n'eût aidé le talent Par le secours de la teinture, Au denouëment de l'aventure Il ne seroit pas Vert-Galant

The play had only moderate success. First acted, October 24, 1714, it was given only ten times in that year, and was not revived. Perhaps eighteenth-century sentimentality was already too strong for it to be appreciated. The Mercure galant implied that it was played oftener than it deserved to be when it accused Dancourt of foreing the troupe to reject a play by Dufresny because he was unwilling for it to compete with his Fêtes du Cours and Vert Galant, "qui occupent la scène, en dépit du public, autant qu'il plaît à leur auteur" "2" A month earlier the same magazine had called le Vert Galant a comedy "mal taillée et mal cousue," a criticism that cannot be defended unless the form of the play when first acted was quite different from that given it when it was published. On the other hand, the hostility of the journal can be explained by the fact that Dufresny had edited it as recently as May, 1714

II -Comedies with Frames

Lesage brought out his Duble boiteux in the early summer of 1707 (priv., June 5) So great was its success that Dancourt, who liked to take advantage of anything in which the public was interested, prepared a play that he entitled LE Diable boiteux quickly enough for it to be acted on Oct 1 of that year. This comedy was so well received that he was induced to bring out another on Oct. 20, LE Second Chapter du Diable boiteux. The latter is not a sequel to the earlier play and neither of them draws its plot from Lesage's novel, yet it is quite true that this novel inspired both plays and supplied Dancourt with important suggestions.

From it he derived the titles of both plays and his conception of the lame devil, Asinodée, a modern and unprepossessing remearnation of Cupid, who gets lovers into trouble, carries people through the air, and enables them to see what is ordinarily hidden from the public. In the prologue of Daneourt's Diable bosteux Cléophas and the magician are referred to. The novel is mentioned in scenes 1 and 4. A character suggests that the herome's uncle and aunt are the Procureur and his wife mentioned "dans la fin du livre," while another person thinks she has seen "ce vilain genie qui s'apelle Flagel, & qui est l'esprit de la chicane," one of the devils described by Lesage in

²¹ Mercure galant of November, 1714, cited by Mélèse, Th. ct. Pub., p. 113

¹ The two plays were published together, Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12° They were republished in editions of the author's collected plays

nis first chapter. The prologue of the Second Chapter mentions Pillardoc, Lesage's Pilladorc, who had caused Asmodée's lameness while fighting with him over a "partisan manceau." Both plays reproduce Lesage's cynical tone and to a certain extent his interest in manners

Le Duble botteux is a comedy of one act with a prologue.2 The main source of the plot 18, as the frères Parfaict suggest,8 de Visé's Veuve à la mode. In both plays we hear of a husband's death, his widow's efforts to keep his property for herself, her dealings with merchants and with a commissaire sent by her husband's nephew to place seals on valuables. This accounts, however, for only a part of the plot The theme of summer lovers. overcome by their military rivals, was appropriate to the time of year when the play was first acted and had been employed in the late seventeenth century by various authors, including Dancourt himself Lépine's use of the wardrobe in the interests of the lovers may have been suggested by Poisson's Foux divertissans, of which Dancourt had made an adaptation in le Bon Soldat The valet's impersonation of a dead man in connection with a will may well have come from Regnard's Légataire universel, which, though not played until 1708, had been read to the actors on Dec 24, 1706. That Lépine's intervention docs not resemble Crispin's more closely may be due to the fact that Dancourt was unwilling to make his borrowing more apparent than it is

The most entertaining character is the widow, but her hypocrisy might

The Diable bottenx has brought the magician's wife, Thérèse, and her daughter, Sanchette, from Madrid to Paris They are in the theater. The Diable priposes to show them what is going on at the home of a Procureur, who lives on the Place Maubert. This intrigue will take the place of the comedy that has been promised and will be followed by musical comment. After this prologue we learn that the Procureur is dying and that his niece, Angelique, and her servant. Marton, have been courted by Eraste and his valet, Lépine, but that, while these suitors are away in the army, the girls have been wooed by a law student and a clerk, who propose to take them to a dance. Eraste and Lépine now arrive and are about to quarrel with the summer lovers when the news of the Procureur's death is announced. To avoid detection, the four nien retire into a wardrobe. Mire Lucas, the widow, pie tends to be greatly afflicted, but she is comforted by the thought that her husband has made a will, leaving her all his fortune except a certain sum that will go to his nephew and niece if they marry. The nephew has the police put seals about the house and even seals up the wardrobe. M. Corbeau comes to talk about the funeral invitations and decorations. We hear that a semistress and a merchant offer their services in connection with moniting garments. Lépine extricates himself from the wardrobe and asks Marton to tell Mine Lucas that her husband's ghost has appeared Marton, who easily convinces the widow that Lépine's voice is that of the deceased, explains to the notary, who disapproves of the will, that they are playing a trick Presently Lépine appears in the Procureur's hat and robe, which hid been kept in the wardrobe, and is followed by the other men. The summer lovers represent devils, in costumes they had adopted for the ball. Lépine, speaking for the Procureur, asserts that his wife had forced him to make the unjust will and insists that it be torn up. As Mire Lucas raises no objection, Marton destroys the will. Thereupon Lépine and Era

have been developed more effectively. The other persons show little individuality. There is some representation of manners in the introduction of various persons drawn to a home where the head of the house has just died: a possible heir, merchants, police, a notary, a "crieur-juré", also in the reference to summer and winter lovers in time of war, in a traveler's patronage of a bargneur, and in the lack of protection offered a widow when her husband's will is destroyed. Preparation is carefully made. Mme Lucas's superstitious nature is shown long before the supposed ghost appears, and it is explained that the hat and gown of the deceased are kept in the wardrobe before the men are concealed there Less care is taken, however, to unify the playlet, for several scenes could be omitted without influencing the dénouement Indeed, the play makes the impression of having been hastily written in order that it might be acted while Lesage's novel was still new and in the month of October, when army lovers used to come home.

The prologue, which connects the play with the novel, is entertaining. Paris is described as "la plus belle Ville du monde." It is said that women should avoid the manners of the provinces and become the "esclaves du gout & de la mode." The Diable objects to "connoisseurs" who, when they go to the theater, laugh only at "de bonnes choses . . c'est une peste pour les Piéces nouvelles" The composition of the audiences is described as follows

la vanité & l'amour propre sont sur le Theatre, le luve & la coquetterie dans les loges, & la fine critique dans le parterre

The Diable claims that it is he who inspires the actors, giving malice to one, presumption to another,

de l'esprit à celui ci, l'opinion d'en avoir à celui-là, & qui leur inspire à tous en general des sontimens d'union, d'intelligence & de politesse qui regnent ordinairement parmi eux

The variety of entertainment offered, the popularity of the novel, the references to manners, and the scene of the wardrobe probably account for the play's considerable, if temporary success It was acted twenty-five times in 1707, six times in the two years that followed, and five times in 1713 It encouraged the author to write a companion piece, if not a sequel

The Second Chapitre is practically a three-act play. Though it has the unorthodox number of two acts, its prologue, divided into three scenes, may well be considered a third.5 By this arrangement the author was able to

⁴ For an account of Sanchette, "l'ingénue la plus terrible" of Dancourt's theater, cf. Jules Lemaître, op. cit, pp. 120-2

⁵ Prologue The Diable boiteux has fought with his old enemy, the demon Pillardoc, over Simon, a sous-trastant, has brought the old man to Panis, and offers to show

represent two localities at Paris without violating the unity of place. His principal purpose, besides showing again the Diable boiteux and his Spanish protégées, was to extract comic material from the life of a financier, so that his play becomes a preliminary sketch of *Turcaret* and *les Aguteurs*.

Simon tells how he worked up by intrigue and speculation from the positions of page and doorkeeper to that of wealthy sous-traitant. All his thought has been fixed upon saving and making money. Now he is told that the young coquette he has married will squander his laboriously acquired fortune as soon as he is dead. It is to prove the truth of this prediction that the Diable boiteux has caused a report of Simon's death to reach his wife and that he now escorts him to his home. The first act demonstrates what would happen if Simon were dead, the second shows that, if he lives, he may be happy, provided he seeks pleasure under the guidance of the Diable boiteux and allows his wife, within certain limitations, to seek her own. The moral is that the enjoyment of life should not be ruined by too ardent a pursuit of wealth or by intolerance.

Dancourt was not yet ready to write a comedy of manners centered round a wealthy financier. His Second Chapitre, like its predecessor, is primarily intended to furnish light entertainment, with music, dancing, and enough talk and action to recall to the spectators the novel they had recently read. The Second Chapitre is less unified than the first and less satisfactory, as the characters, other than Simon, are little more than marionettes that dance while the Diable boiteux pulls the strings, and as themes suggested are insufficiently developed. The production was played only eleven times in 1707 and but once in 1708, whereas the Diable boiteux was given, as we have seen, thirty-six times in 1707-13.

The third comedy with an outer and an inner play, LA COMÉDIE DES COMÉDIENS OU L'AMOUR CHARLATAN, was inspired by the success, not of a

him what is going on in his home after his wife has been made to believe he is dead, he introduces Simon to Thérèse and Sanchette, who have entered the Opera, have not as yet distinguished themselves, and enjoy Mmc Simon's entertainments, Simon regrets the time he has spent under Pillardoc's direction and agrees in the future to live as the Diable boiteux advises Act I Mime Simon awaits only an official certificate of her husband's death before marrying a Chevalier she has lodged in her house, she and a Présidente, who retire at 9 A M and rise at 4 l' M, prepare to give a masked ball. Thérèse hrings her daughter to call and sings a duet with a Major, who has left his wife at home, they go to put on their costimes Act II While Mmc Simon and most of her guests are dressing, the Diable hoiteux enters with Simon and retires with him into a cabinet, Mmc Simon and her friends return, costimed and masked, Thérèse sings a song predicting a hiref widowhood for her hostess and the reception of important news at 4 seconds after 8 32, the Président and the Major's wife enter unexpectedly and a quarrel 14 shout to result when the Diable boiteux intervenes, introducing himself and Simon, who asks the Chevalier to return his dressing-gown and not to marry Mmc Simon hefore she is a widow, peace is patched up by the Diable hoiteux, in honor of which Thérèse and the Major sing songs, the last of them directly addressed to the audience

Paris, Pierre Rihou, 1710, 12° Republished in editions of the author's collected

plays

novel, but of rival organizations that were playing at the Foire. The forains, who employed elements of the old Théâtre Italien, had attracted such large audiences that they had been accused by the actors of the Comédie Française of violating their monopoly of dialogue and had had one of their theaters pulled down. Dancourt had been especially active in this affair and had been condemned to pay 300 francs. With his comrades he had won an appeal to the Conseil du Roi on March 17, 1710. In the meanwhile the forains had parodied plays and actors of the Comédie Française and had been ridiculed by Legrand in his Foure Saint-Laurent of 1709 Dancourt followed up this attack by satirizing the Italian dramatic methods that had inspired those of the Foire and by ridiculing Frenchmen who admired them.

While his main purpose was to attack the Foire, some of his material was suggested by the Opera or by earlier French plays According to the Nouveau Mercure for January, 1711, the Italian song introduced at the end of the first act recalled Danehet's Fêtes vénitiennes, a ballet given at the Opera on June 17, 1710. The title of Dancourt's comedy had been employed by Gougenot and Scudéry over seventy-five years before The use of an inner play to bring about the marriage of actors is also found in Quinault's Comédie sans comédie. The trick by which the dénouement is reached is similar to that used by Cyrano in le Pédant joué. There are also resemblances to Campistron's Amante Amant and to Regnard's Folies amoureuses of

Daneourt is said to have planned originally only one act and to have expanded the play into three acts, the third constituting the inner comedy in the outer play his comrades, La Thorillière and Poisson, appear under their own names, Etienne Baron and Beaubourg, as the young actors and lovers, Léandre and Eraste Marton, who wants to become an actress, was played, according to the frères Parfaict by la Desniares La Thorillière also appears as Mezetin, while Poisson agrees to play Scaramouche In the inner play Beaubourg takes the rôle of the Docteur, Etienne Baron, that of Pierrot. It is proposed that La Thorillière play Arlequin, but he refuses, probably because Domenico Biancolelli, the greatest Arlequin, had been his father-in-law. Trivelin is mentioned, and an actress plays Spinette. The French farce-players of the early seventeenth century, Gros Guillaume and Guillot-

^{&#}x27;Cf below, Chapter XIX

^a Cited by the frères Parfact, XV, 47-51, and by Melèse, Rép, p 220 As the gods had been treated with irreverence in the Theâtre Italien, it is innecessary to suggest Lucian in this connection, as does the Noureau Mercure

Lucian in this connection, as does the Nouveau Mercure

Feeding candy to a hidden lover (III, 9) had been employed by Campistron in his Amante Amant, advising an old man to give up women for drink, by Regnard in sc 1 of the directissement that ends less Folies amoureuses

Gorju, take part in the *divertissements*, probably to indicate that Italian players were as much out of date as they.¹⁰

Grichardin, a retired apothecary, is wealthy and amiable, anxious to please his young wife. He objects to the Comédie Française because it seeks to have a monopoly of amusements and he shows that his own taste calls for the methods of the Théâtre Italien (I, 5):

Gr Ils veulent être seuls à divertir le public, & il semble qu'ils prennent à tache de l'ennuier

Ang Ils out un privilege qu'ils soûtiennent

Gr Oui, le privilege de ne rien faire qui vaille, parce qu'ils sont seuls, de mal jouer les anciennes pieces, & de n'en point donner de nouvelles qui ne soient mauvaises

je voudrois donc que ce fût une petite piece à la manière Italienne

J'étois un des meilleurs apuis du Theâtre Italien, je leur ai bien fait gagner de l'argent

Grichardin is presented as a person whom one would not care to imitate. He is described by Mezetin as follows (II. Intermède).

Il aime mieux Trivelin, Que tout Corneille & Moliere Honneur au Bourgeois sensé, De qui la raison rapelle Le bon goût du temps passé

¹⁰ Grichardin, a wealthy bourgeois, has recently married young Lucile, who has taken into her home her friend Marton Grichardin's daughter and his ineec, Isabelle, are in love with young actors, Léandre and Eraste Marton hopes to go on the stage and to marry the girls to their lovers Léandre pronises that he and Eraste will try to get her into the troupe if she will bring about their marriages. To accomplish this she proposes to add a dramatic performance to an entertainment Grichardin has prepared for his wife. La Thorillière and Poisson agree to play Mezetin and Scaramonche. Since a relative has suggested that a certain business man mairy Angélique, La Thorillière Mezetin disguises himself as this financier and shows so clearly that he is after money and expects to dupe Grichardin that the latter dismisses him, whereupon La Thorillière Mezetin takes off his costume and Grichardin is told that he has played a part without knowing that he was doing so. Angelique enters, disguised as the Docteur Mezetin declares that they will give l'Amour Charlatan Grichardin orders as an overture a dance in which various persons of "l'ancienne comedic Françoise et Italienne" will take part and taste for antiques is praised. The inner play follows. Jupiter and Momus piopose to reside on earth and seek Mercury and Amour, who have been driven from Olympus. The Docteur, who loves his ward, Philine, invites them into his house in order to protect them from the girl's lovers and those of her maid, Spinette. Mercury instructs a finte player and invites village girls to dance. When Amour joins him, the two gods propose to organize a charlatan's troupe and make love to Spinette and Philine Invited into the house, they encounter Jupiter and Momus. Mercury agrees to return to his usual occupations, but Aniour is restive, is threatened with incareration, and asks for a month's vacation to be a charlatan. Jupiter agrees, but he wishes to lodge in the Docteur's house during this period, and obtains his consent by offering him Philine. A not

In holding him up to ridicule, Dancourt was defending the Comédie Française. He also did so when he made Marton say (I, 8) that, if the Italians were to play, it might be "une chose assez ennuieusement ridicule de travestir ainsi Ia Scéne Françoise." The attack upon the rival actors continues in the second act when Marton tells Poisson that "les vrais Crispins ont bien valu les Scaramouches" and that "sans un Arlequin une Comedie Italienne ne vaudra pas le diable," though the rôle is easy to play, as "le masque joue de Iui-même." La Thorillière adds that there has never been but one good one, meaning his father-in-law, long dead A "seéne détachée" resembles a "Comedie Italienne" (II, 5). Italian methods are parodied by Mezetin's sudden changes of costume (II, 10), by the Italian sentences he employs extensively when conversing with the Docteur (II, 11), and by the quality of the inner comedy, illogical, poorly constructed, introducing gods, songs, and horseplay.

Dancourt's comedy should be regarded primarily as a satire upon attempts to revive Italian methods. He probably thought there would be no advantage in constructing it logically or in making much of the characters. Besides Grichardin the principal persons are energetic Marton, mild Lucile, and the young lovers, to one of whom, Léandre, is attributed a picaresque career (I, 1)

il a été Ecolier en Droit, Aprentif Notaire, façon d'Abbé, Régent de Sixième, Commis de la Douaine, Avocat, Maître à damer il s'est fait depuis Comedien, ce n'est pas le plus mauvais parti qu'il pouvait prendre

International characteristics are listed by Angélique while she is playing the Docteur (II, 11)

vif comme un François, grave comme un Espagnol, rusé comme un Italien, hardi comme un Turc, fier comme un Ecossois, gonrmand comme un Anglois, & yvrogne comme un Allemand

Some use is made of patois in the speeches of the peasants A divertissement with singing and dancing follows each act. Indeed, the play is a medley, offering many forms of entertainment, but dominated by the satire of the forains and their Italian imitations. It was at first well received, as it had fourteen performances in 1710, on and after Aug. 5, but it was not revived except in 1733, when it was acted only five times. The forains did not allow it to go unanswered. At the Foire Saint-Germain of 1712 they produced les Plaideurs, the third part of which was "une critique maussadement faite" of Dancourt's play. They held that they alone had a right to the rôle of Pierrot, which Etienne Baron had taken in l'Amour Charlatan.

and a chevalier gascon Then there is a dance, after which Marton, playing Amour, agrees in a song to marry Mercury

¹¹ Cf frères Parfaict, Mémoires pour servir a l'histoire des spectacles de la foire, I, 149 50, and below, Chapter XIX

III -Revisions of Plays by Other Authors

Dancourt's comrades must have called upon him when they wished to produce or to revive plays that needed certain modifications in their texts. He had performed such service several times in the seventeenth century, most notably when he reduced Poisson's Foux divertissans to a comedy in one act, le Bon Soldat. In 1703-5 he made minor alterations in three spectacular plays, Thomas Corneille's Inconnu and Circé and Molière's Amants magnifiques Dancourt's part in the revival of these plays will be discussed in the next section of this chapter. In 1707 he rewrote in verse Lesage's five-act adaptation of Rojas's La Traición busca el castigo. When Lesage published his Traître puni in 1739, he called attention to the fact that Dancourt had put his work into verse, but he indicated no other changes. The frères Parfaict state that Dancourt corrected certain defects in Lesage's play and added material, but they do not point out what these corrections and additions were. They are, however, obvious enough to anyone who compares Lesage's play with La Trahlison punie.

Lesage had changed the Spanish play little, except that he wrote in prose and added a valet whom Dancourt did not retain. As an experienced dramatist and an actor who knew his audience, Dancourt wished to make the play more comic in tone and to effect a happier solution than the one that Rojas had invented. Instead of having the heroine marry in the middle of the play a man whom she does not love, he unites her to the man she loves at the end of it. He adds a minor intrigue with a third woman in order to bring out André's character and to devise one or two comic scenes. He omits the hole in the wall between two houses, probably because he considered the theme trite, introduces plans to help the lovers, makes the challenge miscarry, gives Don Juan one less change of purpose, and alters the dénouement. He brings Don Juan gradually to see that it is unwise for him to marry the heroine, makes Don André more of a poltroon, accords greater discernment to old Don Félix, increases the importance of the female attendants, gives more variety to the dialogue, and augments the comic element The number of new scenes is almost as large as that of the old ones, though the plot and the leading characters remain, on the whole, much as they had been in Rojas and Lesage.3

1 XIV 454

Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1708, 12° Republished in editions of Dancourt's collected

^{*}Léonor, daughter of Don Félix, is loved by Don Garcie, who lives next door, but the young man's poverty has made him an unsuitable match for her in her father's eyes Don André has been paying marked attention to Léonor, has convinced Don Garcie's sister, Isabelle, that he loves her, and has various other affairs of the heart Don Félix has engaged his daughter to Don Juan, whom Don André had known in

The principal character, Don André, is handsome, wealthy, sought after by women. He loves none of them, but he amuses himself at their expense and is especially interested when there is opposition. He lies to Don Félix and betrays his friend, Don Juan. His character is blacker than in the earlier plays, for he lacks the courage to challenge Don Juan and seeks to lure Don Garcie to a rendezvous and have him murdered there by hired assassins. There is insufficient preparation for this last undertaking, which brings about the solution of the main problem in the play. Assassination would hardly be planned by one who had expressed his attitude towards life in the following lines:

Tout le cours de la vie est un amusement, Et rien n'amuse enfin tant que le changement Pour se désennuier d'une stupide belle, On en trouve une alors laide & spirituelle Qu'une vieille fatigue avec sa gravité, On prend un jeune objet plein de vivacité, Si je suis las de voir une taille géante,

Flanders, but, when he finds that Léonor objects to the match, he visits Don André to learn his intentions. Finding him averse to matrimony, Don Félix returns to his first plan and introduces Don Juan to Léonor as her fiancé, but, as Don Garcie happens to be present, Léonor sliows by her attitude towards him and the confusion of her speech that she prefers him to Don Juan Before this meeting takes place, Don Garcie had asked Don André to cease paying attention to Léonor, and Don Juan had called on Don André to horrow his valet, Fabrice, and to tell him that he has come to Valencia to be married. The three visits whet Don André's appetite. He accompanies Don Juan to Léonor's house and, when Fabrice brings Don Juan a letter summoning him to his father's hedside, is asked by his friend to look after Léonor in his absence. Fabrice, thought to be Don Juan's servant, is allowed by Don Félix to stay at his home. Meanwhile Don Garcie has thought of fighting a duel with Don Juan, of escaping to Rome, and of having Léonor join him there, but her maid, Jacinte, favors a milder form of clopement and, in order to arrange it, proposes that Garcie visit Léonor in her apartment at might. When Jacinte discovers that Fahrice is in the house, she invites him to her room with the intention of locking him in, but, before the valet can go there, he is obliged to let Don André into the house. The latter proposes to force his way into Léonor's room, but he and Garcie meet in the dark and are about to fight when Fabrice calls for help, Léonor enters with a light, and each suitor claims he has come to rescue her from the other. To add to the excitement Don Juan, who has learned of his father's death, returns to the house, insists upon knowing which man is guilty, and, since Léonor defends Don Garcie, conclindes that he is the culprit. To avoid scandal, he postpones further action and pretends to Don Félix that nothing has happened. Next day Don Juan employs threats to get a confession from Jacinte, Félix informs him that Don André loves

DANCOURT 173

Je rabaisse mon vol, & la name me tente; Et lorsqu'on est outré de l'excez d'embonpoint, Qu'il s'en offre une maigre, on ne la chasse point. Je n'ai jamais le goût délicat ni malade, Et la brune me plaît, quand la blonde est trop fade 4

With Don André are contrasted the other lovers Don Juan, honest, considerate, and brave, willing to withdraw when he is convinced that Léonor loves another man, and Don Garcie, gentlemanly, loyal, deeply in love, but conceiving wild schemes that would defeat their object if he were not aided by persons cleverer than he is. Don Félix is not merely the honorable and authoritative old Spanish nobleman that one often finds in comedias, but a man of feeling and discernment, wiser than Don Juan and accepting a solution of his daughter's problem to which he had originally objected. The women of the play are Léonor, more independent than in Rojas and Lesage, but still needing assistance; Isabelle, disappointed in love, but apparently reconciled in the end to the loss of Don André, and three survantes, who, with the valet Fabrice, do much to lighten the dialogue

Despite Dancourt's efforts to make a comedy of this drama, his production remains somber in its general tone, nearer to the tragi-comedy of the seventeenth century than to most comedies of its time. Don Juan loses his father and is foiled in love, Isabelle has to renounce her lover, and André is murdered. French spectators were not accustomed to death and sorrow in a comedy, nor could they have approved of the play's structure. The events may take place within twenty-four hours, but three localities are represented on the stage. Don André's home, Don Félix's, and a place near Elvire's, while the unity of action is not preserved, as Jacinte's plans do not work out and there is insufficient preparation for Don André's death. The rule for haison is, moreover, twice violated. These departures from usage may explain why the comedy, first acted on Nov. 28, 1707, was given only seven times in that year. A writer in the Nouveau Mercure remarked that it could not be considered the best of its author's productions An attempt to revive it in 1733 was hardly more successful, as there were only eight performances of it in that year.

SANCHO PANCA GOUVERNEUR IS little more than a new edition, with

⁴I, 6 The passage is obviously inspired by Lesage's play, I, 1, but it resembles Eliante's well known speech in *le Misanthrope*, II, 4

Act III, between scenes 7 and 8 and between scenes 8 and 9

Cited by Mélèse, Rép, p 218
Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1713, 12° The dedication to de Mortemart in "vers libres" calls Cervantes the most skillful master that "l'art de bien écrire aura jamais peutêtre" and expresses the hope that, "Quand Moliere est assis le premier au Parnasse," Dancourt may be the second The comedy was republished in editions of the author's collected plays It has been studied by Maurice Bardon, "Don Quichotte" en France, Paris, Champion, 1931, pp 511-20

minor alterations and a divertissement, of Guérin de Bouscal's Gouvernement de Sancho Panza, written nearly seventy-five years before Most of the plot, the important characters, and many of the verses are the same in both plays. Other lines of the older comedy are but slightly altered. While certain speeches are shortened and additions are made to all the acts, such changes are not important enough to give Dancourt credit for more than a subordinate part in the composition of the play

As Guérin's comcdy was the third member of a trilogy, Dancourt was obliged to add introductory material that his predecessor's play did not require. He put it into six scenes spoken by the Duke, Don Quixote, Sancho, and an attendant. We learn from them that Don Quixote is eager to rescue Dulcinea from her supposed enchantment, we hear Sancho, who has been promised a government, discuss his master's mental condition with the Duke, and we see him leave the stage in order to be dressed in accordance with his new rank. After these scenes Dancourt reproduces Guérin's first act closely except for its brief second scene. The Duke argues with the Duchess, and Sancho listens to the orator's harangue of welcome to his governorship.

The most important scenes of Guérin's second act, the first and third, are retained. They contain Don Quixotc's instructions to Sancho and the first case that the new governor judges Guérin's transitional second scene is altered and his fourth scene is omitted. Dancourt adds four scenes that introduce a letter from a magician informing Don Quixote that Dulcinea will not be released from the cavern till Sancho ceases to govern. His third act consists mainly of three cases that Sancho tries. The first, concerned with a peasant and a gipsy woman, is much as it is in Guérin's play, but the second of is in neither Guérin nor Cervantes, and the third, though not dramatized by Guérin, comes from the Second Part of Don Quixote, Chapter LI.10

Dancourt's fourth act is the closest to its model. There are only slight departures from it except for the addition of the first two scenes, in which Sancho is told that a governor cannot eat unless he is a knight. Act V, on the other hand, contains a good deal that is new. Guérin's scenes 1, 9,

* Mme Rodrigue insists on either marrying her ward, young Carlos, or taking his inheritance, but Sancho tricks her into accepting only a small part of the moncy and allowing Carlos to have the rest and to marry the girl he loves

^{*}For a study of this play, cf my op cit, Part II, pp 273 8 Dancourt admitted in his preface that he had made some use of an old play The frères Parfaict, XV, 126 9, indicated what this play was Bardon confirmed their identification by a detailed comparison of the two comedies

¹⁰ A gallows has been set up in a certain country where men are hanged if they lie when questioned about their destination. Asked where he is going, a man replies that he is going to the gallows to be hanged. If he is hanged, he is telling the truth and does not deserve this fate, but, if he is not hanged, he is lying and does deserve to be hanged. The case is referred to Sancho, who acquits the accused

DANCOURT 175

11 are omitted and, though seenes 2-8, 10, 12 are reproduced with fair fidelity, the five new scenes that follow give the play an ending that is different from Guérin's both in content and in spirit. In them Sancho is at last allowed to eat, Dulcinea, who has been rescued, is presented to Don Quixote and agrees to marry him, and a divertissement is sung by Dulcinea, Sancho, Archelaus, and two other characters. A large part of the last four scenes is in "vers libres"

Dancourt borrowed directly from Cervantes, not only the legal case to which I have referred, but the theme of Dulcinea's enchantment, a reference to the cave of Montesinos, the introduction of Archelaus, and Mme Rodrigue's name. That he used Don Quixote to correct Guérin is shown by the fact that Cervantes's Recio de Aguero appears in Guérin merely as Rajo, but in Dancourt as Rezio d'Aguerre He states in his preface that, after the play had ceased to be acted, he added "plusieurs Scenes, qui lient l'action plus qu'elle ne l'étoit d'abord & qui interessent davantage un des deux Heros, qui est D Guichot." These may be the whole or parts of I, 1, 2, II, 4-7, V, 15-17, which give Don Quixote a larger part in the play and make his happiness depend upon the main theme of Sancho and his government.

Dancourt claims that his comedy contains many "traits d'esprit & de fine satire" that are in neither the novel nor the plays previously derived from it. This is an exaggeration, but it finds some justification, as Bardon shows, in Sancho's criticism of the "Grands" and in the Duke's observations about amusements. There are a few other jests that are somewhat obvious. Samples of his additions are given in the following verses.

- S Mettons, tant bien que mal, beaucoup d'argent à part C'est la des Gouverneurs la meilleure maxime, Chez les Grands c'est vertu, (hez les petits c'est crime (1, 5)
- D Lope Les Principaux de l'Isle Vous aportent les clefs des portes de la Ville
- S Des clefs! Ces Principaux sçavent mal leur nictier, Je suis leur Gouverneur, & non pas leur Portier (I, 10)
- S La Grece! cette femme étoit de fort bon sens (III, 2)

Dancourt's omissions tend to improve the dialogue, but one misses Guérin's references to the theater, his couplet that parodies lines of le Cid, and his tirade in defense of stealing, with its savor of Rabelais. One would have expected these to be retained rather than the stances of V, 2, a form that had long ceased to be popular. Dancourt made the Duke more reflective, Sancho more independent, and Don Quixote more important than Guérin had done. His dialogue is more rapid and his divertissement introduces singing and dancing. On the whole, however, his play shows no marked improvement over Guérin's. Nor was he able to revivify the old play enough

to please his audience. First acted on Nov. 15, 1712, the comedy was withdrawn by its author after five performances. The public was less interested in Dancourt's Sancho than it had been two years before in another play derived from Don Quixote, Destouches's Curieux Impertment.¹¹

IV -Spectacular Plays

In this section will be discussed the material that Dancourt contributed to the revival of spectacular plays by Thomas Corneille and Molière, and the comedies he composed in 1705-14 that make of spectacle an important element, to the neglect of characters and manners. His most usual medium is "vers libres." Dancing and singing are admitted Several of these plays, written for special occasions, could not have been expected to remain long in the repertory.

On June 1, 1703, the troupe revived Psyché, with its "machines," its dances, and its "vers libres" So great was its success that the actors produced another "machine" play, Thomas Corneille's Inconnu, first acted in its new form on Aug 21 of the same year Dancourt had the task of bringing it up to date. To do this he wrote a new prologue and altered the five acts in such a way as to create for each a divertissement. His prologue is a conversation in three scenes between the Muse of Comedy and members of the troupe Paul Poisson, Ponteuil, Sallé, la Desmares, and Mimi Dancourt. La Thorillière and other actors also appear. Thalia praises the acting of Raymond Poisson and declares that she has heard that his son's acting is admired. We learn that the first performance of a play draws a crowd, but that subsequently spectators lose interest. The parterre is considered the supreme judge The troupe is praised, though the actors do not equal certain "originaux" of the past Their recent production of Psyché was successful, but the public was almost indignant over the fact that the price of admission was "au double." Thalia advises that l'Inconnu be given "au simple"

The five divertissements are made out of scenes in the Inconnu.¹ In the first four almost the only changes are found in the substitution of new songs for old ones, and in the mention of new actors, Sallé, Ponteuil, and la Desmares, who take part in the dances. The inner play of VInconnu

¹¹ Bardon asserts that Dancourt's comrades hesitated to give him his "part d'auteur" in the performances, as they considered the work primarily another's, and that only the intervention of Mortemart saved him from this humiliation. He must have derived the tale from Léris or Clément et La Porte, who are dubious authorities. As Dancourt does not allude to the incident in his dedication to Mortemart, one may well doubt its accuracy. Since Dancourt had not received a "part d'auteur" for reducing les Foux divertissans to his one act Bon Soldat, he may not have expected special remuneration for his new play. There is no question of humiliation.

1 1, 7, II, 8, III, 6, IV, 6, and V, 3, 4

DANCOURT 177

which treats the subject of Psyche is discarded, as the troupe had so recently presented it in a more elaborate form, and a peasant marriage troupe with its songs and dances is put in its place. Dancourt's alterations gave the play an air of novelty and eliminated the expense of "machines." That his experiment was successful is shown by the number of performances the play enjoyed in the form he gave it: 23 in 1703, 56 in 1704-15.2

The following summer the troupe revived Molière's Amants magnifiques. Dancourt wrote for it a new prologue and intermedes that differ entirely from those in the original. Allegorical figures converse in the prologue and at one point Fortune and Love are perched upon clouds The fourth intermède employs French prose and an Italian song Elsewhere the additions are written in "vers libres" Dancourt's verses are of little interest to the modern reader. They brought the play no such success as he had won for l'Inconnu. Revived on June 21, 1704, les Amants magnifiques was played only eleven times in that year and was never acted again at the Comédie Française.3

Thinking, perhaps, that Molière's play was not sufficiently spectacular, the actors revived. Aug. 6, 1705, Thomas Corneille's Circé, which employs mechanical devices as freely as does Psyché Dancourt gave the play new introductory material. He dedicated it to Louis XIV and praised him in "vers libres" for defeating the Dutch, for sparing them, and for destroying the Huguenots. The prologue that follows resembles Thomas Corneille's in that it contains three scenes in "vers libres" and songs at the end of the last. These songs now constitute a divertissement As Louis was sixty-seven, L'Amour is eliminated The king is still praised, however, by Mars, Fortune, Glory, and Fame, despite the fact that the play was acted just about a year after Marlborough had crushed one of Louis's armies at Blenheim. The text and setting of the five acts are retained, except that songs are added or substituted for old ones.4 Dancourt's alterations 5 changed the character of the play very little. It was given only eight times and was never revived. There must have been considerable financial loss, as the "machines" could be paid for only after many productions of a play.

Before the extent of the failure could have been known, the troupe was summoned to Livry-le-Château. As the Dauphin had gone hunting there,

The new text was published in editions of Dancourt's collected plays and, except

The new text was published in editions of Dancourt's collected plays and, except for references to actors by their names, it was added as an appendix to the old text of l'Inconnu in an edition of Thomas Corneille's plays, Amsterdam, 1740

**Goizet lists an edition of the play with Dancourt's additions, Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1704, 12° These additions are also published in editions of Dancourt's collected plays

*New songs take the place of those in I, 6, II, 7, III, 8, IV, 4 Songs sung by Nereids and Tritons are added to V, 9 Sallé and his wife sang some of the new songs.

*They are published in editions of Dancourt's collected plays and in the edition of Theorem Corneille's ruble and Amsterdam in 1740. of Thomas Corneille's published at Amsterdam in 1740

M. de Livry had prepared a theater for his entertainment. It took the form of a comédie-ballet, composed by Dancourt and with music by Gilliers. No "machines" were employed. Acted on Aug. 12, 1705, the production was called L'IMPROMPTU DE LIVRY. The actors who took part in it are named in the published version.8 The spot selected for the performance is described as follows.

Le Théâtre dressé dans le grand Vestibule de la Colonade qui est au des-ous du Sallon, representoit un des plus beaux endroits du jardin, fermé par des palissades assez hantes pour cacher les Acteurs, ouvert par plusieurs endroits par des Portiques, du ceintre desquels pendent des festons de fleurs au dessus de plusieurs Orangers, entre lesquels sont des Gueridons & des Torcheres, avec des Girandoles garnies de quantité de lumieres

La Sallé, as Flora, begins the entertainment by inviting fauns and shepherds to the festival. Sallé, dressed as a shepherd, responds. The guests march and dance A stumbling harangue of welcome is given by the captain of the château Songs and dancing follow, then a comedy is acted, a résumé of which is published. Though the text does not identify this comedy, the summary shows that it is certainly Dancourt's Vendanges with the scene changed from Mantes to Livry.9 Even the names of Lucas and Margot are retained. The play ends in a march to celebrate the approaching marriage of young lovers It is accompanied by songs, two ballet entries, and a "danse en rond." This playlet was well adapted to brighten an evening at Livry. but not to performance at Paris, nor is there any record of its having been acted there.

A month later, on Sept. 13, a similar performance was given at Sceaux in honor of the duchesse du Maine. It was entitled LE DIVERTISSEMENT DE SCEAUX.10 Dancourt was again the author and Gilliers the composer, but only eight 11 of the fourteen actors who had played at Livry took part. The stage was placed in "un des beaux endroits des Jardins de Sceaux" La Sallé leads in actors and actresses, sings to them, and is welcomed by Sallé, dressed as a druid. He praises the duchesse du Maine Poisson, as Crispin, makes a stumbling address in prose, comparable to the one introduced into l'Impromptu de Lury Singing and dancing follow. Then "on represente une Comedic," but there is no way of telling what the comedy was. More

Cf Dangeau, Journal, X, 390 1
 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1705, 4° Republished in editions of Dancourt's collected

Sallé, Fonpré, their wives, Paul and Philippe Poisson, Guérin, Lavoy, Ponteuil,
 Du Bowcage, la Dancourt, her daughter Minn, la Desbrosses, la Godefroy
 For this play of my op cit, Part IV, pp 786-7
 Published in editions of Dancourt's collected plays
 Sallé cut her the Part IV and the Part IV.

¹¹ Sallé and his wife, Paul and Philippe Poisson, Du Boccage, Fonpré, la Godefroy, and Mimi Dancourt

179 DANCOURT

singing and dancing, ending with a "branle pour danser en rond, après lequel le Bal commence." In composing this production Dancourt obviously used as a model l'Impromptu de Livry. There is no evidence that it was played at Paris.

For six years after writing these plays Dancourt wrote comedies that resemble them only in their divertissements. Then he put on the stage of the Comédie Française a three-act comedy that is like them in the use of a prologue and "vers libres," in the choice of a beautiful garden as a setting for the action, and in the subordination of characters and manners to fanciful elements. He made use of an ancient legend and may have owed something to Molière's Amphitruon, a play that he mentions in his prologue.

CÉPHALE ET PROCRIS 12 dramatizes only a portion of the myth that had ence tempted Alexandre Hardy and had in 1694 given rise to an opera by Duché. Dancourt probably found his source in Hyginus, Fable CLXXXIX, though he may also have used Ovid's Metamorphoses, Book VII He kept Athens as his hero's home, but he selected another place for representation on the stage. He introduced Mercury and attendants. The subordinate plot that he added may have been suggested by Amphitryon, for the attendant's love affair echoes the master's in somewhat similar fashion, though it is far less comic than are the relations between Mercury and Cléanthis. The psychological possibilities of the tale are as much neglected as are the realistic, probably because Dancourt was stressing especially the beauty of the setting, his use of "vers libres," and his three divertissements, one of which concludes each act.18

The stage shows the facade of a magnificent palace, to which lead long walks through gardens and rows of trees. The prologue defends the morals of the play by referring to the gods' behavior and by citing the example of

¹² Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1711, 12° Music by Gilliers Republished in editions of Dancourt's collected plays For a study of the play, of Jules Lemaître, op. ost,

Dancourt's collected plays For a study of the play, cf Jules Lemaître, op. cst, pp 210 4

18 In the prologue, spoken by Momus and Thalia, comedy is put on a level with tragedy, unjust critics are attacked, and the play is introduced as a novelty. In the three acts that follow the scene is laid on Mount Hymettus. Cephalus and his confidant, Philacte, have been lured there by Aurora while they were hunting. Her nymph, Callitee, seeks to win Cephalus for the goddess, but he longs for Procris, his wife. Aurora then asks for his love, but she gets only a promise of worship Mercury brings word that Jupiter, influenced by prudish goddesses, orders Aurora to send Cephalus back to Procris, but Aurora persuades Mercury to help her. Procris and her attendant, Dione, Philacte's wife, are brought to Hymettus. Aurora suggests to Cephalus that Procris may be unfaithful, changes his appearance, and allows him to offer Procris hospitality. She also changes the appearance of Philacte. Procris is impressed by her host and is urged to encourage him by Dione and by Mercury, who takes the form of Procris's nourrice. He has already quieted the goddesses on Olympus. He tells Procris that her husband is unfaithful. Procris rejects the riches and rank offered by her host, but finally admits that she prefers him to her "volage". and rank offered by her host, but finally admits that she prefers him to her "volage époux," whereupon Cephalus makes himself known and orders her away She retires with Dione, who has recognized her own "traitre de mari" Cephalus will be Aurora's lover In the divertissements fauns and nymphs march, dance, and sing about love

Plautus and Molière in their Amphitryons. Protest is made against inconsiderate critics who call themselves the "beaux esprits du temps." The three leading French dramatists are mentioned with respect:

Les Racines & les Corneilles,
Momus, auront des successeurs,
Et tels des modernes auteurs
Qui, par mes conseils, pour matiere
Ont pris la critique des mœurs,
Suivront, quoique de loin, les traces de Moliere
Quand on ne peut atteindre au suprême degré
Il ne faut point rougir qu'un autre nous surmonte,
A ce mortel illustre on peut ceder ans honte,
Et dans le second rang voir son nom consacré

There are said to be some contemporaries in comedy who yield first place only to Molière Momus finds none of the actors without talent, but he criticizes them for not sticking to what they are able to do, for failing to appear at performances, and for indulging in suppers that last until morning. Thalia defends them on the ground that they have too many rôles to play. Dancourt was at the same time excusing his comrades to the public and urging them to be more conscientious about their work.

In the dialogue the beauty of the setting receives emphasis. The material of the play is divided in accordance with the hero's attitudes towards the goddess and his wife. In Act I he is faithful to Procris; in Act II he hesitates, in Act III he labors to test his wife and is delighted at finding an excuse to desert her for Aurora. The unfairness of his method is not brought out, nor is Procris allowed to argue that it was really Cephalus she loved under his disguse. Her yielding comes suddenly, as if the author desired to end the play rather than to develop the situation. Her rôle might easily have been made pathetic, but pathos is avoided and we are told nothing about the fate assigned to her in the ancient accounts.

With her fidelity is contrasted her suivante's desire to be rid of her husband, but it is not made clear that he will become the lover of the nymph, who must look with contempt upon his cowardice. We are given little opportunity to judge the seductive powers of Aurora, who offers herself boldly to Cephalus, thereby winning the disapproval of the frères Parfaict.¹⁴ Mercury has a rôle of considerable importance, but his motive for pandering to Aurora's desires is not made clear.

The play is curiously immoral for the period, a fact that made necessary the apology introduced into the prologue. The subject is not well adapted either to comedy or to Dancourt's talents. He failed to develop the comic

DANCOURT 181

elements that he introduced, nor did he compensate for this failure by writing charming verse or by a detailed study of motive. The resulting failure is not surprising. Acted first on Oct. 27, 1711, it was produced only six times. According to the frères Parfaict, its "chûte totale" was prevented only by the illness of an actor or actress.15

Dancourt's next play resembles those he had written for performance at Livry and Sceaux, but it is complete in itself, not merely a frame for a comedy. The occasion for it was the partial termination of the War of the Spanish Succession, which ended, so far as other enemies of France than the Empire were concerned, on May 10, 1713. To celebrate this event and to honor his country's ally, the Duke of Bavaria, Dancourt composed L'IMPROMPTU DE SURÊNE, 16 a comédie-ballet played at that village on May 21 and at the Comédie Française three days later. The prologue in "vers libres" declares that, since Victory has brought Peace, grapes and grains will come to maturity in fields that will escape the wrath of Mars. Peasants dance three entrées to celebrate the event. A one-act prose comedy follows in which La Folie, L'Amour, and Bacchus overcome the resistance of two miserly wine-merchants, distribute freely food and drink, and bring about five marriages.17 The play ends with a divertissement, composed of stanzas, the last of which had to be written when the performance was transferred from Suresnes to Paris.

There is almost no intrigue. We are told little about the characters. The setting is "une Terrasse ombragée d'Ormes, de Tillculs & de Maroniers, aux bords de la Seine" It must be to the performance at Suresnes that Dangeau refers on May 21, 1713 "on fit commencer la comédie qu'on avoit fait exprès pour cette fête, et on avoit fait un assez joli théâtre au bout du jardin, sur une terrasse au bord de la rivière" 18 Singing and dancing found an appropriate background in these outdoor surroundings. Dancourt relied for the success of his play on the setting, the dancing, the songs, his

last performance

18 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1713, 12°, a quarto edition from the same press gives only the prologue and divertissements Music by Gilliers, the ballet by Dumirail The Impromptu was republished in editions of Dancourt's collected plays

²⁵ Ibid The actor was probably Etienne Baron, who died in the month after the

impromptu was republished in editions of Dancourt's collected plays

17 M Foret and his associate in the wine business refuse to allow Lucilc, Foret's
daughter, and Nérine, his associate's niecc, to marry the young nien of their choice,
Erastc and Clitandre La Folie comes to aid the young people and persuades Bacchus
and L'Amour to help them Knowing that Foret has stored away wine in order to
increase its value, Bacchus proposes to rid him of this stock and opens a tavern in
which he takes the place of head waiter. Foret is distressed to find that the guests
leave without resume but Bacchus allores him with threate and the resume of which he takes the place of head waiter—Foret is distressed to find that the guesta leave without paying, but Bacchus silences him with threats and the promise of a "lettre de change sur la Fortune" The marriages of the two young couples are arranged, as well as those of drunken Kerpinot and silly Mme Pinterelle, of a widow and a young Gascon, and of Bacchus himself and La Folie

13 Journal, XIV, 407 He writes from Marly, which is near enough to Suresnes to account for the fact that he does not mention the latter place

brisk dialogue, and a few allusions to manners. Among these last I note a reference to those who pass off Suresnes wine as champagne, a maid's patois, a Gascon's oaths, mention of a widow's amusements and those of Bacchus's worshippers, and the satire upon wine merchants who insist upon scarcity value in their economics

Bacchus regrets (sc. 6) that

on ne voit plus de Bourgeois yvres dans les rues, ni de petits Maîtres entre deux vins rendre hommage au beau Sexe dans les bosquets des Tuilleries On ne bat plus le Guet à Paris, on ne casse plus de lanternes

A widow complains (sc. 14) that, since her husband's death,

Il a fallu renoncer aux spectacles, plus d'Opera ni de Comedie, pas de promenade même, je n'ai de ressource qu'au bal, parce qu'on s'y déguise, & quelquefois à la Guinguete, cela est sans consequence

M. Foret, who prays that the vines may freeze, explains his method of keeping up prices (sc. 6)

Depuis trois ou quatre ans d'intelligence avec les Vignerons & les Courtiers, nous y mettions un prix fort haut, dont on nous donnoit des contre-lettres servoit de regle au Bourgeois délicat & au riche Gourmet, chacun se pressoit d'en avoir, les aisce se ruïnoient, l'artisan souffroit, le malheureux languissoit, le Brasseur gagnoit, & nous ne perdions pas nous autres

Hurriedly written for a special occasion, the play could not have been expected to enjoy more than temporary success. The text of the divertissement mentions the crowd that attended the performance at Suresnes. At Paris the play was given twenty-six times, but not later than the end of July. According to the frères Parfaict, 19 1t had lost by that time threefourths of its value.

Some fourteen months later, on Sept 5, 1714, appeared LES Fêtes NOCTURNES DU COURS 20 It resembles the Impromptu de Surêne in that it neglects plot and characters, refers to the ending of the war, has a scene representing charming outdoor surroundings near Paris, a prologue in "vers libres," and a final dwertissement with singing and dancing A brief dedication in "vers libres" praises the Saxon prince and asks for his protection Then a prologue in similar form declaies that, as the greatest of monarchs has chained up war, Venus and Barchus are calling. The speakers are allegorical figures, Choreda and Cynoedor, who is described as the "Génie du Bal" and takes part in the one-act play that follows.21 The scene is

¹⁹ XV, 152

^{**} Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1714, 12° Dedicated to the "Prince Electoral de Saxe" Music by Gilliers Republished in editions of Dancourt's collected plays

1 Chtandre loves Célide, who loves him, but who has been told by Cidalise and her friends that he is unfaithful When he learns that she has exchanged masks with Cidalise, he pretends to believe that she is her rival and assures her that he

laid "au Cours dans les Champs Elysées." Many of the characters wear masks, and masked figures dance and sing in the final divertissement.

According to the frères Parfaict.22 the Cours was so popular in the summer of 1714 that dances lasting all night were introduced. These with the crowd of carriages, lighted by torches, made a picturesque scene that attracted Dancourt's fancy. The wearing of masks encouraged adultery and gave an opportunity for intrigues of various kinds, some of which might have excellent results. We learn that cooks and provisions were sent ahead to Chaillot by those seeking entertainment and that réveillons were popular. The plot is feeble and incomplete. There are few genuinely comic situations. The characters make little impression. The play illustrates the thought expressed in sc. 19, that "le siècle courant est un bal continuel, les passions s'y déguisent, & tout le monde s'y masque." A writer in the Mercure galant commented that "on ne laisse pas d'y rire, mais le parterre . . . avoue qu'il n'y comprend rien" He holds that one was justified in enjoying the masks, the dances, and some of the songs. Evidence that he was right is shown by the fact that the play was given thirty-two times. By Jan. 11, 1715, the novelty had worn off, so that the play was not revived.

In his plays of 1701-14 Dancourt showed that he had retained his dexterity as a versifier, his ability to write clever dialogue, and his command of many devices for entertaining the public. His plays are in prose, in verse, or in the two combined in varying proportions. They may have any number of acts up to five, if we consider a prologue as an act. The scene of the two plays by other authors that he rewrote is laid in Spain. Elsewhere the place he selected is Paris or its environs. He respected the unity of time except that in the Second Chapitre more than twenty-four hours elapse between the beginning of the prologue and the end of the play. In the same way, this comedy and the Diable boileux violate the unity of place. In the other plays, except that three localities in a city are represented in la Trahison punie, the unity of place is preserved. On the other hand, the unity of action is not infrequently violated, the rule of liaison occasionally. The proprieties are usually respected and moral lessons may be drawn from some of the plays, but Daneourt never sacrifices his art to his desire to preach and, in Céphale et Procris, he is distinctly immoral.

loves only Célide He is helped by Cynoedor, who inspires Cidalise's suivante, Marton The same allegorical figure prevails upon Cidalise to accept M de Butorville, a hanker from Amens It is not clear whether he arranges other marriages among the four leading characters who remain old Araminte, her daughter, Lucile, Chtandre's guardian, Oronte, and elderly Desminuttes, apparently a notary or a lawyer ** XV, 173-5

He received suggestions from ancient mythology, Molière, Lesage, Guérin de Bouscal, Cervantcs, some of his own earlier work, and to a lesser extent from Chappuzeau, Quinault, de Visé, and other seventeenth-century authors. Except in la Trahson pume, Sancho, and Madame Artus, the imitation is less important than his knowledge of French life and of the theater. He introduces comments on the audicince and occasionally puts his comrades on the stage under their own names. He describes aristocrats, bourgeois, upstarts, members of the underworld, peasants, and servants. There are echoes of the war, a study of high finance, references to the purchase of nobility, to Parisian shops, to meius, to various forms of business and amusement. The characters are not usually presented in much detail, but he gives us a very definite impression of Mme Artus, her notary, the agioteurs, Don André, and Simon. Dancourt's satire spares none of the classes he describes

He maintained his reputation for discovering subjects that would interest the public, if only for a season. When one failed, he was soon ready with another to take its place. As the leader of the troupe, he was obliged to spend a good deal of his time adapting older plays or writing unpretentious pieces for festive occasions. He is at his best in les Agioteurs, the most complete money-play written in or before the reign of Louis XIV, and in his "dancourades," especially the Galant Jardinier. Only this play and Colin-Maillard remained in the repertory through most of the century, but all were preserved for the reading public and many of them contained highly entertaining scenes, though none of them has the importance of Turcaret or of his own Chevalier à la mode.

CHAPTER XI

BOURSAULT, BARON, BRUEYS, AND CAMPISTRON

Besides Dancourt, four authors who had written for the Comédie Française before 1690 continued writing for it after 1700.1 The oldest of them was Boursault, who had had his first comedy acted shortly after Molière returned to Paris, had tried various kinds of literature, and had won great success with his Comédie sans titre or Mercure galant and his Esope or Fables d'Esope. This last play introduced extensive moralizing into seventeenthcentury comedy and was so well received that its author returned to the subject and again put Æsop on the stage in Esope à LA COUR,2 practically completed in five acts and in verse before Boursault's death on Sept. 15, 1701, though he was unable to prepare it for publication.

The form of the play, which presents its rambling plot mainly in alexandrine couplets, but with intercalated fables in "vers libres," is that of the author's carlier Esope Possibly acting on a suggestion from Lenoble's Esope, which his own had inspired, he introduced from Herodotus, as he had not done previously, Rodope, a Thracian freedwoman. From La Fontaine he derived his dénouement and at least four of his sixteen fables, while a fifth fable has the same moral as one by La Fontaine, and a sixth puts in the form of an apologue one of La Rochefoucauld's maxims.8

Æsop is represented as in the earlier play. He is deformed and ugly, an ex-slave, but so great is his wisdom that Crossus makes him a minister of state. He has no ambition except to lead an excellent life He understands the vices of a court, preaches tolerance, gratitude, unselfishness, love in marriage, filial affection, belief in a Creator, and the forgiveness of one's

¹ For these four men ef my op cit, Parts III and IV

² Paria, Beugine and veuve Gasse, 1702, 8° Dedicated by Boursault's widow, in accordance with his wishes, to Mine de Villequier Published by Le Breton, 1706, 1724, 1725, by Pierre Ribou, 1708, by the latter's widow, 1724, 1725, at Amsterdam, 1726, at Paris, 1742, 1746, 1788, and in Chow de pieces, Duchesne, 1783 For inneteenth century editions, including that in one aet brought out by Truffier, ef the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale. It was translated into Italian in 1747 For a study of the play ef Alfred Hoffmann, Edme Boursault, Metz, 1902, pp. 130-42. The fables are Le lion, l'ours, le tigre, et la pantherre, Le héron et les poissons (from La Fontaine, VII, 4, Le héron), La marchandise de mauvais débit (Apollo offers ceptit for sale, Mercury, mémoire, Apollo sells nothing, Mereury, much; ef La Rochefoucauld, Maxime 89), Le jardinier et l'âne, Le coq et la poulette, Le figuier foudroyé (the same moral as La Fontaine, III, 14, but with a fig tree and hirds substituted for a lion and other beasts), La guenon et son maître, one without ² Paris, Beuguie and veuve Gasse, 1702, 8° Dedicated by Boursault's widow, in

birds substituted for a lion and other beasts), La guenon et son maître, one without a title in which rain on a river illustrates the careless distribution of favors by the great, Le lion et le rat (La Fontaine, II, 11), Le faucon malade, Le fleuve et la source, La colombe et la fourmi (La Fontaine, II, 12), Le lion décrepit (La Fontaine, VIII, 3, Le lion, le loup et le renard), L'enfer, La trompette et l'écho, L'homme et la puce The freres Parfaict, XIV, 238-49, show that the main portion of the last act comes from La Fontaine, X, 9, Le berger et le roi

enemies. The other characters, introduced chiefly to give Æsop an opportunity to sermonize and recite a fable, include an amiable king, a kindly princess, sinful and penitent Rodope, her neglected mother, four typical courtiers, two of whom conspire against Æsop, a retired warrior who is an agnostic, a young colonel, and an aged financier.

The manners described are those of the seventeenth century, especially those of Versailles, except that slavery exists and polytheism is practised, as in Æsop's day. The sovereign is an absolute monarch, advised by courtiers. His marriage for love rather than for reasons of state could be tolerated in view of Louis's union with Mme de Maintenon. The king investigates charges of embezzlement brought against a minister, as Louis XIV had done in the case of Fouquet. A man can grow rich by collecting taxes, as many men did in Boursault's time. The state religion is supported by the monarch, but courtiers think more of his approbation than they do of the gods.

Pour peu que l'on y prie on est toujours en garde, On observe avec soin si le Prince y regarde, Et lorsque par hazard on rencontre ses yeux, C'est lui que l'on invoque encor plus que les Dieux.

It is in the same scene that Æsop, at the king's request, tries to convert General Iphicrate, a man of excellent morals who is unable to believe in the gods. His ideal is to

Ne se reprocher rien & vivre en honnête homme, Appuyer l'innocent contre l'iniquité, Briller moins par l'esprit que par la prohité, Du mérite opprimé réparer l'injustice, Ne souhaiter du bien que pour rendre service, Etre accessible à tous par son humanité

He believes both men and the world eternal, but he does not wish to be

When Æsop returns from a trip, he is asked by Cræsus to correct the morals of his court and is made a minister in place of Iphis, who has offended the king hy telling him that he drinks too much Æsop persuades Cræsus to pardon Iphis and restore him to favor. He advises him to marry for love rather than for reasons of state and persuades Princess Arsinoë to postpone no longer the choice of a husband, but to accept Cræsus in marriage. He offers to wed her confident, Lais, apparently in order to test her, and approves of the girl's refusal. He criticizes Rodope's conduct, but he pardons her when she shows remorse over her ingratitude to her slave mother. He urges Plexipe to avoid gossip, Cléon to seek less for himself, Iphicrate to admit the existence of a Creator, Griffet, who is eighty two, to give up his pursuit of money. His influence at court excites the jealousy of Trasibule and Tirrene, who accuse him to the king of hiding in his cheet money that belongs to the state, but, when the chest is opened, the king finds in it only the clothes Æsop had worn as a slave. The sage prevails upon Cræsus to pardon these courtiers. At the end of the comedy the marriage of Cræsus and Arsinoë is to take place next day, that of Rodope and Æsop subsequently.

*III. 3, cf the familiar passage about Louis XIV and his courtiers in La Bruyère, Caracteres, "De la Cour" (I, 328 in the Grands Ecrivains edition)

called an atheist and will be only too glad to be convinced that the gods exist. Æsop uses the familiar arguments drawn from the need of a first cause, the order of systems and planets, and the thought of death. He will visit Iphicrate again. The scene must be an echo of life in 1701, when skepticism was abroad and was attacked by the orthodox. It is certainly to Boursault's credit that he gave so pleasing an account of an unbeliever and that he sought to enlarge the sphere of comedy by introducing a discussion of this kind, previously suggested on the modern French stage chiefly by Cyrano de Bergerac in Agruppine and by Molière in Don Juan. Neither of these last plays was in the repertory of the Comédie Française in 1701. Boursault's scene met a similar fate, according to the Avis au lecteur published with the play. It declares that "on ne la joue pas sur le Theatre, n'y étant pas tout-à-fait convenable."

Boursault's purpose in writing the play was obviously a moral one. If this is not clear enough in the five acts, it is made so by a letter to the Archbishop of Paris and by the author's verses:

Celui qui succédera sera Esope a la cour, persuadé qu'il y a des abus comme ailleurs, et qu'ils y sont d'autant plus considérables, que ceux qui les commettent sont dans une plus grande elevation 7

Les Grecs & les Romains ont épuisé les veilles
Des Racines & des Corneilles,
Molière a critiqué les habits & les mœurs,
Et je souhaiterois, avec l'aide d'Esope,
Pouvoir déraciner des cœurs
Les vices qu'on y développe *

As he limited himself to persons who might appear at court, his characters are less varied than in the earlier Esope, in which peasants, children, actors, a genealogist, and persons representing other professions appear. The dialogue is less amusing in the new play and the fables are less effective. The latter present the same variety in verse, but they lack the pungency and raciness of those in the older play. Æsop is as constantly on the stage and as monotonously produces a fable for every situation. The success of the play shows that the rôle was well interpreted and that moralizing was beginning to replace in popular esteem the wit and the art that had distinguished the comedy of the seventeenth century.

^{*}For other examples of the references listed in my op oit, Part V, p 170

*Lettres nouvelles (Lyons, 1715), II, 72, cited by Hoffmann, op cit, p 131 A writer in the Histoire des Ouvrages de Squans, cited by Mélèse, The et Pub, p 311, observed that "il y a une belle morale et des portraits assez fins, et quelquefois assez malicieux"

Prologue of the play
The rôle, which seems to have been taken by Dancourt (cf above, Chapter I, note 41), must have been hard to play as Æsop is in all the scenes of Act II and in all but one of the scenes in each of the other four acts

It was with the increase of this tendency in the eighteenth century that the play grew in popularity. Acted originally on Dec. 16, 1701, it had had by the end of the following year twelve performances, not many for a new play. It was not acted again until 1708, but in that year and those that followed through 1715 it had forty-two performances. It remained in the repertory until 1817 and was played in all 227 times. The fact that it surpassed the other Esope in popularity, Esope à la ville as it came to be called, may be due to the preference of the public during much of the period for affairs of the court over those of the city.10

La Harpe noted that the play had increased in popularity, but he offered no explanation of the fact. Though he objected to Æsop's being "amoureux et aimé." he found the character in other respects sensible and noble. He admired the emotional scenc of Rodope's repentance, the comic scene of the financier. Æsop's reply to the officer who insisted that he was a colonel, not a soldier-"Monsieur le colonel, qui n'êtes point soldat,"-and the dénouement Hc made no rescrence to the omission of the theological scene. but he cited an anecdote to the effect that lines spoken by Crossus and Æsop were omitted or altered for fear they might offend Louis XIV 11

The great tragic actor, Michel Baron, had retired from the troupe of the Comédie Française in October, 1691. As he had not taken the trouble to publish his three comedies that had been acted in 1689, he seemed to have renounced permanently dramatic composition as well as acting. In 1702, however, he took part in court performances of Athalie, Duche's Absalon, and Longepierre's Electre. It may be his participation in them that awoke his Muse, for by the autumn of the following year he had written an adaptation of Tercnec's Andria that he entitled L'Andrienne.12

In an Au lecteur the author expressed his surprise that Terence had not

¹⁰ In 1701 7 the older play had seventeen performances, but in 1708-15 only eleven

¹⁰ In 1701 7 the older play had seventeen performances, but in 1708-15 only eleven It was given subsequently only sixty four times and was dropped from the repertory in 1777. When Esope a la cour was acted at Choisy before Louis XV, the monarch thought that the temperance lesson given by Iphis to Cressus was intended for himself and ordered that the coinedy should not reappear at court, cf. Desnoiresterres, la Comédie satirique au dix huitieme sicile, Paris. 1885, pp. 102-3.

11 Cf. La Harpe, op. cit., VIII, 300-2. The most important part of the anecdote he cites, without maning its source, is found in Clement et La Porte, incedotes dramatiques, Paris. 1775, I. 316. According to this work the line in I, 3, that now reads "Et que le trône enfin l'emporte sur le roi" was originally "Et que le roi qui règne est toujonis le plus grand," in which form it might easily have caused trouble for the actors. trouble for the actors

trouble for the actors

18 Paris, Pierre Ribon, 1704, 12°, priv, Jan 30, registered, Feb 13 I have given
my reasons (op cit, Part IV, pp 831-2) for believing that Soleinne, no 1504, and
the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale were led into error by a faulty title page
when they gave 1694 as the date of this edition. The play was republished in 1729,
1769, 1778, 1789, 1816, 1821, and 1823, as well as in collected editions of 1736, 1738,
1742, 1750, 1759, and 1784, in the Recueil Petitot of 1804, in the Auteurs du Second
Ordre of 1808, and in the Repertoire of 1823. For a study of the play cf. B. E.
Young, Muhel Baron, Grenoble, 1904, pp 271-81, 314

been adapted to the French stage since the time of Baif, gave the Roman credit for the success of his imitation, defended himself against those who claimed that he had not written the French play, and referred to good translations of Terence, especially to those of "ce savant homme" who had unfortunately translated only the Andria, the Adelphoe, and Phormio.18 This last remark suggests that Baron made use of the savant's translations in making his own adaptations of Terence, but there is no reason to doubt the fact that the verses were his own.14 It is not true, however, that there had been no adaptations of Terence between Baif and Baron, for le Muet by Brueys and Palaprat had been acted at the Comédie Française in 1691 before Baron retired, to say nothing of La Fontaine's Eunuque, of Molière's borrowings from Terence, and of plays less well known than these.

Baron's chief problems were to turn Latin verse into French, to avoid offending the proprieties, and to give the heroine a rôle of distinction. He kept almost all of Acts I, II, and V, but he made considerable changes in the others. He eliminated both the midwife and the child and made it clear that the hero and herome were married. For the scenes concerned with the infant he substituted others in which the heroine pleads, listens to reflections upon her character, and interviews her husband. He succeeded in making a living person of the heroine, who in the Latin play remains behind the scenes and is heard to speak only one line. His changes prevent the hero from losing our sympathy, but the new scenes are less striking than the old He made minor alterations in regard to manners, substituting French amusements, terms of endearment, food, and methods of punishment for Roman 15 As, however, he kept the location at Athens, the ancient rames, and the slave system, his introduction of French customs is anachromistic.

Baron enlarged the 10le of Davus and at times expanded the dialogue. In so doing he seems to have taken hints from Molière and Corneille.16 He made minor changes in structure in order to link his scenes. The most celebrated line in the Andria, "Amantium irae amores integratiost" (v. 555) is thrown into relief, at least in the edition of 1759, by being printed in capitals (III, 4) "Les piques des Amans renouvellent l'Amour" 17

¹³ This must be Saint-Aubin, who published at Paris in 1669 a prose translation

¹³ This must be Saint-Aulin, who published at Paris in 1669 a prose translation of these three coincides and of no others, of the Bib du th fr, III, 233

14 Allainval claimed that l'Andrienne was written by Father La Rue So did Voltaire, op cit, XIV, 95

15 Cf I, 1, 11I, 5, II, 3, III, 8 In V, 7, he employs the modern word roman

16 The use of coughing as a signal and the remark, "ce rhume est obtine" (III, 5) resemble Tartuffe, vo 1497-9 "Qu'on me jette dans l'eau la tête la première" (III, 7), George Dandin III, 8, "Je le feiois encore, si j'avois à le faire" (I, 1), le Cid, v 878, and Polyeucle, v 1671

17 Pamphile, an Athenian, is secretly married to Glicérie, who is supposed to be the sister of Chrysis. The latter had come to Athens from Andres had acquired as

the sister of Chrysis The latter had come to Athens from Andros, had acquired a

Baron kept too close to his model to allow his own talents to express themselves. The hero lacks initiative, the heroine appears only in the fourth act. Philumène is never seen, the rôle of Carin is superfluous, and the opportune arrival of Criton has the effect of a deus ex machina. The most interesting rôles are those of Simon and Dave, who must have seemed pale, however, in comparison with many fathers and servants of French comedy. Nevertheless respect for Tcrence and Baron's reputation as actor and author enabled the play to win a certain amount of popularity, testified to by the duchesse d'Orléans 18

First acted on Nov. 16, 1703, the play was given at Paris seventeen times in that and the following year and once, Dec 10, 1703, at Versailles. It remained in the repertory until 1782 As the total number of performances was 155, it ranks third in this respect among its author's plays According to the frères Parfaict,10 Etienne Baron played Pamphile, Guérin, Simon, La Thorillière, Dave, la Beauval, Mysis, and la Dancourt, Glicérie. The costume worn by the latter actress gave rise to a style of "robe negligée" known as an "andrienne" It may be of interest to note that three of the principal rôles were given by the author to his son, his brother-in-law, and his sister-in-law two others to persons with whom he had often acted, Guérin and la Beauval. The interpretation of these five experienced players may have had much to do with the play's success

Baron was encouraged to make a second adaptation of Terence. From his Adelphoe he derived Les Adelphes, or, as it was subsequently called. L'Ecole des pères.20 His method was much the same as that which he had

dubious reputation, and had recently died Simon, Pamphile's father, had engaged his son to Philumène, daughter of his friend Chremés, but the latter has withdrawn his promise hecause he suspects Pamphile of a liaison. To test his son, Simon tells him that he must marry Philumène, an order that distresses the young man as well as Carin, who loves her Pamphile's slave, Dave, discovers that no preparations are heing made for the wedding, fears that Simon may have Glicerie sent out of town, and advises his master to gain time by accepting his father's proposition When Pamphile does so, Simon persuades Chremés to renew the engagement, and the lovers are again in despair, but Dave succeeds in convincing Chremés that Pamphile and Glicérie are married Meanwhile Criton has come from Andros, has declared the girl to be an Athenian, and has offered to take her to his home. Simon believes that he is an impostor and has Dave tied up to prevent him from spreading the story, but Chremes vouches for Criton's honesty. From the latter's narrative Chremes. discovers that Glieérie is his daughter, shipwrecked in childhood on the island of Andros and brought up by the parents of Chrysia. There is now no objection to her union with Pamphile, who frees Dave and will try to arrange the marriage of Carin. and Philumène

¹² Cf Mélèse, Rép., p 214 Evidence of its popularity is probably contained in the prologue, se 5, of Regnard's Folies amoureuses, acted only two months later, for Momus, in referring to a savante who gives her decisions shout authors, mentions by name only "l'Andrienne" and Terence

10 XIV, 315 They state that, after la Dancourt retired, the rôle of Glicérie was

given to her daughter, Mimi

20 Young, op cit, pp 281-4, makes a study of the play He lists an edition of Paris, 1705, but does so only on the authority of Mouhy's Tablettes dramatiques

employed for the earlier play except that he transferred the location to Paris and referred to places in that city. He omitted the prologue, the rôle of Sostrata, and those of a few minor characters, but he gave genuine parts to the two young heroines, one of whom in the Latin play does not appear at all, while the other says only a few words and those behind the scenes. Baron elevated one of the heroines in rank and introduced a method of identifying her by means of two halves of a ring that had been employed in the Italian pastoral play, la Fille di Sciro. This identification is not employed to bring about the dénouement, but to reward the lovers for their fidelity.

Baron omitted, as in l'Andrienne, Terence's obstetrical references. Neither of his heroines is pregnant. His alteration not only is more in accord with the usage of the modern stage, but it helps to demonstrate the value of kindliness in the bringing up of young men. Terence had raised the question and had created a stern father and his indulgent brother, but both of their methods proved unsuccessful. The only conclusion to be drawn from the Latin comedy is that a kindly parent is happier than one who is not, whereas Baron, by eliminating seduction and justifying the elopement, spared his youths the criticism to which Terence exposed them. He even rewarded Léandre for disobeying his stern father by making the chanteuse turn out to be an heiress

In preparation for this piece of good fortune he introduced Sanion's wife and added the fourth scene of Act I. The first three scenes of Act II bring Pamphile on the stage and improve the preparation. In the rest of the first four acts he follows Terence step by step, but his fifth act, with the exception of sc. 7, is largely new, for he adds Clarice's adventure with the police and alters the dénouement. Especially noteworthy is the fact that, though Terence had made of Demea a convert to his brother's methods, Baron keeps Alcée hard and impenitent, in accordance with the general usage of French classical comedy.²¹

On the other hand, Beauchamps and Léris refer to the work as found among Baron's papers after his death, and the Bib du th fr declares that it was not published "dans le tems," but only in later editions of the author's work. The first edition must have been that of Paris, P. J. Ribou, 1736. Even Mouhy in his Abrégé, Paris, 1780, I, 6, states that it was flist published in 1736. It was republished in collected editions of Baron's plays.

²¹ Alcée, the stern father of Eraste and Léandre, has allowed the former to be brought up by his indulgent brother, Telamon Eraste has been for some time secretly in love with Pamphile, a girl of good family whose father has died and left her little We hear that Eraste has carried off Clarice, a girl of unknown parentage, from Sanion's house Alcée blames his brother for the young man's conduct, but Telamon defends him and soon learns the explanation from Sanion's wife When eight years old, Clarice had been left in this woman's keeping by a man who went off to Italy He had given Mme Sanion 6000 francs and, for subsequent identification, half a ring As no further news had been received, Sanion had proposed to turn her over to a scoundrel Thereupon his wife had arranged the enlevement,

The comic element is chiefly derived, as in Terence, from the conduct of Alcée, whose efforts at discipline are thwarted by his brother and his sons, and from the antics of Sirus, who comes on the stage drunk and jests at the expense of Alcée and Sanion. When the latter slaps him, he points out, in lines that recall Scarron rather than Terence, the serious nature of a slap. 22

Vous donnez des souffiets! Ah, mon petit mignon, Apprenez qu'un souffiet vaut cent coups de bâton'

Baron's additions increased the play's comic quality little, but they made the comedy more romantic, more modern, and more in accord with French technique. They brought it little favor from the public, as is shown by a letter of the ducliesse d'Orléans 23 and by the fact that, first given, Jan. 3, 1705, it was acted only seven times in that year and was never revived Perhaps it was the more purely romantic character of l'Andrienne that made it more successful than les Adelphes, in which romantic elements are grafted on what might have been either a pièce à thèse or a comedy of manners, had either tendency been more satisfactorily developed

Patelin, except for contributing a phrase and probably a few individual words to the language, had had no influence upon seventeenth-century comedy. It had been sufficiently well known, however, for an edition of it to be published at Rouen by Jacques Cailloué in 1656, reproducing one of 1560. Brueys's attention was called to the farce by a reading of Pasquier, who preferred it to all Greek, Latin, and Italian comedies. He determined to modernize the edition of 1656, both in language and in technique, and to prepare it for presentation by amateurs before Louis XIV. This was in 1700, but the war that broke out the following year prevented the realization of the plan. The coinedy was not acted until June 4, 1706, and then, not at court, but at the Comedie Française "par les soins de M. Palaprat," as Brueys tells us in his preface.

carried out by Ernste for his brother, who loves the girl, but who, on account of Alcée's watchfulness could not well rescue her himself. Pamphile, who thinks Ernste has deserted her for Clarice, appeals to his father's friend, Hegion, who takes the unitter up with Telamon. Samon comes to get the girl back or to secure the 100 pistoles he was to have received for her. He is kept off by promises from Sirus, Telamon's valet, and is finally besten by Eraste and his servants. Telamon explains the situation to Pamphile and makes mirangements for her marriage to Eraste, but Alcée, discovering that Leandre is in love with Clarice has the girl put in the keeping of the police. Sirus and handits he engages bring her back to Léandre Alcée, disguisted with his some and his brother, thins over to the latter the guardianship of both vonths. Telamon prepares to marry them and rewards the servants. It is not until this point in the story has been reached that Hegion produces the other half of the ring and identifies Clarice, who will have a dowry of 50,000 écus. Alcée sends all his relatives "an diable de bon cour"

³³ II. 11, cf my op cet. Part II, p 462
³³ Mélèse, Rép., p 215, lists the play as "d'après Plaute" [sic'] and cites a letter from the duchess of Feb 11, 1795 [1705?] stating that "L'Andricanc de Térence a très bien réussi, mais non pas les A"

It was entitled L'Avocat Patelin, or simply Patelin.26 Bruevs turned the old farce into a three-act comedy in prose with three intermedes, after the manner of George Dandin. These intermèdes were omitted when the play was acted, probably because of the expense involved and because an allusion to the blessings of peace would have been inappropriate when the country was at war. In the three acts Brueys did not confine his contribution to modernizing the language. He added a slight love plot, gave the work unity, improved the preparation, omitted some repetition of material, and prolonged the dénouement. While sacrificing a good deal of the original, he added jests of his own and a few details intended to make the events more probable La Harpe thought that "Brueys et Palaprat l'ont fort embell." 25 About half the scenes of the play are almost wholly new, while the other half, though making some adaptations of the original, seldom follow it closely.26

Patelin is still the crafty and dishonest lawyer of the medieval play, less boisterous in his speech and actions when pretending madness, but equally clever in deceiving the draper and defending the shepherd Guillaume

²⁴ Goizet lists editions of Paris, 1707 and 1715, 12° It was republished in 1725 **Goizet lists editions of Paris, 1707 and 1715, 12° It was republished in 1725 (Paris), 1743 (Lyons, Delarothe), 1760, 1773, 1782, 1782, 1783 (Paris), 1755 (London), 1780 (Petite Bibliothèque), 1787 (Choix de pièces), 1788, 1798, 1801, 1816, in eollected editions of 1735, 1755 6, in the Recueil Petitot of 1804, the Auteurs du Second Ordre of 1808, and the Repertoire of 1823 For seven editions published at Paris after 1816, of the eatalogue of the Bibliotheque Nationale The play has been studied especially by Johannes Koch, Brueys und Palaprat, Leipzig dissertation, 1900, pp 63 73 For its reputation in the eighteenth century of C D Brenner, MLN, XLVIII (1933), 88 90

²⁸ Op cit, VIII, 292

26 Patelin and his wife have recently come to a village near Paris and have taken a house near that of the merchant, Guillaume, and that of the judge. Bartolin Patelin's daughter, Henriette, is loved by Guillaume's son. Valère, while his servant, ratelin's daughter, Henriette, is loved by Gillaume's son, Valère, while his servant, Colette, is engaged to Gillaume's shepherd, Agnelet So ragged are the lawyer's elothes that he fears no one will marry his daughter. He consequently goes to Gillaume's shop, pretends that he wishes to pay him money his father had owed to Gillaume's father, and wheedles cloth out of the draper with a promise to pay early next morning and an invitation to eat goose. Agnelet now begs Gillaume not to have him arrested for killing sheep, but the draper prefers to let the law deeded the matter, so that the shepherd, acting on Colette's advice, engages Patelin to defend him. Meanwhile Gillaume has gone to collect what Patelin owes him. deeing the matter, so that the snepherd, at hig on collect what Pateliu owes bird, but he has been repulsed by the lawyer's wife, who maists that her husband has been for some time mentally ill, and by Patelin himself, who pretends to think he is an apothecary, to see visions, and to hear robbers, against when he takes up arms When Bartoliu holds the bearing, Guillaume represents biniself, while Patelin speaks When Bartolin holds the bearing, Guillaume represents biniself, while Patelin speaks for Agnelet Guillaume confuses his charges against Agnelet and Patelin The judge, convinced by Agnelet's replies of "Bée" that he is out of his head, dismisses the case As he knows that the shepherd has been hit on the head by Guillaume, he is not surprised to hear, shortly after the trial, that Agnelet is dead. When he goes to view the torpes and is shown the mutilited head of a calf, he concludes that Guillaume is a murderer. Colette demands justice, but she agrees to withdraw the charges if Guillaume will sign the marriage contract of Valère and Henriette After this has been done, Agnelet, who had hidden in a neighbor's attic, is brought to the judge by a peasant, who suspects bim of robbery Guillaume now wishes to withdraw his consent to the marriage of his son and Henriette, but, as Patelin has put a forfeit nuto the contract, he accepts the situation. Patelin keeps the cloth. We may suppose iuto the contract, he accepts the situation Patelin keeps the cloth We may suppose that Agnelet will marry Colette

differs from his model only in the fact that he has a slight feeling of guilt at having overcharged the lawyer. Agnelet is now engaged to be married and has made arrangements with a butcher so that he can dispose of the slaughtered sheep. He seems to have risen slightly in the social scale, but his nature has altered little. We are shown more of the judge and a good deal less of Guillemette, now called Mme Patelin. The three persons that are added are but slightly characterized, though they have an important part in the plot, as the marriage of Henrictte and Valère is the event to which the action leads and as Colette sends Agnelet to Patelin and assists in the deception of the judge.

Brueys made fewer allusions to manners than did the fifteenth-century author, omitted the references to the saints, and softened the vulgarity of the original, but he kept in the main the atmosphere of village life and added a few satirical thrusts of his own, as when Guillaume admits that epidemics exist among men "avec les medecins, mais les moutons n'en ont pas" (I, 4), and regrets his sheep, "dont la laine me fait des draps d'Angleterre" He improved the preparation by bringing in Agnelet and the judge at an earlier point in the play. He does not allow Patelin to repeat to his wife what the audience already knows. On the other hand, one misses Patelin's exuberant speech, when he pretends to be delirious, his use of foreign words, or words from French dialects, his fable of the Fox and the Crow, and much of his wife's conversation

Most of the credit for Brueys's comedy is due to the author of Patelin, but the scorn that medievalists heap upon the later play is quite undeserved. It is an entertaining comedy, adapted to the tastes of the eighteenth century, though preserving the chief characteristics of its model. Without Brueys the old play would have been unknown in that century except to a learned few. Thanks to him it became one of the most popular plays in the repertory of the Comédie Française. Léris and Clément et La Porte testified to the pleasure it gave the public in their time. Voltaire 27 predicted that l'Avocat Patelin and le Grondeur would make the name of Brueys remembered so long as there would be a theater in France. Though it never enjoyed a long run, it was played a number of times almost every year from 1706 to 1829 and occasionally thereafter, down to 1859. The total number of performances at the Comédie Française was 885, a record surpassed by only two other plays written in the eighteenth century.

In 1709 Campistron was probably considered the leading author of tragedies then living, but his one comedy had had little success and had not been played for many years. Nevertheless, when he returned to dramatic

²⁷ Op cit, XIV, 47

composition, which he had abandoned for a decade, he wrote in the lighter genre. The experience he had acquired in tragedy had taught him the advantages of simplicity and logical structure and may well have developed his taste for the type of high comedy that Molière had illustrated by le Mesanthrope. It is to the latter play that Le Jaloux Désabusé 28 is related rather than to a farce like de Visé's Gentilhomme guespin, or to Baron's Jaloux, with its unmarried and physically violent protagonist. Like Molière's play, it is a comedy of character, depicts high Parisian society, and introduces a jealous hero, a coquettish heroine, and a group of minor characters in league with her. Alceste has, however, married Célimène. In this respect and in the fact that the man is ashamed of his jealousy Campistron's comedy resembles Dufresny's recent Jaloux honteux Moreover, the woman whom the protagonist loves uses her coquetry only in behalf of her sister-in-law The characters of the play arouse no such interest as do those of le Misanthrope and the picture of society is less complete, but this is not to say that the comedy is without merit.29

Dorante is an "Homme de Robe" who has an income of over 20,000 écus. He neglects the law and mingles with the aristocracy (I, 1)

Ennemi du travail, toûjours plein de loisir, Méprisant ses égaux, & depuis son enfance, Nourri dans le repos, dans la magnificence, Cherchant les Courtisans & les Gens du bel air, Imitant leur exemple, & les traitant du pair

^{**} Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709 and 1710, 12° Reprinted in editions of its author's works that appeared at Amsterdam in 1722-3 and subsequently at Paris, as well as in the Petite Bibliothèque of 1788, the Recueil Petitot of 1804, the Auteurs du Second Ordre of 1809, and the Répertoire of 1823 It has been studied by Curt Hausding, Jean Galbert de Campistron, Leipzig, 1903

Dorante and his sister, Julie, have inherited great wealth, which will be divided only when the girl marries. As this fact makes him unwilling for Julie to marry Clitandre, his wife's cousin, a domestic conspiracy is formed against him. Not only Clitandre, but Eraste and many other young men come constantly to Dorante's home Célie, Dorante's wife, pretends that several of them are in love with her and that she is not indifferent to their courtship. Dorante is deeply disturbed, but he fears that, if he protests, he will be laughed at. A supper at Surcesies, to which he declines to go and which is vividly described to him by his sister's suvunte, heightens his suspicions. In the early hours of the morning, while his wife and his guests are amusing themselves, he decides to act. In the meantime Eraste admits that he has really fallen in love with Célie, tells her so, and is rebuked. She assures him that she will remain faithful to her husband, and decides that, since Eraste's confession has shown that she has allowed matters to go too far, she must now explain to her husband that she was merely pretending to be a coquette in order to force him to allow Julie to marry Clitandre. They had thought he would give this consent in the hope that, with Julie out of the house, the young men who made him jealous would have no excuse for entering it. When Célie is about to explain, Dorante speaks first, permits his sister to marry, and announces his intention of retiring from Paris with his wife into one of his châteaux. She tells him of their conspiracy and dismisses Eraste. Dorante shows that he has been "désabuse" by agreeing to remain in Paris, but she insists that his love is her most valued possession and prefers to leave next day for the country.

Il chasse, il court le Cerf, est homme de Campagne, Aime le jeu, la table & le vin de Champagne, Decide & parle haut parmi les Beaux Esprits, Impose, plaît, commande aux Belles de Paris, D'habits tout galonnez remplit sa Garderobe, Et n'a rien en un mot du mêtier que la Robe

The frères Parfaict complain that these characteristics are not shown in the course of the play, but there is a good reason for this in the fact that Dorante's jealousy, aroused intentionally by his wife, has in the last few days caused him to lose his taste for pleasure (I, 7). He first appears in II, 2, when he complains about his wife's conduct. Shortly afterwards he seeks an explanation, but he finds it difficult to overcome her smiling resistance. At the beginning of Act III we learn that he has suffered from his wife's behavior at dinner. When she comes to borrow his horses, as one of hers is ill and she wishes to go with Eraste and other friends to Suresnes, he becomes angry, but she pretends to faint and soon has her way. His jealousy is greatly increased when he has this party described to him. He has been restrained by the feeling that jealousy in a husband is a bourgeois characteristic, an opinion acquired when he entered high society (II, 2):

Et blâmant du vieux tems les maximes sensées, J'en plaisantois sans cesse, & traitois de Bourgeois Ceux qui suivoient encor les anciennes loix

He had married, not only without love, but expecting to be grateful to his wife's lovers for entertaining her. Then he had become enamoured of her, but he dared not show his jealousy, for fear of ridicule (11, 2)

Si je montre l'ennui que mon cœur en reçoit, Les enfans daus Paris me montreront au doigt, Et traité de bizarre & d'Epoux indocile, Je serai le sujet d'un heureux Vaudeville

In the end his bourgeois jealousy prevails over both his aristocratic fear of ridicule and his selfishness in regard to his sister's fortune. The frères Parfaict thought that the fact of his being "désabusé" was not satisfactorily shown, but his willingness for his wife to remain in Paris is as much proof as can be required of a play written in accordance with the unity of time. What the future may bring has to be left to our imagination.

Célie is a person of great composure, who can pretend to be unconscious and can laugh when her husband would have her weep. She enjoys admiration (1, 1)

Elle a de la vertu, mais elle est belle & Femme, Elle aime à plaisanter, à sourire en passant, Elle a l'accueil flateur, le coup d'œil earessant, Et croit, lorsque le eœur est en effet fidele, Qu'un souris, qu'un regard n'est qu'une bagatelle There are limits, however, beyond which she will not go. She admits that there are women in society, especially at Paris, whose conduct deserves contempt, but she insists that there are others (IV, 7),

Qui des folles ardeurs sçavent garder leurs ames, Posseder la vertu telle qu'on doit l'avoir, Et vivre dans le monde en faisant leur devoir

She belongs to the latter class herself and will doubtless become a charming châtelaine in Brie or Champagne, while her husband will hunt with the gentry of the neighborhood.

Their two rôles dominate the play. We learn little of the sister except that she is much in love and will have a large dowry Clitandre and at first Eraste represent the gay society with which Célie surrounds herself. Subsequently Eraste has the unhappy rôle of a man caught in his own trap, of one who has to be reproved and sent away by the woman he loves Unlike most of his contemporaries, Campistron derives none of his comic effects from valets The only one he introduces, Champagne, appears merely as a messenger, as a lover, and to complain of his master's late hours. On the other hand, the two survantes are given rôles of some importance is the family servant, so well established that she speaks her mind freely to all members of the household. She has an important function in the exposition and in helping Célie to earry out her plans. Babet, though young, is experienced in intrigue. Her air of innocence deceives Dorante into beheving her racy account of the supper at Suresnes. More unusual is the characterization of Dubois, Dorante's secretary, well versed in the ways of the law, but so poorly paid that he readily accepts a bribe to work in Chtandre's interests He has an underling's conviction of his own importance, unrecognized by the world at large He has promised Dorante success in his profession if he will dress and behave as magistrates do (I, 5)

> De la main du Greffier je prendrai les Procer, Je m'en instruirai seul, j'en ferai les extraits J'aurai le soin sur tout de vous les bien écrire, Et vous ne prendrez, vous, que celui de les lire, Je ne vous trompe point Regardez Ariston, On l'estime par tout comme un autre Caton La Province le craint, la Cour le considere, C'ependant son merite est dans son Secretaire

We are introduced into a wealthy household, whose owners have some 800,000 francs and, hesides their Parisian mansion, two châteaux. The husband and wife have each a pair of horses. They dine in the middle of the day. The wife drives to Suresnes for supper, a walk in the woods, and agreeable conversation. At night there is more talk, helped out with games till the small hours of the morning. Such a society has an aristocratic

view of life. It is not necessarily immoral, but may appear so, especially to the wealthy bourgeois it admits into its ranks and to people of other nations (II, 2). We are assured, moreover, that the old morality survives among "Gens du Peuple, Artisans, Portefaix & Vilains," who show that they adhere to it by beating their wives (III, 4).

The structure of the comedy is peculiar in that none of the leading characters appears in Act I. Too much use is made of asides, nine of which follow one another in succession in the last scene of this act. However, the exposition is clear and is agreeably presented. The other acts show Dorante's progress in jealousy and Célie's gradual recognition of the fact that she is playing a dangerous game. These two lines of development meet near the end of the play and solve the problem in its last scene, the final speech of which clinches matters by proposing to the male portion of the audience a test of virtue.

Si vous voulez sçavoir quelle est vôtre Compagne, Messieurs, proposez lui de vivre à la campagne

This is the test that had been applied to Célimène in the Misanthrope and in which, from Alceste's point of view, she had failed. Dorante's use of it is crowned with success. In both plays it is based on the assumption that outside of Paris there is no "salut pour les honnêtes gens," but both plays show that it is quite possible not to share this opinion.

The comedy was moderately well received Between Dec. 13, 1709, when it was first acted, and Jan 3, 1710, it was performed ten times and earned for its author 1206 francs, 12 sous, 30 a satisfactory sum, but far less than three of his tragedies had brought him. The Gazette de Rotterdam hailed it on Jan 2, 1710 as a play that was "dans le véritable comique, et des plus belles qu'on ait vues depuis longtemps." 31 However, it was given only four more times in 1710, only six times in 1713. It was after the author's death 111 1723 that it was revived and had its chief success, 50 performances in Though acted less frequently than Andronic and Alcibiade, it remained in the repertory till 1807, much longer than either. The total number of performances at the Comédie Française was 166 La Harpe 82 declared that he had seen it acted twenty-five years before and wondered why it was no longer played. He held it greatly superior to Campistron's tragedies, claimed that it had inspired La Chaussée's Préjugé à la mode, praised the two leading characters, the structure, and the "facilité élégante" of the style.

Except in the case of Brueys, these five comedies constitute the last plays

²¹ Quoted by Mélèse, *Rép*, p 220 ²² Op cst, VIII, 293-4

³⁰ Cf the frères Parfaict, XV, 35

of four distinguished seventeenth-century dramatists. They show considerable variety in subject and tone, admitting moralistic, romantic, farcical, and psychological elements, reflecting methods that had been employed in ancient Rome, medieval France, and the Paris of Molière's time. The most original of them is le Jaloux désabusé, as it follows no comedy so closely as the others do those of Terence, Patelin, and Boursault's first Esope. All four authors showed discernment in selecting their subjects and technical skill in presenting them to their audiences, for, with the exception of les Adelphes, all the plays remained in the repertory of the Comédie Française in the nineteenth century and each was acted over 150 times, VAvocat Patelin as many as 885.

CHAPTER XII

DUFRESNY

In 1701-15 Dufresny wrote about the same number of comedies as Legrand, but more than anyone else except Dancourt. Of the seven or eight that he composed at that time, six have survived, all dealing with contemporary French life, though the scenes of only two are certainly laid in Paris. He selected his persons from all classes of society, nobles, bourgeois great and small, peasants, and servants. He introduced widows into six of his comedies. Among other characters are found a child, a wetnurse, a sea captain, a judge, a teacher of music, a village tax-collector, and a peasant farmer. Money is an important element in all of the extant plays, especially in questions of inheritance, dowry, gambling, and speculating in a lottery. Five plays are chiefly in prose, but some of them admit songs or end in a divertissement in verse. Two are in five acts, four, in three acts, one of these with a prologue, while one of the lost plays is said to have had but one act

Dufresny's contemporaries credited him with originality of conception and skill in characterization, but they held that he did not work upon his plays enough to perfect their form. He accepted the classical system, but he sought novelty in characters and situations. Sometimes his departures from ordinary usage, such as failing to give certain characters names, can hardly be defended, but at times he seems to have been too subtle for his audience. His presentation of gambling, superstition, the wet-nurse system, and dishonesty has a moral flavor, but he writes primarily as an artist rather than a nioralist. The only successful plays of the series were the first and last, but the others deserved a better fate than that which befell them. Dufresny was a talented author, undiscouraged by adversity. In some respects he was ahead of his times.

According to the frères Parfaict,² he had many happy ideas, but he would not take the trouble to develop them, so that, when he wrote a play of some length, he produced a collection of entertaining scenes that had little connection with one another. This is true of LE Double Veuvage,⁸ next to l'Esprit de contradiction his most frequently acted play. His

³ For Dufresny of my op cut, Part IV, pp 754 67, and the works cuted there of Domann, Vic, and others
⁸ XIV, 255

^a Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1701, 12°, Barbin, 1702 Republished by Ad Rion, Paris, 1878. in the author's collected works, Briasson, 1731, 1747, Barrois, 1779, Belin, 1821, Lecointe, 1830, in the Répertoire Petitot of 1804, in the Répertoire of 1818, and in Chefs d'œuere des auteurs comiques, Firmin-Didot, 1845

DUFRESNY 201

original idea seems to have been that of creating a husband and a wife who hate each other, but who are bound together by mutual self-interest, and of making each believe that the other is dead. This plan would produce entertaining scenes, especially when the supposed widow and widower meet, but it would not introduce enough material for a full-length play. He accordingly brought in young lovers whose marriage is dependent on the consent of the principal characters, added a Countess and her attendants to direct the intrigue, made use of songs and the French of a German Swiss, satirized opera, and composed a prologue 4

The Intendant has laid up a considerable fortune, perhaps at the expense of the Countess—He is pleased to hear that his wife is dead and is eager to marry Thérèse, but he must keep up appearances "pour conserver la paix chez moi, & mon honneur dans le monde" (III, 2). His wife, who is called la Veuve throughout the play, is as hypocritical as he and duplicates his actions. When they meet in the dark, the fact that Dorante's voice resembles his uncle's deceives the woman, while her imitation of Thérèse's voice, attempted in order to discover Dorante's sentiments, deceives her husband. He still thinks she is Thérèse when he reproaches her for wishing to marry Dorante, while she supposes the voice she hears to be that of her husband's ghost—The result is that she faints and he becomes more and more amorous till a lighted candle, brought by an attendant, disillusions them—This is an effective comic scene, the best in the play.

Dorante is a young nobleman who shows that he was created in the eighteenth century by his insistence upon "sensibilité" in his sweetheart. Thérèse, however, is as gay and pleasure loving as he is sober and "raisonnable". The first obstacle to their union is his fear that she does not love him because she does not tremble when they meet. His own reaction is quite different (I, 1)

Moi, par exemple, que son abord a penetré, je suis resté immobile, un saisissement . une langueur nion cœur palpite ma vûe se trouble Ah' c'est amsi que devroit s'exprimer sa passion

The scene is laid in the château of the Counters Her Intendant, married for ten years and without children, has gone to seek invigoration from inneral waters. The Countess, who seeks amusement and wishes to help her goddaughter, spreads the report that the Intendant has died. When he returns and asks why mourning is worn, he is told that his wife is dead. He takes advantage of the situation to make love to Thérèse, his wife's niece, while his supposed widow conits Dorante, her husband's nephew. The young people are in love, but they are pennices. They encourage their admirers in accordance with a plan. The Intendant and his wife meet in the dark, he mistaking her for Thérèse, she supposing that he is Dorante. When they discover that both are alive, they are deeply disappointed, but they decide to make the best of the situation, as each hopes to inherit from the other. It is proposed to send Dorante and Thérese away, but the Intendant would not lose sight of the girl, nor would his wife of the young man. The Counters solves the problem by marrying the young lovers to each other and arranging that, when the Intendant and his wife are both dead, their common fortune will go to Dorante and Thérèse.

He is already a Romantic, but one in love with a child of the seventeenth century, with whose attitude the author sympathizes more than he does with her lover's.

The Countess, though she appears little, prepares the intrigue and brings it to a happy conclusion. She is moved by her interest in the young people, her dislike of their rivals, and her desire for amusement. She is assisted by a servante called Frosine, a suivante, a Swiss, introduced chiefly for his barbarous speech, his wife, and a maître d'hôtel, Gusmand. The last of these works upon the Intendant as Frosine does upon his wife, but he also distinguishes himself by composing songs, a number of which are sung in the course of the performance. He gets assistance from his familiarity with Lully. At the end of the play he recites a kind of "Opera en racourci":

D'abord une ouverture,
La, la, la, d'une beauté,
D'une gravité
Chant naturel, d'après nature
La reprise est d'un goût
Fantasque & bizarre, Ta ri ta ri ta tou,
Voici la Piece, écouter jusqu'a bout
Une Ritournelle tendre,
Vous prépare au recit que vous allez entendre
La lire
La, la ri ta ri ta tire,
La li ta ra
Et cætera

This jurgle forms part of the attack made by writers of the Comédie Française upon the monopoly held by the Opera, as Gusmand's remark that "nous manquons de Musiciens" 6 clearly shows

Further variety is provided by the prologue, in which a marquis and a chevalier meet on the stage and discuss the play. Dufresny holds up to ridicule the empty-headed young nobleman who is chiefly interested in the songs and in repeating a few words of criticism he has heard about the play. The author's own ideal of comedy is expressed.

des caractères soutenus, une intrigue nette & suivie, des situations qui surprennent, quoi qu'elles soient preparées & de tenis en tenis quelque plaisanteric sans grossiereté

His play lives up to this definition so far as characters, situations, and jests without vulgarity are concerned, but the intrigue is less satisfactory as a number of scenes could easily have been omitted, the difficulties of carrying out the plan are insufficiently stressed, and the solution is too easily reached.

First acted on March 8, 1702, the comedy had nineteen performances in

*III, 7 The remark is made to explain why the play lacks a full divertissement.

DUFRESNY 203

that year, an excellent beginning. By the end of 1793 it had been performed 181 times. When it was reduced by Guillard to one act and revived in 1854, it was played twenty-one times, so that the total number of performances at the Comèdie Française was 202.

No such good fortune attended LE FAUX HONNÊTE-HOMME, which lacked the prologue, the songs, the foreigner's French, and the thrusts at opera of the preceding play. It is a three-act prose comedy of intrigue, in which a hypocrite is unmasked by a sea captain, who rescues a widow and helps bring about the marriage of young lovers.

The plot was probably invented by Dufresny. The frères Parfaict onte the resemblance between Ariste's character and that of Lucinde in Dufresny's Malade sans maladie. Both of them, as they remark, descend from Tartuffe. They consider the sea captain an original creation, but one who is much like him is found in le Muet by Brueys et Palaprat. Dufresny had made inheritance an important issue in his last play, in which a leading character thinks she is a widow. Such resemblances explain, however, the origin of only a small portion of the play.

The comedy has a social background in the dangers that face women when the man of the family dies and their only means of support lies in what he has left them. If the man he has trusted is honest, their future is secure, if he is not, they may be victimized. Matrimony or a convent were in the eighteenth century their cities of refuge. But Dufresny gives

Frosine, la Godefroy, the Swiss woman, Hortense Grandval, the susuante

Termine, Pierre Ribou, 1703, 12° Republished in the author's collected works,
Paris, Briasson, 1731, 1747, Barrois, 1779, Belin, 1821

The Veuve's hushand, who had died at Marseilles, left two wills one putting

"The Veuve's hushand, who had died at Marseilles, left two wills one putting his property in the liands of Ariste, the other nullifying the first and entrusting his wealth to the Captain Ariste has won the Veuve's heart and has let it be known that her liushand's nicce, Angélique, will inherit nothing This girl loves Valère, son of the Marquise, a widow who thinks only of pleasure and would not be averse to marrying Ariste Indeed she has agreed to do so, but she would first find a wife for her son Meanwhile Frosine, the Veuve's suscante, gets possession of a compromising letter written to Ariste by a certain Agnès Doucet and gives it to the Captain As the latter is afraid the Veuve will marry Ariste, he gains the man's confidence by pretending to he a scoundrel, and proposes to destroy the second will and divide with Ariste the money left by the first The Marquise is convinced of Ariste's unreliability by Angélique, who tells her that he has advised her to marry Valère secretly The Veuve, told of Ariste's erocked ways and his hope of marrying the Marquise, asks him to give her what her hushand has left in his keeping for her Ariste objects, asserting that the Captain has informed him that a secret clause will force him to make restitution to certain persons. The Veuve breaks with him, whereupon the Captain denounces him, makes known the existence of the second will, and promises to turn the money over to the family, provided Angélique has sufficient downy to marry Valère. As her threat to retire to a convent had been occasioned purely by her lack of dowry, she will accept Valère. Frosine will marry Flamand, whose stupidity had helped her get information about his master and makes her feel sure she will dominate him. Ariste is allowed to make his escape.

*XIV, 300-6

^eThe frères Parfaiet, XIV, 257-8, indicate that old Guérin played the Intendant, La Thorillière, Gusmand, Etienne Baron, Dorante, Desmares, the Swiss, la Desbrosses, the Veuve, la Champvallon, the Countess, la Desmares, Thérèse, la Beauval, Froeune, la Godefroy, the Swiss woman. Hortense Grandval, the suivante

us little reason to fear for them as he preserves the tone of comedy and produces a rescuer in the Captain.

Ariste is a hypocrite with a dark past and an ingratiating manner that appeals to widows, though Frosine finds him "doucereux, fade." He had worked himself into the confidence of the husband and would now appropriate the money left in his charge for the widow, if he were not aware that the Captain has the second will He accordingly proposes to give all to the widow and to marry her, unless the Captain spoils his plan, in which case he will marry the light-headed Marquise. He is not shrewd enough to reject the Captain's offer to divide the loot and fails in his plans because he cannot understand a "vrai homme d'honneur"

The Captain contrasts sharply with Ariste, pretending to be dishonest as the other pretends to be honest. He swears, speaks bluntly, assumes an air of authority. He is "brusque, piquant, des manieres grossieres, il paroît même un peu dur, mais dans le fond c'cst... le plus aimable brutal que j'aie jamais connu" (I, 3). His life at sea has not prevented him from understanding a hypocrite. He must not only produce the second will, but prevent the Veuve from marrying Ariste. He gains the latter's confidence by pretending to be unscrupulous, gets him to make a bargain with him, and then forces him to reveal his essential dishonesty to the Veuve. His efforts are directed partly at marrying the young lovers, but chiefly at rescuing the Veuve, with whom he had once been in love

She is a person who believes herself to be an excellent judge of character and always ready to take advice, though she is in reality entirely guided by her emotions, which lead her to believe the Captain dishonest and Ariste a model of virtue. She is so devoid of reason and has so little consideration for her attractive and highminded niece that one may well ask whether she is worth rescuing from Ariste. She contrasts with Angélique and with Valère, who is devoted and honorable, also with clever Frosine, who gets valuable information from Ariste's extremely simple-minded servant.

The play is well constructed and has a number of interesting scenes, especially I, 8, between the young lovers, II, 2, 4, in which Ariste interviews the Captain, then the Veuve, and III 11, 12, in which the villain is trapped. In III, 11 there is an amusing dialogue between the Captain and Ariste.

- C Touchez là vous êtes un fripon
- A Monsieur
- C Vous êtes un homme saus foi. & c'est ce qui attire ma confiance
- A Monsieur
- C Je vais vous ouvrir mon cœur, parce que je sçais que vous êtes un traître
- A Je me justifierai
- C Gardez-vous-en bien, je snis ravi que vous ne valier rien, car je ne vaux pas grand chose. & nous nous en accommoderons mieux tous deux ensemble

DUFRERNY 205

The frères Parfaict object to the fact that clever Ariste has so stupid a servant. Dufresny should, indeed, have explained how this happened to be. He should also have made it clearer how Ariste's agents learned of the second will. The play would have gained in interest if Dufresny had shown us the scene in which the Veuve's friends try to persuade her that Ariste is a scoundrel, instead of having it merely related to Ariste by his servant. There also seems little excuse for calling three important characters only the Veuve, the Captain, and the Marquise, after the manner of an Old French farce. Such criticisms, however, do not account for the failure of the comedy, which was acted only five times, Feb. 24 to March 3, 1703, and was never revived.10

The comedy that followed was called LE FAUX INSTINCT.11 Like its predecessor it is in three acts and in prose. As its title indicates, it is a satire upon the anti-intellectualist movement that had for some time flourished in France and showed itself in the acceptance of the idea that astronomical phenomena are connected with the fortunes of men and in the belief in dreams and the cri du sang This last superstition had been employed, as we have seen, in many tragedies. Dufresny derived comic material from it, introducing, in order to do so, the first child seen on the Parisian stage of the eighteenth century. His play might also have been used to attack the cycle of wet-nursing, but the author does not indicate that such was his intention 12

The play is remarkable for the large number of characters that are given no names Of the ten that appear, only Valère, Angélique, and Toinette are referred to by their names in the dramatis personae, and we have to read

16 It is not known how the rôles were distributed, but it is highly probable that la Beauval and her husband played Frosine and Flamand

la Beauval and her husband played Frosine and Flamand

11 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12° Republished in the author's works, Paris,
Briasson, 1731, 1747, Barrois, 1779. Belin, 1821

12 The Veuve, aunt of Valère, had entrusted her infant to a Nourrice and a
Nourricier, who lived in a village about twenty-four miles from Paris on the road
to Lyons The Vicillard, uncle of Angélique, had married a young wife and put
their infant in charge of the same people Valère and Ang'lique are in love, but
for lack of funds they cannot marry, and the infant girls prevent them from inheriting All these adults meet at the home of the Nourrice It is discovered that, though
abe has been receiving board for two little girls, she has only one in her charge
Angelique's servant, Toinette, persuades the Nourricer to say that one child has
died of smallpox and that the survivor is the widow's, but the Vicillard discovers
the trick When the Nourriceer admits that he does not know which child has surthe trick When the Nourricier admits that he does not know which child has survived, the Vieillard and the Veuve, both of whom are extremely superstitious, decide vived, the Vieillard and the Veuve, both of whom are extremely superstitious, decide to leave the solution of the problem to the child herself. The girl indicates as her niother the Vieillard's wife, but she insists that her father is not the Vieillard, but valere, despite the young man's protests. As the Vieillard had ulready interpreted a dream and the letters of Valère's name in such a way as to make him jealous of him, he wishes to give up all claims on the child and to be separated from his wrife. The Veuve, incensed by the girl's hostility, also gives her up. Finally, the Nourrieier, responding to a bribe, produces papers showing that the child is his own, and that both his wards have died of smallpox. Angélique and Valère will consequently inherit the wealth of their uncle and aunt. The Vieillard consents to their marriage. their marriage

the text to discover that La Petite Fille is called Charlotte. Nevertheless, each person is distinctly, if slightly characterized: the Vieillard, by his superstitious beliefs and his jealousy; his wife, by her common sense and amiability, the Veuve, by her faith in mysterious forebodings, La Mie de Paris, by her interest in the child of the young man she had brought up and married to the Veuve, the Petite Fille, by her naive speech and behavior; the Nourrieier, by his patois, his pretense of madness, and his dishonesty, his wife by her patois and by the aid she gives her husband in deceiving the Parisians. Those who are referred to by name are less interesting the typical young lovers, Valère and Angélique, and the energetic suivante, Toinette, whose comparing of notes with La Mie de Paris reveals the fact that at least one child is missing

Among the superstitions mentioned are the belief that a child born during an eclipse cannot live, that it brings misfortune to turn over a saltcellar, to enter one's home when the crescent moon is seen on the left, or for thirteen persons to be together at table. A shepherd may bewitch a nurse's milk or the wool of which a child's swaddling clothes are made. Dreams, horoscopes, prejudices, and filial instinct are also highly significant. When the Vicillard and the Veive hear that their children are in a certain château, they recall the mysterious emotions they experienced when they passed by, although it is subsequently discovered that their children had died several years before they did so. The most comic use to which superstition is put occurs when the Petite Fille is called upon to pick out her mother and father (III, 4)

PF Je ferme les yeux ben fort, ben fort, pour ne point voir tous mes papas & toutes mes mamans, que quand vous me dirais, c'est fait, comme à la climusette

Nourrice Vous pouvez ouvrir les yeux, mais ne tournez pas la tête qu'on ne vous le dise, & regardez-les tous bien long-tems, bien long tems avant que de parler

P F Vous me l'avez dit déja, afin de voir si je sentirai remuer là-dedans mon papa & ma maman

Veuve Je suis sure qu'elle va courir à moi

P F Oh point mais je sens déja ah c'est celle là qui est ma belle maman Femme du Vieillard Regardez-bien au moins, car vous vous trompez peut-être

P F Our, e'est vous qui êtes ma vraye maman

Vieillard En faul il davantage? il n y a que les premiers mouvemens qui soient vrais, parce qu'ils sont naturels viens, ma fille, viens, embrasse ton papa

P F repoussant le Vicillard Fi, fi

Vicillard C'est moi qui suis ton papa, car je suis le mari de ta manian

P F Ça ne fait rien, car tenez, c'est celui là qui est mon vrai papa Baisezmoi done, mon vrai papa

Valère Vous êtes une petite sotte, voilà votre pere

The child's rôle, illustrated in this scene, is the most entertaining in the play. It gives a greater impression of reality than the rôle of any other child composed up to that time except that of Louison in le Malade imagi-

DUFRESNY 207

naire. And more distinctly than in Molière's play is the interest centered round this child, whose existence brings the characters together, supplies them with a problem, and enables them to solve it. With the exception of Athalie, no modern French play had previously given to a child a rôle of such importance

This rôle, the comic treatment of superstition and of unreasonable jealousy, the songs sung by the Nourricier, and the pleasing location in a village which supplies "la derniere dînée de la diligence de Lion" (I, 1) entitled the play to a longer career than it achieved. First acted on Aug 2, 1707, in a dull season, its initial run of seventeen performances was highly creditable, but it did not remain in the repertory after that year. Perhaps the difficulty of finding a child capable of playing the essential rôle prevented the troupe from subsequently undertaking to produce it

Dufresny next composed a prose play in five acts, the first he had written since la Malade sans maladre. This was Le Jaioux honteux, 18 a comedy of character based on a conflict between jealousy and shame. As one of the characters remarks (III, 2), "la jalousie est le nœud de la difficulté, il faut que la honte en fasse le dénouement." The principal character is accordingly a man both "jaloux" and "honteux," while, to contrast with him, another man is "jaloux," but not "honteux," and the women they love are neither jealous nor ashamed. Moreover, the principal character has no real cause to be jealous, while the other has a rival who wins the girl away from him. Jealousy alone may give rise to comic situations, but jealousy accompanied by shame may well produce subtler and less conventional effects.14

¹⁸ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12° The Bibliothèque Nationale has two editions, without place or date, one of them brought out by Charles Collé Republished in editions of the author's collected works, Paris, Briasson, 1731, 1747, Barrois, 1779;

Belin, 1821

14 The Président fears that other men are in love with his wife, who lives with him and his niece, Lucie, in his château near Rennes and carefully avoids giving her husband any cause for jealousy. Recently at a masked ball a young man named Damis had mistaken her for her husband's niece and had tood love to her initial she removed her mask. In spite of the excuses he offered, the Président helieved him to he his rival and consequently, when Lucie inherited a fortune, favored as her suitor an unprepossessing lover, M. Argan. At the same time he has tried desperately to conceal the fact that he is jealous. His wife, Lucie, and the latter's suivante, Lisette, seek to make him understand that he has no cause for jealous and should favor Damis. By making him helieve that Damis has gone to Rennes, Lisette enables the lovers to meet. Lucie disguises herself so as to resemble the Présidente and thus makes sure of the fact that it is not her aims whom Damis loves. When he and she have come to an understanding, the Président finds them together, mistakes Lucie for his wife, and shows his jealousy clearly. When he learns the truth, he realizes that the only way to make people believe he is not jealous is to allow the marriage to take place. The subordinate plot concerns the Président's servant, Thibaut, a man no longer young, Hortenee, who is a "jardinière," and Argan's valet, Frontin. Thibaut and Hortenee are connected with the main plot by the fact that they spy for the Président on his wife. Thibaut has long sought to make Hortenee believe she will marry him, but, when she meets the lively young valet, she soon admits that she greatly prefers him as a husband. At the end of

The Président is a man of wealth and influence. He holds that "de toutes les passions, la jalousie est celle qui me paroit la plus honteuse & la plus deshonorante," and he knows that one who judges others will lose his standing if he is thought to be jealous, yet he thinks that every attractive young man is after his wife. He has servants spy on her, enters his house secretly, interprets her explanations as subtle methods of deceiving him. When Lisette tears up the letter that Damis had written to Lucie, he wishes to collect the pieces and make out what had been written. He seems to have read Regnard's Bourgeois de Falaise, 15 for he claims (II, 7) to have known a man who hid in an "étui de ces grosses basses de violon," and he acts like the protagonist of l'Ecole des femmes when Hortence tells him she heard a knock at the window, that the Présidente opened it (III, 6).

Et via tout d'un coup que c'etoit la petite guenon du Fermier qui s'est jettée sur Madame pour la caresser

Le Président reprenant haleine Ouf!

At the end of the play he keeps his sense of shame, for he makes the witnesses promise not to tell, but he declares that he has conquered his jealousy, though we may still wonder how he will act when the next young man appears.

His wife assures us that she loves him. She is presented as a prudent and intelligent woman. Her husband's niece resembles her physically, but she has a more romantic nature, falling in love with a man she has seen only twice and to whom she has never spoken. Lisette is the conventionally elever suivante. Hortenec is quite naive in her relations with men, but she has acquired considerable skill in spying upon the women of the family. Her patois adds to the comic element of the play. Thibaut is the typical palour. He hopes to marry Hortenee, but he defeats his own aims by his attitude towards her, which contrasts as sharply with that of Frontin as do the methods of Arnolphe with those of Horace. Damis is the passionate young lover, Argan, who appears only in I, 1, 2, and III, 1, the unattractive suitor, interested only in Lucie's wealth

The unity of the plot would have been strengthened if the final scene, in which the Président mistakes Lucie for his wife, had been planned by the women instead of being largely due to Frontin's bringing back the Président in order that he might see his wife and Damis together. We should also have been told what was the nature of the inheritance that required the intervention of judges and made it necessary for Lucie to marry either Argan or Damis. Chance, moreover, plays too large a part in the plot.

the play the Président gives her to Frontin because the latter had supplied him with information that led to his victory over his own jealousy

16 Cf my op cit, Part IV, p 737

DUFRESNY 209

These defects do not justify the frères Parfaict's criticism 16 that the intrigue "n'est ni raisonnée, ni développée, ni terminée," but they help to explain why the comedy was given only once.17 An article in the Mercure de Trévoux that the frères Parfaict cite declared that the character of the protagonist was impossible since shame can never conquer jealousy. Campistron showed, however, the following year with his Jaloux désabusé that a play may succeed when its leading character is both lealous and ashamed of his realousy. The failure of Dufresny's play would seem, then, to be due to the execution rather than to the original conception

It did not fare much better in the second half of the century than it did in 1708. Reduced to three acts by Charles Collé, who had derived from it an operetta called le Jaloux corrigé,18 it was published in his théâtre de Société of 1769 and in this form appeared at the Comedie Française, where it was played only four times. It was not without influence, however, on Beaumarchais and gave rise in 1813 to Vial's Deux Jaloux, "comédie en prose mêlée d'ariettes et imitée de Dufresny." 19

Undiscouraged, Dufresny brought out two plays the next year. L'AMANT MASQUÉ was first acted on Aug. 8, 1709. There were only two other performances, the last of them on the 12th The author received only 15 francs, 2 sous. The frères Parfaict,20 who got this information from the Registres of the Comédie Française, state that the play was in one act and in prose with a divertissement and with music by Gilhers The comedy was never published and the manuscript is lost The frères Parlaict suggested 21 that the subject might be the same as that of a comedy in verse that Dufresny presented to the actors on Feb 13, 1721 This play, announced before Lent in 1722, but never acted, was discovered and identified by M. Vic, who supposed that the incident of the masked ball in le Jaloux honteux had given rise to l'Amant masqué and that Dufresny lad subsequently turned the latter play into verse, calling it les Trois Dominos or les Dominos While his argument is appealing, it is impossible to be sure to what extent l'Amant The text of les Dominos is masqué was altered in this transformation easily accesible, as it was published by M Vic 22

The other play of 1709 was LA JOUEUSE,23 one in which Dufresny intro-

¹⁶ XIV, 483 17 On March 6, 1708 The author's share was 71 francs, 4 sous, according to the frères Parfaict, XIV, 480

²⁸ Given at the Opera on March 1, 1753

¹⁰ Cf Vic in Revue du dix-huitième siècle, III, 132 6 20 XV, 11-2 21 XV, 411

²² Op cst, II, 307-34 Vic believed that le Portrait, a lost play by Dufresny, was another title for l'Amant masqué, as a portrait has some importance in les Dominos 28 Paris, Briasson, 1731, 1747, Barrois, 1779, Belin, 1821 The MS was in the hands of the actors when Dufresny died, cf Vic, op cit, IV, 290

duced characters, situations, and even expressions he had employed in earlier comedies. The frères Parfaiet 24 pointed this out and cited parallel passages to establish their contention. They showed that speeches of the Joueuse and the Chevalier were taken from those of the protagonist and the Marquis in Dufresny's Joueur; the character and certain observations of the Marquise from those of the Marquise in le Faux Honnête-Homme.25 They also suggested that Orgon's easy-going character was derived from that of Oronte in Dufresny's Négligent, which may be true, but is not so easy to prove.

The point of departure was probably the Joueur with its gambler who loses, wins, loses, its tubercular and titled player, and its feminine pawnbroker, but the protagonist, instead of being a young man whose passion for gambling is stronger than his love, is a mother who sacrifices her daughter to her desire to win, the nobleman has grown older, is the greatuncle of the young hero, and is his rival, while the old woman who lends money on jewels does not appear on the stage. Gambling becomes still more serious in its effects as it leads the gambler to erime and comes near depriving her innocent daughter of her fortune. Dufresny borrowed less from his Faux Honnête-Homme, but he made fewer changes in what he borrowed a Marquise who lives for pleasure and seeks a wealthy bride for her son, but who finally agrees to his marriage to the girl he loves, her son, who has none of his mother's grasping propensities, but who realizes the importance of money in the social system of the time, and the girl who. when she thinks her fortune is lost, proposes to renounce marriage and retire to a convent Dufresny was evidently salvaging material from two of his unsuccessful plays. His addition of Triolet, the music teacher, who has no equivalent in these earlier comedics, gave him the opportunity to introduce several songs and a final divertissement that are lacking in them 26

** AV, 14-20
** They compare the Joueuse, II, 3, V, 7, III, 5, V, 4, respectively, with the Joueur (Chevaluer Joueur), II, 3, V, 9 and 10, III, 11, le Faux Honnête Homme, III 3
They might with equal justice have compared the Marquise's plans for an afternoon in the two plays Joueuse, I, 10, le Faux Honnête Homme, I, 6
** Mme Orgon, the gambler, has had by her first marriage a daughter, Jacobte,

whose fortune of 20,000 ccus and precious stones is in the keeping of her stepfather This gentleman lives in a different apartment from his wife's and seeks in music This gentleman lives in a different apartment from his wife's and seeks in minist consolation for his marriage. She complains of the noise the musicians make, he, of that made by the gamblers. Triolet, who has been giving music lessons to Jacinte, is in love with Lisette, Mme Orgon's suivante, but he has recently married a sickly old woman who lends money on jewelry. Orgon has just returned from the country with his stepdangliter. He has invited to a musical entertainment a Marquise and her son, Daniis, in whom Jacinte, while in a convent school, has become interested Daniis falls in love with Jacinte and, by lending her mother money with which to gamble secures a promise that he may marry the girl, slthough Mme Orgon has promised her to the Chevalier, the Marquise's uncle, whose heir Damis is to be. The Marquise at first refuses to consent to her son's marriage, but she yields when she discovers that Jacinte has a respectable dowry and that, if she marries the Chevalier. discovers that Jacinte has a respectable dowry and that, if she marries the Chevalier,

DUFRESNY 211

According to her husband, Mme Orgon spends all the time that she is awake at the green table. Many come to her house to play. She listens eagerly for them, talks of little hut the game, pawns her silver and tapestries. We see her in the joy of victory and in grief over defeat. She has the gambler's superstition about bad luck and his unconquerable hope that some day it will change. Her conviction that she is sure to win induces her to borrow from her husband without his knowing it, to rob her daughter of her inheritance, and to attempt to marry her to an old man in order that she may herself in this way acquire money for further operations.

Her husband, who had once loved her, but whom her passion for gambling has disgusted, is a mild and music-loving gentleman who longs for peace at home and agreeable companionship. He is protoundly outraged when he discovers that his wife has robbed her own daughter. He describes the Marquise as follows $(I,\,9)$:

C'est une femme de nion caractere, un caractere mêlé, moitié folie, moitié raison, n'aimant que le plaisir, c'est une humeur folâtre, vive, charmante enfin, car elle est toute opposée à l'humeur de ma femme

The Marquise differs from Orgon, however, in her selfishness and avarice. Her son adds to the familiar qualities of the young lover the decision of character required to risk playing against his prospective mother-in-law. Jacinte, though just out of a convent school, has read enough novels to wonder whether her feelings for him can be described as love The Chevalier, Damis's great-uncle, is distinguished by his cough, his expecting to die in a few years, and his desire to make use of Jacinte's dowry in order to straighten out angles in his real estate Triolet claims to be an "homme de bonnes mœurs, quoique Maître à chanter" (1, 2), but he is not above intriguing for the Chevalier or marrying an old woman for her money. He is a somewhat obsequious individual whose musical talents have brought him into contact with persons who patronize him and who fail to pay what they owe him. The other characters are the survantes of Jacinte and her mother and the Marquise's inconspicuous lackey. Strangely enough, it is Mme Organ's survante rather than her daughter's who is active in bringing about Damis's marriage

Damis may be disinherited. The death of Mmc Triolet, however, reveals the fact that she had loaned money to Mmc Orgon on Jacinte's lewels. Orgon now discovers that, during his absence, his wife has found the key to his stronghox and has gambled away all of Jacinte's dowry. When the Marquise learns that the girl is penniless, she tells her son that, if he marries her, she will disinherit bim by taking a second husband. Mmc Orgon wins so much with money borrowed from Damis that she can return what she has stolen, but she keeps some of her winnings in order to gain more and loses everything. When the Chevalier learns that Jacinte is to have no dowry, he withdraws, but Damis, who has been playing with Mmc Orgon, wins back the dowry as fast as she loses it. He has also been able to redeem the jewels. There is now no obstacle to his marriage with Jacinte. Triolet is persuaded, in spite of the fact that his wife has just died, to supply a musical entertainment that gives the play its divertissement.

The play gives a number of details about manners, especially those of gamblers and musicians. A game is compared to a performance by the greatest actors "on met mille louis sur une carte, toute la table est inondée d'un flux & reflux d'or roulant" (V, 6). The gamblers' supper is described in lively fashion (II, 8)

On prend du sel avec le coin d'une carte, & on voit courir à la ronde un chapon en l'air, chacun en arrache son lopin, comme quand on tire l'oye celui-ci boit d'une main, & joue de l'autre, l'un avale en gémissant, l'autre mâche en jurant, celui-ci mange les cartes avec son pain, & l'autre avale sa rage avec un verre de vin

One starts for the opera at 4 P. M., for the Comédie Française at 5 (I, 10). We are shown a teacher instructing his pupil in the art of singing, witness the confusion brought into a family by music and gambling, hear much about the importance of money in making a marriage and in keeping it from leading to separation. At the end of the play there is an analysis of Triolet's "Opera du jeu," which seems intended as a satire upon both gambling and the opera. It begins as follows

Ce seroit un Poeme Tragi Comique, le Théâtre représenteroit le Temple du malheur, on y verroit le desespoir, force Joueurs poignardés, se poignardant, voilà le tragique cela. Un chœur infernal de juremens & d'imprécations ce chœur-là feroit frémir, & c'est le but du Poeme qu'Aristote demande. A l'égard du comique, les Femmes Joueuses en fourniroient de reste

The play has other saturical thrusts:

Comment pourrois-je ne pas croire que je suis jeune? il y a si long-tems qu'on me le dit (I, 10)

On devroit toujours commencer par se séparer, pour conserver l'union dans un ménage (I, 10)

La simphonie ne sert à present que de basse continue à la conversation (II, 2)

Dufresny's aim was artistic rather than moral, for, however much we may condemn the Joueuse, we must admit that the harm she does her daughter is to a great extent corrected by Damis's gambling. Unfortunately the dénouement shows as little art as it does morality. If Damis had lost, how would the play have ended? Moreover, though the comedy is too long and parts of it might easily have been omitted, the Joueuse should have had a more extensive rôle and one that would allow us to understand her better. As it is, the spectators' attention is so dispersed that, in spite of a good deal of interesting material in the play, it must have been difficult for them to show enthusiasm. The result was that it was acted only five times in 1709.

To have four or five plays fail in six years would have discouraged a dramatist less accustomed to adversity than Dufresny. Even he brought out no new play for several years. When he did so, he received from the

³⁷ Oct 22 to 30 According to the frères Parfaict, XV, 14, 18, the author's share in the receipts was 207 francs, 7 sous, and the Joucuse was played by la Champvallon

DUFRESNY 213

actors less encouragement than ever. According to the Mercure galant of November, 1714,28 he presented to the troupe in that year a play called Les Deux Veuves ou le Faux Damis, which was rejected because Dancourt, interested in two of his own plays, wished to avoid competition. As, however, neither of these was acted after Jan. 11, 1715, and a comedy by Dufresny was accepted by the troupe in time to be acted on May 27, 1715, it is unreasonable to suppose that the rejection of les Deux Veuves was due merely to Dancourt's influence. Nothing else is known of this production, which was never published and is not mentioned by the frères Parfaict, Domann, or Vic.

Dufresny must have thought it necessary to make a radical change in his methods. He did this by writing in verse and by excluding servants from his cast when he composed his next comedy. La Coquette de village ou le Lot supposé. It is a three-act play that describes village life, in which classes mingled more freely than at Paris. The principal characters are Lucas and his daughter. The former expresses his ambition in patois (1, 2)

Labourer pour stici, labourer pour stila!
J'ai labouré trente ans, après trente ans me vla
Labourer pour autrui c'est un ptit labourage
Faut labourer pour soi, c'est ça qui donn' courage
Pour égaliser tout, faudroit il pas margoi
Que les autres à leur tour labourissent pour moi?

He urges his daughter to marry the Baron until he thinks he has won the "gros lot" After that he insults the nobleman by keeping his hat on in his presence, by taking an armehair, and by boasting that his "forteune

28 Cited by Mélèse, Th. et Pub, p. 113
29 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1715, 12° Republished in Dufresny's works, Paris, Briasson, 1731, 1747, Barrois, 1779, Belin, 1821, in his Œuvres choisies, 1830 in Chefsd'auvre, 1845, in the Répertoire Petitot of 1804, the Auteurs du Second Ordre of 1809, and the Répertoire of 1818 The play was studied briefly by Lement, op out,

pp 92-4

*** Lucas, an uneducated farmer, has a pretty daughter, Lisette, whose natural turn for firtation has been cultivated by a Veuve who had been a suivante at Paris, but who had married a bailiff and has remained in the village since his death Lisette has three admirers the Baron, Girard, the village receiver, and Argan, a man of fifty-four whom the Veuve would like to marry Lucas, weary of working for others, has bought forty tickets in a Parisian lottery Girard, whose cousin prints the lottery announcements, has had him send two lists of winners, one the true list, the other indicating that Lucas has won the "gros lot" of 100,000 francs Lisette uses her charms to induce both the Baron and Argan to sign a marriage contract with her Girard is considered less desirable than Argan, the latter, than the Baron, who, though in debt, still owns the village château. The Veuve finds that her lessons have been so successful that Lisette may take from her the man she hopes to marry. When Lucas is shown the false list and believes he has won a fortune, he becomes unbearably arrogant, turns over his farm leases to Girard, and proposes to take his daughter to Paris, increase his wealth, and marry her to a more distinguished man than the village offers. Argan, rejected by Lisette, agrees to marry the Veuve. Then the true list is produced, Lucas is held up to seorn, and Lisette, no longer able to win the Baron, is obliged to accept Girard.

asteur soit bian pu haut qu'la vôtre" (III, 3). He proposes to purchase the château and make the Baron his overseer, then naively determines to put his money into "la grande avanture" and watch it swell. When the bubble is pricked, he, like Arnolphe, can say only "Ouf."

His daughter, when ninc, was already a "coquette en herbe." Her talents have been developed by the Veuve's precepts and by practice on the Baron and Argan. She weeps or falls into a revery, according to her lovers' tastes, cajoles them or dismisses them until each is ready to sign the contract. When her father dreams of great wealth, she dreams of many lovers, lackeys, and suivantes. When she learns that her father has not won the "gros lot" and has deprived himself of his farms, she blames the Veuve for her evil lessons and assures Girard that, without them, "Par tendresse d'abord, je vous aurois choisi" But the widow has another explanation (III, 5)

Voila le sort d'une coquette Après de haut[s] projets, on la voit tôt ou tard, Confuse, confonduc, & réduite à Girard

To these leading characters are added the Veuve, who unwisely varies the monotony of village life by imparting to Lisette the secrets she has learned at Paris, and the three suitors the Baron, dull, impecunious, disliking to marry beneath him, but attracted by a flirt; Argan, conscious of his age, but egotistic enough to believe he has won the girl's affections, and the clever arrante, Girard, described by the Veuve as follows (I, 1).

Maltotier de village, encor dans les regrats, Tu dois en tout pays trouver des cœurs ingrats Mais pendant quelque tems agiote, grapille, Contrôle, taille, rogne, en plain pille & repille, A force d'enquaisser, de compter, d'escompter, Tu pourras parvenir à te faire écouter

The play has no personnages sympathiques, no sentimentality. A moral is indicated in the lines given to the widow at the end of the play, but, as such verses are quite in keeping with her character, Dufresny cannot on account of them be accused of moralizing. There are no tedious passages in this brisk and completely unified little play, one of its author's most entertaining productions.

First acted on May 27, 1715, it was given sixteen times in that year. The author's preface, in which he speaks slightingly of critics, testifies to its success. The *Mercure galant* found it "pleine d'esprit" and "une des plus jolies comédies qu'on puisse voir," refers especially to the coquette and the "manant qui fait fortune," and praises the acting of Ponteuil and la Desmares, adding that la Dangeville "la seconde, parfaitement" ²¹ From this it seems clear that Ponteuil played Lucas, la Desmares, Lasette; la

²¹ Frères Parfaict, XV, 200, and Mélèse, Rép, p 223

Dangeville (née Grandval), the Veuve. In June the Mercure published a letter from the abbé de Pons that is partly reproduced by the frères Parfact. 32 He admitted the success of the play, but he objected to the title on the ground that Lisette seemed to be a Parisian rather than a village coquette. He considered the conduct of the Baron not sufficiently clear He held that Lucas does not remain long enough on the stage while bragging about his fortune and that he is undeceived too abruptly. He regretted that the play is not in five acts. The frères Parfaict disapproved of this criticism and praised highly the scenes in which Lucas and Lisette appear. An author has, of course, a right to determine the number of acts in his play. The abbé missed the point of the title, intended to poke fun at those who look upon all village belles as naive. The criticism of the Baron is due to the wooden psychology of classical critics, who allowed no variations in a man's behavior. The regret that Lucas in his state of ill-founded exhilaration does not remain longer on the stage, like the disappointment that the play is in three acts rather than in five, is a compliment, if unintentional, to Dufresny The play was revived in 1733 and remained in the repertory until 1778. As the total number of performances was 82, the comedy ranks third in popularity among those Dufresny wrote for the Comédie Française in the reign of Louis XIV

These plays show that Dufresny was a man of imagination and of ability in employing a variety of comic devices. His satirical spirit, which ridiculed opera, various forms of superstition and of social hypocrisy, his talent for sketching character, for writing comic dialogue, and for conceiving entertaining situations should have brought him greater success than they did. He seems to have lacked, when it was a question of his own work, the critical judgment on which he relied in regard to others In some of his comedies he undertook subjects that could better have been treated in a novel, or he allowed defects in structure that longer meditation might have avoided. In the early cightcenth century he wrote two comedics that remained for a long time in the repertory, one that, though well received at first, did not last into a second season, and several that were failures. This record hardly does him justice, for even the plays that failed contain excellent comic passages Less a man of the theater than Dancourt, less a poet than Regnard, he made for himself a distinct place among Molière's successors. When Voltaire enumerated seven short comedies of the seventcenth and eighteenth centuries that he considered superior to most of Molière's shorter productions, three of them, 32 l'Esprit de contradiction, le Double Veuvage, and la Coquette de village, were written by Dufresny.

 ²³ XV, 201-4
 ²⁴ Op cit, XXII, 247 The others were Champmesle's Florentin, le Grondeur by Brueys and Palaprat, Dancourt's Galant Jardinier, and Fagan's Pupille.

CHAPTER XIII

REGNARD

In his seventeenth-century plays Regnard had shown that his principal guides were the Théâtre Italien, Plautus, and Molière. His three chief eighteenth-century comedies felt the same influences The first of them. LES FOLIES AMOUREUSES.2 was said by a contemporary to be "tout-à-fait dans le goût italien." a In 1719 Charni was more specific, holding that there were "vers la fin, quelques faux airs de la Finta Pazza des Italiens" 4 Cited by Fournier, this remark made Toldo believe that the plot was derived from an Italian opera, la Finta Pazza, produced in France in the time of Mazarin, or from a scenario of the same name by Flaminio Scala Lenient took the first alternative, Lintilhac, the second. The only evidence is that furnished by Toldo to the effect that in Scala's scenario the heroine pretends to be mad and attacks the man she is expected to marry. I conclude that Regnard may have taken the airs indicated by Charni from the opera, the episode of pretended madness from the scenario.

It may also be argued that the general tone of the comedy recalls that of the Théâtre Italien, which may have been the source of the trick played on Albert by Lisette and of the heroine's wearing an "habit de Scaramouche," spitting in her guardian's face, stamping on his foot, and pretending to have been, at twenty-seven, the mother of fourteen children. However, the presence of a prologue and the fact that the last act is followed by a divertissement are in the French tradition as well as the Italian, the main portion of the play is, in the simplicity of the material and the unity of the structure, far more French than Italian, and the comedies to which the play seems most closely related are not Italian, but French Sicilien, as Toldo suggests, his Ecole des maris, and Champmesle's Florentin 6

² Cf my op cst, Part IV, pp 649 65, 731-54 ⁸ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1704, 8°, 1707, 12°, Barba, 1819, Marchant, 1844, Blot, 1863, by Ad Rion, 1878, in two unduted editions and in editions of the author's collected works of the entalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale Dutch translations were published in 1710 and 1727 For a study of the play of Lement, op out, I, 56 67

Flachat de Saint Sauvenr, Pieces fugitives, Paris, 1704, p 527, cited by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 321

Cf Fourniers edition of Regnard, p lxx

^{*}RHL, XI (1904), 67-9 *The subject of all four plays is a clever girl's escape with her lover's help from an unattractive and elderly guardian Other resemblances to French plays can be mentioned The comparison between the siege of a town and that of a woman (I, 7) had been employed by Champmeslé in les Grisettes (cf. my op est, Part III, p 766) Medical talk used to distract attention while preparations are made for an elopement

Regnard probably composed his play in three acts and in alexandrines, then lengthened it to the equivalent of five by adding a prologue and a divertissement that serves as an epilogue, both written in "vers libres" This seems the more likely as the plays he had previously composed for the Comédie Française are all in one act or in five The prologue is especially in honor of la Beauval, who created her last rôle in that of Lisette. She is said to be the author's friend and is presented as a woman who quickly changes her mind Dancourt, who seems to lead the troupe, recognizes her importance in the play La Desbrosses and Du Boccage are little more than messengers, though the prologue also served to welcome Du Boccage, who was to become a member of the troupe a few weeks after the play was first acted Like the divertissement, the prologue was given only during the early performances of the play

There are only five characters that take part in the three acts of the Agathe dominates the action, plans her own abduction, procures the money it requires, aids her friends in getting Albert out of the way She shows her imagination in the variety of her disguises and the methods she uses, not only to deceive Albert, but to torment and insult him guardian is a widower who desires children He is jealous, opinionated,

(III 10) is found in le Médeein malgre lux. The transfer of a pretended malady from one body to another (III, 10) had occurred in la Devineresse (cf my op est,

Part IV, p 919)
The characters of the prologue are Momus, two actresses, in Beauval and la Deshrosses, and two actors, Dancourt and a recent arrival at the Confedie Française, Du Boccage La Reauval objects to giving a comedy in only three acts and, despite Dancourt's protests, refuses to play till la Desbroses reports that the author wishes to withdraw his comedy, whereupon she insists upon playing it. Du Boccage announces that Mounis has arrived with la Folie, Carnaval, and others the god proposes to help with singing and dancing, as he does in the divertissement. He then asks support

from the parterre

The three acts follow Agathe, a wealthy orphan, brought up by Eraste's mother, has been confided at her death and while Eraste is away to Albert, who has for six months kept her shift up in his château Eraste has just returned from Italy with his valet. Crispin Albert, disturbed by the signs of a man prowing about, engages a workman to put bars on the exits to the château. To explain his presence, Crispin tells Albert that he is collecting herbs needed for his practice of medicine Crispin tells Albert that he is collecting herbs needed for his practic of inedicine Fraste bows to Albert and pretends that his carringe has broken down. Moved by the young minn's presence and by that of a locksmith, Agathe acts as if she has lost her mind treats Albert roughly, gives him a piece of minic and Eraste a note asking him to carry her off. After singing and dancing, she disappears into the house, followed by her mid, Lisette. Albert, who believes that her mental illness is genuine, begs Crispin to cure her. She reappears as an old woman, borrows from Albert 100 lonis to help her win a law suit, and gets the money into Eraste's hands. Then, disguised as a dragoon, she raves about war and sinks into a chair Crispin removes her illness into Eraste, who pursues Albert with a sword (Trapin and Lisette prevail upon Albert to seek a sedative in the house. When he returns, he finds that the lovers and their servants have cloped

In Le Mariage de la Folie, which constitutes the directissement, the lovers and their attendants have reached the home of Eraste's friend, Chtandre, who is making preparations for the wedding Momus, Carnaval, and Folie come with their troupe Carnaval, after some hesitation, agrees to marry Folie. When Albert arrives and demands Agathe, she replies that love makes her prefer Eraste. Folic approves of her attitude and advises Albert to seek consolation in wine, which he agrees to do

autocratic, and extremely gullible. He and Eraste, the conventional young lover, Crispin and Lisette, the clever valet and knowing survante, all contribute to the plot and to carrying on the amusing dialogue.

Act I contains the exposition, rouses Albert's suspicions, and determines him to employ a locksmith. In Act II the rivals meet, Agathe has her first mad scene, and she succeeds in notifying Eraste of her intentions. In Act III Agathe removes financial difficulties, while Crispin, assisted by the lovers and Lisette, gets Albert out of the way, and the denouement is accomplished. The action is simple and rapid, the dialogue varied and effective. There are references to the war in Germany, to the crossing of the Alps near Mount Cenis, to the various trades that Crispin claims to have practised, to abbés as rivals of husbands, to Terence, Hippocrates, Galen, and the Congo, but the play is by no means a comedy of manners. It is a bright and lively fantasy presented in sparkling verse. Note, for instance, the comic tirades of which Regnard was fond

Un écolier qui sort d'avec son précepteur,
Une fille longtemps au célibat liée,
Qui quitte ses parents pour être mariée,
Un esclave qui sort des mains des inécréants,
Un vieux forçat qui roinpt sa chaîne après trente ans,
Un héritier qui voit un onele rendre l'âme,
Un épioux, quand il suit le convoi de sa femme,
N'ont pas le demi quart tant de plaisir que j'ai
En recevant de vous ce bienheureux congé (I, 2)

J'ai couru l'univers, le monde est ma patrie Faute de revenu, je vis de l'industrie, Comme bien d'autres font, selon l'occasion, Quelquefois honnête homme, et quelquefois fripon J'ai servi volontaire un an dans la marine, Et, me sentant le cœur enclin à la rapine, Après avoir éte dix huit mois filiustier, Un mien parent me fit apprenti maltôtier J'ai porté le mousquet en Flandre, en Allemagne, Et j'étais miquelet dans les guerres d'Espagne (1, 5)

Vos traits sont effaces, elle est aimable et fraîche, Elle a l'esprit bien fait, et vous l'humeur revêche, Elle na pas seize aus, et vous êtes fort vieux Elle se porte bien, vous êtes catarrheirx. Elle a toutes ses dents, qui la rendent plus belle, Vous n'en avez plus qu'une, encore braule t elle, Et doit être emportre à la preunère toux (II, 2)

The divertissement is longer than in most such productions. Its title, le Mariage de la Folie, must have been suggested by La Motte's comédie-balle! danced on Oct. 14. 1703, le Mariage de Carnaval et de la Folie, in which as here Momus and Carnaval are rival lovers of la Folie. This

divertissement helps the plot by showing that Eraste and Agathe are about to be married and that Albert withdraws his opposition to the match, but its chief purpose is to introduce Momus and the allegorical figures, Carnaval and Folie, with an appropriate reference to the suspension of war during Carnival and a considerable amount of singing and dancing. A passage in the first scene seems to refer to Regnard himself and his life on his estate called Grillon, where he is known to have entertained actors, including Dancourt and la Beauval:

Pour être heureux, je l'avoueral,
Je me suis fait une façon de vie
A qui les souverains pourraient porter envie,
Et, tant qu'il se pourra, je la continuerai
Scion mes revenus je règle ma dépense,
Et je ne vivrais pas conteut,
Si, toujours en argent comptant,
Je n'en avais au moins deux ans d'avance
Les dames, le jeu, ni le vin,
Ne m'arrachent point à moi-mêine,
Et cependant je bois, je joue et j'aime
Faire tout ce qu'on veut, vivre exempt de chagrin,
Ne se rien refuser, voilà tout mon système,
Et de mes jours ainsi j'attraperai la fin *

The play was enormously successful. Acted first on Jan. 15, 1704, it was given fourteen times in that year ⁹ Except in 1722 and 1752, it was performed every year as late as 1793. Even after that it was played so frequently that by 1919 it had had 1089 performances at the Comédie Française, more than either le Cid or Phèdre Indeed, for the period 1680-1920, only les Plaideurs and eight comedies by Molière were more frequently acted at that theater. It remained in the repertory even later than that, reaching, by the end of 1926, the remarkable total of 1117 performances ¹⁰

In his next play Regnard drew closer to ordinary life and gave a larger place to character and manners. He admitted frankly that Les Menechmes ou les Jumeaux 11 is an adaptation of Plautus's Menaechme, but he really derived from the Latin comedy little more than the idea of drawing comic situations from a story of identical twins, long separated and meeting only near the end of the play His comedy is quite superior to the three earlier French plays derived from the Menaechme, Rotrou's Ménechmes, Bour-

Cf frères Parfaict, XIV, 402
 According to Fournier's edition of Regnard, p lxx, the first performance earned
 1833 france, 12 sous

¹⁰ Cf Edouard Champion, La Comédie-Française, année 1936, p 348
11 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1706, 12°, 1709, 12° Republished in two undated editions, in one by Ad Rion in 1878, and in one by Stock in 1898, as well as in editions of the author's collected works A Dutch translation appeared in 1779 The play was studied by Lenient, op cit, I, 67-76

sault's Nucandres, and Lenoble's Deux Arlegums, from none of which is it probable that he drew suggestions.12 From Plautus he derived his two brothers, but he differentiated their characters sharply, as Plautus had not done, had them separated for a different reason from that given in the Latin play, and made neither desirous of finding the other. His one valet has a rôle corresponding to Messenio's, but he is no longer a slave and he is much more active in conducting the intrigue. Instead of the wife and the mistress of one Plautine twin. Regnard introduces two respectable and unmarried women, one the aunt of the other. The younger woman's father replaces in very different scenes the old father-in-law of the married twin Erotium's male cook and her maid are combined in Finette. About the only details borrowed are the invitation to dinner, comment on the danger that a young man in a strange city may meet from women, the suggestion that the latter may get information about him from the locality where he first arrives, and a portion of the dialogue between the brothers when they meet.

Regnard omits Plantus's parasite, physician, and slaves He adds a notary, a merchant and a Gascon marquis. The place is changed to Paris. The time is the early eighteenth century, with a corresponding use of the war in which France was then engaged. The chief question is not so much whether the twins will be reunited as it is whether the young lovers will marry

Naudet, an editor of Plautus, remarked that in Regnard's comedy "il n'v a qu'une dupe, par consequent moins d'effets comiques " 13 If this were true, a comedy based on identical quadruplets in ignorance of one another's identity would have twice the comic effect of the Menaechmi Naudet's argument can appeal only to propagandists for the ancient classics. It had been answered by La Harpe 14 before it was expressed

[Regnard] multiplie bien davantage les incprises, et met à de bien plus grandes épreuves la patience du Menechme campagnard. La ressemblance ne produit guère dans Plante que des friponneries assez froides, dans Regnard elle produit une foule de situations plus rejouissantes les iines que les autres

Regnard seems to have realized the danger of monotony in a comedy based purely on mistaken identity and to have sought variety by having one of the twins know who the other is before he is himself recognized by his brother

op cit, Part IV, p 449

13 Cited by A Ernout in his Plaute, Paris, Ies Belles Lettres, 1936, IV, IO

14 Op cit, VIII, 317 8

The only way in which Regnard's play resembles the coincides of Boursault and Lenoble more closely than it does the Menaechmi lies in the fact that none of the twins in the three French plays is married, but this fact is no proof of influence It is much more probable that Regnard owed the exchange of values, an important motif not found in Plautus, to Thomas Corneilles Dom César d'Avalos, of my

He composed a play that has much more human interest and is far less crude in the devices employed than is its model. Knowing, however, the respect with which Plautus was regarded by some of his critics, he took the precautions of showing his manuscript to Boileau, whom he had attacked a few years before, of getting his approval, and of writing a prologue in which he explained what he was attempting to do 15

Writing under the auspices of Boileau and complimenting Molière in his prologue, Regnard must have felt quite safe in altering Plautus at will. Identical twins are usually alike in character, but this may well be because their environment has been much the same. To Plautus long separation seems to have meant nothing, but Regnard must have felt that it would make acceptable the great difference in the brothers' characters as he conceived them. Both are handsome, but the Chevalier has had the military and social experience of a young officer, fighting in the summer and spending his winters at Paris, while his brother has continued to rusticate near Péronne. The Chevalier has made many friends, has learned how to charm women, is brave, honorable according to fashionable standards, and impecunious. He is in debt to a merchant and to a Gascon marquis, probably to many other persons. As in plays by Dancourt, he has equipped his regiment at the expense of an unattractive woman, whom he has promised to marry.

18 Apollo and Mercury meet on Parnassus, each weary of his usual occupation Mercury regrets the lack of good plays since the death of Molière, whom he calls "le censeul de son temps, l'amour des beaux esprits". Apollo suggests reviving Plantus who appears and declares that manners and entonis have changed so much that, if one of his comedies is to be given, it must be adapted by a French dram titst to modern tastes. Apollo says he has seen such a work. Mercury agrees to have it acted and begs the middence to be indulgent.

The M(ucchine brothers lost their mother at birth and subsequently their father One has spent thirty yours or more in Picardy, near Peronne, while the other, the Chevalier, has joined the army and become an officer. For two years the Chevalier has been suited in equipping his regiment by wealthy Araminte, whom he has promised to mitity but he has recently failen in love with her nicce. Isabelle daughter of Demophon. Now it happens that his uncle has died and, not knowing that his military in phew was alive, has left his fortune of 60,000 cons to his other nephow. A notary, Roberton who has charge of the money has proposed to Demophon that he marry his daughter to the hen. The instea Menchine tokes the couch for Paris to get his money and his bride, but his vilue with Roberton's lefter is exchanged with the value of the Chevalier who is this mide nwhere of the saturation. The Chevalier is invited to dinner by Araminte, but he linds that he is too bases to dine with her. She meets his hother, thinks he is the Chevalier and is rullly treated. On the other hand, the Chevalier, mistaken for his brother, is cortially received by Disnophon and Isabelle, who, however subsequently mistak has brother for him and are disgusted by his bootish remarks. The brother from near Peronne fears the advances of women, is righted by a merchant who seeks to collect what the Chevalier wes him and in order to rook a duel, is obliged to pay 60 loins to a Gasion marquis. In the minimality assisted by his valet, Valentin, the Chevalier has secured the 60,000 cons. He makes his peace with Isabelle by explaining who he and his brother are. When the notary and the rusta Menecline ment, each armses the other of trying to rob him, but the Chevalier inpears in time to explain their mistake. The Chevalier agrees to divide the inheritance equally with his histher on condition that he be allowed to marry Isabelle and that his rustic brother will marry Araminte.

This promise means as little to him as do the legal aspects of his uncle's legacy. In the latter case he takes the law into his own hands and agrees to divide with his brother only on condition the latter replace him as Araminte's fiancé and renounce his claim on Isabelle. But these questionable practices do not prevent his being a person with whom we sympathize.

His brother, on the other hand, has made no promise of marriage, is not in debt, and does not attempt to defraud the Chevalier, but "il est brusque, impoli," has not "goûté l'air de Paris, Et c'est un franc Picard" (II, 1). He is bewildered by life in the capital, fears the wiles of women and the marquis's sword, speaks insultingly to Démophon, Araminte, and Isabelle, expresses his pleasure over his uncle's death and his brother's disappearance. His ignorance and conceit are well described by himself (III, 8)

J'aime les gens d'esprit plus que personne en France
J'en ai du plus brillant, et le tout sans science
Je trouve que l'étude est le parfait moyen
De gâter la jeunesse et n'est utile à rien
Aussi je n'ai jamais mis le nez dans un livre
Et quand un gentilhomme, en commençant à vivre,
Sait tirer en volant, boire, et signer son nom,
Il est aussi savant que défunt Cicéron

The niece and the aunt are also contrasted. Isabelle is young, charming, offended by one brother, attracted by the other, gifted with irony that she uses against her aunt and her rustic suitor. Araminte, past fifty, though she is unwilling to admit it, is domineering, eager for a husband, seeking to make the most of her faded charms, unconscious of the impression she produces, and quite a suitable match for the gentleman from Picardy

Valentin is an active and clever valet, as ambitious to become rich as his prototype in le Joueur. He hopes in two years to appear in a "char magnifique," to have servants of his own and a love-nest near the city ramparts, which he offers to share with the outspoken suivante, Finette. Old Démophon is kindly and intelligent. A more original creation is the obsequious M Coquelet, a "marchand fripier" and "marguillier," 10 who hestitates to appeal to the law, but who insists on being paid his due. Noteworthy, too, are the Gascon marquis, who speaks French with the accent of Bordeaux and frightens the wrong Ménechme into paying him, and the busy notary, Robertin, who pays antiquated compliments, mingled with words of his profession, and defends his honesty when it is questioned.

The introduction of these minor characters, references to the war in

Ménechme Laissez moi lui couper le nez Valentin Laissez le aller

Que feriez-vous, monsieur, du nez d'un marguillier?

¹⁶ Lintilhac, *Histoire*, IV, 132, holds that the most characteristic expression of Regnard's comic fancy is found in the lines (III, 11)

Flanders, to travel by coach, to the delivery of baggage, to matrimonial arrangements and questions of inheritance give the play a considerable study of manners. While Paris seems to some a center of culture and refinement, it impresses quite differently the visitor from Picardy (II, 2):

> Là, l'epée à la main des archers malfaisants, Conduisant leur capture, insultent les passants Un fiaere, me couvrant d'un déluge de boue, Contre le mur voisin m'écrase de sa roue, Et, voulant me sauver, des porteurs inhumains De leur maudit bâton me donnent dans les reins

The action is unified and the interest The play is well constructed steadily mereases. Scenes of mistaken identity, absent from the first act, are found in all the others and reach a climax in V, 5, after which the brothers are seen together for the first time and the solution is obtained. Except for the strange chance that the elderly woman who loves the Chevalier should be the aunt of the girl who has been thought of as a suitable fiancée for the Chevalier's brother, and the equally peculiar circumstance that the brothers reach Paris at about the same time, the events are reasonably probable. The tone is comic throughout 17

The play was cordially received by the public, though the actors seem to have had some difficulty in staging it, perhaps because it was not easy for them to represent identical twins. It was presented to them on Dec. 2, 1704, again on Jan. 13, 1705. Though it was accepted, the actors did not fix a date for playing it until Sept 19 of the latter year, so that it was not acted until Dec 4 18 It was presented twelve times in that month, eleven By 1791 it had been given in every year except four It times in 1706 remained in the repertory of the Comédie Française until 1907, with a record of 541 performances, ranking fourth in this respect among its author's plays.

According to the Gazette de Rotterdam.10 it won applause both at court and at Paris and was thought to be as witty as any comedy that had appeared since the death of Molière A writer in the Journal de Trévoux 20 He admitted that Regnard had succeeded in the was less enthusiastic substitutions he had made for scenes in Plautus's play and had avoided monotony, but he held that he had not always respected the proprieties, that his diction was not exact, and that there were no characters in the play

states that the first performance earned 1807 francs, 16 sous

¹⁷ Regnard's use of older plays for comic effect, especially noticeable in his Legataire, is exemplified in les Ménechmes, V. 6, when the Chevalier offers to divide the inheritance with his brother and the latter exclaims, "A ce dernier trait là je reconnois mon frère," parodying le Cid., v. 264

18 Cf the frères Parfaict, XIV, 374, and Fournier, op cit, pp lxxii iii The latter

Quoted by Mélèse, Rép, p 216
 Cf the frères Parfaict, XIV, 375 8

He explained that he meant by characters persons who give us horror for vice or who rouse us to acts of virtue. Certainly from this point of view Regnard stands condemned, but, if we are not seeking moral instruction. we may heartily enjoy the dramatist's gaicty and will not be shocked by his casy-going morality or his occasional disregard for the proprieties and for rules of prosody.21

Reguard's last five-act play brought him one of his greatest triumphs. LE LÍGATAIRE UNIVERSEL,22 written in the tone of a farce, is as gay and lively a production as any that its author composed. It is highly reminiscent of Molière. The imitation of le Malade imaginaire is obvious in the rôles of Géronte, Lisette, and Clistorel, while there are minor borrowings from other plays by Molière and by other dramatists.28

There has been much discussion about the inspiration for the important incident of the will, presented in Acts IV and V 24 An allusion in the thirtieth canto of the Inferno to Gianni Schicchi brought from the cominentators a tale that corresponds closely to Crispin's impersonating Géronte in the presence of Eraste and the notary and to his remembering his own interests in the false will, to the lawful heir's disgust. Toldo showed that a similar story had been related by Marco Cademosto da Lodi, and Altrocchi added a reference to Nicolao Granucci These sixteenth-century writers may have followed Dante's commentators or they may have found the story elsewhere

It has also been held that the episode was based on an incident in real life Shortly after the play was first acted, the Nouveau Mercure pointed

²¹ Many persons who were not shocked must have been present at the very success ful performance of the play that Sarcey attended in 1885, of Quarante ans de theâtre, Paris, 1900, 11, 229 36

²⁹ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1708 and 1714, 12° Republished, venve P Ribon 1731, Duchesne, 1775, Barba, 1820, Sanson, 1826, Ad Rion, 1888, Bonlanger, 1894, Hatier, 1926 Classiques Quillet, 1928, Lesot (arrangé pour jennes gens), 1931, Laronsse, 1935, and in editions of the author's collected works. A Dutch translation

appeared in 1725 an Italian translation in 1708 For an English adaptation of 1769, Wit's Last Stake, of Georges Roth, RILL, XXI (1914), 174-6

23 The scene (I, 4) in which Géronte tells his nephew that he intends to marry the gul the voing man loves resembles V4 rare, I, 4, difficulties between apotheraries and physicians (II, 11) had been brought into Vilhers's ipoticaire devalue, Crispin's method of disgusting Geronte with his relatives is exactly that employed by the valet and the surrante in les Petits Maistres d'éte (if my op cit. Part IV, p 858), verses 9 and 10 in III, 1, are reminiscent of George Dandin, the jest in III, 2 about the woman's mability to calculate as an excuse for the birth of her baby soon after her marriage, had been employed in Dufresny's Mal-assortis (cf my baby soon after her marriage, had been employed in Dufresny's Mat-assorts (cf. my op. cit., Part IV pp. 669-76), "C'est à vous de sortir La minison m'appartient." (III, 2) resembles Tartuffe, vv. 1557-8, "Il s'est allé jeter—On donc' dans la minère—Non monsient, sur son lit. (V, 4) comes from l'Imoni medecut. I, to The last of these and some other resemblances were pointed out by H. Parigot, Genic et Métier, Paris, 1894, pp. 155-60, ef also the letter of Théodore Reinach etted by Sariev, op. cit., II, 247-8, and, for a possible borrowing from Brueys's Empiriques, Mairice Baudin, MLN, XLVI (1931), 84-5.

**Cf. Toldo, RHL, XI (1904), 72-3, and Giornale storico, XLVIII (1906), 113-23, Rudolph Altrocchi, PMLA, XXIX (1914), 200-24, and Fourmer, op. cit.

out that, in the scene where Crispin makes the will, "on fait . . . ce qui se fait quelquefois en pareille occasion autre part que sur le Theatre." 25 Léris and Clément et La Porte declared that a similar incident had actually occurred, but they did not indicate when or where. Editors of Regnard have been more specific. They have stated that a wealthy man of Besançon, M. d'Ancier, died in the house of the Jesuits at Rome. Members of the Society of Jesus persuaded a farmer to take the place of the corpse and dictate a will making them the heirs of the deceased but the farmer in the presence of the notary added items that gave him a large part of the inheritance This incident was dramatized in the latter part of the eighteenth century in les Jammabos by Fenouillot de Falbaire. The story, told in notes added to the play, was reproduced in the 1830 edition of Regnard and in Fourmer's edition. Mr. Altrocchi established the fact that early in the seventeenth century the Jesuits did inherit from the M. d'Ancier of the comedy, but he could find no evidence that they played the trick, which may have been borrowed by the author from le Légataire universel in order to discredit the Jesuits One may conclude that Regnard did not invent the episode and that in some way it is related to the incident told by the Dante commentators, but from what particular source Regnard derived it remains undetermined.26

The principal character is not, as the title implies, the heir, but the old man from whom he hopes to inherit 27 Like Argan Géronte takes much

26 Quoted by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 475
26 The fact that he brought Géronte to life was criticized by both Toldo and Altrocchi, but they seem to be oblivious to the fact that for the principal character in a comedy to die would be without precedent on the French classical stage and would ruin the tone of the play. No one with a feeling for the comic can regret the situation when the supposed dead man hobbles into the room.

the situation when the supposed dead man hololies into the room

-7 Geronte is a weilthy invalid, cared for by his servant, Lisette who loves Crispin, valet of the old man's nephew. Eraste This young man loves Isabelie, daughter of Mine Argante, who will allow him to marry her if he is to be Geronte's "légataire universel". The invalid decides to marry the gill himself, but Mine Argante is persuaded to write a note refusing her consent, while Lisette and in apothecary assure Geronte that it would be most univer for him to wed. The proposes to make Eraste his thief heir, but to leave 20 000 ccus each to a nephew and mece who live in the provinces and are coming to visit him (rispin disguises himself in thin as the nephew and the niece and makes so disagreeable an impression upon Geronte that he decides to leave his provincial relatives out of his will. He is so deeply moved by the stormy interviews he has with disguised (rispin that he falls into a lethargic state and is believed to be dying intestate. When the notaries come to make his will, Crispin disguises himself as the old man directs the pay ment of his debts, including one of Crispin's, makes Eriste the residuary legates, but leaves Lisette 2000 écus in cash and Crispin an annuity of 1500 francs. Eraste protests against these legacies, but he prefers to leave them in rather than to destroy the will Geronte recovers consciousness and learns from a returning notary that he has made a will He approves of the part concerning Eraste, but he objects to the clauses relating to Crispin and Lisette till he is reminded that he dictated the will and that, thanks to his lethargy, he has furgotten its clauses. After much persuasion and the return of a considerable sum of money that Eraste had discovered while his uncle was imeonscious, Géronte allows the will to stand Eraste will marry Isabelle, Crispin, Lisette

medicine and is deeply concerned over his health, but he is by no means a "malade imaginaire." He is miserly, cautious, in fear of being robbed, wary of all his relatives except Eraste, who approves of everything he says and pretends deep emotion over the thought of his uncle's death. The other leading characters are the servants. Lisette, bright and bold, an attentive nurse, but one who is by no means disinterested, and Crispin, an imaginative scamp, prepared for the part he is to play in making the will by the fact that he had been for three years a lawyer's clerk. He puts on three disguises, playing the brutal nephew, the intriguing niece, and the dying old man. He carries his impudence to the point of suggesting that his deceased wife had been Eraste's mistress and that Lisette is Géronte's illegitimate daughter. He works brilliantly for his master, but he does not forget his own interests in doing so. Minor figures are the two notaries, who resemble their colleague of les Ménechmes, determined and minute M. Clistorel, young Isabelle, her money-loving mother, and two lackeys.

Act I is made comic by the racy and somewhat indecent talk of the servants and by Géronte's remarks about his health and his matrimonial intentions. As Act II is largely concerned with the plot, the author brightened it up by ending it with Clistorel's farcical scene. Act III is especially distinguished by the antics of Crispin when he is disguised as Géronte's relatives. Still more amusing is Act IV, which contains the famous scene of the fraudulent will. Act V is less effective, though the second scene with the notary is admirable, and the heirs' comic suspense is well sustained. It is unfortunate that Regnard did not imagine a more striking dénouement than merely to have Géronte yield to persuasion. His acceptance of the situation, like Mme Argante's breaking her promise in Act II, is so poorly motivated that it seems to be employed merely to give the play a happy ending.

The comedy is unified except that the scene in which Clistorel appears could be omitted without loss to the plot. Less respect is shown for the proprieties than in Regnard's other five-act comedies. Not only in its tone, but in the absence of moral considerations, the comedy has about it much of the farce. Unlike farces, however, it makes use of familiar lines from tragedy that become comic through the connection in which they are introduced. Géronte says of his prospective bride.

Et, de son chaste amour recueillant tout le fruit

Eraste exclaims

Mon oncle, qu'avez vous? vous changez de visage **

²² I, 7, cf Msthredate, v 1112 22 I, 4, cf Phèdre, vv 1291-2

Lasette defends her speech to Géronte:

Je ne sais point, monsieur, farder la vérité. Et dis ce que je pense avecque liberté *0

When Géronte returns to life, Crispin comments:

Et l'avare Achéron lâche encore sa proje 12

Regnard's wit is in these cases external to his characters, who can hardly be supposed to have had Racine in mind when they spoke the lines is characteristic of much of his work. He seems, for instance, to have made Crispin claim to be Géronte's relative on the ground that his deceased Bretonne wife was Eraste's mistress, chiefly in order to enable Lisette to comment sarcastically (I, 1).

> Oui-da, tu peux passer pour parent de campagne, Ou pour neveu, suivant la mode de Bretagne

This is true, too, of Eraste's remark to his uncle (I, 4), "Votre bien seul m'est cher," and of Géronte's medicinal love-making (I, 7).

> Vous êtes pour mon cœur comme un julep futur, Qui doit le nettoyer de ce qu'il a d'impur Mon hymen avec vous est un sûr émétique Et je vous prends enfin pour mon dernier topique

In spite of Regnard's subordinating the representation of character to his efforts to excite laughter, the weakness of his dénoucment, and the indecency of certain lines, he produced a comedy whose irresistible gaiety and the really excellent scenes of the will (IV, 6, and V, 7) won his audience as did few plays of the eighteenth century. When it was read to seven members of the troupe on Dec. 24, 1706, they not only accepted it, but declared that it should be played as soon as possible La Thoullière, however, fell ill, Paul Poisson was substituted, and Regnard was requested on Jan. 18, 1707, to adjust his play to the acting of his new interpreter, who played Crispin 32 By the time the changes were made, it was probably too late for the play to be given before the summer season, so that it was not According to Fournier, the first performance acted until Jan 9, 1708 brought in the unusually large sum of 2246 francs, 10 sous 33

Opinions were, however, divided, as a letter in le Nouveau Mercure shows, one that was probably composed not long after the first performance

* Cf Fournier, op cit, pp lxxiv-vi He gives the date of first performance as Jan 26, which Joannides corrects

^{**} III, 4, cf Britannicus, vv 173-4
** IV, 8, cf Phèdre, v 626
** Cf the Critique du Légataire, sc 2, and Mélèse, Th ct P, p 312 for evidence that Poisson played Crispin

of the comedy.34 The author objected to Crispin's jesting about his dead wife's morals and about Lisette's parentage, to Mme Argante's sudden change of plan, and to Géronte's accepting the will. He had less reason to assert that the plot ends with the second act, for the provincial relations had still to be disposed of, and to claim that one man acts both as Géronte's lackey and as Mmc Argante's. 85 On the other hand, the writer admired the scenes when the will is made and when it is read to Géronte, and he admitted that the comedy is highly amusing, although, after one has seen it, "il ne reste rien qui occupe l'esprit dès que le Spectacle est fini." He concluded that it was the acting rather than the text that made the play popular

Just how much of the criticism represented by this letter reached Regnard before he wrote a defense of his play cannot be determined, but he must have known that it was accused of containing errors in structure and morals. His apology took the form of a prose play in one act entitled LA CRITIQUE DU LIGATAIRE "In writing it he employed the method made famous by Molière's Critique de l'École des femmes. He has the Légataire discussed by persons who attack and defend it. He lays his seene, as other dramatists had done in the theater, but his playlet is unusual in that its action takes place at the end of a performance 37 The critics are represented as pedantic, jealous, ignorant, or prudish. They have not seen the Legataire, or they are too much concerned with other matters to watch a performance intelligently of they cannot formulate their objections. Some object to references to widowhood, to a posthumous child, or to the meaning suggested by Lisette for the word interloquée 38 The poet eites Aristotle and the Code

³⁴ Feb., 1708, pp. 110 42, cited in part by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 467-78. The latter writers note that, though Geronte declares (II, 6) his Norman nephew to be his brother's son, Crispin, when disguised as this nephew (III, 2), claims to be the sun of Géronte's sister. It is not Crispin's slip, as Géronte fails to notice it, and consequently must be due to Regnard's negligence. In the Garnier edition the text of 11, 6 has been altered so that there is no inconsistency between the two scenes Regnard also makes a slip in 111, 9, when Eraste is surprised to find that Crispin had been disguised, although he had recognized him in 111, 7. This error

¹⁸ pointed out in the Garnier edition
10 The text indicates that there were two Lickeys, though only one is mentioned in the list of chiracters of Paris, Pierre Ribon, 1708 and 1714, 12° Republished in editions of the author's

[&]quot;An actor announces a tragedy for the next day, le Légataire for the day after that. The chevalier protests that he does not wish to see it again. A countess and a poet criticize the play while a norique defends it M Bredouille a transcer, approves of it because he has enjoyed it. An aputhecary immed Clistorel expresses his indignation at being put on the stage and discusses the matter so anguly with the actor who had taken the part that they come to blows. The countess intervenes, sends the apotherary back to his shop, and invites the actor to a dance. They will leave in her carriage, which the poet has had brought to the door of the theater *Cf 111, 8

Et vous avez souffert qu'on vous interloquat? Une femme d'honneur se voir interloquee?

Justiman. He claims that the play is worthless because it is built round a will made by a valet, who, as he depends upon another, cannot, according to Justinian, make a will M. Clistorel, supposed to be a real apothecary, protests against the ridicule that is heaped upon his profession.

Regnard's admirers make no specific replies to most of these charges, but the financier insists that the play must be good, as he enjoyed it immensely, and the marquis (sc 4) defends both the dramatist's conception of his art and the structure of the Légataire.

il n'est pas question, dans une comédie, du droit romain ni de Justinien il s'agit de divertir les gens d'esprit avec art. Le premier acte expose le sujet, le second fait le nœud, dans le troisième commence l'action, elle continue dans les suivants tout concourt à l'événement, l'embarras croît jusqu'à la dernière scène, le dénoûment est tiré des entrailles du sujet

These claims are, as I have shown, not altogether justified, but they are interesting as revealing Regnard's ideal of dramatic composition. He brings out in his Critique the great popularity of the Legature, which caused the streets to be blocked and drew so many spectators that it was hard to find a good place in the theater. So true was this that, although Regnard sacrificed his royalties to have it acted, 30 the Critique seemed superfluous. Played first on Feb. 9, 1708, it was acted only three times. As a dramatic composition it is insignificant. The characterization is poor and the farcical scene between the two Clistorels is out of keeping with the rest of the production. Its failure did not diminish the success of the comedy it was written to defend. Le Legature was acted twenty-three times in 1708 and became, next to les Folies amoureuses, the most frequently produced at the Comédic Française of Regnard's plays and of all plays written in the eighteenth century. By 1920 it had had at that theater no less than 960 performances, by the end of 1934 no less than 988.

Regnard did not live to see much of this triumph. He died on Sept 4, 1709. Like Mohère he had worked almost to the end and had, in his last decade shown no diminution in his knowledge of the theater and his gaiety of spirit. It is even possible that he continued to compose scenes

^{**}This fact was brought out by Fournier, op cit p layou Hi quoted the Registres to the effect that, at a meeting of the troupe on Feb 8 1708, Regnard proposed to add the Critique to the Ligataire, and the actors, following the precedent established ou Jan 18 1700, when they agreed to play Regnard's Reloan impreciable for his Dimorrite had completed its first run, accepted the author's proposal, but on condition that he would receive nothing "de la grande in de la petite, du jour qu'on commencera à la jouer". To this Regnard gave his consent, although the Liegataire had earned in fourteen performances nearly 20,000 francs for the troupe and himself and might have continued to make money for him if he had been willing to have it played without the Critique. He was, however, in comfortable circumstances and had earned a considerable sum from his plays acted at the Comédie Française. Comet estimated it at 7698 francs, 9 sous 6 deniers, if Journal des débats, Sept 9, 1909, cited in RHL, XVI (1909), 853.

after he had completed the Critique, for at least two productions have survived that may have been written in the last eighteen months of his life.

Fournier published four les Souhaits, les Vendanges, le Marchand ridcule, and l'Ile d'Alcine. There seems to be no reason to doubt the authenticity of the first two, as they had already been published in 1731 with other plays of Regnard by the widow of his printer, Pierre Ribou. Fournier, though less sure about le Marchand redecule, published it as an "opéra comique représenté à la foire Saint-Germain en 1708, pièce inédite, d'après un manuscrit de la Bibliothèque Nationale" Now this manuscript had already been published in the Dictionnaire des théâtres, III, 304-11, of 1756, without indication of authorship, and had been listed in the Catalogue Solemne, no 3402. Fournier was not aware of these facts, for he calls the play "inédite," fails to mention the Dictionnaire, and refers only to III, 7 (a slip for III, 6) of the catalogue.41 He misinterpreted this last reference, stating that "M de Soleinne" did not doubt that the play was by Regnard, though it is given there merely as "attrib. à Regnard," and no evidence is offered to support even this cautious statement Now I have discovered that the play is practically identical with one of the same title that is found in a manuscript with three other farces in which Polichinelle takes a leading These are attributed to a certain Gillot and are said to have been acted at the Foire about 1695.42 though they may have first appeared there ten or fifteen years later 43 They are extremely crude prose productions written for marionettes and bear no resemblance to the work of Regnard It is absurd to assign the authorship of le Marchand ridicule to him when the only evidence we have is the fact that Paul Lacroix, who listed the play three times in the Catalogue Soleinne, once declared that it was attributed to Regnard.

As for l'Ile d'Alcine, Paul Lacroix described it in Solemne, III, 27, as Tiré de l'Arioste (com. "L'Isle d'Alcinc, ou l'Anneau magique de Brunel et prol pr.), avec une parodie d'Iphigénie. Par Regnard." Ludovic Lalanne published it, partly in résumé, in la Correspondance littéraire for May 5, 1859 44 It was subsequently published by Hippolyte Lucas 45 and by

⁴¹ Cf Fournier, op cit, pp lxvi-11, 455-7
42 Cf the account of these plays in my op cit, Part IV, pp 935-6 They are all four entered in Soleinne, no 3399

tour entered in Soleinne, no 3399

43 Fournier's text differs from that attributed to Gillot only in very minor matters and in the fact that he omitted "quelques lignes de ce dialogue à cause de sa trivialité" by "trivialité" he means indecency Unfortunately the climax of the tale 19 found in the passage he modestly left out

44 He states that the manuscript is entitled "Tisle d'Alénie ou l'Anneau magique de Brunel, tirée de l'Aroste avec une parodie d'Iphigénie, par Regnard"

45 Paris, Lemerre, 1867 Fournier calls this the first edition of the play He mentions Lalanne, but refers only to his note of June 5, 1859, in which "Alénie" is corrected to "Alcine" Neither Lalanne nor Fournier reproduces the complete play Both give the prologue, but the narody is omitted by Fournier, while Lalanne gives

Both give the prologue, but the parody is omitted by Fournier, while Lalanne gives

Fournier. It is true that Poisson and La Thorillière, who were friends of Regnard, appear in this play as they do in the dramatist's Souhaits and parody the same scene from Racine's Iphigénie, but this may merely mean that les Souhaits had suggested to the author of l'Ile d'Alcine the idea of introducing these actors and of parodying Iphiginie, IV, 6. On the other hand, no editor of Regnard's collected plays had seen fit to publish l'Ile d'Alcine in the eighteenth century, or in the nincteenth before Fournier. As these editors had access to a list of his plays that Regnard drew up little more than eight months before his death, in the presence of a notary and witnesses, 1 prefer to follow them rather than Fournier and to conclude that there remain only two plays by Regnard to be considered.

Les Souhaits ⁴⁷ has but one act and is written in "vers libres." At a fair Mcrcury proposes to grant requests. A bride, a large Swiss woman who is accompanied by a male dwarf, a girl dressed as a Gascon gentleman; and a gourmand file by, but their requests are refused, as granting them would do more harm than good. Finally Poisson and La Thorillière appear as strolling players, ask to be admitted to the troupe of the Comédie Française, and give a brief performance, in which they parody Iphigénie, to demonstrate their value as actors. Mercury finds that they will do well to avoid Paris and return to the country, for

Il vaut mieux être ensîn le premier au village, Qu'être le dernier à Paris

Poisson proposes to become a Swiss actor. Mercury calls attention to the fact that none of his petitioners has asked for virtue or wisdom and advises all of them to laugh, sing, and dance, leaving to Jupiter care for the morrow. A dance ends the play

This slight production may have been written, as Fournier suggests, for performance at Grillon, where Regnard included Poisson and La Thorillière among his guests. The tone is highly saturded. The preliminary scenes lead up to the fifth, in which the two actors appear and introduce the inner play, les Amouis de Mars et de Venus, which La Thorillière claims to have written. In this playlet Venus and Vulcan talk in "vers libres," then, while Vulcan remains alone, the actor who plays Venus leaves the stage to

"une espèce de parodie d'une scène d'Iphigénie"

40 This list was first published in the edition of Regnard's works that appeared at Brussels in 1711 It is mentioned in the Catalogue Solemne, no 1539, in Brunet's

Manuel, and by Fournier, op cst, p lxxix
47 Paris, veuve P Ribou, 1731, and in collected editions that appeared subsequently

only in resume a large part of the six remaining scenes. The parody is quite different from the one in les Souhasts and is by no means close to Raeine. Except that in both l'He d'Alcine and the tragedy a scene in verse describes a violent alteriation between a man and his daughter's lover, there is hardly any resemblance to Racine. One could not guess that it is a parody if La Thorillière had not called it in the prologue "une espèce de parodie d'une scène d'Iphigéme".

return, transformed into Mars, and speak lines from the rôle of Achilles in *Iphigénie*, IV, 6, to which Vulcan replies with verses from the rôle of Agameninon. When Mars-Achilles has made his exit, Vulcan recites a few lines imitating *Iphigénie*, IV, 7. The parody is cleverly executed, but it was better adapted to presentation at Grillon than at the Comédie Française, where the play was never acted.

The other comedy was apparently meant to run to five acts, but only one of them was finished. The completion of the play may have been prevented by the author's death What remains of it is entitled Les Vendanges ou LE BAILLI D'ANIÈRES 48 Regnard, who had condescended to become a bailiff himself, may well have noticed characteristics among his colleagues that would lend themselves to comic reproduction. The list of characters shows that there were fifteen persons in the play Trigaudin, his wife, his daughter, his servante, his clerk, his daughter's lover, and two peasants, all of whom appear in the single act that has survived, also the lover's valet, called Champagne, a procureur, named La Serre, a procurcuse, a greffier, a greffière, a notaire, and a commissaire The first act shows Trigaudin's plan to marry his daughter, her lover's proposal to carry her off during a peasant dance, his engaging Trigaudin to defend a supposed friend if he should be accused of abduction, and the report of the peasants about a murdered man and pigs. The four lost acts, if they ever existed, must have brought in the characters that do not appear in the first, must have established some connection between the elopement and the legal proceedings relative to the murder, and must have ended with Léandre's obtaining permission to marry Babet by means of the document he has paid Trigaudin to sign 49

The protagouist, whose name is that of the chief character in Montsleury's *Trigaudin*, ⁵⁰ is presented as stupid and authoritative, willing when hribed to "faire gauchir les lois," proud of his ability as a pleader and versed in

⁴⁸ Paris, venive P. Ribon, 1731, and in collected editions that appeared subsequently It was published separately by Louis Lacour, Paris, Dentu, 1855

Trigaudin insists upon citertaining Parisian friends at vintage time in order to marry his daughter. Babet, to a procureur, although his wife complains about the expense involved. We learn that Babet loves Léandre, who has the help of Toinon and the clerk and has proposed an elopement. When Trigaudin finds Léandre at his honie the voung man pretends to have come to consult him in the interests of a friend who plains to abduet a girl from the keeping of her tvrannical father Trigaudin at first refuses to consider the case, but, when Léandre gives him a purse, he relents, agrees to serve, even to sign a statement that he helieves the abduction to be justified, and boasts of his legal skill. The peasants, Guillot and Misthieu, report that a man has been murdered and that a peasant who was driving pigs to market has been locked up as well as his pigs. Trigaudin is much interested until he discovers that the supposed murderer is a peasant, but, when his clerk informs him that the accused man had a drove of pigs, he again changes his attitude and becomes eager to take the case. The act ends with Toinon's announcement that Trigaudin's "bidet est tout bridé la-bas."

50 Cf my op cit, Part IV, pp. 422 6

the pompous methods already dramatized by Racine in les Plaideurs. He recites the opening lines of a speech he had made on the subject of a lost donkey (sc. 11):

Quand le grand Annibal et les Carthaginois, De deux consuls romains triomphant à la fois, Portèrent la terreur au sein de l'Italie, Et couvrirent de morts les plaines d'Apulie, Quand ce fils d'Amilcar, etc

His wife is shown only as an economical person who cites the prudent maxims of her husband's grandfather in order to support her contentions, but who is obliged to yield to Trigaudin's desires. The other characters are cautious Babet and her lively attendant, clever Léandre, active in planning the abduction and in deceiving Trigaudin, a clerk who is weary of the law and wants to enter the army, and two peasants who express themselves with great difficulty and employ an amusing patois. These characters and those that do not appear might have composed the cast of an entertaining comedy, but, as only one act has survived, it is impossible to say how well Regnard would have worked out his plans. Fournier records that the play was acted on March 15, 1823, at the Porte Saint-Martin theater and that it was unsuccessful, as well it may have been in its unfinished condition

The plays not acted at the Comédie Française or at the Théâtre Italien add nothing to Regnard's reputation, which rests primarily upon Attendezmon, le Joueur, le Distrait, Démocrite, les Folies, les Ménechmes, and le Légataire Some years ago there was much discussion about his place in French drama Certain crities found him lacking in originality and in knowledge of the stage. Others commented upon his superficiality, his lack of respect for the proprieties, his occasional departures from correct prosody. But no one can deny the essential gaiety of his comedies, or that from time to time he indulges in a burst of poetic fancy that creates memorable passages. He was, to use an expression attributed to Julius Caesar, a halved Molière. This is, perhaps, sufficient praise. It would have been approved by Voltaire, who paid him this tribute.

Molière est le premier, mais il serait injuste et ridicule de ne pas mettre le Joueur à côté de ses meilleures pièces Refuser son estime aux Ménechnes, ne pas s'amuser beaucoup au Légature universel, serait d'un homme sans justice et sans goût, et qui ne se plaît pas à Regnard n'est pas digne d'admirer Molière

⁵¹ Moland edition, XXII, 247

CHAPTER XIV

MARC-ANTOINE LEGRAND

With the exception of Dancourt, Legrand 1 was the only active member of the Comédie Française troupe to write plays in the first fifteen years of the century. Before he joined the company he had composed six little comedies, had acted in the provinces and in Poland, and had written a Divertissement en musique pour le Retour du Roi à Varsovie, an operetta with a ballet, probably produced in 1700-12 His acting was tested at the Comédie Française on March 21 and June 27, 1702, and he became a member of the company on Oct. 18 of that year, but it was some time before he returned to dramatic composition. Perhaps the delay was occasioned by his travels and by the burdens placed upon a new member of the troupe.

In 1707-13 he produced seven one-act comedies and assisted in the composition of an eighth. They are written in verse or in prose, are often farcical, sometimes end in a divertissement, and deal with various classes of the society in which he lived. He owed something to Molière, though he never learned his art of depicting character, and something to Dancourt, though he was far less varied in his representation of manners. His chief concern was his plot, despite his slight regard for probability and the weakness of several dénouements. His satire shows considerable ability and is sometimes personal. He had learned how to entertain an audience, as an actor should, and achieved considerable, if temporary fame as a contributor to the repertory of the Comédie Française.

His career as a Parisian dramatist began with a one-act comedy in verse, LA FEMME FILLE ET VEUVE, a slight production, farcical in tone, with emphasis placed upon the intrigue at the expense of character and dialogue.

¹ Cf M S Burnet, Marc-Antoine Legrand, acteur et auteur comique (1673-1728), Paris, Droz, 1938, and my op cit, Part IV, pp 862 71 Documents published by Campardon, les Comédiens du roi, Paris, 1879, pp 24-5, 206, show that in 1711-2 Legrand was living on the rue du Regard

Legrand was living on the rue du Regard

Ct Burnet, op cit, pp 10-1

Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12° Republished, Paris, Duchesne, 1773, in editions of the author's plays of 1731, 1742, and 1770, and in the Fin du Répertoure of 1824

On a trip to Bordeaux Oronte meets a Gascon and a Limousin, engages his daughters, Elise and Angélique, to them, and exchanges with each man papers promising that 12,000 francs will be forfeited by the person who breaks the agreement When Oronte returns to Paris, he makes the girls desperate, for they want to marry Philidor and Dorante, but, though penitent, he is unwilling to sacrifice the 24,000 francs of the contracts Fortunately the girls have a cousin, Horteuse recently married to Lisimon She bids them give the provincials a chilly reception and plans with her husband and the servants, Lisette and Valentin, to win back Oronte's dédits To do this she pretends at first to be a wealthy ingénue, just out of a convent, then to be a rich widow, and wins the hearts of Dardibras, the Gascon, and of Fatignac, the Limousin After each has given up his contract, she finds them

It reads as if it were written for the actress who appears in turn as wife, unmarried girl, and widow, and whose rôle dominates those of her comrades. We learn that as a child she had been bright and "malicieuse." Now that she is happily married, she is moved by a desire to help her cousins and to find amusement for herself. In order that we may not be surprised by the ease with which she procures mourning for her disguise as a widow, she is made to appear in black out of respect for a recently deceased aunt-in-law. She has little difficulty in winning the young provincials, who are aghast at her ability to appear (sc. 18)

Et fille, & veuve, & femme, & Diable qui t'emporte, Visage a-t-il jamais changé de cette sorte? Innocente, affligée, enjouce, est-ce assez?

Oronte's prospective sons-in-law are presented as penniless and unintelligent seekers after downes, quite ready to marry the highest bidder. Dardibras is described as follows (sc. 9)

Le sourcil bien marqué, l'œil vif, le nez bien fait, Le corps droit, toutefois tant soit peu sur la hanche, Et que la tête aussi sur l'épaule un peu penche, C'est le bon air, la jambe & les pieds bien tournés, Le chapeau sur l'oreille & tantot sur le nez, L'extomac débraillé, la main dans la ceinture, Et l'esprit enjoué

He swears with Gascon oaths and brags of his twenty châteaux on the Garonne, his nobility, and his success with women. Fatignac, the Limousin, resembles him, but he has a less prominent rôle. He claims to be a baron and to have had many amorous adventures, which, however, do not protect him against the wiles of the supposed widow. The servants are conventionally clever. Valentin shows some ability as a raconteur and makes an interesting allusion to a contemporary tragedy. The other characters are given little to say, but enough to show the absurdity of Oronte's behavior, well brought out in one of his speeches to his daughters (sc. 3)

Ecoutez, mes enfants,
Les dédits sont chacun de douze mille francs,
Je ne saurais payer une somme si forte
Epousez ces gens-ci toujours, que vous importe?
Aller, une autre fois, je vous choisirai mieux

This name had recently been given by Regnard to the valet of les Ménechmes

together and laughs at them Oronte returns the contracts they have given him and pays their way to the place where he had met them Then he gives his daughters to the men they love

Legrand was to use it in his next play
⁶ Sc 12 "Elle allait dire un songe Aussi beau que celui de Thyeste" The editor
of the Fin du Repertoire identifies this as an allusion to Crébillon's Atrée et Thyeste,
first acted less than three months before Legrand's comedy

Legrand shows little originality, for the tricking of provincials by Parisians, the use of a forfeit, ridiculing a Gascon, employing disguise and clever servants were all themes very familiar to his audience. The obstacles are too easily overcome. Oronte has no pride in his folly and is ready to yield if he is secured against financial loss. The Gascon and the Limousin fall with incredible promptness into the traps prepared for them. A more experienced author would not have been satisfied with so feeble a plot, or failed to produce more comic scenes. Legrand's five years at the Comédie Française had not yet made him an abler dramatist than he had been when he wrote for a traveling troupe at Lyons. First produced on May 26, 1707, his comedy was given fourteen times in a dull season. but it was never revived.

It was, however, sufficiently successful to encourage him to produce, the following year, L'Amour Diable, a comedy with more striking effects and better characterization 9 The central figure is Folidor, a "souffleur" who

Nine of these performances brought Legrand a share in the receipts, but he earned in all only about 150 francs according to Miss Burnet, op cit, p 87

Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1708, 12° Music by Gilliers Republished, The Hague, Clos, 1710, Avignon, frères Brunet, 1787, 8°, Paris, Brunet, 1787, and in collected editions of 1731, 1742, and 1770 Translated into German in 1778 Miss Burnet, op cit, p 58, follows Clément et La Porte in believing that the play was based on a real incident, but the assertions of their Anecdotes dramatiques are far from being

Folidor is so deeply interested in alchemy that, failing in his effort to produce gold, he has stopped preparations for the marriage of his daughter, Hortense, to young Leandre, has kept her shut up for a month, and refuses to allow her to wed until he has solved his problem Leandre rents a room below Folidor's apartment and makes a hole in the calling with the intention of rescuing Hortense. He has the help of the girl's mother, Elise, he has persuaded the music teacher from whom he has rented the lower room to bring friends from the Opera, as he knows Folidor is fond of music, he has given the latter's son, a boy named Francillon, a liar of gold with which he is to deceive his father, and he has notified Hortense by letter of his plans When the play begins, the girl and her suivente. Nerine, notice a crack in the floor Soon it widens sufficiently for Léandre and Valentin, his valet, to appear and report about arrangements for the marriage Another report is brought by young Francillon to the effect that, during his father's temporary absence, he had substituted the gold bar for the silver that Foldor was trying to turn into gold. He is followed by his tutor, Polycrasse, who, as he can he won neither by a bribe nor by Nérine's blandishments, is pushed into the trap with Léandre and Francillon, while Valentin hides under the table, clearing the stage for Foldor, who enters with his wife and brags that he has made gold. Elise now believes that her daughter will be allowed to marry, but her hashand fears that the gold has heen produced by the devil, conjured up by his reading a grimoire and subsequently seen by him in a dream. When he hears that Francillon and Polycrasse have disappeared, he attributes their absence to the devil and is confirmed in his fears when he hears Polycrasse call from below the trap Valentin now comes from under the table, declares that he is the devil, and threatens to carry off Folidor unless he can find a substitute Folidor offers his wife, then his daughter, whom Valentin declares to be illegitimate The devil promises to assume Léandre's form and, while Folidor's eyes are turned away, enters the trap, from which springs Léandre The latter calls upon spirits to release Polycrasse and Francillon, who enter drunk Hortense is presented to Léandre and leaves with him Folidor explains to his wife that, in order to save his own life, he has given the girl to the devil Musicians sing about the lovers Valentin, Francillon, and Polycrasse explain the situation to Folidor, seeks to produce a high enough temperature to turn silver into gold and reads from a "grimoire." He is easily deceived, hates his daughter, sacrifices her to his fancy, quarrels with his wife, and greatly fears the devil. Elise is described as a "maîtresse femme" Her common sense and sympathy for the young lovers contrast with her husband's qualities as do those of Mme Jourdain with those of Jourdain. Hortense and Nérine are the familiar young heroine and attendant, but Léandre is an unusually imaginative and enterprising young lover, Polycrasse is a type of Latin-speaking pedant that had been absent for some years from French plays, and Francillon is the second child to appear on the eighteenth-century French stage. The boy is whipped by his father, is unhappy in his home, and hopes to enlist in the army as soon as he is old enough. He shows his cleverness by tricking Folidor and finds the wine he imbibes while down the trap greatly to his taste. The only remaining member of the cast, Valentin, collaborates with his master, impersonates the devil successfully, gets the better of Polycrasse, and shows much wit in his remarks

The play is well constructed, offers considerable variety in its scenes, appeals to the eye by the use of the trap, and ends in a divertissement. Its theme of alchemy was relatively fresh, as, though used several times in the seventeenth century,10 it had not been extensively employed since the Souffleurs of 1694 A critic in the Mercure de Trévoux 11 noted the large number of jests based on the use of the word duble in conversation 12 He held that Francillon talked too much like an adult and he deplored the absence of edifying characters. He seems to have been blind to the fact that a moral can be found in the satire upon alchemy, though Legrand's purpose was primarily to amuse. It is obvious that he succeeded in entertaining audiences both in and outside of Paris, for his play was acted 133 times at the Comédic Française, from June 30, 1708, until 1781, and it was performed in the Low Countries, Germany, and Poland.18 It prepared the way for a still more popular production

This was LA FAMILLE EXTRAVAGANTE,14 again a comedy in one act and

who, in return for having his fear removed, pardons them and rencunces alchemy The play ends with a divertissement offered by the musicians, Valentin, and Francillon ¹⁰ Cf the references in iny op cit. Part V, p 155 ¹¹ Cited by the frères Parfaict, XIV, 485 90 ¹² Cf sc 7, "Allez vous-en au Diable", "que le Diable m'emporte", sc. 9, "C'est le Diable", "Donner ma fille au Diable", "qu'elle aille au Diable", "Is se donneront tous au Diable pour lui plaire", sc 11, "du vin du Diable", sc 14, "la musique du Diable" Perhaps it was on this account that a critic was shocked upon reflecting that "on y joue la crainte du diable qui est un des préceptes du christianisme", cf L Bourquin, op cit, p 74 (the title of the play appears in this article as L'Amour du Diable!)

13 Cf Burnet, op cit, no 168-9

¹⁸ Cf Burnet, op cst, pp 168-9
14 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709, 12° Missic by Gilliers Republished in editions of the author's plays, 1731, 1742, 1770, in Auteurs du Second Ordre, 1808, in the

in verse. The materials of which it is composed were not new. The theme of a dishonest guardian who wishes to marry his ward had been employed a few years before by Dancourt in his Colin-Maillard. Deception practised in behalf of lovers and in connection with a marriage contract is found in Dancourt's recent Madame Artus and in earlier plays. 15 To have a character, addicted to the use of proverbs, agree to renounce the habit, but continue to utter them is a comic element in Don Quixote that was to be introduced by Dancourt in his Sancho Pança. Legrand may have been influenced, too, by the popularity of the proverbe dramatique in the last years of the seventeenth century and the early years of the eighteenth 16 His originality in regard to proverbs lies in his combining them with other verses to make up the final divertissement.

The plot, though simple and lacking in probability, is again the author's chief concern.17 It is somewhat carelessly constructed, with most of the exposition given in an opening monologue that exists for no other purpose. The author fails to explain why Cléon's presence in the house is of such great importance, how Lisette was able to admit him, or why, even if he had been discovered more quickly, the plot would have had a different ending. The result is that we are less interested in the scenes in which the procureur's mother. Mme Rissolé, her daughter Lucrèce, and her granddaughter Suzon make love to Cléon. Such scenes scem to be strung together with little purpose except to create momentary amusement.

Piétremine is represented, not only as much too old for his ward, but as thoroughly dishonest. He has cheated Cléon out of part of his inheritance. In the contract that he has his clerk prepare for his marriage, he puts down only a fourth of Elise's wealth, apparently hoping to keep the rest for himself. He proposes to avoid paying for entertainments given to celebrate

Répertoires of 1818 and 1821, that of Petitot, 1819, that of Touquet, 1821, that of Didot, 1823 It was translated into Dutch, 1730, into German, 1778 It is analyzed

Didot, 1823 It was translated into Dutch, 1730, into German, 1778 It is analyzed by Miss Burnet, op cit, pp 41-2

18 Cf, for instance, le Feint Campagnard and my op cit, Part IV, p 872

18 Cf my op cit, Part IV, pp 928-33

17 A procureur, Piétremine, wishes to marry his wealthy ward, Elisc, and locks up his house in order to keep other men away Cléon, who loves her, bribes the lawyer's servant, Lisette, who conceals him in the house with his valet, Saint-Germain Piétremine's mother, his sister, and his daughter all fall in love with the handsome youth, whom they have scen from the window Lisette bribes Bazoche, the lawyer's clerk, to substitute a contract marrying Elise to Cléon for one that would marry her to Piétremine Before this substitution is made, Cleon meets the three other women and, with his valet's assistance, pretends to be in love with them three other women and, with his valet's assistance, pretends to be in love with them in order to keep them from making known his presence in the house When the lawyer at last discovers him, he sends Lisette for a policeman, but Saint Germain disguises himself as a commissaire and pretends to arrest Cléon Before he leads him away, Elise brings the contract, which Piétremine has signed as her guardian while thinking he was signing as her future husband. In this way the marriage of Elise and Cléon is brought about The musicians, summoned to celebrate the signing of the contract, supply the music of the divertissement, in which minor characters sing

his engagement and marriage by entering such expenses as if incurred by law-suits he has on hand. He employs Bazoche, a clerk as unscrupulous as he, but in so doing he brings about his own defeat, for Cléon, with a larger bribe than Piétremine's, wins over the clerk, who pockets both fees, while threatening his employer with blackmail if he protests.

The other characters, with the exception of Mme Rissolé, have little to distinguish them from the young lovers, the servants, and the unattached women of many comedies. The old lady is noteworthy for her love of proverbs (sc. 2):

P Ma mere, finissez vos proverbes des halles,
Sentences du vieux tems fades et triviales,
On n'entend que cela dans toute la maison,
Et ma fille & ma sœur les mettent en chanson
Mme R Soit, vous n'entendrez plus proverbes, ni chansons
Mais revenons un peu, de grâce, à nos moutons

Subsequently she quotes, "Rira bien qui rira le dernier," "Il faut prendre la balle au bond," and in the last scene four in succession

Mes enfants, nisl nouveau se guérit aisément, Pour un amant perdu l'on en retrouve cent Je sais bien que marchand qui perd ne sauroit rire, Mais, ou l'espoir n'est plus, l'amour bientôt expire

Her use of proverbs prepares the way for the divertissement, each stanza of which brings in at least one proverb, while, to end the play, Saint-Germain declares that he invents a new proverb to praise a just and learned judge, "Il juge commic le l'arterre." There are a few references to manners. Mme Rissolé, influenced by war conditions somewhat similar to those existing in parts of the United States in 1944, declares mournfully that "l'on n'a jamais vu telle disette d'hommes." Lisette describes Bazoche as an ugly dwarf and regrets that more clerks are not like him, as in that case there would be no disorder in the homes of procureurs, and "les enfants qu'ils ont leur ressembleroient mieux" (sc. 8). Saint-Germain complains that clerks never leave anything on their plates (sc. 9). And Bazoche needs money "Pour cesser d'être Clerc & me fante honnête-homme" (se 28)

In spite of its careless structure, superficial characterization, and lack of probability, the play contained enough amusing scenes to make it acceptable to eightcenth-century audiences, who must have enjoyed especially the

¹⁸ Contre fortune bon cœur, Un elou chasse l'autre, Tant va la eruche à l'eau, Le temps passé ne revient plus, Si jeunesse avoit, si vieillesse pouvoit, Qui refuse, muse, Il faut connoître avant qu'aimer, Il n'est pire eau que l'eau qui dort, L'occasion fait le larron. Les absens ont toujours tort. La fin couronne l'œuvre 18 Se 11 It is in flat contradiction with a proverb I have just quoted from the last scene of the play, "Pour un amant perdu l'on en retrouve cent"

servants' diplomacy. Mme Rissole's proverbs and absurd lovemaking, that of her daughter and granddaughter, and the theme of the trickster tricked. The comedy was acted at the Comédie Française almost twice as often as its predecessor, 264 times between June 9, 1709, and the end of the ancien régime in 1793. It was played at Brussels as late as 1810 and was revived at the Odéon, in memory of the past, in 1895 and in 1921.20

Legrand's next comedy, LA Foire Saint-Laurent. 21 is primarily satirical. Dancourt, Regnard, and Dufiesny had written plays dealing with fairs and their visitors. They had even introduced showmen. But their intention. so far as the fair was concerned, was realistic rather than satirical. On the other hand, Dancourt and various writers for the Théâtre Italien, as well as for the Comédie Française, had satirized the Opera, and Lesage had spoken sarcastically of the Foire in Turcaret. Legrand applied the methods of those who laughed at the Opera to the materials employed by those who had laid their scenes at or near the Foire.

In 1708 Legrand and another actor had been sent by the troupe to appeal to the police in order to prevent the actors of the Foire from giving plays.22 As such efforts were unavailing, the Comédie Française had brought about the destruction of a rival stage at the Foire in February, 1709 28 The Foire had retorted by giving parodies of les Tyndardes and Atrée et Thyeste, by referring to the actors of the Comédie Française as "Romains," and by representing them as declaiming nonsense in the rhythm of alexandrines. In reply Legrand turned to satire in the hope of showing that the entertainments of the Foire were of a low order, their barkers monotonous, and their admirers stupid.24

²⁰ Cf Burnet, op cit, pp I, 168-9 She also mentions performances of the play in Poland and in Germany
²¹ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709, 12° Music by Gilliers Republished, The Hague, Clos,

²¹ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709, 12° Music by Gilliers Republished, The Hague, Clos, 1710, and in editions of the author's collected plays, 1731, 1742, and 1770 The comedy has been studied by Miss Burnet, op cit, pp 36, 58-9 The comparison she makes between Legrand's play and those of Dancourt, Regnard, and Dufresny shows resemblances only of the most general kind

²³ Cf Campardon, Spectacles de la Foire, II, 254-85

²⁴ Cf the frères Parfaict, Mémoires pour servir a l'histoire des spectacles de la

Forre, Paris, 1743, J, 90-5

²⁴ Therame has won the love of Lucile and that of her aunt, Mme Raymond, but her father, Frommond, wishes to marry her to Dandinet, son of an old friend who her father, Fronimond, wishes to marry her to Dandinet, son of an old friend who lives in Beauce Thérame has in his service a clever servant, La Verdure, Blaise, a blundering peasant who lives in the village where Thérame is regarded as the "seigneur", and Fronimond's servant, Grison, bribed to work in his interests Thérame sends an unaddressed note to Lucile by Blaise, who delivers it to Mme Raymond The romantic old lady replies, agreeing to elope from the fair, whither, according to Grison, the family is coming La Verdure, after spending there several days and a good deal of Thérame's money, has secured the assistance of the showmen After Fronimond, Dandinet, Lucile, and Mme Raymond have seen the marionettes, they are invited by La Verdure, dressed as M le Rat, to see the "tableaux changeans" While her friends are so engaged. Lucile meets Thérame and expresses her surprise While her friends are so engaged, Lucile meets Thérame and expresses her surprise that she should be invited to clope. Her father interrupts the conversation and takes her off to see the armless man. Blaise reports that he has carried off Lucile, but

Fronimond is a bourgeois, slow of wit and infatuated with Dandinet. who is still more obtuse than he. This countryman's admiration for crude side-shows helps to turn Lucile against him and to disparage the Foire in the eyes of spectators at the Comédie Française. Mme Raymond has read so many novels that she has formed a romantic conception of life in which reality has no part. Ready to believe that Thérame loves her, she aspires to be the heroine of an abduction. Blaise's absurd mistake is partially explained by his ignorance of Parisian ladies who "se peinturent tant que je n'y connois goutte" (sc. 2) His pride in his achievement and his use of patois add to the comic effect of the rôle. He contrasts sliarply with La Verdure, who directs the intrigue, wins over the men of the Foirc, disguises himself, and shows remarkable dexterity when temporarily deprived of his arms. The other characters are handsome and wealthy Thérame, energetic Grison, charming Lucile, who gradually comes to see that elopement is her only resource, the supposed Indians, and the barkers, L'Enroué, Gille, and Braillard

The plot is of little consequence, serving chiefly to introduce several amusing situations and to enable the author to criticize the entertainments of the Foire, which include "tableaux changeans," a bull-fight, displays of merchandise, and the antics of an armless man, jesters, marionettes, "Danseurs, Sauteurs, Voltigeurs," an Italian Turk, Indians, Chinese, Egyptians, and a Jap. The satire becomes personal when La Verdure appears (sc. 7) "sous la figure de M le Rat, qui montroit des tableaux à la Foire" and invites visitors to

Voir ici ces Tableaux changcans, Vous en serez contens, Bien contens, Très-contens, etc

When Dandinct asks his name. La Verdure replies that it is "Fatiguant" and Fronimond comments

Aussi l'êtes vous bien toujours la mêine note Depuis dix ans, pour voir une chose aussi sotte

the woman turns out to be Mme Raymond, who is made to wait in a booth Her arrival prevents Thérame from profiting from the confusion caused by Grison's turning over a merchant's wares. Fronimond and his party are invited into a booth by Thérame, disguised as an Indian. There, while Indians sing, La Verdure, disguised as the armiess main, shuffles cards with his feet and gets the better of Fronimond and Dandinet st piquet. While they are his yover the game, Lucile, her patience exhausted by Dandinet, goes off with Thérame. Dandinet shows so little interest in her disappearance that Fronimond takes back his promise and announces that he will give his daughter to Thérame. La Verdure now resumes his normal appearance, arms included, and sends Grison for Thérame, while Blaise, learning that Mme Raymond is not Lucile, explains his mistake and causes the old lady to demand revenge. Though Dandinet will complain to his father, Fronimond will marry Lucile to Thérame. The play ends with a divertissement supplied by La Verdure and the Indians.

According to the frères Parfaict.25 Le Rat, a tall man who dressed in black and wore a black wig that came down to his belt, was so well impersonated by La Thorillière that he sought revenge by announcing next day at the Foire that his tableaux changeans represented "La Thorillière yvre, Baron avec la Desmare, Poisson qui tient un jeu. Mademoiselle Dancourt & ses filles 26 . . . Vous serez contens, très-contens, &c." They add that this pleasantry resulted in Le Rat's arrest and his imprisonment during the rest of the Foire.

The satire succeeded while Parisians were interested in the Foire, which ran to the end of September. First acted on the 20th of that month in 1709, the comedy was given nineteen times in that year and was performed every year thereafter through 1731. It remained in the repertory until 1752 with a total of 162 performances.

According to the frères Parfaict,27 LES AMANS RIDICULES was a comedy in one act and in verse that Legrand never published except in so far as it was reproduced in the first act of le Triomphe du Tems, a play in three acts and a prologue that was acted on Oct. 18, 1724 As it is now impossible to tell how much of the comedy passed into the Triomphe du Tems, all that can be said about it is that it was first acted on June 1, 1711. was played nine times in that year, and was not revived Legrand was much more successful in a play which followed a few months later, but of which he was not the only author.

There has been considerable difference of opinion about the authorship of L'EPREUVE RÉCIPROQUE.²⁸ The first claimant is R.²⁹ Alain, under whose name it was published in 1711. After his death and that of Legrand, the latter's heirs declared that he had regarded the play as his They accordingly included it among his plays when they sold the permission to print them, with the result that it appeared in collections of Legrand's comedies in 1731 and subsequently Maupoint added to the confusion by listing two plays, one by Lafont, the other, acted in the provinces, by Alain and Legrand. Beauchamps considered the comedy the work of Alain, a "sellier-carrossier" who was aided by Legrand and who died when he was about thirty-four. The frères Parfaict, 30 who place Alain's birth in 1680

²⁶ This suggests that La Thorillière played La Verdure, Etienne Baron, Thérame, la Desmares, Lucile, la Dancourt, Mme Raymond, her daughter, an Indienne, and Poisson, Dandinet, who boasts of his skill at piquet

as Paris, Lefebvre, 1711, 12°, in an edition without place or date, in the editions of Legrand's works of 1731, 1742, and 1770, Paris, Duchesne, 1773 and 1783, Toulouse, Droulhiet, 1782, Paris, Prault, 1786, Paris, 1821 (Touquet edition), and in the Répertoires of 1823 and 1824. It was translated into Dutch and into German. in 1779, into Italian in 1796. It has been studied briefly by Miss Burnet, op cit., pp 39-40, 45-6, etc
Robert, according to Beauchamps, Recherches, II, 502 3

^{**} XV, 104 5

or 1681, his death on Dec 22, 1720, state that he prepared for the church, but was obliged by his father's death to take over his shop and become a sadler, and that he once competed for a prize offered by the Academy. Their remarks indicate that one cannot reject the attribution of the play to him on account of his trade. They hold that he wrote the comedy with the help of a man "de beaucoup d'esprit" and that Legrand made some changes in the text. In 1756 the Dictionnaire des theâtres at named a third collaborator, Pierre Thierri, a lawyer then living. He is probably the elever man referred to as Alam's helper.

Nothing was said about Lesage in this connection until Paul Lacroix, ²³ remembering that this author's name was Alain René, concluded that "R. Alain" referred to Lesage. He ignored the facts that Beauchamps had declared the "R" stood for Robert, that there is no evidence Lesage ever called himself "R Alain," that no editor of Lesage had included the play among his productions, and that l'Epreuve réciproque, like most comedies by actors, was first acted in the summer season, whereas the plays Lesage is known to have written for the Comedie Française were not. It seems evident that this is merely one of Lacroix's many futile attempts at attribution and should be considered worthless.²³

The attribution to Lafont is to be taken no more seriously. Contemporary evidence shows that it was primarily the work of Robert Alain with some help from Thierri and that Legrand adapted it to the stage of the day, altering it sufficiently for him to claim it as his own. The case is similar to several in which Dancourt had a hand. The main ideas may well have been Alain's, the adjustments that only an experienced dramatist could give, Legrand's

The essential theme is that of a lover's testing his beloved by disguising his servant as a gentleman, one as old on the French stage as Scarron's Hériter ridicule. It is renewed here by having the woman in the ease disguise her servant as a lady in order to test the man she loves at the same time that he is testing her. The authors doubtless knew such related plays as les Précieuses ridicules, 4 Chappuzeau's Académie des femmes, Montfleury's Dupe de soi-mesme, and Hauteroche's Bourgeoises de qualité, but in these

³¹ V, 461 Claude Parfact added a note to the effect that he and his brother were not allowed to make this statement till after Vol XV of their Histoire was published

^{**} Solemae, no 1650
** He was followed by Goizet, as Miss Burnet (op cit, p 40) shows, though she did not realize that he was borrowing from Lacroix Henri Cordier, Essas bibliographique sur Lesage, Paris, Leclere, 1910, p 308, lists the play as if it were by Lesage The catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale, after mentioning the play under the names of Alain and of Legrand, attributes it to Lesage (= R Alain) and Legrand

³⁴ In l'Epreuse réceproque, as in Molière's play, the valet, when his identity is revealed, tries to explain his disguise as due to a bet

the disguise is inspired by a desire for revenge, or by the hope of making the deceived woman release a man, whereas here, as in Scarron's play, we have a test. In none of the five earlier comedies had the disguise been employed to deceive a man, in none of them had a similar deception been employed both by a man and by a woman, and in none had the opponents remained genuinely in love. By introducing such elements as these Alain and Legrand wrote what was practically a new play.³⁵

The atmosphere is that of a fashionable salon, made gay by the costumes and by the handsome gifts the lovers have received. Mme de Falignac, who presides over it, had once been a soubrette, but she had married a "conseiller de province," is now a widow, and increases her fortune by gambling. She is an amiable person with considerable tact and knowledge of the heart. Valère and Philaminte are aristocrats, genuinely in love, but doubting each other because love is rare in the society in which they live. Frontin and Lisette are clever and cynical servants who have learned how to ape their masters. The valet sprinkles his talk with proverbs, as does Mme Rissolé in la Famille extravagante. His disguise and Lisette's make possible satirical remarks about a financier and about a titled lady who is willing to sell her rank for a fortune. The only other character is Criquet, son of the coachman in the home where Lisette is employed. He serves chiefly as a messenger and to deceive Valère in regard to the false countess.

The action moves swiftly, is perfectly unified, remarkably symmetrical. The dialogue is clever. Frontin describes Mme de Falignac's home as a place of meeting for

des Comtes, des Comtesses, des Marquis, des Marquises, des Présidents, des Présidents, des Abbés, des Abb

Mme de Falignac asides (se 4), "cette épreuve réciproque nous va donner la comédie en notre petit particulier" "Nous en sommes au

To do so Valère engages as his valet Frontin, who had previously served an extremely wealthy financier, M Patin—a name borrowed from le Chevalier à la mode He disguises Frontin as his former master, sends Philaminte a handsome brooch in Patin's name, and secures a rendezvous. In this meantime Philaminte disguises a friend's maid, Lisette, as a countess and sends her picture to Valère in a jeweled frame. All four characters meet at the bome of a widow, Mme de Falignac, who is informed of their plans by Valère and Philaminte Indignant with the latter, Valère makes love to Lisette and offers to marry her, while Mme de Falignac and Philaminte look on from a place of concealment. In order to get her revenge, Philaminte agrees to marry Frontin, while she is being similarly observed by Mme de Falignac and Valère Frontin and Lisette, who do not recognize each other and who realize that they cannot carry out these marriages, but who are anxious to profit by their momentary grandeur, agree to marry. Philaminte finds them together, upbraids Frontin, and tells who Lisette is Valère then triumphs over Philaminte by telling her that she has accepted a valet, but she retorts by informing him that the "countess" is only a suivante. Each reproaches the other, but Mme de Falignac assures them that they love each other. Philaminte will marry Valère. Lisette accepts Frontin on condition he will not put her to a test

dénouement," echoes Frontin near the end of the play (sc. 18). While they are disguised, he and Lisette agree that their marriage must be secret (sc. 15), for

Que diroient le Commandeur mon Oncle, mon Frere le Marquis, mon Neveu le Vicomte, s'ils savoient que je voulusse épouser moins qu'un Duc?

Fr Et ma Tante la Partisanne, mon Frere le Trésorier, & mon Cousin germain le Sécretaire du Roi, que diroient-ils s'ils me voyoient pousser si avant dans la Noblesse, eux qui savent si bien ce qu'en vaut l'aune

This bright little play is made of finer stuff than Legrand's earlier comedies. Lintilhac ³⁶ saw in it a predecessor of Marivaux. First produced on Oct 6, 1711, it was acted twenty times in that year and remained in the repertory until 1823, longer than any play that Legiand wrote by himself. As the total number of performances was 287, it was surpassed in this respect by only two of his comedies ³⁷

Like l'Epreuve reciproque, La Métamorphose amoureuse 38 is in one act and in prose and employs disguise, but it deals with simpler souls and is nearer the tone of the farce in dialogue and devices 39. The plot would have been improved if Severin's consent to his niece's marriage had been obtained purely by means of incidents in the play, instead of resulting in part from the death of the hero's brother, which, though anticipated as early as the third scene, is due to nothing connected with the intrigue.

pp 168.9

**Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1712, 12° Republished in editions of the author's plays, 1731, 1742, 1770, and in the Fin du Répertoire, Par.s, veuve Dabo, 1824

**Severin, uncle and guardian of Isabelle, refuses to allow her to marry Valère,

Op cst, IV, 338, csted by Miss Burnet, op cst, p
 For performances in the Low Countries, Germany, and Poland of Burnet, op cst,

so Severin, uncle and guardian of Isabelle, refuses to allow her to marry Valère, a law student, because of his poverty. He dismisses the servants who have helped to bring the lovers together. As Valère expects soon to inherit from a dying brother, it would be unnecessary for him to intrigue against Severin if the guardian had not promised his niece to an elderly Norman, Bouquinart, whose arrivel is expected when the play begins. While Valère and Isabelle are in the street, discussing the situation with his valet, Pusquin, and her dismissed suitante, Tomette, they meet Crispin, Severin's godson, sent to engage a new susvante for Isabelle and a new nourrice for Severin's infant. The youth shows them a letter addressed to Mme Simone, who ruis an employment agency. Pasquin secures Crispin's cooperation and disguises himself as the nourrice, Valère as the susvante. They are readily accepted by Severin. Meanwhile Bouquinart has arrived from Bareux and pays laborious compliments to Isabelle. He is so weary from his trip that he goes to bed and falls asleep. Valère now iriges Isabelle to clope with him to her aunt's house, but the girl hesitates until she is warned by Toinette that Severin has seen Mme Simone, has learned of the trick, and is coming with the police. The lovers leave in a carriage, while Crispin warns Pasquin, who appears at a window of Severin's home. The police surround the house, but Severin fears to enter it with them and retires to a safe distance. Pasquin exchanges his feminine costume for the clothes of the sleeping Boquinart, makes the police believe he is Severin's prospective nephew, and escapes. The police rouse Bouquinart, force him to put on the garments that Pasquin has left on the hed, and hold him under arrest till he is liberated by Severin Indignant over the treatment he has received and doubting Isabelle's virtue, he leaves for Bayeux, while Valère returns, announces that he has inherited from his brother, and receives Severin's permission to marry his niece.

The death of a brother is, moreover, unusual as a comic motif.⁴⁰ In other respects the plot is well constructed.

The characters need little comment. Severin's chief concern is to marry his niece to a man of means, regardless of his age or lack of charm. The countryman he has selected is said to laugh at his own jokes and is shown as a boor, but he is far less amusing than similar characters in Scarron and Molière. The young lovers and their attendants have conventional rôles, while the police are well-meaning officials who, thanks to Severin's cowardice, quite naturally arrest the wrong man

Much of the comic element depends upon the costuming. When Crispin first appears, he has put on things that represent his new occupations "les manchettes & le rabat du Secrétaire, l'épée & les bottines de l'Ecuyer, & j'aurois pris dans un besoin les tettons de la Nourrice" The latter must subsequently have formed part of Pasquin's costume. Both the valet and Bouquinart once dress as a nourrice, Valère is disguised as a suivante, Pasquin as Bouquinart. The cleverest part of the dialogue is found in scenes 10 and 12, when Valère and Isabelle assure each other of their devotion with words that Severin interprets quite differently. There is a little social satire in Pasquin's account of the life he pretends to have led as a nurse in the family of a wealthy procureur who (sc. 11)

me fesoit mourir de faim, parce que malheureusement l'enfant que je nourrissois avoit le nez fait comine celui de son Maître Clere la maudite engeance que ces Cleres' ma vertu a bien essuyé des assauts

The action, which takes place in the street, moves rapidly and works up to a comic climax when the house is besieged by the police, Pasquin escapes in one disguise, and Bouquinart is dragged out in another. The play was first acted on Aug. 6, 1712, was given fourteen times in that year, 137 times before it was dropped from the repertory in 1789.

It was followed by the most frequently acted of Legrand's plays, L'USURIER GENTILHOMME 42 The title of this comedy in one act and some of its satire show the obvious influence of le Bourgeois Gentilhomme, but it is an exaggeration to say, as Miss Burnet does, 42 that "la satire de la famille Mananville, y compris le frère paysan, est modelée sur la satire des

⁴⁰ Miss Burnet, op cit, p 51, declares that "la plaisanterie n'est pas nouvelle" and cites Dancourt's Retour des officiers to prove it, but in the latter play it is the villain who is pleased by the death of his relatives, while here similar sentiments are attributed to the hero

are attributed to the nero

41 For performances at Brussels of Burnet, op cit, p 168

42 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1713, 12° Music, according to the frères Parfaict, XV, 159, by the elder Grandval Ropublished in the editions of Legrand's plays, 1731, 1742, 1770, Paris, Duchesne, 1773, Ruault, 1777, Tonlouse, Droulhiet, 1788, and the Fin du Répertoire, Paris, Dabo, 1824 A Dutch translation was published in 1740 The play has been studied by Miss Burnet, op cit, pp 35-6, 46-7, 52-3, 58

43 Op cit, p 36

Jourdain," for the motivation of the usurer is different from that of M. Jourdain, his wife bears almost no resemblance to Mme Jourdain, he has no daughter, M. Jourdain has no son, and there is no character in Molière's comedy to correspond to the peasant, Colas. It would be more correct to say that Legrand derived from le Bourgeois Gentilhomme his title, the idea of satirizing a wealthy parvenu, and the type of instruction given to his son; suggestions for the portraval of this young man from Thomas Diafoirus in le Malade imaginaire, for the portrayal of the protagonist from Dancourt's Second Chapitre and Agioteurs and from Turcaret. None of these plays, however, was of much assistance to Legrand in the composition of his plot, but he may owe the method by which the dénouement is brought about to Lesage, for in his Tontine, accepted by the actors in 1708, a valet disguises himself as a military man, storms about, and forces a fiancé, disliked by the heroine to withdraw. L'Usurier also resembles some of Legrand's older plays, as the forfest theme had been employed in his Femme fille et veuve and Licaste's law-suit, mentioned early in the play and won at the end of it, is similar in treatment and function to the hero's inheritance in la Métamorphose amoureuse 44

Mananville, son of a village school-teacher at Charonne, had, when twenty, started his career at Paris in 1681 as the servant of a business man, had married a peasant's daughter, had worked with several usurers, had become one himself, as well as an aquoteur, and had built up a great fortune. He wishes to marry his son to the daughter of a nobleman in order to secure "tout l'appui possible contre les recherches qu'on aurait pû faire de l'acquisition de mes biens "45 He has acquired a certaiu polish by life in Paris

** Fontaubin, a nobleman whose affairs have suffered from his gambling and from usurers, has agreed to marry his daughter, Henriette, to the Baron de la Gruaudière, son of M Mananville, a usurer of peasant origin. The contract is to be signed on the day represented in the comedy. Licaste, whom Henriette loves, comes with his valet, Frontin, to the home of Mananville in order to prevent the marriage. He tells Henriette that he has gained admission to the house by bribing a servant, that he has written to her brother, a captain, asking him to intervene, and that he expects to become wealthy when he wins a law suit. She fears that it is too late, but is assured that her proposed marriage to the Baron can be prevented. To do this Frontin has investigated Mananville's origin and has persuaded his peasant brother, Colas, to come to the wedding. The speech and manners of Mme Mananville, her son, and her brother-in law disgust Henriette's father, but he cannot break the engagement without forfeiting 20,000 écus. To overcome this difficulty Frontin disguises himself as Henriette's brother, storms about, insults the usurer, slaps Colas, and demands 100,000 francs for his consent to the marriage. When a misical entertainment is given as a preliminary to the signing of the contract, Mananville is surprised of find that he and his family are ridiculed in the songs, which Frontin had composed, and Henriette's supposed brother, Mananville proposes to break off the match. Fontauhin gladly agrees. The documents connected with the forfeit are returned to their signers. Fontaubin regrets that he has withdrawn his promise to marry Henriette to Licaste, who now brings the good news that he has won his law suit and has 200,000 francs to share with Henriette. Their marriage will follow, as will that of Frontin and Lisette. The play ends with a divertisement in the follow, as Section of the surface of the play ends with a divertisement.

and is ashamed of the patois spoken by his wife and by his brother, whom he tries to pass off as a ship captain. Apart from a brief allusion to his exacting heavy interest for money he has loaned a widow, we hear nothing of his business operations, nor is the ease with which Frontin tricks him consistent with his alleged shrewdness in finance.

His wife is a much more comical figure. She is pretentious, rude to her servants, continually saying the wrong thing and expressing herself in the speech of her village. Her son is a dull youth, who has to be coached by his relatives and who has profited little from the instruction he has received in fencing, music, dancing, riding, and what his mother calls "ostographe" and "arismétique" (sc. 5). The family group is completed by Colas, a friendly peasant, ill received by his wealthy relatives, obstinate in his desire to remain in their home when they try to get rid of him, too prudent to resent the slap he receives from Frontin

In contrast with these persons of humble birth we are shown Fontaubin, who has been deceived about Mananville's family, who recognizes his mistake, and who conducts himself like a gentleman except for his lingering in Mananville's home after he has broken off the marriage—a fact that shows Legrand to have been more interested in introducing the divertissement than in drawing a character—Lieaste and Henriette have little individuality—Lisette is clever and impudent, especially amusing when she imitates the speech of Mme Mananville "Madame, J'allons nous en aller Mais J'aurons l'houneur de revenir tout à cette heure" (sc. 6)—The character that dominates the action is Frontin, 46 who investigates Mananville, brings his brother to Paris, and, disguised as a captain, breaks off the marriage and saves Fontaubin from paying the forfeit. Like many of Legrand's valets, he is quick, resourceful, and witty.

The play is weak in verisimilitude and in the method by which the dénouement is brought about, and the characters are superficially presented, but there are excellent scenes at the expense of the upstart peasants. When Mme Mananville meets Henriette, she assures her that "je serons tretous ravis de vous avoir dans notre alliance," and she admits that, as for herself and her husband, "je sommes la politesse même, croiriez-vous que je n'avons point eu de peine du tout à nous accoutumer à être de qualité?" (se. 5) Her acquired politeness is put to a severe test when her brother-in-law arrives from the country (sc. 9)

Mme M Que venez vous nous conter ici, mon ami, je ne vous connaissons pas Colas Quoi $^{\circ}$ Catau ne reconnoit pas son biau frere!

Mme M F1 donc!

⁴⁶ Not Crispin, as Miss Burnet, op cst, pp 48, 51, calls him three times Frontin's use of songs to ridicule the Mananville family may have been derived from le Concert ridicule by Brueys and Palaprat, cf my op cst., Part IV, p 709

Le Baron: Tenez, je ne vous reconnois pas non plus, mon oncle Colas.

Colas Morgué' je ne sis pourtant pas si changé que vous; oh hian, bian, tout coup vaille, je veux être de la fête

Mme M Un paysan être d'une nôce de qualité, quelle hardiesse!

Le Baron Our, cela est impertinent, mon oncle Colas

Colas Jarnigué, vous êtes das ingrats, nan dit bian vrai, qu'il vaudroit mieux qu'une Cité pérît, qu'un gueux s'enrichît

The dialogue in the scenes in which the Mananville family appears, the satirical verses at their expense, and the final divertissement must have appealed especially to audiences that reverenced as an ideal a stratified society, free from usurers and from persons who sought to change their class. The play was first given on Sept. 11, 1713. It was performed twenty-seven times in that year and, except in 1744 and 1746, was produced every year thereafter through 1783. A last performance at the Comédie Française was given in 1789. In the eighteenth century it was played no less than 417 times, but it did not survive the social changes that began to take place during the Revolution 47

Among the authors of the period who composed more than three or four comedies Legrand alone confined himself to plays in one act. He showed little originality, employed disguise in all his comedies, trusted chiefly to his plot. although, except when he was writing in collaboration, his plots leave much to be desired. His first play was, as I have said, no better than those he wrote in the provinces, but he surpassed them in VAmour Duble, with its satirical treatment of superstition and its introduction of a boy, in la Famille extravagante, with its entertaining use of proverbs, and in la Foire Saint-Lawent, a clever attack upon rivals of the Comédie Française. Superior to these are VEpreuve réciproque, of which, however, Alain may be the main author, and VUsurier Gentilhomme, with its entertaining dramatization of parvenus

Legrand's work in this period suggests that he was preparing to succeed Dancourt as the principal member of the troupe to compose plays for it. This may explain why he specialized in one-act comedies, many of which were needed, as they were played with tragedies or longer comedies, and it was especially desirable to have them during the summer season, when new full-length plays were rarely given. That he knew his audience is shown by the success of his comedies in the eighteenth century. That they had little of lasting value is demonstrated by the neglect into which they fell in the century that followed

⁴⁷ For performances of the play in the eightcenth century at Brussels, in Germany, and in Poland of Burnet, op cet, pp 168-9

CHAPTER XV

LESAGE

Lesage is remembered primarily as a novelist. It was probably the fame of le Diable boiteux and Gil Blas that caused Turcaret to be revived and helped prolong the careers of this comedy and of Crispin rival de son maître Yet his plays deserve attention on their own account. Though he owed much to Spain and to Dancourt and contributed little that was new in material or method to the drama of his country, five of his comedies, composed in the first decade of the century, were performed at the Comédie Française, two of these are among the most widely known plays of the period, and one of them is its most dramatic comédie de mœurs

He had begun his career as a dramatist by adapting to the French stage a play by Rojas and one by Lope de Vega. He had altered them little, though he had written in prose, but he had gained some knowledge of dramatic technique, of what material should be kept and of what it was wise to omit or alter When he composed his third play, LE POINT D'HONNEUR,2 he showed similar characteristics, but he made larger alterations in his model. His source was again a play by Rojas and again he wrote in prose. No hay amigo para amigo had already supplied Scarron with a few amusing seenes 3 Lesage repeated these, but he also took over the main incidents of the Spanish plot Rojas's comedia is a romantic drama of love and honor, in which are presented a captain who is very sensitive about rules connected with dueling and servants whose conduct contrasts comically with that of their masters Lesage eliminated the murder that the hero of the Spanish play has committed, reduced the romantic portion of the comedia, leaving out Rojas's love orations and his elaborate comparisons with flowers, heavenly bodies, etc., but he increased greatly the comic portion, making of the captain much more a professor of honor than he is in the original, giving new seenes to Rojas's servants, and adding new characters to increase comic effects suggested by the Spaniard Scarron 4 he must have derived the attitude he assigns to Beatrix in regard

¹Cf my op cit, Part IV, pp 905-7

²Among Lesage's plays published in the Recueil of Paris, Jacques Barois fils, 1739, priv, Aug 22,1738 Republished in collective editions of 1774, 1783, 1810, 1821, 1823, 1828, 1830, and 1879, of Henri Cordier, Essai bibliographique sur lessai sublicements. œuvres d'Alam-René Lesage, Paris, Leclere, 1910, and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale

Cf my op cit, Part III, p 896, note on Part II, p 459

Jodclet souffleté, II. 2

to the humiliated valet. There are references to le Cid, and a line (II, 17) is quoted from that play.

Though Lesage respected only one unity, that of time, and allowed a violation of hasson, he made some effort to follow French technique by reducing to three ⁵ the number of localities represented, and succeeded in producing a comedy that is more firmly constructed than No hay amigo. This is partly due to the fact that he paid more attention to preparation and placed the comic scenes between the valets earlier in his play. When his comedy was acted, it was in five acts, but, when he published it, Lesage reduced the number to three "pour la rendre plus vive," as he declares.

The chief character, the one who makes a fetich of the "point d'honneur," is in Lesage's play 6 Don Lope de Castro. Léonor describes him (I, 1) as "si délicat sur le point d'honneur, qu'il outre quelquefois la matière. Cela lui donne un ridicule dans le monde," though he is well born, brave, and honest. He has written a book that gives the rules "de point d'honneur". In this he describes all sorts of offenses and "réparations possibles et impossibles." Men come from abroad to consult him. He employs spies to discover cases that may require his services. Lesage has him refer (II, 1) to a duel for which he is to make arrangements, introduces one of his spies (III, 2), who tells him of a fight connected with a serenade, and adds a scene (III, 3) in which a Sicilian consults him. He is shown in his

^{*}By making one of the girls the captain's niece he was able to do without other places than the Prado and two apartments in the captain's house. When the action passes from one of these to the other, liaison is violated (III, 5.6). Some of the scenes have no connection with the plot except that they illustrate the captain's activities.

Alonse de Guzman has been for four years in love with Estelle d'Alvarade, who has preferred Luis Pacheco. The latter, supposed to be still fighting in Flanders, has returned to Madrid under the assumed usine of Carlos. He has pron up Estelle for Leonor, Alonse's sister, who has fallen in love with him. In order to help his own suit, however, Alonse has promised his sister to Estelle's uncle, (aptain Lope, whose life has been saved by Luis in Flanders. Léonor declures her intention of obeying her brother, but she is persuaded by her suitante, Bratist, whom Luis has bribed, to receive the young man in the evening. When Léonor leains that her brother resents the attentions of the supposed Carlos, she cranges for their interview to take place in Estelle's apartment. Meanwhile Alonse has asked Lope to help him pinnish a man who has been prowling around his house and is apparently seeking to seduce his sister. Luis's valet, Clarin, recognized by Estelle, pictuds, in order to conceal the fact that his master is in Madrid, that Luis has married a girl in Brussels. Estelle appeals to her uncle, who promises to avenge her. The captain's valet. Crispin, left as a sentinel, is slapped by Clarin, is ordered by his master to get revenge, practises with his sword, and is slapped again and beaten. He makes Lope believe he has avenged his honor. Luis keeps his appointment with Léonor, recognizes Estelle, and wishes to retire, but he is discovered by Alonse and the captain. Luis explains that he is not married and that he loves Léonor Estelle, who had already expressed interest in Alonse, agrees to marry him instead of Luis. The captain approves since one of his rules is that the man who has loved longer than his rival has first claim on the girl. When he is reminded that Luis has loved Léonor longer than he has, he withdraws his request for her hand and comments on the severity of honor's rules.

relations with the other gentlefolk of the play and with his valet, whom he instructs in regard to honor. His character is made comic by his exaggerated interest in dueling and by the manner in which he subordinates all his emotions to his mechanical code. The fact that his rival has loved Léonor a few days longer than he makes him assist in the dénouement by withdrawing his offer of marriage.

The importance given to this character and the scenes in which a valet who resents an insult is more severely insulted make of the play largely a satire upon the "point d'honneur." The frères Parfaict felt that the subject was not one that could be appreciated in the France of Lesage's time, which was almost that of their own. As Louis XIV had done much to suppress dueling, it probably would have been difficult in 1702 to find any such interest in rules of honor as had existed in Spain in the time of Rojas. This fact may help explain the failure of the play.

The other characters have little to distinguish them from the usual young lovers and their resourceful attendants. So greatly did Lesage increase the rôle of these servants that they are found in all the scenes of Act I, in all but two of Act III. They and the captain replace Rojae's young lovers as the center of interest. This shift in emphasis, the use of prose, the changes in position of certain scenes, and the attention paid the setting are Lesage's chief contributions. That they were of little avail is shown by the fact that the play was acted only twice at the Comédie Française and that it was not performed more frequently when it was given at the Théâtre Italien.

In his next play, Don César Ursin, 10 Lesage returned to the methods he had employed in his first two adaptations of Spanish plays and followed closely his model, Calderón's *Peor está que estaba* This comedia had already been adapted to the French stage by Brosse and by Boisrobert, 12 but there is no cyidence that Lesage knew the work of either His prin-

person called Perichichichipinchi and that the murder has not been avenged He has been unable to find anyone of that name in Italy and asks whether, if he discovers no one in the rest of Europe, his honor may be at rest. The captain advises him to visit the rest of the world

The scene of Acts I and II is laid in the Prado One sees "dans l'enfoncement un mur de jardin percé d'une petite porte" Act III shows two apartments in the captain's home. The first, Lope's own, resembles a "salle d'armes on y voit quantité de fleurets, de plastrons et autres ustensils concernant les armes." The other, Estelle's, is lighted by many candles

^{*} First played, Feb 3, 1702 The frères Parfauct, XIV, 250, state that the author's share was 163 francs and that, altered by Lesage, the comedy was given on April 10, 1725, and once thereafter at the Théâtre Italien It then had a prologue and was outsided ### April 10 and ### A

entitled l'Arbitre des differends

10 It was first published in the Recueil of Paris, Jacques Barois fils, 1739, priv,
Aug 22, 1738, then in collective editions of 1774, 1810, 1821, 1823, 1828, and 1830,
cf Cordier, op cit and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale

¹¹ Cf my op cst . Part II, pp 473-4, and Part III, pp 65-6

cipal alterations lie in the suppression of the second invitation sent by Lisarda to Don Cesar, asking him to visit her at night, its attendant complications, and the recovery of the man Don Cesar is supposed to have killed. The action is consequently simplified and made to accord more fully with the spirit of French comedy, but we are left with a rather flat ending, brought about in part by circumstances not connected with the action.

The play is distinctly a comedy of intrigue, ¹² an imbroglio based on a curious series of misunderstandings. A father arrests his own daughter, thinking she is the daughter of a friend, and entrusts her to herself. A young man flirts with a friend's fiancée without knowing who she is. This friend questions a girl about one adventure while she thinks he is talking about another. The secret on which the happy outcome depends is often in danger of being discovered. When all is over, one may well ask whether César and Lisarde are satisfied with the mates they get, although Lesage made some effort to avoid this impression by having César declare that Fléride still has first place in his affections and Lisarde assert that, in inviting César to her home, she is merely satisfying her curiosity.

Both the portrayal of character and the creation of distinctly comic scenes are sacrificed to plot. The chief importance of the play lies in the fact that its lack of success seems to have convinced Lesage that French audiences had wearied of Spanish cloak and sword dramas and desired plays that would be more distinctly comic and would pay more attention to characters and manners.

Don Cesar Ursin, visiting in a garden at night Fléride, daughter of Prospei Colone, Governor of Naples, has wounded a man whom he mistook for a rival and has fied to Gaeta, where, in a garden by the sea, he meets Lisarde, daughter of Don Fernand d'Aragon, governor of the town and an old firend of Colone Though engaged to Don Juan Osorio, Lisarde is attracted by César Fkride has followed César to Gaeta and taken refuge with Lisarde Her father, thinking she has cloped, writes to Colone requesting that his daughter and César be arrested This message leads to the capture of César and a veiled woman, whom the governor puts, as he thinks, in his daughter's keeping while he conducts César to prison Lisarde, who happens to be the veiled woman, now finds herself safe in her own apartment Her father sends word to Colone that he has made the capture Meanwhile Juan, who has come to Gaeta with the purpose of marrying Lisarde, is presented to her as her flancé. As he is an old friend of César and has seen him in the garden before his capture, he regrets his unprisonment and offers assistance. César, invited by Lisarde to go Though he does not accompany him, Juan discovers his presence in the governor's home and demands an explanation Cesar declares that he does not know Lisarde and was visiting another woman, a statement he believes to be true, as he has not learned the name of the woman he met in the garden at Gaeta Juan leta César go, but next morning questions Lisarde's guest, finds that she knows César and has met him in a garden. He is convinced that his friend is innocent so far as and has met him in a garden. He is convinced that his friend is innocent so far as and has met him in a garden. He is convinced that his friend is innocent so far as and has met him in a garden. He is convenced that his friend is innocent so far as the him in a garden at César's victim has recovered, that he may return to Naples, and that he may marry his daughter. A double wedding will take place at Gaeta, that of César and Fléride, of Juan

Don César Ursin was first given, with Crispin rival de son maître, on March 15, 1707. It was acted only six times and was not revived. The duchesse d'Orléans noted on March 24 that Don César was more successful at court, Crispin rival at Paris, but that neither was of much value. The Journal de Verdun declared in May that Don César had been hissed, though many persons thought it deserved a better fate.18 Lesage accepted the popular verdict and did not again attempt this type of play.

Lintilhae 14 supposed that the first conception of CRISPIN RIVAL DE SON MAÎTRE 15 came from Hurtado de Mendoza's Empeños del mentir because in this Spanish play an adventurer tries to marry his benefactor's sister by passing himself off as her fiance He admits, however, that incidents, dialogue, and characters owe nothing to this supposed source. He might have added that, as Mondoza's Teresa says, "no hay lacayito en la historia." Moreover, a lover poses as a fiancé in other plays that are no more remote from Lesage's comedy than is Mendoza's, such as Tirso's Villana de Vallecas, Boisrobert's Trois Orontes, Thomas Corneille's Dom César d'Avalos.16 There is, then, no evidence that this theme was derived from Mendoza's play.17 The idea of disguising a valet as a gentleman could have come from various plays other than Mendoza's Scarron's Jodelet maître or Héritier ridicule, Moliere's Précieuses ridicules, etc Moreover, for a valet to long to rise in society had been suggested in Champinesle's Grisettes. Hauteroche's Souper mal apprêté, Regnard's Joueur and Ménechmes.18 Lesage was not the first to dramatize any of these ideas His originality lay in combining them as none of his predecessors had done, as well as in his clever dialogue and his rapid and well developed action 19

¹² For these references of the frères Parlanct, MIV, 440-1, and Mélèse, Rép., p 217 It is probably the failure of this play that inspired a lemark in the 1707 edition of the Diable boileur (Chap X) When it is suggested that, if Spanish plays were translated in France, they would not succeed, Asmodée agrees and adda, "Il n'y a

pas longtemps qu'un auteur de ce pays là en a fait la triste experience."

14 Op. cet., IV, 161. He discusses the play on this and the following pages. Cf also his Lecage, Paris, Hachette, 1893, p. 38, and Lement, op. cet., I, 130-3.

18 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12°, prii., May 8. Republished in the Théâtre françois of 1737, in the Recueil, Paris, Jacques Barois fils, 1739. For these and forty-six other editions, as well as for translations, cf. Henri Cordier, op. cit. and the catalogue. of the Bibliothèque Nation ile

¹⁸ Cf my op cit, Part II, pp 745 8, and Part IV, pp 447 50

18 Los Fmpchos del mentir may have been thought to be the source of the play because it probably did inspite an episode in Gil Blas (II, 428 seq in the edition of 1828), which Lesage's editor believed he had taken from Crispin riial

¹⁸ Cf my op cit, l'art III, pp 768, 773, Part IV, p 741, and above, Chap XIII Wedding garments, worn by a man for whom they were not made, play a similar part here and in Boindin's recent Trois Gascons

¹⁹ Valère has fallen in love with Angélique, daughter of Oronte, and has gained the help of her susvante, Lisette, who has told him that her mistress is engaged, but without revealing the name of the man. He appeals to his valet, Crispin, who longs for a financial career and admires Angélique. Crispin meets an acquaintance, La Branche, who, after serving a sentence at the Châtelet, has become the valet of Damis, the young man who was to marry Angélique, but who has secretly married

The characterization is superior to that of Lesage's earlier plays. Oronte is a wealthy Parisian bourgeois, who has three fine houses in the city and gives his daughter a dowry of 60,000 francs. One of his houses has cost him 80,000 francs to build. He is easily deceived by Crispin's disguise and by La Branche's claim that Valère has forged a letter, though he is convinced that he is himself an excellent judge of character. His wife is still more simple-minded. She is said to agree with the last person who has spoken to her. She changes her opinions rapidly, is easily influenced by flattery, and has an excellent opinion of her intelligence and her charms. She is between twenty-five and sixty, but, as she lost a lover in the Cretan war some forty years before the play was acted, she must be much nearer sixty than twenty-five When she recalls that Valère looked at her and Angélique "avec des yeux si passionnés," she asks Lisette if she is sure that "c'est de ma fille qu'il est amoureux?" (sc. 5). One can hardly expect this couple to have produced a daughter more intelligent than Angélique. who can think only of following suggestions she receives from Lisette and Valère.

Orgon resembles Oronte in being easily deceived. He is courteous enough to think that he should himself explain why his son cannot marry Angélique. This son, who has seduced a girl of good family and been obliged to marry her, does not appear on the stage. Valère is a young-man-about-town, cheated by merchants, protected by a marquise, and not above deceiving Oronte in order to win his daughter. As a penniless young nobleman and an ardent lover, he wins the sympathy of the audience

a girl at Chartres La Branche has come to Paris to inform Oronte that Damis cannot marry his daughter and to bring back Damis's wedding garments. Crispin proposes to put on these clothes, to pose as Damis, to marry Angelique, and to divide the dowry with La Branche. He warns Valere that he must stay away from Oronte's house while he works in his interests. Angélique and Lisette persuade Mme Oronte to favor Valere, hui she yields to her husband's judgment when he assures her that, as the contract has been signed, he cannot break off Angélique's marriage to Damis. La Branche brings Oronte a forged letter, presumably from Orgon, introducing his son, Damis. He is followed by Crispin, who, disguised as Damis, is now received as their son in-law by Oronte and his wife. He flatters Mme Oronte and wins her support. Meanwhile Valère, who has become impatient, meets Angélique and Lisette, learns that the fiance is Damis, and declares that the latter, who happens to be his friend, has written that he is married. While he goes to get the letter, has forged the letter. Oronte is so completely won over that he discusses Angélique's dowry with Crispin and starts to visit his notary in order to obtain the sum required. On the way he meets Damis's father, Orgon, who had come to tell him about his son's marriage, but had been persuaded by La Branche to postpone his visit for a day in order to allow the anger of Oronte and his wife to cool. Orgon now confirms Valere's report that Damis is married and declares that the young man is still at Chartres. He and Oronte conclude that Crispin is an impostor. When the valets are accused, they try to escape, but Valere, Oronte, and Orgon arrest them. They beg for mercy and appeal especially to Mme Oronte, who asks that they be spared. Oronte agrees to release them and even to start them in business if they will reform. He gives his daughter to Valère and invites Orgon to the wedding.

More important than any of these are the two intriguers, La Branche and Crispin. The former has spent several weeks in the Châtelet, charged with an attempt at highway robbery. He has had his term shortened by the intervention of a pawnbroker's niece. He plots with Crispin and agrees to divide the spoils. At one time he thinks of taking the whole dowry for himself, but he decides that it is more prudent to stand by his fellow thief. He shows his cleverness by preparing the way for Crispin, by convincing Oronte that Damis's letter is forged, and by delaying Orgon's visit to Oronte. Crispin is equally clever and even more daring. Weary of being a valet, he says to himself (sc 2)

tu devrois présentement briller dans la finance Avec l'esprit que j'ai, morbleul j'aurois déjà fait plus d'une banqueroute

His need of capital sends him to Touraine, before the play begins, on a gambling expedition. It now induces him to pose as Damis in the hope of escaping to Flanders, if not with Angélique, at least with hier dowry. He shows his resourcefulness in plotting with La Branche and in deceiving Oronte, his family, and Valere. He has to invent information quickly when Oronte questions him about Orgon's law-suit, of which he has never heard, and he cleverly explains his disguise to Valère by pretending that he was seeking to disgust the Oronte couple with Damis.

These characters are skillfully introduced in a series of scenes that follow one another logically and give a varied series of situations. Suspense is excellently preserved. The play is well constructed and contains not a little social satire.

ıl aıme le jeu, le vin, les femmes Cela m'amuse, cela me détourne de mal faire (sc 3)

Il a été élevé avec la plus brillante jeunesse de Paris Tudieu' c'est une tête bien sensée "A M Craquet, medecin, dans la rue du Sepulcre" Voilà un médecin qui loge dans le quartier de ses malades "A M Bredouillet, avocat au parlement, rue des Mauvaises Paroles" "A M Gourmandin, chanoine de " (se 7)

La justice est une si belle chose, qu'on ne sauroit trop l'acheter' (sc 9)

Damis est un plaisant homme de vouloir avoir deux femmes, pendant que tant d'honnêtes gens sont si fâcliés d'en avoir une un de ccs petits scélérats qui ne se font point un scrupule de la pluralité des dots (sc 13)

This bright little comedy was originally played with its author's Don César Ursin on March 15, 1707. This was an unusual programme as a new play in five acts was regularly given alone until the receipts dropped sufficiently to warrant the addition of a one-act comedy. Lesage may have thought that his longer play was not comic enough to stand alone. The superior popularity of Crispin rival was shown in 1707 by its being acted nine times in comparison with six performances of Don César. Neither

play was given in 1708, but, while *Don César* failed to reappear in the repertory, *Crispin rival* was acted five times in 1709 and ten times in 1718. As Lesage's fame increased, it became a favorite, was acted sixty-four times in 1720-30, remained in the repertory until 1874, and had by that time been performed 679 times. Only six eighteenth-century plays were acted more frequently at the Comédie Française.

According to Lintilhac,20 Lesage next composed a one-act play called les Etrennes and wished to have it acted on Jan. 1, 1708, then, as it was refused, lengthened it to five acts and called in Turcaret 21 Though it was accepted in its new form, the performance of the comedy was delayed, supposedly because financiers influenced the actors or the author, but on Oct 13, 1708, the troupe was ordered by the Dauphin to learn the rôles and bring it out.22 The year before Dancouit had produced his Diable botteux and Second Chapitre, each of which plays has a frame in which Asmodée appears, once at the Comédie Française itself. It seems probable that Lesage derived from these plays, inspired by his own Diable botteux. suggestions for his Critique, which, according to the frères Parfaict, was given with the five-act play when it was first performed. The opposition that he had encountered in getting his play before the public made him realize the need for this Critique. In writing it he was following the example of Molière and the very recent one of Regnard in his Critique du Légataire, while in giving it the form employed by Dancourt, he was recovering his "bien" where he found it.

The sources of Turcaret he partly in the life of the times when it was written, partly in plays that had preceded it. Lantilhac has indicated the increasing importance of the tax-collectors as Louis XIV became, thanks to his wais, more and more financially embarrassed. He has also listed a number of plays, including and following la Comtesse d'Escarbagnas, which had introduced tax-collectors or other financiers into the cast. To these may be added Robbe's La Rapinière, Saint-Yon's Mœurs du tems, and Dancourt's Second Chaptre. While it is difficult to say just which plays were utilized, it is easy to show that several of them may well have served as Lesage's models 25

²⁰ Histoire génerale du théâtre, IV, 164 His detailed study of Turcaret is found on pp 182-208, cf also Lenient, op cst, I, 133-50, and C S Gutkind, ZFSL, LV (1932), 308-24 The play in five acts was read to the troupe on May 15, cf Lintilhac, Legge p. 50

²² The frères Parfaiet, XV, 4, quoted a statement to this effect from the Registres Lintilhae notes that the document in question is now lost ²² A wealthy tax-collector of humble origin, Turearet, is squandering his fortune

Leage, p. 50

21 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1709, 12°, priv, Feb 23, registered, March 1 Republished in 1725 by Ribou's widow, in the Théâtre françois of 1737, in the Recucil, Jacques Barons fils, 1739, and Paris, Gandouin 1750 For these and fifty-two other editions, of Henri Cordier, op cit, and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale The name, Turcaret, was derived, according to Van Kampen (Ncophilologus, XVI, 6-9) from two in the sense of Turk and in that of a blood sucking insect

In les Mœurs du tems, a tax-collector loves a girl who prefers a young nobleman, spends money on her, is deceived and pillaged. In the Banqueroutier Persillet cheats the public, courts a widow, and pays her debts. Lintilhac 24 calls attention to the fact that an unscrupulous character in the Avantures des Champs Elisées is named Raile, as is a character in Turcaret. Dancourt's Retour des officiers introduces a sous-fermier who began his career as a lackey, is on his way to prosperity, and is ashamed of his rustic relatives A sous-traitant, who began as a page and a doorkeeper, has an important rôle in Dancourt's Second Chapitre His Bourgeoises à la mode shows an adventurer whose mother is a pawnbroker, a valet named Frontin, and a diamond that is important in the plot. The Marquis of le Joueur turns out to be a cousin of another pawnbroker. This play and others I have mentioned in discussing Crispin rival, as well as the latter comedy, bring in a valet who is anxious to rise socially by means of financial operations Lesage's Frontin is a subtler and more formidable Crispin His other characters are, in the main, familiar figures.

Lesage's originality is found in his making his tax-collector the leading character of his play, in his depicting him as more violent than his predecessors had been, and in his adding details about various characters. We learn a good deal about Turcaret. His father was a pâtissier in a Norman village. He had started life as a lackey in a noble family. He has now reached a point where his "prose". . est signée et approuvée par quatre

upon a colonel's widow, the Baronne, who in turn gives money to the Chevalier Her maid, Marine, disapproves of her conduct, resigns, and informs Turcaret that his money is going to the Chevalier In a rage the tax-collector breaks a mirror and porcelaines in the Baronne's chamber, but he is made to beheve that the woman he loves is innocent and to replace the damaged furnishings. At the Baronne's request he takes into his service the Chevalier's valet, Frontin, who places his friend, Linette, in the Baronne's home. Frontin engages a forger to present a bill, said to be owed by the Baronne's deceased husband. Turcaret agrees to pay it. The Baronne will use the money to furnish a country house that Turcaret, at the suggestion of Frontin and Linette, will present to her. Meanwhile Turcaret has engaged in various risky deals and has gone security for a man who skips with 200,000 ecus. As his resources have been drained by the Baronne and Frontin he is unable to pay this amount and is taken off to prison. Before he goes, he has met at the Baronne's his sister, who is a pawnbroker, and his wife, whom lie has tried to keep in the provinces, but who, needing money, has come to Paris, represented herself as a countess, and begun an intrigue with the Marquis, who is a friend of the Chevalier and whose grandfather Turcaret had served as a valet. The meeting exposes Turcaret's limible birth, his snobhishness, and his wife's pretentions. A diamond, pawned by the Marquis, acquired by Turcaret, presented to the Baronne, loaned to the Chevalier, and returned by him, enables the Baronne to clear herself of charges brought against her by Marine. A check for 10,000 ccus, payable to the beater and presented by Turcaret to the Baronne, is entrusted to Frontin who pretends that it was taken by the pohee when they collected Turcaret's assets. As a matter of fact, he has cashed the cheek and with this money and Lisette is prepared to start on a career that may some day make him as wealthy as Turcaret. As for the Chevalier, the Baronne

²⁴ Histoire genérale du theâtre, IV, 193

fermiers-généraux" (I, 6). He belongs to an important "assemblée," sells minor offices, engages under the names of others in usurious practices, advises a protégé to enter into bankruptcy with fraudulent intent, neglects to pay his wife's allowance, is pitiless to an employee who has been robbed. When told that the latter is "trop bon," he exclaimes, "Trop bon! trop bon! Eh! pourquoi diable s'est-il done mis dans les affaires?" (III, 9). His ruin is caused partly by his shady enterprises and partly by his extravagance in regard to women. The Baronne and her friends have little difficulty in tricking him while he is lavishing gifts upon her, a handsome diamond, a carriage and horses, a house, furnishings, a eheck for 10,000 éeus, etc

Turearet's social ambition leads him to patronize the Opera, though he considers a trumpet a suitable instrument to accompany a singer. He enjoys having a poet at his table and even seeks to write verse himself, like the millionaire in les Hommes de bonne volonté, but with less regard for the rules of prosody. He is convinced of his own discernment and is unaware that, when his affections are involved, he readily falls a victim to persons less skillful in affairs than himself. The violence of his anger is shown in the scene of the broken mirror and vases, but he restrains himself when he is insulted by the Marquis. The character would have appeared more ominous if more emphasis had been placed on his nefarious business methods and less on his rôle as a dupe.

This impression is partly remedied by the rôle of Frontin, whose career lies largely in the future. He is merely a clever valet at the beginning of the comedy, but he is accepted by Turearet as a clerk and shows his progress in deception by persuading his employer to add to his gifts to the Baronne, by deceiving him in regard to the forged bill, and by keeping for himself the money of the billet à porteur. He represents the tax-collector in his beginnings, as Turcaret represents him just before his downfall. Together they offer a fairly complete picture of this social scourge. Lesage's method is similar to that employed by Emile Augier in les Effrontés. It was one that the limitations imposed by the unity of time rendered especially desirable

The other persons are well characterized. The Baronne is very clever in her flattery of Turcaret, but she is easily deceived by the Chevalier and his agents. Marine is a relatively honest suivante, whose common sense is shocked by the Baronne's infatuation over the Chevalier that makes her run the risk of losing her "vache à lait." Lisctte has no scruples of any kind and may enter the Opera if she does not find it more profitable to build up a fortune with Frontin. The Chevalier makes his living at the expense of women, "avec ses airs passionnés, son ton radouel, sa face minaudière." (I,

1). The Marquis, one of the most interesting characters in the play, is a dissipated aristocrat, witty and sarcastic, seeking variety in his amusements, flirting with a queer woman he meets at a dance, able to make something of himself, but preferring to do nothing elegantly while awaiting an inheritance.

Mme Turcaret, a blacksmith's daughter, has been banished by her husband to Valogne in Basse-Normandie. She claims to have made of the town a miniature Paris (V, 7).

On joue chez moi, on s'y rassemble pour médire, on y lit tous les ouvrages d'esprit qui se font à Cherbourg, à Saint-Lô, à Coutances, et qui valent bien les ouvrages de Vire et de Caen.

Large and bold, she is described as "vive, pétulante, distraite, étourdie, dissipée, et toujours barbouillée de tabac" (IV, 2). She has come to Paris to force her husband to pay her allowance and takes advantage of her visit to seek adventure at a masked ball. Her sister, Mme Jacob, by plying the trades of "revendeuse à la toilette" and maker of marriages, supports her husband and her many children. She resents the fact that she is ignored by her brother, yet, when she finds that he has been arrested by his creditors, she goes to his aid, reflecting that "je sens que je suis sa sœur" (V, 14), a generous remark echoed in the next scene by Mme Turcaret, "Et moi, je vais le chercher pour l'accabler d'injures; je sens que je suis sa femme."

M Rafle runs Turcarct's "bureau d'usure" and consults his employer in strict privacy. When he appears, he gives the impression of being an intelligent and well trained informer who leaves the decisions, immoral or not, to his superior. M Furet, on the other hand, is obviously a knave. He is "vêtu de gris-noir, avec un rabat sale et une vieille perruque" (IV, 7) He has to ask whether the Baronne or Lisette is the mistress of the house, poses as a "huissier à verge," reads his counterfeit bill in a thoroughly coirect manner, and creates somewhat the impression of Loval in Tartuffe. In contrast with these clever gentlemen, Turcarct's valet, Flamand, is thoroughly naive, chiefly interested in keeping his newly acquired job as "capitaine-concierge de la porte de Guibrai" at Falaise. He offers to send the Baronne "de petits présents" if she will keep on good terms with Turcaret, for he knows "comme les commis en usent avec les demoiselles qui les placent" (V, 3).

With the possible exception of the Marquis and Mme Jacob, there is no character in the play with whom one can sympathize, an unusual, though by no means a unique characteristic of a five-act comedy. If one thinks only of Turcaret, one may find a moral in the play, but Frontin's prospect of success quickly destroys the lesson Lesage was satirizing a society that

he knew, probably with no thought of improving it. The play is well constructed except that too much chance is involved in the circumstances that bring together Turcaret, his wife, and his sister at the Baronne's house. The representation of manners is extensive, especially those connected with fashionable amusements and the business of usurers and taxcollectors. The comic element, which produces many clever scenes, is in the main closely associated with the characters, not lugged in for its own sake 25

The force of the satire obliged the author to compose a brief apology for his play, La Critique de la Comedie de Turcaret par le Diable boiteux,26 in which Asmodée and Don Cléophas, the leading characters in the Duble bosteux, appear on the stage of the Comédie Française, comment upon the audience and the popularity of rival theaters at the Foire, and refer to the play that is about to be given. Their conversation serves as a prologue to Turcaret; the "Continuation du Dialogue," as an epilogue. In the latter most of the criticism is found. It is held that Turcaret ought to shock honest business men no more than Tartuffe does genuine "dévots" It is noted that all the characters are "vicieux", that the satire is too close to reality, that, while Turcaret, the Baronne, and the Chevalier are punished, Frontin and Lisette are rewarded, and that methods of spending money are shown rather than the mysteries of making it A Spanish gentleman is said to object to the lack of action in the play, but it is explained that this is true of French comedies that reveal character, a point already made by Lesage in le Diable boileux, as Lintilhac recalls. It is claimed that all the spectators were entertained except two, Turcaret and the Baronne

This critique defends the play eleverly and probably met most of the objections raised in regard to it when it first appeared 27 Lesage lightened the dialogue by referring to the cabals of friends and enemies seen in the audience and to himself as seated in an upper box and prevented by his deafness from hearing unkind remarks about his play pleased the actors by referring to the Foire as popular among coachmen and lackeys

Turcaret was first acted on Feb 14, 1709, after the period in which the

²⁵ There is an exception in IV, 8 The counterfeit bill describes the late colonel's There is an exception in IV, 8 The counterfeit bill describes the late colonel's purchase of "douze mulets, quinze chevaux normands sous poil roux, ct trois bardeaux d'Auvergne, ayant tous crins, queues et oreilles" The colonel, who had to equip his regiment, might well have purchased horses and mules, but the insistence upon "crins, queues et oreilles" must have been added merely to amuse the groundlings "Published with Turcaret in 1709, 1735, and 1737 For these and later editions of Henri Cordier, op cit, and the catslogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale 17 The only contemporary criticism of Turcaret that Mélèse (The et Pub, p. 310) was able to find appeared in the Nouvelles de la République des Lettres for April, 1709 "L'auteur a voulu jouer les François On trouve qu'il n'y a pas réussi La conduite, en effet, est fort négligée, mais il v a de fort bons traits On est

conduite, en effet, est fort négligée, mais il y a de fort bons traits On est choqué de ce que ce sont tous fripons que la scène étale"

unusual severity of the winter caused the theater to be closed. It was given seven times. As the seventh performance brought in more than 650 francs, the play cannot be said to have failed.²⁸ The fact that it was then withdrawn, taken in connection with the fact that the actors delayed the first performance of the play until higher authorities intervened, suggests that pressure was exerted by tax-collectors and their friends to prevent further performances. If this is true, the case parallels closely that of *Tartuffe*, held up by the Compagnie du Saint-Sacrement. Like Molière, Lesage had his revenge, for the play, revived in 1730, was acted fifteen times in that year and remained in the repertory as late as 1931, by which time it had been performed at the Comédie Française 455 times ²⁹

Lintilhac 80 believed that Lesage composed the Amants valoux "peu après Don César Ursin," that is, in 1707, but he had no proof As it was not acted till Nov. 21, 1735, it may well have been written many years after 1707. On the other hand, he is correct in regard to la Tontine. When Lesage published it in 1739, he stated that he had offered it to the French actors in 1708,31 that they received it and prepared to play it, but that "1e la retirai pour des raisons que le Public se passera bien de sçavoir, & elle n'a été représentée qu'au mois de Feyrier 1732" Lintilhac pointed out that this must be the play mentioned in the Registres of the Comédie Française on Feb 27, 1708 "On a reçu une petite pièce de M. Lesage pour être jouée après Pâques" That it was not played then may have been due to the same influences that delayed the performance of Turcaret, for la Tontine also deals with financial transactions In the case of both plays something more may be said Dancourt's Second Chapitre was acted twelve times in 1707-8, his Aquoteurs, twenty times in 1710, though both ridiculed financiers, yet Turcaret, ultimately much more popular, had only seven performances in 1709 and la Tontine was not acted until long after-These facts suggest that the pressure was applied to Lesage himself rather than to the actors and that the persons who influenced him died or for some other reason lost their power before 1730-2, when both of Lesage's plays were acted at the Comédie Française

³⁸ Lintilhae, op cit, p 205, declares that the actors received at the seventh performance 853 franes, 4 sous, but on p 206 he gives the sum as 653 franes, 4 sous, the amount indicated by Gutkind, op cit, p 308 In either case one would have expected other performances to follow in a few days Mélèse (loc cit) quotes the Gazette de Rottordam of March 25, 1709, as stating that the play was successful and the Journal des Savants of April, 1709, as indicating that it had failed The latter journal was probably impressed by the fact that the play had been given only seven times and was no longer being acted

²⁰ Cf E Champion, op cit, p 344

at A misprint in the edition of Lesage, Paris, Ledoux, 1828, XII, 1, gives the date as 1718

LA TONTINE ³² owes its existence to the system of group insurance proposed by the Italian financier, Tonti, in 1653, first tried in France in 1689, again in 1696, and not suppressed until 1763. The play is not a satire upon the system, but it suggests how it may be misused by an unintelligent physician and an apothecary who assists him ³³ Lesage showed little originality in his plot and characters, or in his satire upon medicine. The novelty of his play lies in the references to the tontine and the comical presentation of the peasant who is in danger of being killed with hygienic attentions.

Trousse-Galant is not a quack. He believes that his remedies have value. He is thoroughly versed in the lore of medical authorities, still has confidence in his "ptisane rafraîchissante," although fourteen persons have died after taking it, in bleeding, and in purging. He is convinced (sc. 3) that "un bon Médecin va toûjours son train sans se rendre à des épreuves, qui blessent des principes établis & reçus dans l'Ecole". He expects to make a fortune from his investment of 10,000 francs in Ambroise, who when eighty will be, he predicts, the only surviving member of his class, so that, if he lives to be a hundred, Trousse-Galant will enjoy the proceeds of the tontine for twenty years!

Bolus also believes in his drugs and approves of his friend's speculation. He is given additional opportunities to appear comic by his love-making and his use of a douche. When Mariamne seems to favor his suit, he declares that her words "distillent dans mon ame un sirop amoureux," **

²³ Puhlished in the Recueil of Paris, Jacques Barois fils, 1739, prev Aug 22, 1738, in editions of the author's works of 1774, 1783, 1810, 1813, 1821, 1823, 1828, 1830, and 1879, in his Théâtre of 1828 and 1911, and Paris, France édition, 1924 Cf Cordier, op cst, and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale

es Trouse-Galant, a physician, has prescribed with deadly results for many persons, inclinding his wife. His friend, Bolus, who has assisted his own wife out of the world, gives him his cooperation. Trousse-Galant has agreed to support a peasant, Ambroise, sixty years old, for the rest of his life, provided he will turn over to the physician the sums he will receive from the tontine when he becomes the sole survivor of his class. For this purpose Trousse-Galant has insured Amhroise for 10,000 france. He now offers Bolus half his future gains from the tontine as a dowry when the apothecary marries his daughter, Marianne. The girl is, however, in love with Eraste and dislikes Bolus. She is aided hy her suvante, Frosine, and hy Eraste's valet, Crispin. In accordance with a plan devised by this valet, Marianne pretends to he pleased with the idea of marrying Bolus, while Crispin, disguised as a colonel, and Eraste, disguised as a major, visit Trousse-Galant for a consultation. Knowing that Ambroise, who is allowed little to eat and is frequently purged, is anxious to escape, they pretend that he is a deserter and must he shot. The physician offers 100 pistoles for his life and various persons plead for him in vain intil Frosine suggests that Trousse-Galant give the colonel his daughter. The colonel accepts for the major, who demands a dowry. Bolus is persuaded to withdraw, so that the dowry he would have received is offered to the major. Eraste will in this way become the hisland of Marianne and take charge of Ambroise, who would rather be shot than remain at the physician's home. The supposed colonel will marry Frosine.

⁸⁴ Sc 17 Cf Géronte's medicinal courting in I, 7, of Regnard's Légataire universel, first acted only seven weeks before la Tontine was accepted by the troupe.

assures her that, as long as his wife had lived, he had not spared the drugs of his shop, and promises to be equally generous with them in regard to Mariamne. When Frosine removes Bolus's cloak, he appears with "une serviette nouée autour du corps & une seringue passée dedans," an instrument that "lui sied à ravir"

Mariamne and Eraste are young lovers as helpless as their prototypes in Tartuife. They are aided by Frosine, who bears some resemblance to Molière's Dorine, and by Crispin, who recalls Molière's Seapin. Ambroise does his creator more credit. As a peasant and a soldier who has campaigned in Germany and in Italy, he has developed a vigorous constitution and at sixty is said to appear only forty, but Trousse-Galant, who seeks to improve upon nature, is doing his best to counteract her influence. He has the poor man bled twice every three days, refuses to allow him to eat mutton, goose, or pork, and limits his diet to cheese and "ptisane hépatique". He must be purged by Bolus and must not flirt with Frosine. His good points are indicated to Bolus by Trousse-Galant as if he were a horse. In despair Ambroise appeals to Frosine and readily falls in with her plans, for, rather than to have the physician continue his treatment, he would prefer to "passer par les armies"

These characters are utilized to construct amusing situations interviews between Trousse-Galant and Bolus, between the physician and Ambroise, between the veteran and Frosine, the scenes of the lovers' quandary, of Bolus's love-making, of Crispin's intervention when disguised as a colonel. The dialogue is rapid and frequently witty. The comedy deserved a more cordial reception than it got. Withdrawn in 1708 before it was acted, it was not played at the Comédie Française until Feb. 20, 1732, and only five times in that year. It was not given there subsequently, though it appeared several times at the Odéon in 1900.25

Lesage's career in 1699-1709 established the facts that the French public was no longer interested in Spanish cloak and swork plays and that it greatly preferred comedies of manners. His first four plays were adaptations of comedias by Lope. Rojas, and Calderón. One of them was not acted at all, the other three only a few times. Imitation of a Spanish novel had led him to satirize French life extensively in le Duble boiteux, so that he quite naturally turned to the comedy of manners, drawing suggestions when he did so from Molière, Dancourt, and other French dramatists Crispin rival was his first great success. It showed his ability at dramatic structure and at composing an amusing and sarcastic dialogue while revealing social unrest. Turcaret, his masterpiece, carried these tendencies

⁸⁸ Cf N·M Bernardin, *La Comédie stalienne en France*, Paris, Editions de la Revue bleue, 1902, p 102

further and saturated in striking fashion the part of Parisian society that was composed of tax-collectors, their victims, and those who turned their illicit gains into other, but equally illicit channels. Before it was played, Lesage had composed la Tontine, a one-act comedy built round a form of insurance. The absence of Turcaret from the repertory of the Comédie Française in 1710-29 and the author's refusal to allow la Tontine to be played before 1732 were probably caused by influence exerted upon Lesage by persons who objected to the discussion on the stage of contemporary financial operations. Such persons may have inspired the advice offered by Asmodée at the end of the Critique de Turcaret "allons à la Foire voir de nouveaux visages." Lesage was soon composing farces for the forains and devoting much of his time to Gil Blas. The Comédie Française suffered from his desertion, as did French comedy The only compensation lies in his contributions to the novel and the help he gave the humble actors of the Foire.

CHAPTER XVI

NEW AUTHORS · BOINDIN, LA MOTTE, LAFONT

Despite the popularity of comedy in 1701-15, only four authors whose names are known and whose work has survived began to contribute at this time to the repertory of the Comédie Française. The most important of these, Destouches, will be discussed in the next chapter. As La Motte, chiefly interested in opera, wrote only one play before the end of 1715, the authors mainly to be considered are Boindin, who composed three comedies, and Lafont, who wrote four All of the eight that were printed during the period were published at Paris by Pierre Ribou Seven of the eight comedies are in one act, one is in three acts. Only two of them have prologues. Four are in prose, four, in alexandrines or "vers libres" The scenes of four are laid in or near Paris, of four, in Italy or in or near Greece. This is a much larger proportion of plays whose scenes are laid outside of France than one finds among the comedies of older authors.

One of the plays dramatizes the ancient tale of the Ephesian widow, another renews a coniedy by Boisrobert, while the rest have plots invented by the authors, with some assistance from Molière and his contemporaries and, in one case, from mythology. The unities are preserved except that in two comedies the unity of action is violated. Boindin's Bal d'Auteuil alone offended the proprieties, but the offense seems to have been committed by the actors rather than the author. Some of the comedies lay considerable stress on spectacle, showing a garden lighted for a ball, an ancient tomb, the sea, and a funereal pyre. In all, the interest is centered in the plot rather than in the study of character or the representation of manners. Valets and suivantes have important rôles in most of them. Use is made of speech peculiarities, exoticism, inythology, confusion of names, smoking, parody of tragedy. We find for the first time a French play the heroine of which is a modern Jewess.

Nicolas Boindin was born at Paris in 1676. Twenty years later he joined the musketeers, but his poor health obliged him to leave the service. As a young author he frequented the café Laurent and was involved in the affair of the couplets. Voltaire attacked him as a "raisonneur avec un fausset aigre," as a censorious person incapable of praising others, but Boindin's atheism, which got him into trouble with spiritual authorities and inspired J.-B Rousseau's reference to "cet athée au teint blême, à l'œil triste," brought Voltaire subsequently to his defense. He declared that Boindin always lived "cn philosophe" and that his morals were irreproachable.

He was a "procureur du roi et des trésoriers de France," as well as a member of the Academy of Inscriptions. Cardinal Fleury is said to have prevented his election to the French Academy. He died in 1751.1

Besides three comedies, possibly four,2 he wrote accounts of the Comédie Française, the Comédie Italienne, the Opera, and the Foires of Saint-Germain and Saint-Laurent, as well as treatises on Roman political divisions and on ancient theaters, costumes, and masks. After his death two works were published under his name defending J.-B Rousseau in regard to the couplets and blaming La Motte, Saurin, and Malafer.

The first of his plays is LES TROIS GASCONS.8 in one act and in prose. derived, as the frères Parfaict suggest, from Boisrobert's Trois Orontes. In both plays a Parisian has engaged his daughter to a man from Bordeaux whom he has never seen, the girl's lover, with the help of servants, pretends to be the man from Bordeaux, and the latter's sweetheart comes in pursuit of him, disguised as a man and also claiming to be he. In both plays the real man from Bordeaux is accused of assuming his name and is threatened In the end the marriages of the Parisian lovers, of the couple from Bordeaux, and of the clever servants are arranged. There can be no doubt about the horrowing, but the alterations are considerable.

Boundan cut the play to one act, omitted some of Bousrobert's characters, and paid little attention to details of manners that the older author had in-The plot is greatly simplified and the dénouement is easily reached by Boindin's making of the lady from Bordcaux an awe-inspiring person who easily wins back her errant lover The action is rapid, the dialogue, bright and amusing The play profits by the use of Gascon dialect, natural to two of the characters and well imitated by a third. It possesses little originality in substance or in turns of phrase, but it probably amused by the simplicity of the action, the gaiety of the dialogue, and the effect of repetition when we meet in turn an imitation Spadagnac, a real one, and a Gascon woman who also claims the name 5

Œuvres of 1753

¹Cf the Biographie générale, Voltaire (Moland edition), VIII, 563, and XIV, 42, 93, the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale, and H A Grubbs, Jean-Baptists Rousseau, Princeton, 1941 According to the 1828 edition of Lesage's Œuvres (II, 3578), Boindan was the original of the censorious critic referred to Gil Blas ¹The Bib du th fr. attributes to him a comedy in one act and in prose called Le Petit maître de robe that was never performed This play, according to Soleinne, Vol V. "Corrections et Additions," p 71, was published in the edition of Boindin's

^{*}Paris, Prere Ribou, 1702, 12°, republished in Boindin's Euvres, Paris, Prault, 1753, Brussels, Josse de Griech, 1754 (attributed to Dancourt), in the Petite Bibliothèque du théâtre, Paris, 1787, in the Théâtre de Boindin, ed Touquet, Paris, 1821, in the Suite du Répertoire, Paris, veuve Dabo, 1822, and by Ad Rion, Paris, 1878 There seems to be nothing to support the claim that La Motte collaborated in this play A Dutch adaptation, which attributed the play to Dancourt, was published at Amsterdam in 1730. lished at Amsterdam in 1730

Cf my op cst, Part II, pp 745-8
Lucile and Eraste are in love, but Lucile's father, Oronte, has promised her to

In the slightness of the plot, the prominent use of clever servants, the employment of disguise and dialectal pronunciations, and the final divertissement, Boundin shows himself to be a follower of Dancourt, though he pays much less attention to manners. His playlet was acted eight times in 1701, from June 4 to 30, four times in 1730, and three times in 1750.

The following summer Boindin produced another comedy, less simple in plot, with more elaborate use of disguise, and with less caution in regard to the suggestiveness of the situations. The play was entitled LE BAL D'AUTEUIL. The scene is laid in what was then a suburb of Paris. According to the frères Parfaict, the play was originally in one act, but, when it was published, it was divided into three acts and a prologue. This prologue was subsequently omitted.

We find again a girl between two lovers, one of whom, though he is favored by a male relative, she is unwilling to marry, while she loves the other and is helped in her affair by clever servants But to this theme Boindin added the story of a wife who, when disguised, makes her husband fall in love with her, r servants who have a similar experience, and two young women who, when disguised as men, attract each other till each discovers that the other is not a man. Only one of these subordinate plots is essential to the main plot The unity of the play lies, not in the action, but in the fact that all the themes are made possible by the masked ball given at Auteuil.

M de Spadagnac because he belongs to an ancient family and because the marriage is desired by Oronte's wealthy brother Frontin, Spadagnac's valet, has preceded him to Paris, bought clothes for him, and has fallen in love with Lucile's maid, Marton, who will not marry him unless he helps her mistress and Eraste When the latter bribes him, Frontin enters his service and proposes to disguise Eraste as Spadagnac, giving him the clothes he had purchased and shown to Oronte Eraste, wearing these clothes, is presented to Oronte, speaks with a strong Gascon accent, is accepted, and asks for a notary At this point Spadagnac arrives, talks as a Gascon, and asks who Eraste is Oronte concludes that one of them is a rascal. Both produce portraits of Lucile Spadagnac goes to find someone to identify him. Both produce portraits of Lucile Spadagnac goes to find someone to identify him. Thereupon Julie enters, disguised as a man, and claims to be Spadagnac Frontin whispers to her that he has disguised Eraste as the Gascon in order to prevent the latter from marrying Lucile When Spadagnac returns, he tells Julie that he still loves her and is marrying Lucile only for her money Julie threatens to kill him if he refuses to marry her Spadagnac renounces Lucile, Oronte accepts Eraste to replace the Gascon, and a notary is summoned Frontin declares that "Nos Basques et nos Gasconnes" have arrived and that "nous n'avons qu'à nous divertir" preparation for the divertissement that ends the play The music, according to the frères Parfaict, was written by Gilliers

*Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1702, 12° Republished in Boindin's *Œuores*, Paris, Prault fils, 1746 and 1753, in the *Théâtre de Boindin*, ed Touquet, Paris, 1821, in the Suite du Répertoire, Paris, veuve Dabo, 1822, and by Ad Rion, Paris, 1878

*A theme already treated by Chevalier and Dorimond, cf my op cit, Part III,

p 212 3, 324

M Cidaris has agreed to marry his sister, Hortence, to M Vulpin, a wealthy bachelor of Auteul, who is preparing to give a masked ball in her honor Eraste, who loves Hortence, has placed his valet, Frontin, in Vulpin's service under the name of Lolive Acting under Hortence's orders, Frontin has summoned Eraste to Auteuil M Cidaris has sent his wife to Paris in order that he may see a masked

Vulpin, a nobleman who has led a gay life as a bachelor, now wishes to marry in order to "faire souche." As Frontin explains (I, 1),

Il a goûté jusqu'ici, dans le célibat, tous les plaisirs du mariage, et se marie enfin par bienséance, pour goûter, dans le mariage, toutes les douceurs du célibat.

He seems quite unable to cope with Frontin or with the ladies of Auteuil whom he has promised to marry. He relies chiefly on his gardener, Lucas, an amusing character who speaks in patois and has similar functions to those of his namesake in Dufresny's Esprit de contradiction, on whom he was probably modeled. Frontin has a rôle similar to the one he played in Boindin's earlier comedy, except that he lets himself be tricked by Marton and that he has acquired enough boldness to deceive by telling the truth, as he does in talking about himself to Lucas (I, 2) and, again, to Vulpin (I, 5):

Tenez, Monsieur, c'est un coquin qui s'insinue dans vos affaires, qui s'empresse de vous servir, que vous croyez dans vos intérêts, et qui, dans le fond, ne cherche qu'à vous attraper

Madame Cidaris and Marton are women clever enough to deceive the men they love and bring them to order, but one may well doubt if their prospect for happiness is bright. Hortence is virtuous and devoted, quick to refuse to be married against her will, but more attractive to Eraste than to the audience. The other women are probably courtesans, but not necessarily so. The scenes in which they fall in love while disguised as men could easily have homosexual developments, but these, in the printed text, are avoided.

The play has a clever dialogue and scenes that shift rapidly. Our sympathy is not roused by any of the characters, nor does the author take pains to explain away the illogical elements of the situations. Disguise is extensively employed, there is a good deal of comic repetition, and Lucas's

woman he had met at an entertainment. Lucas, the gardener, reports that village girls have quarreled with the violinists whom Vulpin had engaged and spoiled their instruments. Menine and Lucinde, women of dubious reputation whom Vulpin had promised to marry, come to the dance disguised as men. Each falls in love with the other. Lucas helps them meet. Each promises to introduce the other to a girl she knows. They leave the stage, put on women's clothes, meet again, and discover that both are women. Meanwhile Mine Cidaris and her sweaks, Marton, come masked to the dance. Cidaris makes love to his wife, Frontin, to Marton. Mine Cidaris agrees to yield if Cidaris will marry Hortence to Eraste. He consents and signs the contract, but only to discover that the masked woman he loves is his wife, whom he hates. Frontin, after making disparaging remarks about Marton to his new love, similarly discovers that she and Marton are one and the same. Menine and Lucinde reproach Vulpin for breaking his promises to them, while Cidaris is indignant with him for seeking to marry Hortence while having other engagements. As he can no longer win Hortence and as Menine withdraws, Vulpin is obliged to sign the contract to marry Lucinde. Marton agrees to pardon Frontin if he will marry her. To celebrate the three marriages, "les masques et les ménétriers viennent d'arriver tout à propos." for the divertissement with music by Gilliers.

speech is amusing in its patois. These devices follow naturally their use in Les Trois Gascons.

Le Bal d'Auteurl was first acted on Aug. 22, 1702 It was played four times in that month and six times later in the year, on and after Nov. 7. It must have been acted at Versailles on the evening of Jan. 1, 1703, for the next day Louis XIV was moved to anger by the report he had received of the performance

Le roi, qui ne va plus à la comédie depuis plusieurs années, dit à son petit eoucher, au marquis de Gesvres, qu'il venoit d'apprendre que les comédiens avoient joué le soir devant Monseigneur et Madame la duchesse de Bourgogne une petite pièce fort licencieuse, et qu'il puniroit leur insolence. Il lui commanda en même temps de faire venir les comédiens et de les avertir de sa part que, si jamais ils retomboient dans une faute approchante ou que même ils jouassent à Paris de si scandaleuses, ils scroient cassés sur-le-camp .

Maupoint mentioned the matter briefly in his Bibliotèque des théâtres. The frères l'arfanct merely referred to Maupoint. In the edition of his works that appeared shortly after his death, Boindin explained that Louis's sister-in-law was shocked by the manner in which a scene between Lucinde and Menine (II, 4) was played, when the girls, disguised as men, "se faisoient des avances réciproques et des agaceries qui parurent suspectes, ou du moins équivoques à la Princesse Palatine." 10 It was, then, not the words, but the acting that offended, a fact that explains why there was no attempt to suppress the published comedy or to prevent the author from writing another The actors, however, must have thought it wiser to discontinue performances of the play, which was never acted again 11

The third and the most successful of Boundin's comedies was LE PORT DE MER,12 which possesses qualities similar to those of the author's earlier productions, but also an exotic element that may partially account for its long career It is the first French play in which one of the leading male characters is a modern Jew and in which the heroine is a modern Jewess. The musical element, the introduction of a Turk called Hali, and the use of lingua frança recall Molière's Sicilien, the suggestion of bankruptcy, Champmeslé's Rue de Saint Denis.18

[•] Dangeau, Journal, IX, 82

10 Cited by Mélèse, Th et Pub, p 80

11 It was not forgotten, however, for Dr Zeek finds in it the source of Boissy's Amant de sa femme, acted in 1721, ef C F Zeek, Jr, Louis de Boissy, Grenoble, 1914, pp 29-30

²² Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1704, 12° Republished in Boindin's Œuires, Paris, Prault, 1753, in Touquet's ed. Paris, 1821, in the Suite du Répertoire. Paris, veuve Dabo, 1822, and by Ad Rion, Paris, 1878 The evidence that La Motte collaborated in the composition of this play is not convincing

18 Sabatin, a Jewish merchant of Leghorn, has a daughter, Benjamine, whom he has promised to a Marseilles shipbuilder called Doutremer The latter's nephew,

The plot is full of improbable encounters and of devices that have little effect upon the dénouement, which a mere meeting of uncle and nephew would probably have brought about, but it serves to bring together a rare collection of comic types, whose amusing dialogue is set off by disguises, dancing, lingua franca, and the singing of a song in Italian

Sabatin is a prosperous Jew, who receives stolen goods, sells slaves, and is not averse to bankruptcy, "le fin du commerce." He is defined by Brigantin as "l'usure, la dureté, la défiance, et la fraude, le parjure, avec quelques règles d'arithmétique" (se 2) The last of these qualities is illustrated by his remark that "le eœur n'est qu'un zéro dans un mariage bien sensé" (sc 7). The play cannot, however, be considered anti-Semitic, for to lus daughter is attributed all the generosity, tenderness, and beauty that he lacks, while the Gentiles are not better than he Léandre, who has stolen jewels, La Saline, who has left Paris with other people's moncy, Brigantin, a convict who cannot resist putting his hand in Léandre's pocket, Hali, who gets the jewels from Sabatin, and Doutremer, a rough customer, accused of being a pirate and probably interested in the slave trade

The most humorous character is Brigantin, who has found the theater the best place for picking pockets. He seems to have attended a performance of *Andromaque*, for, with reference to his meeting La Saline at Leghorn, he quotes (sc. 2)

Qui l'eût dit qu'un rivage, à mes yeux si funeste, Dût présenter d'abord Pilade aux yeux d'Oreste 16

In the same scene he affirms his love of plays, his insistence on preserving silence during their performance, and the regularity of his attendance in the theater, "où le plus discrètement qu'il m'était possible. Je m'emparais des épées pour prévenir les querelles, et des tabatières pour empêcher les éternuemens" He realizes that it would be useless for him to acquire

Léandre, has escaped to Italy with his uncle's jewels and a valet, La Saline, who had left France with stolen money, had lost it in a shipwreck, and now, to serve Léandre, has secured a position as one of Sabatin's agents. He meets a convict, Brigantin, formerly employed by Léandre, and enlists his services as well as those of a Turkish convict, Hali. Under pretext of arranging for Sabatin profitable bankriptcy, La Saline introduces singing and dancing slaves, among them Brigantin, disguised as an Esclatonne, and Leandre, disguised as a Moor. While Brigantin describes his imaginary adventures to Sahatin, Leandre makes love to Benjamine. Doutremer, who has disguised the Jewess by smoking a pipe and insisting on marriage without courtship, is accused by Brigantin of marrying women in order to sell them into slavery. This he denies, but, when he recognizes Léandre and finds that he loves the girl, he decides to withdraw in his favor, provided he can recover his jewels Stolen and pawned by Léandre, secured hy Sabatin, stolen again by Hali, these jewels are now hrought in by the Turk, who understands they are to be used to help the bankruptcy proceedings. Doutremer takes possession of them. Léandre will marry Benjamine, La Saline, her suivante, Marine. Brigantin prefers to remain a convict. The play ends in a divertissement with music by Gilliers.

**Andromaque, I, I. He changes Présenteroit to Dût présenter.

respectability, for "j'ai pris mon parti, je commence à me faire au service; et d'ailleurs, il y faudrait toujours revenir."

Doutremer is characterized by his smoking a pipe, his promise that, after a month of matrimony, Benjamine will know how to "fumer comme un janissaire," his abrupt talk of marriage, and his nautical references. These last are found in the speech of other characters when they talk about him. Even the names of several persons have a flavor of the sea—Marine, La Saline, Doutremer, Brigantin,—just as the two Hebrews are appropriately called Sabatin and Benjamine.

The lingua franca employed appears chiefly in sc. 4, when Hali learns the legal nature of bankruptcy:

Habit qualchi scrupuli, e volit sapir che star gambarutta? Oh! dir-mi, signornou povir far niente, sc non sapir E non star friponaria? E la justicia
non impicar? In conscienza? Oh! non habir più di scrupuli, e star presto à la
gambarutta

The play was first acted on May 27, 1704. By July 2 it had been performed nineteen times, by the end of 1715, sixty times. During this period it was acted more frequently than any other play by an author who began to write after 1700. It remained in the repertory till 1787, with a total of 208 performances. Voltaire called it a "jolie comédie" The frères Parfaict cite a contemporary who referred to it as "une très-jolie Pièce d'un Acte, suivie d'une Fête Marine" They praise it for "la marche de l'intrigue, la coupe des Scenes, la peinture des personnages, & la vivacité du dialogue." Their only objection to it is that its hero marries a Jewess. Evidently the author, the troupe, and the spectators who came frequently to performances of the play were less prejudiced than these historians.

Boundin was probably incapable of writing a full-length comedy. He showed no ability at creating character or constructing a plot. He made too much of disguise and of valets. But he could write racy dialogue, never allowed his audience a dull moment, and showed an increasing variety of comic devices. To write a play with a Jawass for a heroine and to have in his cast a shipbuilder and two galériens, one of them a Turk who speaks lingua franca, shows that he might have done much to broaden the scope of French comedy had he continued to apply his talents to dramatic composition

Houdar de La Motte (1672-1731), well known for his attack on poetry, had already written several operas and was to distinguish himself in tragedy with his *Inès de Castro* Early in the eighteenth century he made one venture into comedy by composing La Matrone n'Ephèse ¹⁷ The subject

¹⁶ Moland edition, XIV, 42
17 Published in the author's Œuvres de théâtre, Paris, Dupuis, 1730, and in his Œuvres. Paris, Prault aîné, 1754.

must have been known to him in the forms given it by Petronius in his Satiricon (CXI) and by La Fontaine in his conte rather than as it appeared in Mainfray's Ephésienne or in Fatouville's Matrone d'Ephèse. Like Petronius and unlike La Fontaine, La Motte has his widow receive other visitors than the soldier and has the latter seek death when he hears that the corpse he was supposed to guard has been taken away, but, as in La Fontaine, not as in Petronius, it is the attendant who proposes to substitute the husband's body.

La Motte wrote his comedy in one act and in prose. He eliminated the spectacle of the dead pirate and limited the place to a space before the handsome tomb. To the widow, her lover, and their attendants he added the young man's father, his valet, and an army cook. The old man's love of the widow is a new element in the tale, one that explains his presence at the tomb and helps to complete the dénouement and to assure us of a respectable future for the lovers. Preparation is carefully made, the coarser elements of the old story are eliminated, and the playlet is given perfect unity.¹⁸

The widow's character is well portrayed. A highly susceptible person, she had fallen in love in a moment, had derived much physical pleasure from her experience, and, when she lost her husband, had believed that life is not worth living. She rejects the advice of Chrisante, whose seventy years leave her cold, but, when his son admires her, she begins to think of her looks, sees in the youth the soul of the deceased, and soon yields to his

18 Cf my op cst, Part I, pp 115-21, and Part IV, pp 608-11 Fatouville's play, written for the Théâtre Italien, has survived only in its French scenes, which have almost nothing to do with Petronius's tale For a list of versions of the story of Regnier's edition of La Fontaine, VI, 63-86

To Euphémie, when about to consecrate herself to Diana, had fallen in love with a young man, had married him, and had loved him so passionately that, when he died, she had gone, two days before the play begins, to pine away in his tomb. She was accompanied by her suivante, Frosine, who had made a similar vow, but who has been receiving supplies from Licas, valet of old Chrisante. The latter loves the widow and urges her to renounce her intention of dying, but he meets with no success. As he leaves the tomb with Licas at night, they stumble into a cook and Straton, a valet, and cause them to drop a supper they were carrying to an officer. This young man is Chrisante's son, Sostrate. He commands the soldiers who are guarding the body of a man of importance, recently hanged. After Chrisante has departed, Sostrate comes to look for his valet, orders him to pick in the food, hears Euphémie's moans, and meets her when she emerges from the tomb. He has already heard of her beauty and of her devotion to her husband's memory. He makes love skillfully and rapidly. She is soon deeply interested and agrees to partake of the supper. The servants improvise a table and eat with their master and mistress. Chrisante, returning to the tomb, is shocked to find them cating and denounces both Euphémie and his son. Straton now brings the news that the body of the man who had been hanged has been carried off. Sostrate wishes to kill himself, but his father snatches his sword away and it is proposed that a substitute corpse be procured. As Straton is too short and Licas is too fat, Frosine suggests the body of Euphémie's husband. To save his son, Chrisante promises that, if the widow will lend the corpse, he will approve of her marrying Sostrate. The widow does not refuse, the body will be substituted, and the marriage will take place.

persuasions. La Motte presents her so tenderly that we are not allowed to see her final yielding, nor hear her actually consent to the use to which her husband's body is to be put. But the fact remains that in three days this "exemple des veuves" has buried one husband and acquired another.

Sostrate is evidently a man of high rank, chosen by the government to carry out an important mission, ready to kill himself when he finds that, through the negligence of his men, he has failed in his duty. He is an ardent and tactful lover, who humors the widow till he feels that he has made sufficient progress to predict that she will love him for himself rather than for his resemblance to his predecessor. The other characters are primarily comic elderly Chrisante in love with a young woman, cowardly and energetic Straton, Frosine who understands her mistress and says what the widow would like to say if the proprieties allowed, and Licas who entertains us with his patois.

The comic element is found primarily in the cynical nature of the subject with the sharp contrast between the widow's grief and the demands of the flesh. There is also comic material in Chrisante's impotent wrath, in the encounter at night with the momentary loss of the supper, in the cook's despair, in Straton's terrors, in the references to food and drink, and in the observations of Licas about the widow and her dead husband

ils étiont morgué si afolés l'un de l'autre, qu'on ne les eut jamais pris pour mari & femme ça use terriblement un jeuns homme (sc 1)

It may have been remarks of this kind that shocked the duchesse d'Orléans, herself only recently a widow, and caused her to write on Dec. 14, 1702, that the play was "pleine d'impertinence" Perhaps her prudishness prevented its having a longer run than it did, for there were only nine performances in 1702, beginning on Sept 23, and it was not revived after that year. The author deserved much credit for adapting the tale to the taste of his day, keeping the comic element without the gruesome details, but the subject was not one that could long withstand early eighteenth-century reverence for the proprieties

The carcer of Joseph de Lafont is not unlike that of Boindin in that each wrote only a few short plays, all comedies, and then ceased to contribute to the Comédie Française, but without losing interest in drama. They were, however, quite different in character—Boindin was an avowed atheist, a sour critic, and a man of excellent morality, while Lafont, kindly and dissipated, concerned with his verses rather than with his morals, was a fanciful dramatist of Regnard's school rather than of Dancourt's.

Son of a procureur au parlement, he was born at Paris in 1686, pieferred

the theater to the practice of law, became a friend of La Thorillière, and wrote plays with this actor in mind that gave him in the eighteenth century an assured position among minor dramatists. He subsequently composed a number of operas, the most celebrated of which, Les Fêtes de Thalie, had nearly eighty performances in 1714. He learned rôles of kings and peasants in the hope of becoming an actor, but, worn by gambling, wine, and a wandering existence from one inn to another, he fell ill of "langueur" and died on March 20, 1725.21

According to his death notice in the Mercure, he was known for "le mérite de l'esprit " and " la bonté de son cœur " His aim, as stated in the preface of his Amour vengé, was to write short comedies that would keep the spectators "en haleme," but would not descend to the methods of the

N'avilissons point un théatre aussi noble que le nôtre il faut jetter toutes ces ordures basses & triviales hors de la Ville, & les laisser aux spectacles grossiers des fauxbourgs de Paris 22

To these principles he adhered in the four plays that he composed, all of which are brief, pleasing, and free from "ordures." None of them, on the other hand, shows much comic force or psychological insight

His first two plays suggest that he had been caught by the vogue of the fairy-tale and by that of the Arabian Nights, only recently made known to the French public The first of them, DANAÉ OU JUPITER CRISPIN,23 also owes inspiration to ancient mythology, to Molière, and probably to La In Amphitryon Molière employs "vers libres," brings Jupiter and Mercury to earth, has Jupiter make love successfully to a mortal woman, and has an unattractive woman make love unsuccessfully to Mercury. Lafont adapts similarly to the modern stage a story related by Hyginus in his Fable LXIII, one that tells of a princess locked up in a tower 24 and rescued by a lover, a tale to delight Perrault or Mme d'Aulnoy.25

²¹ Cf the frères Parfaict, XV, 155-8, Petitot, Répertoire, Paris, 1804, XIX, 79-85; and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale

²² That is, to the theaters of the Foire As the remark was published in 1712, it echoes attacks made upon the forains by other authors when writing for the Comédie Française, Dancourt, Legrand, and Lesage

22 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1707, 12° Republished in the Nouveau théâtre françois,

Utrecht, Néaulme, 1734, and in Lafont's Theatre, Amsterdam, Marteau, 1746
24 The fact that this is a "tour d'airain" cannot come from Hyginus, but may

have been derived from Ovid's Amores, 11, 19, 27

have been derived from Ovid's Amores, 11, 19, 27

25 As Jupiter has heard that King Acrisius, fearing that he will be slain by a son born to his daughter, Danaé, has shut her up in a tower, he disguises himself as Crispin and, accompanied by Mercury, sets out to visit the girl He bribes first the soldiers who guard the tower, then old Tiphaé, the girls governess While Mercury watches for Juno, Jupiter meets Danaé, who promptly falls in love with him, and leads her under neighboring trees. Juno arrives, disguised as Dame Gigogne, discusses the situation with Mercury, and goes off to persuade Vulcan to make for

But, as he tells us in his prologue, it was too daring to introduce gods into a short comedy and have them talk seriously. He was obliged to "les travestir en ridicule" and to make of his playlet a "Crispinerie." In so doing he may have been influenced by La Thorillière and by his recollection of the Théâtre Italien. The farcical coloring he gives to the myth is shown in the rôle of Jupiter, who fears Argive soldiers, squeezes his thunderbolt into his pocket, stands in awe of Juno, and, in order to silence her, gives up Danaé. The "Auteur du firmament" has become a bourgeois husband who allows himself only brief and carefully guarded departures from his domestic duties. Juno serves chiefly to make possible the old comic quarrel between husband and wife and to hasten the dénouement. Mercury is in his traditional rôle of go-between and humorous commentator. He alludes to characters familiar to the Parisian public (sc. 9).

Jupiter en Crispin, Vous en Dame Gigogne? Puissai-je devenir un cadet de Gascogne,

and points out the difficulty of Jupiter's situation

Après quatre mil ans peut-on aimer sa femme? Car à bien supputer le tems, Vous goutez de l'hymen depuis quatre mil ans, Tandis que maintenant l'on maudit le ménage, Après aix mois de mariage

He is also comic, as he had been in Amphitryon, when he resists the advances of an unprepossessing woman. Tiphaé, who leans on a stick, is amusing both when she seeks to attract Mercury and when she rapidly yields to bribery. Her ward has a naive rôle, like that of the boy in la Coupe enchantee. She has apparently seen only animals and Tiphaé before meeting Jupiter. She frankly expresses her feeling for him, refuses to leave him when he hears of approaching danger, tells Juno that she has "l'air de ma guenon," and, when she is told that Polydeetes will be her husband, asks, "Et qu'est-ce qu'un Epoux?"

Lafont makes no effort to explain her readiness to accept the situation, or Juno's willingness to renounce her vengeance. He hurries us along like the author of a fairy-tale with no thought of reality. The form he selected, that of twelve-syllable and eight-syllable lines, gracefully arranged in shifting rime-schemes, is well adapted to the fancifulness of the theme, as is the setting of the play with "la Mer Egée" in the background and

her keys to the tower Tiphaé makes love to Mercury, offering him the purse that Jupiter has given her, but to no avail The gods make themselves known Juno, unable to get help from Vulcan, returns, scolds Jupiter, and threatens Danaé till Mercury proposes they make peace Juno agrees on condition Danaé be sent off to marry King Polydectes Jupiter consents to this solution of the problem and takes his wife home on his eagle

"une Tour d'airain où Danaé est enfermée." He did not, however, put the actors to the difficult task of turning Jupiter into a shower of gold. Instead, he merely had the soldiers ask for the shower and find in their pockets the gold that Jupiter had promised them.

In order to prevent criticism, Lafont composed a preface, as Palaprat had done for le Grondeur It is spoken by L'Amour and La Critique. L'Amour has come to find out whether the play will appeal to a "spectateur fin, délicat, habile." La Critique declares that she has come only because the play is new and that she expects to yawn and whistle, for applause is no longer fashionable. L'Amour warns her that he has taken the author under his protection, but La Critique insists upon making a failure of the play and looks for the assistance of "Abbez" and "plumets" When she lias left. L'Amour begs the parterre for applause and warns of his power:

> Vous avez vû jusqu'à present Des Avares, des Misantropes, Des Fourbes, des Grondeurs, des Joheurs, des Esopes, J'av forcé chacun d'eux à devenir Amant 20

L'Amour was not notably successful in his plea, for the play, first acted on July 4, 1707, was produced only eight times at the Comédie Française.27 but the author was sufficiently encouraged to make, three years later, a second attempt, again with a "Crispinerie."

This was Le Naufrage, ou la Pompe funèbre de Crispin.28 an exotic. farcical, and somewhat spectacular little play based on two laws of a strange land one, that everyone must marry, the other that, when a husband or wife dies, the widow or widower must be burned on the funeral pyre of the deceased mate. The first law enables the author to create a difficult situation, the second, combined with the opportune arrival of the hero, makes it possible for him to remove the difficulty The plot is well conceived, but it could have given rise to more ample comic developments than those we are allowed to witness.29

the Fourberies de Scapin, but in that comedy Scapin is not a lover if it was acted by the Italian troupe on July 25, 1721, on its opening day at the Théâtre du Faubourg Saint-Laurent, cf Maurice Albert, les Théâtres de la Foire,

Marine has married an islander, Piracmon, of whom she approves, while Eliante,

²⁶ L'Avare, le Misanthrope, le Port de mer, le Grondeur, le Joueur, and les Fables d'Esope had all been given in 1706 One might suppose that "Fourbes" refers to

Théâtre du Faubourg Saint-Laurent, ef Maurice Albert, les Theâtres de la Fowe, Paris, Hachette, 1900, pp 100-1

18 Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1710, 12°, in the Nouveau théâtre françois, Utrecht, Néaulme, 1734, in Lafont's Théâtre, Amsterdam, Marteau, 1746, in the Peiste Bibliothèque, Paris, Belin, 1789, in the Répertoires of 1818, 1819, 1821, 1823, in the Auteurs du second ordre, 1809, in the Théâtre edited by Touquet, 1821, and in the Répertoire, Paris, Didot, 1824 It was translated into Dutch in 1730

18 French nobleman, Licandre, has eloped with Eliante, taking along his valet, Crispin, and her suivante, Marine A shipwreck has placed Eliante and the servants on an island called Salamandros, while Licandre is supposed to have been drowned Marine has marined an islander. Piragram of whom she amproves, while Eliante.

As in the earlier play, Crispin is the principal character. Since his cleverness, chiefly aimed at getting the better of Eliante, is foiled by Piracmon, he is primarily a cowardly and outwitted valet, struggling for his life, with his insistence that he is Eliante's husband turned against him, and saved by no actions of his own. The other persons are but slightly characterized. The play has a lively dialogue and rapid action. There is a satirical reference in sc. 5 to French girls' eagerness for matrimony. Two lines in sc 12 parody le Cid

Pleurez, pleurez mes yeux, & fondez-vous en eau, La moitié de Crispin mettra l'autre au tombeau

The spectacular element is considerable. At the beginning the stage represents

une Isle sauvage on y voit quelques habitations dans des Rochers escarpés, & un peu plus loin l'on découvre la Mer, dont le rivage est couvert de débris de vaisseaux.

In sc. 12 Fhante appears, covered with flowers, upon a "bucher" that is "au pied d'un Mausolée galant, où l'Amour est representé portant le portrait de Crispin" To the sound of musical instruments and by the light of torches Crispin is led to the pyre. There is dancing and singing. The alexandrine couplets in which most of the play is written are varied by songs that employ verses of 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 12 syllables, by "article XIII" of the Law couched in verses of 8 and 12 syllables, by a prose letter, and by Crispin's final stanza addressed to the parterre in 8-syllable verse

The play was first acted on June 14, 1710, with music composed by Gilliers. It was acted fifteen times in that year and remained in the reportory until 1790. The total number of performances was seventy-three.

After these two excursions abroad, Lafont attempted two comedies dealing with the life of his contemporaries, though his manner remains far

to escape a similar fate, has, with her consent, been married to Crispin by the high priest of the island and now refuses to allow the consummation of the marriage As a week has passed without news of Licandre, Crispin insists upon his privileges as a husband and appeals to the governor. This dignitary demands the consummation of the marriage or the death of Eliante. The women appeal to Piracinon, who suggests that Eliante pretend to be dead and that Crispin be informed that he must be burned with her body. When the plan is carried out, the valet argues that he has never really been Eliante's husband, but the governor refuses to accept his plea, Eliante is shown on a pyre, and Crispin is ordered to join her. Fortunately Licandre had reached another island after the shipwreck. He now appears with a note from its governor. He is told that Eliante is dead, but, when she hears his voice, she reveals the fact that she has pretended death in order to escape from Crispin and declares herself to be Licandre's wife. The high priest annuls her first marriage and unites her to Licandre. The governor promises to find a wife next day for Crispin, who, however, insists upon a contract that will excuse him from dying if he should lose this new wife. The play ends with dancing, singing, and verses addressed by Crispin to the parterre

from realistic. The first of them is L'Anour vencé. In his preface Lafont points out that recent five-act comedies, owing to the fact that they have in them "beaucoup de vuide," have met with little success. Unless one has Molière's fire, it is hard to hold the spectators "en haleine" during five acts. He consequently prefers to write a one-act comedy and to hurry the action in order to make it more effective.

The play is chiefly a comedy of intrigue, though the plot is far from being complex.³² It has only two effective scenes, the tenth and fifteenth, in which the hero and heroine seek to amuse themselves at each other's expense and end by falling in love. In the second of these scenes the rôle of Nérine recalls that of Dorine when she reunites the young lovers in *Tartuffe*. In order to increase the comic element, the author brought in an absurd Gascon, a sort of miles gloriosus, though he brags only of his prowess in love, and attempted a scene of manners by referring to two restaurants, those of Fitte and Payen, and by introducing a passage in which various professions are listed (sc. 1). ***

Tiens, chacun se deguise, & l'on s'est fait un point De passer en public pour ce que l'on n'est point L'Usurier veut paroître un prudent œconome Tout Procureur voudra passer pour honnête homme, Tout Asne pour Docteur, tout Poltron pour Ceyar, Tout visage en couleur pour visage sans fard Tout Partisan rusé qui pille la Province Pour un sujet qui prend l'interêt de son Prince. Tout petit Sous Fernuer, tout Traittant, tout Voleur Pour homine delicat en matière d'honneur, Tout Amant un peu sier pour Amant sans tendresse

The author admits in his preface that he was criticized for hastening his action too greatly, for having his hero and heroinc become interested in each other too quickly, and for lack of reality in the presentation of the Gascon. To these criticisms he fails to make a convincing reply. The plot is so

as For similar dramatic lists of my op cit, Part III, pp 823, 830, Part IV, pp 431, 828-9

²⁰ Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1712, 12° Dedicated to d'Argenson Republished in the Nouveau théâtre françois, Utrecht, Néaulme, 1734, in Lafont's Théâtre, Amsterdam, Martcau, 1746 It was translated into Dutch in 1740

¹¹ is true that no five act comedy first played in 1709-12 had many performances of Orgon would marry his nephcw, Clidains, to Araminte's niece, Lucile, but the young people show such indifference to each other that the girl's aint agrees to marry her to a Gascon, the Chevalier de la Fanfaronière Clidamis's valet, Merlin, and Lucile's suvante, Nérine, pretend to the young people that each is loved by the other and persuade them to encourage each other in order that each may laugh at the other's expense But when Clidainis pretends to make love, Lucile feels deeply moved, and, after she leaves the stage, Clidamis admits that he has been similarly affected Lucile refuses to marry the Gascon, who retires after he has expressed his indignation. The notary who had come in order to oblige de la Fanfaronière is employed by Orgon and Araminte to draw up the contract for the marriage of Clidamis and Lucile Merlin, proud of his stratagem, is accepted by Nerine.

hurried that there are few comic situations and we are not convinced that there was ever much of an obstacle to be overcome. A Gascon had appeared in several seventeenth-century plays and quite recently in Boundin's Trois Gascons. Lafont represents him as weighing five times as much as Clidamis, using characteristics of Gascon speech,34 and being infatuated with his charms, though others laugh at him. Lafont asserts that there will be men of this kind so long as there are Gascons, but one may doubt if any Gascon ever went to such lengths. The character is a survival of the ancient Plautine tradition and must have seemed quite out of place in a play that might in other respects have been considered a predecessor of Mariyaux

The comedy at first made an excellent impression. Though first produced as late as Oct. 14, it was acted sixteen times in 1712. It was given, however, only seven times thereafter, once in 1713 and six times in 1722. Before the author could have known that it would not have the success of his Naufrage, he had composed the play that did most to establish his reputation and was, like l'Amour vengé, a Parisian comedy.

This was LES Trois Frères Rivaux, 35 the first idea of which, according to the preface, was suggested to the author during the winter of 1713 by a friend who had "beaucoup d'esprit et d'érudition." This friend, according to the frères Parfaict, was La Thorillière, for whom in return Lafont composed the rôle of Merlin The suggestion roused many ideas in Lafont, who adds that he had amused "avec assez de noblesse tous les honnêtes gens, c'étoit l'unique but que je m'étois proposé."

The play is based on mistakes in the identification of three brothers named Lisimon, all of whom are captains in the Queen's regiment and each of whom is favored by a member of one family, father, mother, or daughter. Confusion, due in part to the activities of a valet, is followed by explanations that result in the triumph of love *6 The comedy has some resem-

Substituting é for c, bous for tous, and using sandis as an oath Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1713, 12° Dedicated to the marquis de Courcillon, Governor of Touraine Republished in the Nouveau théâtre françois, Utrecht, Néaulme, 1734, in Lafont's Théâtre, Amsterdam, Marteau, 1746, at Naples, Gravier, 1777, at Paris, Delalain, 1776, and Belin, 1788, in the Répertoires of Paris, 1804 (Petitot), 1813, 1818 (Petitot), 1821, and 1824, in the Auteurs du second ordre, 1809, and in the Touquet edition of 1821. It was translated into Dutch in 1734 and 1755.

^{**} Philidor, a prosperous lawyer, would like his daughter to marry a man of his profession, but Angelique prefers an officer Philidor's valet, Merlin, has been bribed profession, but Angélique prefers an officer Philidor's valet, Merlin, has been bribed by three brothers Lisimon to introduce them into the family One is a marquis, one a count, one a chevalier. The father promises Angélique to the marquis, the mother promises her to the count, but she prefers the chevalier. The youngest brother wins over Merlin by bribing him a second time. The marquis arrives with a letter from Philidor, the count, with one from Philidor's wife. While they are in the garden, the lawyer and his wife quarrel over the choice of a son-in-law, but they make peace when they discover that each has chosen Captain Lisimon of the Queen's regiment. Angélique resents her parents' selecting a husband for her till she finds that they, like herself, have chosen Captain Lisimon. Trouble flares up again, however, when there is a difference of opinion as to whether the captain is a marquis,

blance to the Femmes savantes in that a father and mother quarrel over the choice of a husband for their daughter and that a letter, fabricated for the purpose, brings about the discrediting of the hero's rivals. The presence of three brothers, the youngest of whom wins the prize, is a folk theme found in many fairy-tales. The success of the play was due, not to the realism of the manners described or to the analysis of character, but to the verve of the dialogue and to the varied comic scenes, presented with increasing wit up to the logical, if not unexpected dénouement

Merlin, the leading character, delights by his impudence, his avarice, his moments of fear, and the manner in which he ingratiates himself into the good opinion of those he needs to further his interests. The kindly father, anxious to assert his authority, but dreading a conflict with his wife, the latter's calm self-confidence, their daughter's insistence upon choosing her man produce amusing repartee. Even the colorless lovers help create comic situations. One does not regret the absence of a suvante.

The play begins, like Regnard's Joueur, with a lively monologue spoken by Merlin, who draws from his pocket three purses, one after another

> Trois objets ravissans, trois bourses plaines d'or! Qu'un valet est heureux chez monsieur Philidor!

Most of the exposition is contained in this monologue. The scene that follows allows Merlin the opportunity to belittle lawyers, "avec leur air gris-brun," as husbands, in contrast with warriors—a popular theme in time of war.

Plaisant époux, ma foi! qu'un époux à rahat! Car qu'est ee, dites moi, que Damon l'avocat? Un fat, un ignorant balayant la grand'salle, Qui par sa vanité croit que rien ne l'égale, Qui de papiers tout blancs a soin d'emplir son sac, Qui décide de tout et ab hoc et ab hac. Qui s'ecoute parler, qui s'applaudit lui même, Pindarisant ses mots avec un soin extrême

In scene 4 the marquis and the count are made amusing by the manner

a count, or a chevalier. When the marquis and the count appear, Philidor declares that the inarquis is the man, Mme Philidor, that the count is, Angélique, that neither is. Then the chevalier claims the girl and explains that they are three hrothers in the same regiment. Philidor, his wife, and Angélique each supports the man he or she has selected. At this point Merlin brings in a note that accuses the marquis of gambling, the count of purshing women. Though the accused captains deny the charges, the parents are impressed. Merlin, when he is accused of cheating the brothers who have paid for his assistance and of slandering them in the note, protests that it is not his fault if they are brothers and that he does not know how to write. Angélique declares that it is unnecessary to find out whether the charges are true or false, for she loves neither of the men. This statement makes the marquis and the count withdraw. Merlin admits that he wrote the note to help the chevalier, who had paid him more than his brothers had. As there is no longer any opposition, the chevalier is accepted as Angélique's hushand.

in which their words and actions duplicate each other's. A similar effect is produced in sc. 8, when Philidor and his wife appear, but the spectator's pleasure is increased by their quarreling and their temporary reconciliation.

Mme Ph Je prétends qu'Angélique à moi seule obéisse Ph Selon ma volonté l'entends, moi, qu'elle agisse Mme Ph Elle doit se soumettre aveuglément à moi, Et de nul autre après ne recevoir la loi Ph Et par quelle raison? Mme Ph C'est que je suis sa mère Ph Et moi done, s'il vous plaît, ne suis je pas son père? Mme Ph Et quand vous le seriez? voyez, belle raison! Ph Je m'en moque, l'aurai pour gendre Lisimon Mme Ph Lisimon, dites vous? Lisimon, capitaine? Ph Mme Ph De quel regiment? Ph De celui de la Reine Mme Ph Tout de bon 9 Ρħ Tout de bon Mme Ph Eh! vite embrassons nous. Allons, faisons la paix, mon cher petit époux!

The comic effect of this scene is repeated in the next, when Angélique's insistence upon selecting her husband meets with disapproval until it is discovered that she also has chosen a Captain Lisimon of the Queen's regiment. The general satisfaction lasts, however, only for a moment, for a dispute arises in regard to the captain's title. This scene is followed by the entrance of the older brothers, then by that of the chevalier and the discovery that there are three captains. When Merlin's letter accuses the marquis and the count of the vices "ordinaires de presque tous les gens de guerre," gambling and women. Mme Philidor exclaims

Fi' les maris joueurs sont des maris infâmes' Peut on aimer le jeu? Passe encor pour les femmes'

The dénouement follows quickly with Angélique's declaration that she loves the chevalier, the withdrawal of the older brothers, Mcrlin's confession, and the parents' acceptance of their daughter's choice

The frères Parfaict ³⁷ assign to the play, often acted in their day, "une place marquée dans le rang des Comédies en un Acte," on account of its simple subject, its well filled action, and the good comic tone of the characters. They quote the Bibliothèque Françoise, which finds in the comedy "des traits inimitables & des caractéres parfaitement soutenus" and admires especially. Mine Philidor's remark, just quoted, on the relative merits of

^{**}T XV, 152-5 When the play was given in August, 1797, at the Théâtre Feydeau, uncomplimentary remarks addressed to Merlin, the valet, were understood by the audience as intended for Merlin de Douai, Minister of Justice in the Directoire, with the result that the theater was closed, of Desnoiresterres, op cit, pp 437-8

gambling and fornication. Lafont himself thought it unnecessary to reply to critics of his play. Though first presented in the summer season, on Aug 4, 1713, it was acted fifteen times before the end of the year, remained in the repertory until 1821, and had in all 397 performances at the Comédie Française, more than any other one-act comedy of 1701-15 except Dancourt's Galant Jardinier, Lesage's Crispin rival, and Legrand's Usurier Gentilhomme

These eight productions show that the habit of giving short plays after tragedies or longer comedies influenced strongly new authors, more of whom attempted this type of comedy than any other. They indicate on the part of their authors ready mastery of technique and familiarity with various comic devices already employed by Dancourt and his contemporaries. They are unusual in the facts that in a large proportion of them the authors are concerned with life outside of France, and that in one of them a modern Jewess is selected as the heroine. By far the most successful of them was les Trois Frères Rivaux, the only one that was played at the Comédie Française in the nineteenth century

CHAPTER XVII

DESTOUCHES

The only author of comedies who began in 1701-15 to write plays that were acted and who ultimately acquired a very considerable reputation as a comic author was Destouches. His father, François Néricault, was an organist and scrivener at Tours, where Philippe was born on April 7, 1680. He is said to have received a good education at Tours and at Paris, to have quarreled with his family, and to have joined a troupe of actors, probably in 1697 or 1698. The tradition, cultivated by his son, that he fought in the French army has been shown to have no substantial support other than family pride.2 While playing in Switzerland, he attracted the attention of the marquis de Puisieux, the French ambassador, who took him into his service in 1699, chiefly as a copyist, and taught him the principles of diplomacy. Destouches wrote his first play while he was in his employment and organized amateur theatricals at the ambassador's home. He followed him in 1708 to Sillery and was introduced by him to the court of the duchesse du Maine at Sceaux, for which he composed three musical divertissements. On June 19, 1711, he was living at Paris, rue de Bussy, and described himself as Puisieux's secretary. In 1717 he became, on the recommendation of Pulsieux to the Regent, secretary to Dubois, who took him to London, where Destouches remained until 1723.

While in England he acquired a certain interest in English literature, shown by a few translations, and he married an English girl.4 After the death of Dubois and the Regent, he retired from diplomacy, obtained a pension, and established himself on the estate of Fortoiseau near Melun, of which town he was governor. Although he had been elected to the Academy

*Burner believed that he did not quarrel with his family, that he became an actor in 1697, entered the army in 1698, took part in the battle of Saint Gothard, and was received into Phisicus 4 service in 1699

* For his life in England of I O Wade, MP, XXIX (1931), 27-47

¹ Cf especially Paul Bonnefon, RHL, XIV (1907), 637-95, Jean Hankiss, Philippe Nérwault Destouches, Debreczeu, 1920, Henri David, Revue du dux hustume siècle, V (1918), 116 44, A Burner, RHL, XXXVIII (1931), 40 73, 177-211 Editions of his works were published at Paris, Le Breton, 1716 and 1718, The Hague, 1725, 17412, 1752, 1754, Paris, Prault père, 1734-45, 1745, 1758, 1772, 1774, Imprimerie royale 1757, Charpenter, 1763, Libraires associes, 1774 For most of these and for later editions of the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale and Burner, op

^{*}This evidence, which Burner alone of Destouches's biographers noticed, is given in a deposition made by the dramitist when called as a witness in an affair that involved the actor, Beaubourg, of Campardon, Comédiens du roi, Paris, 1879, p 25, and above, p 17 David, op cit, p 142, had concluded that he remained in Puisieux's service up to the end of 1710

in 1723, he spent the rest of his life in semi-retirement, devoting much of it to the composition of plays. He died in 1754.

It is not known why he called himself Destouches. Bonnefon suggested that he took it as a stage-name, but Hankiss raised the objection that he kept it when he became a diplomat. He certainly employed it as early as 1701 and may have been attracted to it by the fact that it was the name of a popular composer of operas. By 1717 he was especially known as a dramatist and may have believed that the name he had signed to his plays was more distinguished than the bourgeois Néricault, which, as David remarks, might have suggested mauricaud. However this may be, it was as a dramatist that he became known to posterity. Though his most celebrated plays were written after his retirement to Fortoiseau, the seven acted at the Comédie Française in 1710-17 were those that, with the help of Dubois, elected him to the Academy.

The first of these was Le Curieux Impertment ⁵ Destouches declares that he was attracted to the subject by Mme de Thibergeau, Puisieux's sister, who expressed the opinion as they read *Don Quixote* together that the *Curioso Impertmente* would make an excellent subject for a comedy. The tale had already inspired a French dramatist, the younger Brosse, but there is no evidence that Destouches knew his play. It took from Cervantes his title, his main theme, and his three leading characters, but he altered his materials decidedly by substituting engagement for marriage and by having the servants duplicate the actions of the three chief persons. In this way he avoided the question of adultery and was able to introduce a good deal of comic material. Hankiss thinks that the first of these changes was due to a remark made by Cervantes's curate, but, as Molière had made a similar alteration in writing l'Ecole des maris, Destouches may have acted without noticing the curate's remark ⁷

^{*}Paris, Pierre Ribou, 1710, 1711, 12° Goizet, Hankiss, and the Intermédiaire des chercheurs et des curieux (1935, col 235) give 1710, David and the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale, 1711, Lúdemann and Burier, both years. As the liazette de Rotterdam (cf. Mélèse, Rép., p. 221) of Dec. 29, 1710, states that "on vend" the play, it must have been published by that time. It was dedicated to Puisicux Republished, Amsterdam, Jordan, 1711, Paris, Le Breton, 1716, 12°, The Hague, Gilbert, 1741, in editions of the author's plays, and by Ad. Rion in 1878. The play has been studied by Max Ludemann, Ober Destouches' Leben und Werke, Greifswald, 1895, Hankiss, op. cit., G. Babinger, Wanderung und Wandelungen der Norelle von Ocrvantes. "El Curioso impertinente," Erlangen, 1911, pp. 334, and Bardon, op. cit., pp. 491.5. Destouches's son, in a letter of April 5, 1779, attributed to him a tragedy called les Macchabics, a first work that is now lost, if it over existed.

[°]Cf my op cit, Part II, pp 4712 The only respect in which Destouches is nearer to Brosse than he is to Cervantes lies in the fact that in both plays the friend accuses the hisband or fiancé of infaithfulness, but this is a theme that Destouches may easily have found for himself

Bardon seems to think that the changes were due entirely to Destouches's unwillingness to shock the public. He criticizes limi for not following Cervantes more closely. Some of his points are well taken, but he forgets that Destouches was writing a comedy.

The material is well distributed through the five acts. The exposition is brief, there is a steady increase in interest, and the solution is reached in the last verses of the play. The change of fiances within a single day is as well prepared as could be expected. Léandre is meant to be as jealous as his model in Corvantes, but he is much too calm to be convincing. He is not at all a violent lover, like the protagonist of Baron's Jaloux, but rather an amateur psychologist making an experiment that fails and calmly admitting the fact at the end of the play. Damon gives the impression of being much more in love and has a genuine struggle between amour and amitic He would not have undertaken the experiment except for Léandre's insistence. He continues it for the same reason until his love prevails almost in spite of himself. His is the most dramatic rôle in the play, as he is constantly striving to reconcile his ideas of love and honor.

Julie is wounded by her lover's neglect, restrained by her sense of the proprieties, and grateful to Damon for soothing her pride. She seems to accept Damon, not because she loves him, but because she is indignant with Léandre. There is no reason for her rushing so promptly into matrimony except the author's desire to respect the unity of time. Her father is not the "dour et insignifiant" person that Hankiss represents him as being. His threatening Lolive with a beating to be administered by his three lackeys and his display of anger, reported by Crispin, do not indicate a gentle disposition. He is, however, fond of his daughter and unwilling to marry her against her will.

The three servants are like many found in plays by Destouches's predecessors Lolive is a blunderer, Crispin, a clever valet. Nérine, the lively

Léandre, a lawyer, is engaged to Julie, daughter of Géronte He has his wedding postponed because he wishes to test Julie's love with the help of a friend, Damon, who is a military man and in love with Julie, though he has helped his friend to win her Damon objects to participating in Léandre's plan for testing Julie, but he finally yields on account of his friendship, while warning Léandre not to hold him responsible for the consequences. When Damon declares his love, Julie is angered, hut the next time she sees him she pardons him provided he will not speak to her of love again. He makes further progress by causing her to believe that Léandre loves another girl. Now Léandre has pretended that his father is quite ill at Tours and that he discovered this fact by sending there his valet, Lolive. But Géronte receives a letter from Léandre's father stating that his health is excellent and that he has been for six weeks in Brittany. He then forces Lolive, under the threat of a beating, to confess that he has not left Paris and that Leandre is seeking to postpone his marriage, not because his father is ill, but in order to test Julie. Both the girl and her father feel that they have been insulted, but Julie pardons Damon when he assures her that his love is genuine. She has already felt that Léandre's neglect has cooled her feeling for him. Now she fears to marry a man who will certainly make a jealous husband. In order to get her revenge, she pretends to Léandre that she loves him even if he is unfaithful Léandre declares that he has never ceased to love her and asks for her hand. Damon, acting on his friend's advice, has already asked her to marry him. Géronte leaves the decision to Julie, who rejects Léandre and accepts Damon. A similar triangular intrigue is carried out by Lolive, Nérine, and crispin. Nérine greets Crispin's first advances with a slap, subsequently softens, and accepts him when Lolive withdraws in order to escape dismissal.

eounsellor of her mistress, switches from one of her lovers to the other, not so much because of her own feelings as to give a comic echo in a lower key to the love-making of the main trio. Molière had employed servants similarly in le Dépit amoureux and le Bourgeois Gentilhomme. There is, however, definite connection between the servants and the main plot, especially when Lolive's forced confession precipitates the action.

Destouches moralizes at the end of his comedy as Dancourt had done in la Famille à la mode and as Molière would not have done. It is the first evidence he gives of a tendency that, reappearing in many of his later works, was to appeal to his eighteenth-century audiences. His play lacks comic force, but one or two passages are worth quoting:

Tiens, Lolive, la femme est une marchandise Qu'on doit prendre au hasard sans la faire priser, Et qu'on ne peut jamais connoître qu'à l'user, Il faut, sans tâtonner, brusquer le mariage, Et s'exposer sur mer sans craindre le naufrage Qui tremble dès le port ne doit pas s'embarquer (II, 3)

Il faut qu'un tendre amant soit inquiet, jaloux Un regard innocent doit le mettre en courroux. Une mouche qui vole autour de sa maîtresse, Un épagneul qu'elle aime, & qui lui fait earesse, Un petit perroquet, qui, prenant sa leçon, Lui dit, basses, basses, dans son petit jargon (III, 4)

Acted first on Nov. 17, 1710, it was given thirteen times in that year, seven times in 1711, and four times in 1715. The Gazette de Rotterdam® pronounced it the play "la plus parfaite" that had appeared since the time of Molière. At the Foire it was parodied on Feb. 3, 1711, in the third act of Jupiter curieux impertment, and was criticized there on March 1 in Apollon à la foire. The frères l'arfaict 11 praise its structure and prosody and declare that it is "dans le vrai ton du noble comique," but they admit that the public was not especially eager to see it acted. They quote an epigram to the effect that

Pour la voir une fois on n'est que curieux, Mais qui la verra deux, en remplira le titre

12 XV, 65-7

Nevertheless it remained in the repertory until 1763, with a total of 72 performances, not a brilliant record, but a satisfactory one for an author's first play at the Comédie Française

^{*}Quoted by Mélese, Rép., p 221 He also quotes a statement from the Mercure galant of 1715 to the effect that this was Destouches's best play up to that time

10 For these plays of below, Chapter MIX, pp 320 1

His next comedy, L'Ingrat, 12 received its inspiration, not from a foreign novel, but from a French comedy, Tartuffe, which left its author still less opportunity for originality. Destouches was careful to remove from his imitation anything that might offend the pious or cause uncertainty as to his meaning. There is no longer any attempt at adultery and the protagonist confides in his valet so clearly that even the most industrious critic can find no ambiguity in the text. Dealing with less controversial material, Destouches was able to bring his hypocrite on the stage as early as Act I, scene 6, and to reach a solution of his problem without an appeal to the king. The play is far, however, from having the intensity or the variety of Tartuffe, or from offering phrases that delight the reader, while even its effective scenes suffer from the inevitable comparison with Molière's great comedy. 13

The principal character is a hypocrite and an ingrate, like Tartuffe, but he is much younger, and the emphasis is laid on his ingratitude rather than on his hypocrisy. He has the same understanding of his dupe, makes him believe that those who tell him the truth are deceiving him, and bids him marry his daughter to another for the same reason that Tartuffe asks Orgon to pardon his son. His motives are purely selfish. He is equally ungrateful

Paris, Le Breton, 1712, 12° Republished in editions of the author's works, by Prault père, 1734. The Hague, Gilbert, 1741, and Vienna, Van Ghelen, 1753 Translated into German in 1789 The play has been studied by Ludemann, op cit, pp 179, and by Hankiss, op cit, pp 68-75 Both point out the debt to Tartuffe, Hankiss

n great detail

30 Damis, accused of murder, has been cleared through the activities of an aristocrat, Cléon, and has been received into the home of Géronte, who is under considerable obligation to Damis's father, now dead So grateful is Géronte that he has decided to marry his daughter, Isabelle, to Damis, but the girl, who loves Cleon, resists She is aided by her lover, her maid, Lisette, and her uncle, Ariste When Isabelle, backed by Lisette, assures Damis that she loves Cléon, he pretends to give her up and even begs Géronte to marry his daughter to Cléon, knowing full well that this request will make his host admire his generosity and insist on his marrying his daughter. This is what happens, but the interview leads to a quarrel between Damis and his valet, Pasquin, who, when he is dismissed, gets revenge by informing Lisette that Damis was engaged, when at Nevers, to Orphise, daughter of Dorante, and that he departed unceremoniously when his prospective father in law lost a law-suit Dorante and Orphise now come to Paris in connection with an appeal of this suit and get in touch with Isabelle and her friends Orphise's suivante, Nérine, makes peace with l'asquin and informs him that Orphise has inherited a fortune from her aunt Isabelle now agrees to marry Damis if Géronte wishes her to do so, but only on condition that she see Cléon first. Orphise has inherited a fortune from her aunt Isabelle mov agrees to marry Damis if Géronte wishes her to do so, but only on condition that she see Cléon first. Orphise has inherited a fortune from her aunt Isabelle in Pasquin talks about Damis, Géronte that Ariste and Cleon liave engaged people to disguise themselves as Dorante, Orphise, and Nérine. When Pasquin talks about Damis, Géronte that his uncomplimentary remarks form part of the conspiracy. Pasquin interprets the same remarks to Damis as inspired by the hope of making Géronte break with him so that he can return to Orphise, who is now rich. Damis hastens to make love to Orphise, but he is overheard by Géronte,

to Cléon, who has saved his life, to Pasquin, who has faithfully served him, to Orphise, who has been engaged to him, and to Géronte, who has sheltered him and offered him his daughter.

Géronte is like the typical father in comedy in that he is opinionated, violent, and credulous, but he has the redeeming virtue of gratitude. There is no evidence that, like Orgon, he has served the king well, but he is equally infatuated with his hypocritical guest. His preference for a bourgeois rather than an aristocratic son-in-law does not come from Tartuffe, but, as has been suggested, may well have been inspired by Mme Jourdain's opinions in le Bourgeois Gentilhomme. Géronte has no wife or son, but he has a daughter who needs the help of a suivante. Lisette, like Dorine, is outspoken and clever, urges her mistress to resist her father, and intrigues in her interests. Cléon has a less interesting rôle than that of Valère, as there is no lovers' quarrel, but his part in the plot is much the same. Destouches even added a wise Ariste, who, like Cléante, supports the heroine against the father.

Pasquin and the trio from Nevers have no connection with Tartuffe. The valet is chiefly noteworthy for having enough morality to be shocked by his master and consequently to turn against him. The three from Nevers are used chiefly to bring about the dénoucment. Dorante is an honorable gentleman, disagreeably surprised by the reception he is accorded by Géronte. Orphise is for a while foolish enough to be willing to forgive Damis, but she is finally convinced of his rascality and accepts in compensation Géronte's nephew—an absurd device inherited from tragi-comedics of the seventeenth century. Nérine, the third member of the trio, makes herself useful in the plot and rewards Pasquin when he repents of deserting her.

The play is well constructed in many respects. Géronte's heated remarks to his brother give life to the opening scene, as do those of Mmc Pernelle to the beginning of Tartuffe. Géronte next has an entertaining quarrel with the surrante, an imitation of Orgon's quarrel with Dorine. We are given all of the exposition in this first act, after which the struggle is joined between the two factions and is earried on with varying success and the production of several interesting situations until the solution is reached in the last scene of the play. The author makes use, however, of several chance coincidences in bringing this about. The arrival of Dorante and his family exactly on the day when they are needed, and Géronte's reaching his brother's

¹⁴ Hankiss calls him "le premier membre d'une longue lignée de Pasquina," but this type of valet was by no means unusual when Destouches portrayed him, and the name had been made famous, long before he wrote, by Baron's Homme à bonne fortune.

^{15 &}quot;Elle l'épousera, Ou je l'épousera, moi " must have been suggested by Dorine's remark that Orgon may marry Tartuffe 16 Her "Je me répons à moi " is obviously taken from Dorine's rôle

house just when Damis is making love in a loud voice to Orphise are quite improbable, while no preparation is made for Orphise's production of Damis's note, although more than anything else it brings about the solution of the difficulty.¹⁷

The play suffers, too, from the author's insistence upon moral instruction, obvious enough in most of the play and emphasized at the end of it by Pasquin's urging the audience to profit by this lesson on the value of gratitude. The lesson, however, is not so well presented as it might have been, for, if Damis is punished for his ingratitude, Géronte's troubles are largely due to the gratitude he feels toward Damis's father. Moreover, if Damis had been still more ungrateful to Orphise and had written her no letter, he might still have retained Géronte's friendship. It is true, too, that a man may be ungrateful without being the scoundrel that Destouches depicts. The play is little more than what Hankiss calls it, "l'essai d'un jeune homme séduit par les sombres beautés du 'Tartusfie.'"

It was first acted on Jan. 28, 1712. After seven performances the theater was closed on account of the Dauphine's death. It was not acted again till autumn, when it was played eight times. It was not revived until it profited from the success of later plays by Destouches and was given four times in 1734, twice in 1761. A total of twenty-one performances makes it rank with its author's minor productions.

In his next play, L'Irreesolu, 18 Destouches again showed his fondness for the comedy of character, but this time he selected as his protagonist a person with whom the spectators would sympathize, though they would laugh at him. Mohère had given a model for such a character in le Misanthrope and much more recently Regnard, as Hankiss points out, had given another in le Distrait. Indeed, this play has more resemblance to Destouches's comedy than he indicates, for both comedies contain, besides the title-rôle, an elderly and opinionated woman, a lively young chevalier, two girls of different temperaments, and a valet who helps his master while lecturing him on his shortcomings. There is also quite an obvious imitation of Terence's Adelphoe, which Baron had, a few years before, made French in les Adelphes

Destouches explains that he had originally thought of giving his here a great many opportunities to show his lack of decision, opportunities concerned with other matters as well as with love. This had been Regnard's

²⁷ Here again Destouches borrows from Tartuffe Géroate is finally convinced that Damis is unworthy by his uncomplimentary remarks about him in the letter, just as Orgon is persuaded that Tartuffe is an evil person by the hypocrite's remarks about him to Eliuire

¹⁵ Paris, Le Breton, 1713, 12° and 8° Dedicated to the marquis de Courcillon Republished, Paris, Prault, 1735, and in the author's collected works. A Dutch translation appeared in 1762. Cf. especially Hankiss, op. cit., pp. 75.87

DESTOUCHES 291

method in *le Distrait*, though Destouches does not say so. He concluded that such usage would, on account of the twenty-four hour rule, violate verisimilitude and would not allow him to discuss anything in sufficient detail. He consequently limited himself to his hero's choice of a wife, but criticism he received caused him to alter all the acts of his play, especially the last two, in order to indicate, at least in *récit*, other evidences of indecision. He mentions especially Dorante's hesitancy in choosing a profession, and he tells us that he laid more stress in the final form of his play on the part played by jealousy in his hero's decision.

As it stands, the comedy ¹⁹ is primarily a study of Dorante, a young man of excellent character and attractive personality who has distinguished himself in war. The fact that his father has always employed reason and kindness with him has made him see so many sides to every question that it is difficult for him to come to a decision. He boasts of this quality as a sign of intelligence, but he is obliged to admit its practical disadvantages. He orders horses hitched and unhitched. He cannot decide what profession to select. When he falls in love, he doubts the wisdom of obeying his emotions.

to bring up children Pyrante is for kindly reasonableness, Lysimon, for authoritative discipline. The play is supposed to demonstrate the superiority of Pyrante's method. He and his son, Dorante, have established themselves at Paris in an "hôtel garni," where Lysimon and his son, the Chevalier, are also staying, as well as Mme Argante, a wealthy widow, and her daughters, Julie and Celimene. Dorante hesitates between these two girls, feeling attracted to Julie, but admiring Célimène's reserve. He bids his valet, Frontin, discover which one loves him. Frontin gets the help of their femme de chambre, Nérine, who discovers that both girls and their mother would like to marry Dorante. The hero deedes to marry Célimène, then Julie. The Chevalier, who loves amusement, is angered by the restraints imposed by his father. As he is in need of money, he proposes to marry Mine Argante and to make Dorante his son in-law hy giving him Julie. Upon reflection Dorante deedes that, as husbands are ruled by wives they love and as he might become jealous if he followed his inclination, it is wiser for him to marry Célimène. But his love for Julie persists, he is innable to arrive at a decision, and he thinks of Mine Argante, whoin he offers to marry. The Chevalier, however, makes such violent love to her that Dorante withdraws in hirs favor. Then Mine Argante becomes angry and the girls laugh at Dorante. As he is especially irritated by Julie, he makes love to Cylimène, who refers him to her mother. Julie offers to help him win her sister, but she admits that it may out her dear. The Chevalier reports that he will marry Mine Argante, who will allow Dorante to marry Célimène, but not Julie. Dreading to love Julie, Dorante begs for her mother's approval, but she yields only when the Chevalier threatens to give her up if she refuses. A notary is summoned. Celimène, wounded in her pride, proposes to marry the Chevalier's demand for money. But now that he may marry Julie, Dorante hesitates, for the army will often take him away from hom

He fears that absence from home may make him lose his wife's love. Even on his way to sign the contract, he wonders if he would not have done better to marry Julie's sister. Like Molière's protagonists, he keeps his ruling characteristic to the end. This fact may make one skeptical about the success of his marriage, though Destouches has made him promise that, once wed, he will persist in his resolution to be faithful.

The two girls are well differentiated. Julie is a vivacious brunette with sparkling eyes. She dances and sings, refuses to be deeply moved at the thought of losing Dorante, claims to be frank and sincere, laughs at her lover when the occasion offers, attracts him in spite of limself. Célimène, a blonde, is called prudish and is slow to admit her feeling for Dorante. She has the makings of a lachryniose romantic heroine, but her amour propre prevents her from pining over Dorante and leads her to accept the Chevalier in order to show that she has not suffered from losing a man to her sister. Their mother is a purely comic character, a woman well past fifty, but willing to purchase a young husband. Her renouncing the Chevalier would have been more convincing if it had been shown on the stage.

The two fathers are introduced chiefly at the beginning of the play and at the end of it. They are contrasted in their attitudes towards their sons and in their manners. Like the brothers in Terence's Adelphoe, one is as conciliatory as the other is uncompromising. The play also resembles Terence's in the fact that the events hardly support the suggested moral, for Pyrante's system is said to have developed Dorante's lack of decision, while Lysimon's domineering method does not keep his son from making a satisfactory marriage. The Chevalier, who resembles the Chevalier of Regnard's Distract, describes himself as follows (II, 10):

J'aime le vin, le jeu, les femmes, les spectaeles, Les spectacles, c'entend, pour y faire du bruit J'aime à dormir le jour, puis à courir la nuit, A jurer, à médire, à ferrailler, à battre Mon père, sur celu, me fait le diable à quatre

We see him chiefly as a pretended lover of Mme Argante, some thirty years his senior. He will probably be unfaithful to Célimène, as he would have been to her mother, but Destouches avoids the question of their future in his desire to see all of his young people happily married.

A good deal of the comic element is provided by the servants, who not only work in the interests of the young lovers, but comment upon their actions and upon society in general. They oppose their common sense to Dorante's fancies, Celiniène's prudery, and the Chevalier's willingness to sell himself to Mme Argante. In 1, 2, Frontin gives a lively description of

his master's indecision. Nérine in a similar passage (II, 4) lists the victims of love according to their professions and gives a strikingly modern account of the difference between her contemporaries and beauties of earlier days (V, 1):

Les belles autrefois étoient prudes et fières, Et ne pouvoient charmer nos sévères aïeux, Qu'en affectant un air modeste et vertueux Mais dans ce siècle-ei, c'est une autre méthode, Tout ce qui paroît libre est le plus à la mode Une belle à présent, par des regards flatteurs, Tendres, insimuans, va relancer les cœurs, Et moins elle paroît digne d'être estimée, Et plus elle jouit du plaisir d'être aimée On veut se voir heureux dès qu'on est engagé, Et l'on traite à présent l'amour en abrégé

The play is less insistently moral than the author's earlier comedies. This is illustrated by the ending, where, instead of offering the audience moral advice, a line gives the final touch to the character of the protagonist. Moreover, from the earlier comedies the lesson may readily be drawn that realousy and ingratitude are highly undesirable, but from l'Irrésolu one learns mercly that indecision, though it may lead to temporary embarrassment, neither causes the loss of friends, nor prevents a prospect of happiness. Indeed, the play has no thesis in the sense that Destouches's earlier productions have. It is a comedy of character in the Molière tradition, but lacking variety in its situations and in its repartie.20 If it had been successful, it might have saved its author from overloading later comedies with moral instruction. Unfortunately it had, during his lifetime, an inglorious eareer. It was acted only six times, from Jan. 5 to 19, 1713. After Destouches had improved the text, it was revived and was played twenty-four times in 1762-81, but the author could not have foreseen that it would ultimately be played more often than his Ingrat. It is consequently not surprising that he should return to the kind of play in which the title-rôle is that of a person with whom we do not sympathize, as it had been in his first two comedies

But a further change of method must have seemed indicated. As I have said, Destouches had at first thought of introducing more incidents into *l'Irrésolu*, but had given up the idea. The failure of the play may well have made him believe that less concentration was desirable. The result was that

²⁰ In IV, 8, the Chevalier claims to have just invented the word indissolublement Littré gives no example earlier than Saint Simon, but cites Cotgrave, who defines the word without illustrating it. It was probably little used when Destouches wrote the play, although indissolubilité had been employed by Bossuet, ef Brunot, Histoire de la langue française, IV³, 485

his next production. LE MÉDISANT,21 is not a comedy of character, but a comedy of intrigue in which one of several important persons is a slanderer. It is the first play in which he used disguise. Much of the plot has nothing to do with slander, and, if the slanderer had been omitted, there would still have been enough material to make a play.22

The character that has the longest rôle is not Damon, the slanderer, but Lisette, a bright and energetic suivante, loyal to her young mistress and indignant with Damon over his remarks about her character. She defends the Baron against his wife, intrigues to keep Marianne from marrying Damon, and, when Léandre appears, does her best to help him. She almost falls in love with him when she thinks he is a valet, as does Isabelle's suivante, Javotte. The comic scenes in which these women meet are by no means essential to the plot.

Damon's rôle, the second in length, is found in the second half of Acts II, III, IV, the first half and the final scene of Act V. He is a nobleman, mingles in good society, and does not lack courage, but his character has been warped by his fondness for gossip. He suggests that I asette has helped to establish an incestuous relationship between Valère and his aunt. He describes the Baron as a fool and a weakling, the Baronne as a dominating woman who pretends to favor his marriage to her daughter, but who really desires to have an intrigue with him herself. He calls Valère "aussi sot que son père," suggests that Richesource may be the child of adultery, attacks Isabelle and various persons who do not appear on the stage. Just as Dorante had defended in l'Irrésolu his lack of decision, Damon holds that he exerts a fine influence upon society (III, 7).

²¹ Paris, Le Breton, 1715, 12° Dedicated to the duchesse du Maine Republished by Prault in 1734, by Duchesne in 1772, by Ad Rion in 1878, and in the author's collected works Cf Lüdemann, op cit, pp 20-1, and Hankiss, op cit, pp 87 98

²³ The Baron and his wife have a daughter, Marianne, who, while visiting Brittany, has fallen in love with Léandre, son of the Marquis The Baronne wishes her daughter to marry Damon, a friend of her son, Valère, but the Baron objects because of Damon's love of slander and thinks of sending her to a convert or of marrying her a Probleman After seaking Marianne for any months. Léandre to Richesource, a wealthy plebenan After seeking Marianne for six months, Léandre disguises himself as a valet, is employed by Richesource, and takes the name of La Fontaine He gets the help of Marianne's suirante, Lisette, and hopes to have that of Valère through the influence of Isabelle, Richesource's sister When Damon finds Léandre and Lisette together, he insinuates that the girl is not virtuous and that Valère has been made his aunt a heir thanks to a secret agreement of a compromising nature When he learns that Richesource is his rival and that Isabelle has asked the Baron to give Marianne to her brother, he insults and threatens Richesource in the presence of Marianne Unable to defend himself, Richesource disguises Léandre as presence of Marianne Unable to defend himself, Richesource disguises Léandre as his cousin, de Bienville, and proposes that he marry Marianne. His hope is that a quarrel between Damon and Léandre will result, and that he will be avenged Léandre gladly agrees to Richesource's plan, meets Marianne, makes himself known to her, and secures her promise to marry him. Meanwhile Valère discovers that Isahelle is the girl with whom he had fallen in love at a masked ball. He is anxious to marry her, but his mother objects till she is won over hy a letter from her sister denouncing. Damon for his scandalous remarks about herself, the Baronne, and the Baron Valère

Dire sur un chacun librement ce qu'on pense, Chercher le ridicule, & lire au fond des cœurs; Peindre ce qu'on y voit des plus vives couleurs; Discerner les motifs, & peser le mérite; Faire la guerre aux sots, démasquer l'hypocrite: Voilà ce que je fais, je ne m'en défens point

He goes on to tell how he has corrected the affectations of an abbé, a magistrate, an author, of young nobles who no longer dare "au théatre étaler leurs habits," how he has shamed an ingrate, a critic, and a prude. But at the end of the play no one believes that he had other than selfish motives in attacking his victims. He slanders both to gain some definite advantage and for the joy of making trouble. Some of his criticisms are purely malicious, without foundation in fact. Sometimes he tells the truth, but in so doing is rude or ungrateful. The character is interesting, but not sufficiently important in the plot. By his talk he offends most of the other characters and helps destroy his chance of marrying the heroine, but his hopes were doomed in any case as soon as Léandre appeared. He never becomes formidable, like Tartuffe, or even like the title-rôle of l'Ingrat.

Léandre is a charming young lover, active in his own behalf, disguising himself as a valet, then as a Norman nobleman, defending Richesource against Damon, winning the heroinc and even, for a while, the two suivantes Richesource, son of a financier who purchased nobility, is a young man quite lacking in gentility, making a show of his wealth, cowardly and inconsequential, but a kindly and generous person, who has enough intelligence to recognize Léandre's merits and to propose to disguise him as a nobleman. Valère is romantic enough to fall in love with a girl as soon as she takes off her mask at a dance, but practical enough to pay much attention to his wealthy aunt. He is convinced by Damon's slanderous telk that his friendship for him cannot continue

The Baron and the Baronne are imitations, as has been suggested, of Chrysale and Philaminte in les Femmes savantes, though the Baronne shows no interest in learning Like Chrysale, the Baron talks boldly when his wife is absent, yields to her when she is present, and has the support of a female attendant. The others are less distinctly characterized. Marianne, an ingénue, Isabelle, who appears to be more energetic, but is given little to say, the second suivante, Javotte, and Léandre's father, brought in at the end of the play to simplify arrangements for his son's marriage.

Ludemann believed that Destouches was influenced in the choice of his theme by La Bruyère and in constructing his plot by Corneille's Méhte,

hreaks with him for the same reason Damon is driven from the house Léandre, whose good birth is established by his father's arrival, will become the husband of Marianne, Valère, the husband of Isabelle

but he could certainly have thought of the theme without reading La Bruyère. It had already been suggested, as Hankiss notes, by much of Célimène's conversation in le Misanthrope. Nor did Destouches need to read Mélite, which has only the vaguest resemblance with the Médisant. His play is much closer to Montfleury's Dupe de soi-mesme, in which a crude person, rejected as a suitor, disguises a nobleman, whom he mistakes for a man of humble birth, as a gentleman and helps him marry the girl by whom he had himself been rejected. It is also more probable that Destouches borrowed the incident of the masked ball from Dufresny's recent Jaloux honteux than, as Hankiss proposes, from Thomas Corneille, the rivalry of mother and daughter, from his own Irrésolu, than, as Hankiss suggests, from Quinault's Mère coquette. All that one can conclude, except in the case of a few details, 28 is that, whatever his sources may have been, Destouches's themes in this play were not novel.

The plot shows greater variety in situations and characters than Destouches had employed in any one of his earlier comedies, but he continued his method of embodying a social defect in a leading character and of emphasizing the moral of his play. In his last scene he put an edifying couplet into Valère's mouth.

Heureux si ce revers, qui doit vous affliger, D'un penchant odieux pouvoit vous corriger

When Destouches published his play, he made his moral still more obvious by addressing to the duchesse du Maine a dedication in which, after mentioning his three earlier comedies, he boasted that

Aux MEDISANS je déclare la guerre,
Peste maudite, & fléau de la terre,
Esprits pernicieux, dont le malin effort
Voulant faire hair tous les objets qu'on aime,
Détruit le plus parfait accord,
Et noircit l'innocence même

This excellent intention was rewarded by the interest the public took in the comedy during the first year when it was played. Presented on Feb. 20, it was acted sixteen times in 1715. However, it was not produced in 1716 and had only moderate success thereafter, remaining in the repertory until 1780 with a total of sixty-five performances, more than l'Ingrat and l'Irrésolu enjoyed, but less than le Curieux Impertinent and far less than five of the comedies Destouches composed after he returned from England.

²⁸ III. 2, "La griffe est là-dessous" (Ecole des femmes, v 654), III, 7, "Qu'à ton âge il sièd mal de faire le Caton" (Misanthrope, v 82), IV, 11, "Il est des nœuds secrets" (Rodogune, v 359) Richesource's boasting of his fencing (IV, 2) may come from le Bourgeous Gentilhomme, III, 3

297

A criticism of the comedy appeared before the end of the year. A certain M. de Florizelle attacked it in a critique addressed to the Academy of Lyons for not bringing in Damon before the seventh scene of Act II, for admitting too many "personnages épisodiques," for giving so much importance to other persons than the "médisant," for having the hero disguise himself as a valet, and for allowing Léandre's father to change his mind so quickly. The frères Parfaict,24 who quote the critic, assert that it would be easy to reply to these objections, but say that the best answer is the public's unanimous approval of the play. They might have pointed out that Léandre's father had had six months in which to repent of his opposition to his son's marriage, that the disguise of a hero as a valet had not diminished the long success of Scarron's Jodelet maître, and that, if the work is looked upon as a comedy of intrigue rather than of character, the other objections do not apply. This does not mean, however, that a more effective play might not have been written, a comedy of character in which a slanderer would have held more often the center of the stage.

The only other play by Destouches that was acted before the death of Louis XIV was La Fausse Veuve ou le Jaloux sans Jalousie, which was acted five times, between July 20 and 28, 1715. As it was never printed, the frères Parfaict 25 relied for their information about it on the Registres of the Comédie Française and a short article in the Mercure galant. According to this journal, Legrand, who had not succeeded in the rôle of the emperor in Campistron's Andronic, had the task of announcing the playlet that was to follow. He expressed the hope that it would make the audience laugh as much as the tragedy. The spectators, though they appreciated the jest, were not amused by Destouches's comedy. The frères Parfact say that it was in one act, apparently because it was used as a "petite pièce." They were probably correct, though occasionally a play given in this manner was in three short acts. In either case Destouches was departing from the form he had previously selected for his comedies. He was not discouraged by the failure of his play, for it was followed by another one-act comedy in prose, le Triple Mariage, but this production was not acted until July 7, 1716.

With the four plays that have survived from those he composed in the reign of Louis XIV, Destouches established his reputation as a promising dramatist, one whose works would be accepted for many years by the actors of the Comédie Française. They are all five-act comedies in verse, concerned with contemporary life as lived at Paris by aristocrats and prosperous bourgeois. Little attention is paid to manners. The leading characters are young lovers and their servants Fathers are introduced into all the plays;

²⁴ XV, 196 9

³⁵ XV, 206-8 They state that it was in prose

mothers, both comic, into two of them. Besides these mothers and the servants, there are but two essentially comic types, the henpecked husband and the wealthy upstart, both found in *le Médisant*. There is in all the plays a well-defined plot, but only *le Médisant* can be considered a comedy of intrigue rather than one of character.

Destouches seems to have imitated essentially Molière, but he lacked his comic force, his vitality, his ability to characterize, and his variety in comic effects. He took one of his plots from Cervantes and was influenced by Terence, by Regnard, and by other French dramatists. He was especially interested in depicting persons dominated by a certain undesirable quality—lack of confidence in the woman one loves, ingratitude, indecision, fondness for slander—and who endanger their happiness in consequence. In the first two comedies the person who embodies the defect brings about his defeat in love, in the third he comes near doing so, in the fourth he contributes to the causes of his overthrow. The plays show considerable skill in structure, though the unity of action is not altogether achieved in l'Ingrat and le Médisant. They are distinctly moralistic, with the exception of l'Irrésolu In this play and le Médisant an interesting effort is made to defend shortcomings on philosophic grounds, but elsewhere the author's thought is superficial

The comedies give the impression that their author was a talented writer who worked laboriously and conscientiously in a very limited field and produced acceptable works that showed no touch of genius and were at times marred by his insistence upon trite moral observations. As he wrote the duchesse du Maine in the dedication of le Médisant

Vous ne savez que trop qu'il n'est plus de Corneilles, Que Racine est dans le tombeau, Que Molière en mourant a brisé son pinceau, . . Pour moi, qui marche sur leurs traces, Mais qui les suis de loin, & toujours chancelant, Je crains à chaque pas de fatales disgraces

Very true, but hardly a satisfactory attitude to be adopted by a creative dramatist.

CHAPTER XVIII

LOST COMEDIES AND COMEDIES NOT ACTED AT THE COMÉDIE FRANÇAISE

A few comedies written by the authors studied in the preceding chapters are lost, a few of them were acted elsewhere in the Parisian region than at the Comédie Française or the Foire. Reference to almost all such plays has already been made. There remain ten lost plays acted at the Comédie Française, the authors of which are unknown with the exception of Abeille, La Grange-Chancel, the younger Guérin, and Charles Roy. We also have the text of a play by J.-B. Rousseau, acted at court, but not in the city, and that of Marivaux's first play, included here on account of his subsequent career at Paris. Under the patronage of the duchesse du Maine, Malezieu composed a series of divertissements, among which are four productions that may be considered comedies, three of them translated from Plautus or Terence Finally, Bordelon wrote a comedy and two dramatic fragments that were published at Paris, though in all probability they were never acted.

It is these productions that constitute the subject of this chapter Too little is known about most of them for me to make any general statements in regard to them, except to say that their dramatic material probably differed little from that of other plays of their day. The majority of them were one-act comedies in which prose seems to have predominated. There is evidence that some of the authors imitated Plautus, Molière, and Dancourt, that they satirized rustic types, parodied opera, and gave important rôles to servants. The most novel traits that I have been able to discover in them are the use of ignorance in regard to music to characterize country nobles and Bordelon's idea of having the ghosts of the great departed represent living actors instead of having the living represent the dead.

The earliest of these plays is Le Petit-Maistre de Campagne, ou le vicomte de Génicourt, mentioned in Solemne, no. 1589, as rare. Since I have been unable to examine a copy of it, I am obliged to rely upon the analysis of the frères Parfaict. They state that it is in one act and in prose, has to do with a financial transaction, and satirizes a young country nobleman and his mother. The plot is simple and unconvincing. It is said of

Paris, Jean Moreau, 1701, 12° 47 pp.

XIV, 220-5 As they did not know that the play had been printed, they gave the

^{*}Alv, 220-5 As they did not know that the play had been printed, they gave the analysis an unusual amount of space
*Ricotte, a "négociant" at Venice, had died and left for his son 100,000 écus, entrusted to his associate, M de Saint Armel The latter returned to France, changed his name, and began to spend the money left in his charge Fearing that young Ricotte may appear, he decides to provide for his daughter, Mariane, by marrying

Génicourt that he "tient du sot, du fat, & de l'extravagant." His snobbishness and his mother's are shown in the following dialogue:

Le Vicomte Vous êtes de race marchande, je vous en félicite Je ne veux point me mésurer à votre aune, Mons Ricotte

La Vicomtesse. Il y a quelque différence, mon voisin, entre un Monseu Ricotte, & un Gentilhomme qui a droit de Colombier

Le Vicomte s'en allant Adieu, Beau pere, vous allez avoir un gendre bien étoffé Adieu Madame Ricotte, vous passerez ensemble des jours filés d'or & de soye. . . .

La Vicomtesse s'en allant Mons Ricotte épousera Mariane La plaisante chose! Mons Ricotte! quel nom! Que ce nom est peuple! il n'y a pas une lettre dans ce nom-là, qui ne soit de la derniere roture

But the bourgeois seem to have acquired culture that is lacking in the country gentry. Mme de Génicourt finds that at the Opera "tous ces instrumens ensemble font un bruit horrible" and that, when the actors sing, "vous entendez au bas du Théatre un mauvais bourdonnement de violons, qui blesse les oreilles délicates." Inability to appreciate music had characterized Jourdain, was soon to be attributed to Turcaret, and was to be applied to other bourgeois by Flaubert and Becque. It is used here for the first time in a French play to characterize a rustic noblewoman She recalls in her lack of culture the comtesse d'Escarbagnas.

The comedy was first acted on July 26, 1701. It had so little success that it was performed only three times, ending its career on the 30th, according to the frères Parfaict, who report that the author's share from the three performances was only 32 francs, 19 sous.

The second of these plays in date was LA CEINTURE MAGIQUE, written by J.-B. Rousseau for a performance at court. The author claimed that it was a "travail de douze heures." Mr. Grubbs notes resemblances to the rôle of Toinette in le Malade imaginaire. The heaping up of words ending in -cie and -ique 5 seems to have been inspired by the same play, while the

her to the ridiculous vicomte de Génicourt, but she prefers an impecunious young captain, Eraste Her suivante, Marton, persuades Bastien, the nobleman's valet, to give his master an anonymous letter stating that Ricotte is about to come for his money By suddenly pretending to be in love with Génicourt, Mariane helps to con-

money By suddenly pretending to be in love with Génicourt, Mariane helps to convince him that her dowry is in danger. He consults his mother, who finds his suspicions justified, and they break off the engagement. Mariane is now free to marry Eraste, who turns out to be no other than young Ricotte himself. He is willing to forgive Saint Armel for his trickery in return for his daughter's hand. Published in the Prèces nouvelles du sieur Rousseau, Sur l'imprimé à Londres, 1724; in his Euvres diverses, Amsterdam, Changiuno, 1726, 12°, Brussels, 1732; 1744, separately at Brussels in 1755, in the author's Œuvres, London, Tonson et Watts, 1734, Toulouse, Broulhiet, 1785, Paris, Lefèvre, 1820, of Goizet, the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale, which also lists two editions without place or date, and H. A. Grubbs, Jean-Baptiste Rousseau, Princeton, 1941. The play was translated into English as The Magic Girdle and played at London in 1770. For earlier comedies by the author of my op cst. Part IV, pp 848-55.

*Francisque claims (sc. 8) to have invented "Chiromancie, Pédomancie, Hydromancie, Pieromancie, Alectromancie, Sternutomancie, Négromancie, Pharmacie & Apolexie." He predicts that the Capitan will become "hydropique, pulmonique, épileptique, paralytique, & par dessus cela phrénétique, vous mourrez hérétique."

consultation over astronomy recalls le Médecin malgré lui, and there are reminiscenes of Rabelais in the names, Nembroth, Faribroth, and Alcofribas. Such references, however, indicate no source for the plot, which resembles those of Dancourt's Tuteur and Colin Maillard. In all three cases a guardian wishes to marry his ward, has a trick played on him, and is forced to give her up in favor of the young man she loves. Rousseau's originality lies in his doubling the number of guardians, girls, and lovers and in his placing his chief emphasis upon the rôle of an ex-valet, who, after being imprisoned for robbery, devotes his talents to helping young lovers.

Francisque derives his comic effects from the euphemistic account he gives of his thefts and of his imprisonment, from the numerous trades he claims as his own, from the list of astrologers to whom he declares that he is related, from the inventions he has fathered, from the distinctions he has acquired, and from the various lands in which he has traveled. We see him tricking the guardians after the manner of the Théâtre Italien, secretly attaching to the men's cloaks letters addressed to the girls, and binding them together with a hoop of steel. The other characters are the kindly and efficient aunt, the girls, the lovers, and the guardians Both members of each of these three couples always appear on the stage together and leave it together. Lucette is franker than Baliverne, who has something of the précieuse in her speech. The Capitan is a miles gloriosus who indulges in Gascon oaths Trufaldin is equally stupid and cowardly, but he does not brag. Even less differentiation is shown between Horace and Octave, who differ chiefly in the facts that Octave had formerly employed Francisque, and that Horace has seen him steal a horse at Marseilles.

With the exception of Francisque, the characters resemble marionettes. The dialogue, except for a few allusions and plays on words, is a mechanical affair. The play exists chiefly for three scenes the eighth, in which Francisque outwits the guardians, the tenth, in which he binds them together; and the fourteenth, which provides no dialogue, but a divertissement

^{*} Se 8, cf le Médecin malgré lus, III, 6

⁷ Ses 2 and 8

^{*}Mme Merluche has two meees, Lucette and Baliverne, whose father's will has provided that, a year after his death, their guardians, Capitan Lecarbonbardon and Trufaldin, are to fud linsbands for them Octave and Horace ask to marry them and secure the aunt's approval, but their request is refused by the guardians, who wish to marry the girls themselve. The anni insists that the girls' consent must be obtained. Octave a former valet, Francisque, is engaged to help the young men, is presented to the guardians as an astrologer wins their confidence, and offers them a magic belt that will show how the girls feel about them. This belt, made of steel, is fastened around both of the guardians, so that they are unable to prevent their wards from joining their lovers. Mme Merluche has the young people sign the marriage contracts. Octave and Horace force the guardians to give their consent.

There are allusions in this scene to the Almanach de Milan, a publication to which Louis XIV objected, to the "Juif errant", to the "Roi des Terres Australes"; and to the "comette qui parut en 1681" and which had inspired Fontenelle's first play.

Sept masques conduits par Francisque, & portant la marque des sept Planettes,16 viennent former une Entrée mêlée des Récits, par où finit la Comédie

The play was given in the apartment of Mme de Maintenon 11 at Versailles on Feb. 3, 1702, immediately following a performance of Duche's Absalon. We learn from the correspondence of the duchesse d'Orléans that her son, the future Regent, took the part of Francisque, that the young lovers were acted by the due de Berry and the comte de Noailles; the girls, by the duchesse de Bourgogne and the comtesse d'Estrées 12 Louis XIV was present. "Madame's" verdict was that the "petite pièce ne vaut pas grand chose, mais on ne laisse pas d'y rire." It was not sufficiently successful to attract the actors of the Comédie Française, though it was eventually translated into English and German and was acted at London and Brussels.18

Five plays that have disappeared were acted at the Comédie Française in 1703-5. The oldest of them, LE Bailli Marquis,14 was played on Feb. 24, 1703, and three times subsequently. The frères Parfaiet state that it was in one act and in prose, and that the ballet of the divertissement was composed by La Montagne. They also mention 15 Frontin Gouverneur Du CHÂTRAU DE VERTIGILILINGUEN, a comedy in one act given on Oct 11, 1703, and three times thereafter. Less unsuccessful was LE MÉDECIN DE VILLAGE,16 acted five times, Sept. 24 to Oct. 2, 1704, once afterwards in that year, and once in 1705. It was a prose comedy in one act. A manuscript of this play. dated 1704, is mentioned in the Bib du th. fr and in Soleinne, no 1666.

N.-A.-M. Guérin, son of the actor, Guérin d'Estriché, and of la Molière, had brought out Myrtil et Mélicerte in 1699. On May 29, 1705, his Psyché DE VILLAGE was acted at the Comédie Française. It was a prose comedy in four acts with a prologue and with intermèdes, the music of which was composed by Gilliers. According to the Gazette de Rotterdam of June 25, it was "une parodie très divertissante sur l'ancien Psyché, opera" According to the frères Parfaict, Guérin was inspired by a village girl whom he married The play was never published. The manuscript appears to have been lost even in the time of the frères Parfaiet.17

¹⁰ Including the sun and moon, according to the astronomy that preceded Copernicus 11 This is stated by Dangeau, op cit, VIII, 309 The Mercure discusses the performance of Absalon and la Ceinture in connection with that of Longepierre's Electre, also acted at Versailles, but at the home of the princesse de Conti there. The frères Parfaict, XV, 110, drew from the article in the Mercure the erroneous conclusion that Parfaict, XV, 110, drew from the article in the Mercure the erroneous conclusion that all three plays were given at the Hôtel de Conti. In this error they were followed by Grubbs, op. cit, p. 53. Dangeaus statement is supported by the fact that the duchesse d'Orléans was invited to the entertainment by Mme de Maintenon. Cf. Mélèse, Th. ct. P., pp. 396.7. as Cf. Grubbs, op. cit, p. 55. cf. Joannidès, la Comédic Française de 1680 à 1920, p. 105, and the freres Parfaict, XIV. 300. The latter learned from the Registers that La Montagne received.

⁵⁰ france for the ballets of this play and the Princesse d'Elide

¹⁸ XIV, 311

¹⁶ Frères l'arfaict, XIV, 338 18 XIV, 366 8, cf also Mélese, Rép, p 215

Even less is known about La Provençale, played with l'Ecole des femmes on Oct. 17, 1705, and three times subsequently. It was apparently in one act. The frères Parfaict 18 state that the author received 13 francs, 10 sous from the first performance, 4 francs, 10 sous from the second, nothing from the third and fourth

Throughout the period 1701-15 the duchesse du Maine was entertaining at Sceaux, Châtenay, and Clagny. Malezieu, who was to write, as we have seen, the preface for Genest's Joseph, composed for her several productions, most of which were operatic rather than dramatic Philémon et Baucis, an opera presented in 1703, le Prince de Cathay, produced at Châtenay on Aug. 31, 1704, a divertissement with music, dancing, and elaborate costuming, 10 la Tarentole, acted there on Aug. 10, 1705, and at Clagny in February, 1706, les Importuns de Châtenay, performed at Clagny on Jan. 22, 1707, with "beaucoup de musique et d'entrees de ballet, qui furent dansées par les meilleurs danseurs de l'Opéra" in the presence of the Dauphin and the duc de Berry, 20 and translations of Plautus's Mostellaria and Miles gloriosus and of Terence's Heautontimoroumenos.21

The only one of these that can be considered a French play is la Tarentole. Its three acts were introduced by a musical prologue, the words of which were written by Genest It seems to have been influenced chiefly by le Médecin malgré lui, but it also showed borrowings from Dancourt's Galant Jardinier and Regnard's Folies amoureuses. It is a farce, arranged in such a way as to lead up to a dance, in which an Alard of the Foire took part.²²

¹⁴ XIV, 368

to Malezieu, magnificently costumed as a prince de Samarcand, obtains permission to become a knight of the Mouche à Miel in honor of the duchesse du Maine, whose emblem was the bee, of Adolphe Jullien, op cit, and Desnoiresterres, les Cours galantes, Paris, 1864, IV, 42-6

²⁰ Cf. les Divertissemens de Sceaux, Trévoux, Ganeau, 1712, pp. 175 97, 232, 472-3, Dangeau, op cit, XI, 289, 306, Soleinne, no 1639, Adolphe Jullicn, op cit, Mélèse, Rép, pp. 216 7. The duchesse du Maine is said to have played in les Importuns, which included in its cast various types of bores, possibly in imitation of les Fâcheux,

among them a musiciau, a physician, précicuses, a mason, a marchande

11 The translation of the Mostellaria, entitled l'Hôte de Lemnos, was given at
Châtenay on Aug 8, 1707, and on March 7, 1708 On the latter occasion the duchess
played in it and the Dauphin, the duc de Berry, and the duchesse de Bourgogne were
among the spectators Dancers from the Opera were employed in the intermèdes;
of Dangeau, op cit, Xl, 431, XII, 93 The translation of the Miles gloriosus, called
Pyrgopolinics, capitaine d'Ephase, was written in 1708, but was not played till Aug
6, 1710, ef Solemne, no 1640, and Jullien, op cit, p 21 An adaptation that
Malezieu made of Heautontimoroumenos and did not publish is mentioned by Goujet,
Bibliotheque françoise, IV, 445 His adaptation of Euripides's Iphigenesia among the
Taurians has been discussed above, p 7

ar Solemne, no 1038, lists a manuscript of the play that once belonged to the due du Maine Jullien, op cit, pp 169, gives an analysis of the farce and comment by Hamilton about the entertainment. A miser has promised his daughter, Isabelle, to rich old Fatolet, but the girl prefers the impecunious marquis de Paincourt. She is aided by her maid, Finemouche, the marquis's valet, Crotesquas, and even by her father's valet, Bruscambille. Advised by Crotesquas, Isabelle pretends to be mute,

The duchesse du Maine plaved Finemouche, Malezieu, Crotesquas. The tone becomes more bosterous than that of most French comedies of the time. indicating, perhaps, the prevailing taste at the court of Sceaux. According to Hamilton, "le spectacle dura trois heures et demie sans ennuyer un moment." He describes the place in the garden where the performance was given . 28

C'étoit un grand espace couvert, et environné de toiles, où l'on avoit élevé un théâtre, dont les décorations étoient entrelacées de feuillages verts, fraîchement coupés, et illuminées d'une prodigieuse quantité de bougies

We have seen that Dancourt wrote for the duchesse du Maine a playlet called le Divertissement de Sceaux, that Genest composed for her a tragedy, Joseph, published with a preface by Malezieu, and that Destouches contributed one or two divertissements. Another production, written by the chevalier de Saint-Gilles and entitled Gilotin précepteur des Muses, was acted on Feb 24, 1706, with l'Ecole des maris. Jullien says that it was no more than a rimed prologue

To this period belongs Marivaux's first play, LE PERE PRUDENT ET ÉQUITABLE, OU CRISPIN L'HEUREUX FOURBE,24 never acted, but published at Paris It marks the déliut of a most distinguished dramatist. Pierre Carlet de Marivaux, born at Paris in 1688, is said to have scarcely left school when he declared that it is not hard to write a play and, to prove it, composed le Père prudent in a few days. His model may have been Pourceaugnac. from which he borrowed the reflection that polygamy "est ici cas pendable" (sc. 22) and the general idea of the plot, chiefly devoted to the efforts of attendants and a "fourbe" to disgust certain undesirable suitors with a marriage in order that the heroine may marry the young man she loves. Inexperienced in developing dramatic situations, Marivaux introduced three unwanted suitors instead of one. He retained the "fourbe" as well as a valet 25 The lovers' quarrel of sc 3 was probably borrowed from Tartuffe.

like the heroine of le Médecin malgré lui. A stammering physician is called in He and Fatolet stammer at each other as do two men in le Galant Jardinier. Isabelle rushes in, inpects the physician, and causes him to break his teeth. Her performance resembles that of the heroine in tes Falica amourcuses. In Act II Bruscambille hrings in Crotesquas, disguised as a Turkish physician, who declares that Isahelle has heen stung by a "tarentole" He prescribes music and dancing. In Act III the remedy is applied. The Italian words of the songs were supplied by the due de Nevers Finally, the supposed Turk declares that the only remedy is to find the girl a husband, but warns that he will die within six weeks. The marquis offers to run the risk and is accepted. Alard ends the valve with a greether degree of the supposed.

warms that he will die within six weeks. The marquis offers to run the risk and is accepted. Alard ends the play with a southing dance.

*** Grant camplites d'llamilton, Paris, 1805, III, 250.

**According to the Bib du th fr, III, 151, it was published in 1712 at Limoges with a dedication to M. Rogier and at Paris by the veuve Barbin. The Bibliothèque Nationale lists au edition of Limoges, Paris, P. Huet, 1712, 12°. It was republished in the author's Grant et de théatre, Paris, N. B. Duchesne, 1758, and in his Œuores, Paris, veuve Duchesne, 1751, and Heut-Court et Gavat source 1895. Paris, veuve Duchesne, 1781, and Haut-Cour et Gayet jeune, 1825

Démocrite, who lives in a village, objects to the marriage of his daughter.

The play consists chiefly of a series of deceptions, practised by Crispin, Frontin, and Toinette, the discovery of the trickery, and the solution of the problem by the simple device of having a law-suit won at the end of the play. As this dénouement is brought about by forces external to the plot, and as the lovers' quarrel and the rôle of Frontin are superfluous, the unity of action is violated. Nor is there much cleverness in the dialogue or in the situations. Marivaux strove to increase his comic element by having Jacques speak in patois and by minor references to manners. Crispin-Ariste objects to Philine's fontange and recommends sabots (sc. 13). In the same scene he describes the duties of a countryman's wife:

> Tous mes hiens sont ruraux, il faut beaucoup de soin Tantôt, c'est au grenier, pour descendre du foin; Veiller sur les valets, leur preparer la soupe, Filer tantôt du lin, & tantôt de l'étoupe, A faute de valets, souvent laver les plats, Eplueher la salade, & refaire les draps, Se lever avant jour, en jupe, ou camisolle, Pour éveiller ses gens, crier comme une folle

Such passages as this do not prevent the play from being a dull and mechanical production, totally lacking the charm that Mariyaux was subsequently able to introduce into his comedies. There is no cyidence that le Père prudent was ever acted.

In 1706-13 the Comédie Française gave no plays that have remained inaccessible, but towards the end of these years the actors produced two comedies that were never published and are now lost. Both of them are said

Philine, to the young man she loves, Cleandre, because his affairs are involved in a law-suit that may not be settled for years. He tells her that she must marry in a law-suit that may not be settled for years. He tells her that she must marry one of three suitors who are to present themselves shortly, an old and wealthy countryman, a chevalier, and a financier. Cléandre, irritated by Philine's apparent acceptance of the situation, seedds her and threatens to leave, hut her suivante, Toinette, and his valet, Crispin, induce them to make peace and think of measures to be taken. Crispin prepares to save the situation and engages Frontin a "fourbe," to help him. When Ariste, the countryman, appears with a peasant, Jacques, Crispin pretends that he is Démocrite and that Toinette is his daughter. Her bold manner soon drives Ariste away Crispin then pretends to be Ariste and to wish his wife to be a drudge, angering Démocrite to the point of dismissing him Crispin next meets the financier and pretends that an unmentionable malady has infected Democrite, his daughter, and then relatives The financier tips him and wishes to leave after saying a word to Démocrite, but he learns from him that he has been deceived and goes to look for Crispin Frontin now presents himself as the financier, but Démo to have been secretly married to the chevalier and wins Démocrite's sympathy, but to have been secretive man forces Crispin to confess that he has slandered him and to admit that he has told him Philine is deranged. The financier now returns and recognizes Crispin, who admits that Ie had sent the imposter. Frontin Aliste and the peasant, Jacques, join the group, as Jacques has seen Crispin in the village and learned who he is Crispin defends himself by saying that he has been helping Cléandre, whom Philine loves Cléandre now reports that his law-suit has been won and asks for Philine As the rivals are willing to withdraw, Démocrite accepts him as a son-in-law Cléandre in turn agrees to the marriage of Crispin and Toinette

to have been in three acts and in verse. The first, called La FILLE VALET.28 was written by Abeille, who was a provincial actor and a nephew of the abbé Abeille. It was acted on Sept. 5, 1712, was played seven times, and earned for its author 147 francs, 17 sous. The title suggests that it was a comedy of intrigue that employed disguise. The other comedy probably had a satirical element, if one may judge by the sub-title. It was called LA FILLE supposée ou L'Héroine de ROMAN.27 The author was La Grange-Chancel, whose earlier plays had all been tragedies. His comedy was first acted on May 11, 1713. As it had only four performances, it must have been a failure. The author avoided comedy thereafter.

The following year two other lost comedies appeared, the one-act RIVAUX D'EUX-MEMES,28 played on Aug. 27, 1714, and only once thereafter, and LES CAPTIES,29 by Charles Roy, first acted on Sept 28. The latter play seems to have been an adaptation of Plautus's Captur, in three acts and in "vers libres," with a prologue and with three dwertissements, the music of which was composed by an actor, J -B. Maurice Quinault. The idea of introducing by a prologue 30 an adaptation of Plautus probably came from Regnard, who had written one to accompany his Ménechmes. In Roy's prologue La Thorillière, playing Mercury, brings petitions from various persons in the Elysian Fields One of them, sent by Prometheus, objects to Pluto's having substituted, as his tormentor, a young lawyer for a vulture While Mercury is discussing the petitions, Plautus comes to complain that he is robbed by modern authors Mercury asks him if he objects to Molière's having taken from him the subject of Amphitryon and informs him that his Captivi has inspired another modern author When Plautus fears for the success of this play. Mercury assures him that its second father is still more troubled about it.

The critic of the Mercure who supplies this information adds that he has heard that Roy's Aristophon is quite inferior to Plautus's Chitophon, that his intrigue is derived, badly derived from a novel called l'Heureux Esclave, 31 but that the divertissements are greatly admired. He also states that at the

²⁶ Cf the frères Parfaict, XV, 124 In their Dictionnaire des théâtres, I, 3, it is stated that Abeille also wrote Crispin jaloux, a play never printed and of unknown

²⁸ Cf the frères Parfaict, XV, 151 ²⁸ Ibid, p 172 Solcinne, no 1666, lists a manuscript of the play and indicates that it was in verse

cf the frères Parfaret, XV, 176-9, Joannides, la Comédie-Française de 1680 à

^{1900,} p 15, Mélèse, Rén, p 222

Nithout giving any evidence, Joannides asserts that the prologue was by Lafont,

but this may well be doubted as the frères Parfaict, who consulted Roy about the coinedy, indicate no other author for the prologue than Roy himself

An anonymous novel of this title had appeared at Paris in 1674, cf R C Williams, Bibliography of the Screnteenth-Century Novel in France, New York, 1931, p 299 The full title is l'Heureua Esclave ou relation des aventures d'Olivier de Nazume This novel is attributed to Brémond by Polinger, Pierre Charles Roy, New York, 1930, p 105

first performance the hall was packed. The success of the play is also shown by its having seventeen performances in 1714 and seven others in the four years that followed.

There is a curious account of the play's production in 1718. On March 21 of that year the actors were accused of violating the monopoly of singing and dancing granted to the Académie Royale de Musique. They had recently given Dancourt's Métempsycose and Roy's Captifs, plays

dans lesquelles ils ont donné un prologue et des intermèdes remplis de chants et de danses avec des accompagnements de leur orquestre où ils avoient un batteur de mesure et y ont meslé des entrées de ballet complettes avec des danseurs externes qu'ils avoient pris 22

Roy informed the frères Parfaict that he had withdrawn the play and reworked it. He told them that he would publish it in a new edition of his works unless he found an opportunity to have it performed again. Though this opportunity does not appear to have arisen, it was never published, in his lifetime or subsequently.³³

I conclude this chapter by taking up the productions of an author who, so far as anyone knows, never had a play performed, but some of whose work in dialogued form shows considerable acquaintance with Molière, the Théâtre Italien, Raymond Poisson, and actors of his own day. The abbé Laurent Bordelon (1653-70) ³⁴ had published, late in the seventeenth century, an Arlequin Comédien aux Champs Elisées and a Molière Comédien aux Champs Elisées. Early in the eighteenth he brought out a similar work, Poisson Comédien aux Champs Elisées, and a novel called Mital that contains two rudimentary playlets. In the latter work, published at Paris and at Amsterdam in 1708, he indicates his purpose in composing the two little comedies.

Il ne s'agit pas ici d'unité d'action, de temps, de lieu La première & la principale regle, c'est de voir & d'entendre quelque chose qui divertisse Ce qui s'appelle Comedie, n'est qu'un composé de différentes Scenes, qui ont cependant toutes rapport à un même but ²⁶

the fines requested, but they were warned to respect the monopoly in the future The Académie Royale found, however, fresh cause for complaint in 1725 and in 1740 appears asserts, op cit, p 357, that it was published in 1773 He does not say where or by whom He quite obviously saw no copy of it, for, when he discusses the play, pp 105-6, he merely follows the frères Parfaiet As no copy is listed in Soleinne or in the catalogue of the Bibliothèque Nationale, and as Goizet declares that the play was never published, I conclude that Polinger was mistaken Even his reading of the frères Parfaiet was careless. He writes that "Parfaiet [sic, which of the brothers?] seems to have been an eye witness of the performance," although what they say about the size of the audience and the nature of the prologue is taken from a writer in the Mercure

³⁴ Cf my op cit, Part IV, pp 702 5 and 894-7, Jacquelins dc la Harpe, L'Abbé Laurent Bordelon, Berkeley, 1942, and my review of this dissertation, MLN, LVIII (1943), 209-10

^{*} Mital, pp 253-4 The playlets are found on pp 256-82 and pp 321-47

LE CORAM ET LE CLAM consists of twelve scenes demonstrating the difference between what one says to a person and what one says about him. They show in turn women who compliment another woman when she is present and call her sotte and idiote when she is away; a young man who flatters a girl and subsequently admits to a friend that his object was seduction; men who flatter a "grand seigneur" and an author; and a judge who puts off a litigant, but who is eager to hear a woman talk about her law-suit if her niece, whom he loves, accompanies her. Parts of two of the scenes are given in résumé. There is no connection among the various groups except the general idea. Even this is not well indicated in the case of those who visit the judge, as we do not see them when they are out of his presence.

The other playlet is called Les Grands et les Petits. It has nine scenes, most of them unconnected with one another. They illustrate by conversations the facts that the great are boastful, overbearing except when seeking favors, ungrateful, lacking in culture, unappreciative of the learned, and at times heavily in dcbt, the "petits," too humble in their attitude towards the great and wretched when dependent upon them. No political measures are suggested to remedy the situation, though the work gives evidence of dissatisfaction with the class system of the time. Neither this play nor its companion piece showed sufficient wit, psychological discernment, or dramatic action to carry its excellent social message to those for whom it was intended.

Of much greater interest is Poisson Comédien aux Champs Elisées, 6 "nouvelle Historique, Allegorique, & Comique Où l'on voit les plus celebres Orateurs representer une Comedie intitulée La Comédie sans Femme" As in the ease of Arlequin Comedien and Molière Comédien, the scene is laid in the Elysian fields and there is preliminary talk among the shades Mlle L'Héritier's Apothéose de Mile de Scudéry is praised by Pellisson, d'Urfé, La Calprenède, Mlle Desjardins, and others D'Urfé is teased because in the Astrée shepherdesses appear naked before Celadon. Baro suggests that they talk about plays Quevedo, Cervantes, and La Serre make a few comments. Lucian brings in Raymond l'oisson, who is asked to prepare a play and to take the chief rôle in it Then follows Misogine ou la Comédie sans femme, with a prologue, three acts, and an epilogue.

The actors are all shades of the dead. The most interesting thing about them is that some of them take the part of living actors, reversing the function of actors who represent the illustrious dead. Other shades play imaginary parts Only Cicero and Raymond Poisson belong in both eate-

³⁶ Paris, Le Clerc, 1710, 12°, and Paris, Pierre Prault, 1712 The approbation, signed by Fontenelle, is dated Jan 2, 1710, the *privilege*, Jan 26 The work was registered on Feb 10 There is a copy of this second edition in the Library of Congress.

gories, Poisson representing his son Paul in the prologue and epilogue, but, in the three acts, a valet called Frontin, though one would have expected Crispin, the name that he ordinarily employed while he was alive. 37

The prologue and epilogue constitute an outer play, so chiefly interesting for the evidence it gives about the troupe and the audiences of the Comédie Française. Paul Poisson is said to have a reputation for creating joy. He objects to the exclusion of women from the inner play and threatens in the epilogue to strike La Flèche Etienne Baron has only a minor rôle as Poisson's comrade. Six actresses are impersonated. The dialogue shows that la Dancourt and la Desbrosses were well known for playing intriguers and peasant women, la Dangeville, for portraying feeling, la Fonpré, for her "douceur naturelle" Charlotte Desmares and Francoise Quinault de Nesle demand pensions as they are not allowed to act in the inner play. All these actresses are disgruntled by this exclusion, but, if they are the six women who dance in the divertissement, they were allowed their revenge.

Scenes 12 and 13 are chiefly devoted to the question of sifflets. Cliton brings in many, for such is said to be the custom of spectators. He has gilded whistles for the lowest boxes, whistles "pour attraper des cailles" for the second tier, whistles of a "chaudronier" for the third. He also has some for people in the amphitheater, though, as these do not pay for their seats, they do not care to call attention to themselves. He has flutes for the men who sit on the stage and flirt with the actresses between the acts, but

The actors of the prologue are listed as follows, their names coming after those of the characters they represent Maxant, Ciceron, Lehanne, Cornelie, Angelique, Agalle, Dorimant, Demosthenes, La Flèche, Lucien, Lysette, Phintys, Cliton, Petrone, Poisson, Poisson défunct, Baron, Pericles, Mile Fonnée, Hortensia; Mile Dancour, Eudovie, Mile Dancour la fille, Anne Comnene. Mile Dangeville, Mile Despardins, Mile de Nesle, Mue de la Suze, Mile Desmarres, Mile de Seudery, Mlle Desbrosses, Aspasie

Those of the three acts are Doraste, Phocion, Harpsge, Longin, Frontin, Poisson; Fabiaste, Balzac, Un financier, La Scire, Un homme de robe, Ciceron Un officier, Calprenède, Un poète, Boccalini, Vicillard, Miguel de Cervantes, Gusman, Quevedo; M Des Mennets, Hortensius, Valet de Des Menuets, Naruetze [Nervèze?], M de Fredonville, Isocrate, Gascon, Protagoras, Suisse, Lipse, Paysan, Bodin, Capitaine

de Vaisseau, Demades

³⁶ Angélique has two lovers, Maxant, an author, and Dormant Her mother, Léhanne, approves of Maxant as a "bel esprit," but the daughter prefers Dormant and asks him to see to it that a play written by Maxant will be a failure. His valet proposes that whistles be employed for the purpose, but Angelique's susvante, Lysette, holds that it will be better for the lovers if the play succeeds Actresses of the Comedie Française object to the fact that there are no women in the play, which is then performed Lysette begs Angélique and Dorimant to praise the play and argues that Maxant has shown in it that women must be treated as slaves. Dorimant agrees to praise it if Angélique and her mother are not included in the general condemnation to praise it if Angelique and her mother are not included in the general condemnation of women. Lélianne bids her daughter indicate her preference between her two suitors. Angélique prefers Dorimant Lysette points out that this choice gives the unexpected dénouement that a good comedy should have. Lélianne adds to the surprise by agreeing to marry Maxaut if he will write a "Comedic sans Homme" Lysette then expresses her preference for Dorimant's valet, Cliton, over La Flèche, Maxant's valet. The work concludes with a divertissement written by Maxant for his weedding and showing the triumph of women over a docteur, an old man, and a warrior

none for the parterre, as it is always well supplied. He refers to the fact that one no longer cries "Haut les bras" to those who sit in the boxes.

The inner play is entitled Misogine ou la Comédie sans femme. At the beginning of each of its three acts, the actresses come to sit on the stage with the spectators. The plot, which is merely an excuse for a series of consultations, recalls Arlequin Misanthrope. These consultations contain a good deal of social criticism, but most of it shows little originality. There is a reference (I, 13) to women who smoke. The Swiss speaks Germanic French (II, 6-8) The dancing master parodies what is finest and what is most absurd in the performance of the Opera's leading dancers. Most of the comic element is provided by two valets, especially by Frontin, who constantly endcavors, at times with success, to extract money from his master's visitors.

Bordelon was a man of considerable learning and knowledge of the stage, but he had little creative ability, and his attempts at wit are laborious. His play about Poisson resembles the productions he had devoted to the memory of Molière and Domenico Biancolelli. The chief value of all three lies in the evidence they furnish of the popularity of comedy and the influence it could exert upon a writer whose talents were better adapted to other forms of literature.

¹⁸ Doraste, believing his beloved Celonte has eloped with Alcidor, has retired with his valet, Frontin, to a solitude near a seaport. Various persons come to consult Doraste, chiefly about their domestic difficulties. He receives an old man in danger of becoming a cuckold, an officer, a financier, a dancing master, a poet, a Swiss, a dramatist, a peasant, a magistrate, a Gascon, and finally a sea captain. The last of these tells of his love for a girl who will not respond to his advances. It turns out that the girl is Celonte and that she is still faithful to Doraste. The latter's father comes with her to ask advice, Doraste is recognized, and his marriage to Celonte is arranged. A minor divertissement is made by the leaps and capers of two cabin boys,

CHAPTER XIX

THE THEATERS OF THE FOIRE

The Fairs of Saint-Germain and Saint-Laurent, established at Paris in the Middle Ages, flourished there in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries 1 Saint-Germain usually opened on Feb. 3 and ran till Palm Sunday. while Saint-Laurent began in July or August and continued well into September, sometimes into October. The visitors were offered for entertainment rope-dancing, leaping, the view of wild or trained animals, and marionette shows. Occasionally they might see human actors, as was the case when les Forces de l'amour et de la magre was played at the Foire Saint-Germain in 1678 by the troupe of Alard and Moritz von der Beek.2 In this production plot and dialogue are employed to introduce spectacular gymnastics, magical tricks, and dancing.8 Earlier types of entertainment offered at the Foire persist in the use of trained animals, of "sauteurs," and of Polichinelles, familiar figures in marionette performances. Imitation of the Théâtre Italien is suggested by the presence of an Arlequin and the emphasis placed on lazzi. The popularity of the play may be judged by the fact that it seems to have introduced Merlin as the name of a valet.

One was located near Saint-Germain des Prés, the other between the Faubourg

Tone was located near Saint-Germain des Pres, the other between the Faudourg Saint Denis and the Faudourg Saint Martin

For a study of Foire theaters of especially the freres Parfaict, Mémoires pour servir a l'histoire des spectacles de la foire, Paris, Briasson, 1743, and Dictionnaire des théâtres de Paris, Paris, Lainbert, 1756 (as François Parfaict died in 1753, this work is often attributed to Claude alone, though both appear to have labored over it), Campardon, les Spectacles de la foire, Paris, 1877, Lesage et d'Urneval, le Théâtre de la foire, Paris, Gandouin, 1737, Soleinne, Jal, Bonnassies, les Spectacles forans et la Comédie Française, Paris, 1875, Barberet, Lesage et le Théâtre de la foire, Nancy, 1887, Maurice Albert, les Théâtres de la foire (1660-1789), Paris, 1900, N.-M. Bernardin, la Comédie italienne en France, Paris, 1902, and my op cit, Part N .M Bernardin, la Comédie italienne en France, Paris, 1902, and my op cit, Part

 IV, pp 933-6
 An analysis of the work, preserved by Sauval, was reproduced by the frères Parfaict, Mémoires, I, lvi lxxix, and by Maurice Drack, le Thiatre de la foire, Paris, Parfaict, Mémowes, I, lvi lxxix, and by Maurice Drack, le Thictire de la foire, Paris, 1889, pp 12-22 The scene represents a large forest with "quantité de Sauteurs, sur des piedestaux" After an overture has been played, Merlin, "Valet de Zoroastre," complains that his master uses magic and loves a shepherdess, Gresinde The girl calls on Love for protection while demons beat Merlin. The valet takes refuge on a pedestal while the Sauteurs make dangerous leaps. In the second intermède Zoroastre composes a charm, shepherds and a "Sauteur en Arlequin" dance, monkeys and winged snakes appear unexpectedly, Gresinde promises the magician to yield after two hours, and there is a dance of "Sauteurs en Polichinelles". In the final intermede Gresinde appeals to Juno, who removes her to a place of safety, substituting for her a demon who "fait in saut périlleux du haut du ceintre." Zoroastre is obliged to yield to the gods and Merlin "danse une Sarabande a neuf postures."

"One can understand why the magician, Merlin, should lend his name to the valet of another reputed magician, Zoroaster. Borrowed by Boursault for the valet of

of another reputed magician, Zoroaster Borrowed by Boursault for the valet of his popular Comédie sans titre in 1683, the name was soon adopted for valets in plays by Saint-Yon, Desmares, Dancourt, and many other dramatists of the late

seventeenth century and of the eighteenth

The leaders of the troupe that gave this production soon became well known. Charles and Pierre Alard, sons of a "baigneur-étuviste," performed for years at Paris. They are the only entertainers of the Foire that Dangeau mentions by name as giving performances at court 5 Charles died from a fall in 1711, while Pierre continued for a number of years in another troupe. Their German associate, von der Beek, had married Jeanne Godefroy and become the father of Catherine, who in 1696 married the actor, Etienne Baron. The father had died before this marriage took place. The fact that Catherine had a dowry of 15,000 francs 6 shows that he must have amassed a considerable fortune His widow, who married a young nobleman 7 in 1698, continued, as the veuve Maurice, her husband's trade until the end of 1709

Another early organizer of dramatic entertainments at the Foire was Alexandre Bertrand, a "maitre doreur" and maker of marionettes. He made them so well that he was tempted to set them in motion, opened a marionette show in the rue des Quatre Vents, and, after succeeding there, acquired a "loge" at the Foire Saint-Germain in 1690, adding human actors and actresses to his dolls. Upon the complaint of the Comédie Francause, his establishment was quickly destroyed by the police, but he continued to entertain with dancers, leapers, and marionettes, and even rented the Hôtel de Bourgogne in 1697 after the Italian troupe had been forced to leave it Though an order from the king deprived him of that theater at the end of his first week, he was not discouraged and was soon one of the leading producers at the Foire.

At his establishment were performed the first two plays by Fuzelier, Thésée and the Ravissement d'Hélène The first of these was entitled Thésée ou la Défaite des Amazones It was in three acts, with three intermèdes called les Amours de Trembloim et de Marinette Magnin argued that the three acts were played by marionettes, the three intermèdes by living actors.8 He believed that Fuzelier's second play, Le Ravissement d'Hélène, le siège et l'embrasement de Troye was given in a similar manner. To prove that the marionettes were the chief entertainers, he quotes from a poster the statement that the play was to be acted "par les marionnettes du sieur

^{*}Op cit, IX, 10, 13, 321
*Cf Campardon, op cit, I, 83, and Jal
*The freres Parfaut (Mémoires, I, 1025) tell a romantic story to explain this strange marriage of a nobleman and the widow of a forum. It seems that the young man slapped his father's gouvernante, who died of the blow. Mine von der Beek assisted him when pursued by the police and, through her influence, enabled him to escape punishment. He showed his gratitude by marrying her. The date of this marriage, which escaped the frères l'arfant and Campardon, was indicated by Jal. *Histoire des marionnettes en Europe, Paris, Michel Lévy frères, 1862, p. 150. His probably correct conclusion is based on the facts that Bertrand was especially known for his marriage, that he had proviously south to combine their mith

known for his marionettes, that he had previously sought to combine them with living actors, and that an actor, Tamponnet, is said to have created the rôle of Tremblotin

Alexandre Bertrand, dans le préau de la foire Saint-Germain." A libretto of this work has been preserved 8 It is an extremely crude production, published in anticipation of the performance. A realistic prologue in three scenes, spoken by persons who do not reappear, is followed by three acts, the first two of which include certain scenes that are given entirely in pantomime, while the third consists chiefly of ballet dancing 10 The view of Troy, the fighting, the episode of the wooden horse, and the arrival of the goddesses in a chariot that must be aerial furnish much that is spectacular. The comic element is supplied chiefly by Francœur and Sinon. The fact that a very large cast is required supports the statement that marionettes were employed. Characters appear and disappear without preparation and often without leaving information regarding their fate. No attempt is made to represent ancient manners "On ouvre les Tranchées, à la mode Francoise" (I, 6) "On bat la Chamade dans la Ville, on fait voir un Drapeau" (I, 8) The time is at least ten years. If the space represented is small, it is probably owing to the nature of the subject rather than to respect for the unities, as the place changes within the first act, many of the seenes are not linked, the unity of action is far from being preserved, there is a marked mingling of tones, and no respect is shown for the bienséances. Though most of the play is in prose, the third act is almost entirely in verse. Except for Helen's cynical acceptance, first of Paris, then of Menelaus, there is nothing noteworthy in the presentation of character. The play was obviously designed to be presented to an audience that would be indifferent to the art

Paris, Antoine Chrétien, 1705, 12°, priv, signed by d'Argenson, Jan 30 32 pp. The title page indicates that the play will be given "any Jeny des Viv'orce du Sieur Alexandre Bertrand, dans le Préau de la Foire Saint Germain," but it does not mention marionettes. There are copies of this libretto at the Bibliothèque Nationale and at the Johns Hopkins University. The Dictionnaire des theâtres, IV, 378 81, analyzes the work and, like Magnin, indicates that it had been announced as to be acted "par les Marionnettes du Sieur Alexandre Bertrand."

¹⁹ In the prologue Franceur, a soldier who had accompanied Paris to Greece, converses with Mine de la Ramée before her inn. She keeps him waiting while she dons her shirt. He tells of Paris's arrival with Helen, predicts that the Greeks will follow, and asks for a "gorge de cochon". In Act I we are within Tioy when Paris and Helen assure each other of their love and the governor of the city welcomes them, then in the Greek camp, where Menclaus talks of his dishonor and his destre to painsh Paris and recover Helen, whom he considers blameless, and recoves offers of help from Achilles and Ulysses. The city is uttacked. Trollus, Pathodius, Rector, Achilles, and Paris are killed one after another. Ulysses enters the city and brings out the Palladium. Priam offers, Menclaus 20,000 gold pieces and provisions for his trip home, if he will leave within an hour. Advised by Ulysses, Menclains pretends to accept the offer and the gold is brought. In Act II Sinou, coached by Ulysses, pretends to desert and with many jests persuades the Trojans to receive the wooden horse with dancing and song. Sinon inflocks the horse and signals to the Greek army. A massacre is ordered, but Pyrrhus spares Andromache, Aeneas escapes with his father and son, and Menclains welcomes. Helen, who pretends to be happy over their remnon. In Act III Jino and Mineiva appear in a chariot and gloat over Paris, Helen and Menclains rejoice, six ballet entries are danced, and the play ends with a "Chaconie"

of the Comédie Française and would be delighted by crude jests, fighting, dancing, and a changing spectacle.

Other producers were Christophe Selles (1701-9), Rochefort and Tiquet (1705-8), Louis Nivellon (1707-11), la Letellier and her son, who gave marionette shows in 1707, 1715, and probably in other years, Saint-Edme and his wife (1711-18), the chevalier Pellegrin (1711-18), brother of the dramatist, Jean-Baptiste Constantini (1712-16), who, as Octave, had represented young lovers in the Théâtre Italien, 11 and the veuve Baron, or dame de Beaune (1712-18). The mother of this last producer, la veuve Maurice, had sold her place of business to Bellegarde and Desguerrois at the end of 1709, possibly in the hope of establishing her daughter at the Foire Catherine Baron had suffered from her husband's debauchery, his wasting her dowry, and even from insults and blows she received from him.12 Bellegarde and Desguerrois ran their shows in 1710 under her name and that of Rauly, then sold out to Saint-Edme, but Etienne Baron's death in December, 1711, enabled his widow to open her own establishment without fear of being sued for her husband's debts. She employed two experienced actors, Baxter, an Englishman who played Arlequin, and Sorin, who took the part of Scaramouche. For a while she was associated with Saint-Edme She was the principal producer of Lesage's early contributions to the Foire.18

Besides Baxter and Sorin, some of the leading actors were Charles Dolet and Antoine Delaplace, ¹⁴ who played, respectively, Arlequin and Scaramouche in various troupes, Belloni, a Greek, who began in the troupe of Selles in 1704 and distinguished himself as Pierrot, Pierre Paghetti, who came to Paris about 1710 and played the Docteur, Jean-Baptiste Raguenet, who was the son of the merchant who supplied the Comédie Française with candles, who played in various troupes, and who won great applause as Don Juan, and Pierre-François Biancolelli, called Dominique, son of the famous Biancolelli of the Théâtre Italien and author of many farces, who, after playing in various troupes of the Foire until 1717, became a member of the new Comédie Italienne, ¹⁵

¹¹ Cf my op cit, Part IV, p 601, and the Dictionnaire des théâtres, IV, 10-14 After the expulsion of the Italian actors, Octave returned to Italy, where, apparently as a spy, he rendered important service in 1701 to the French army. He was rewarded with "une inspection sur toutes les barrières de Paris," a position that enabled hun to become a producer at the Foire

enabled hun to become a producer at the Force

12 Cf Campardon, op ctt. I, 83 5, and above, pp 17-8

13 Ahout 1715 she married Pierre Charretier de Beaune, "conseiller au Châtelet de Paris" He took her to Louisiana, where he had been named "procureur général"

After his death she was in such reduced circumstances that for a while she was an ouvreus at the Opéra Comique She died about 1736

14 Delaplace was accused by Dancourt of threatening to assassinate him, in

¹⁴ Delaplace was accused by Daucourt of threatening to assassinate him, in August, 1712, he was charged with attacking Dolet, cf Campardon, op cit, I, 234-5, 263

¹⁸ He began to play at the Fone in the latter half of 1708, according to the frères

Dominique was not the only link between the old Théâtre Italien and the actors of the Foire, for his wife and his nephew Jean-Antoine Romagnesi. both of whom acted with him, were, respectively, the daughter of Pascariel and the grandson of Cinthio. Moreover, Octave, as we have seen, directed shows at the Foire for several years, while Antoine Francassini, son of Polichinelle, played in the troupes of Selles and the veuve Maurice. Many of their comrades, former artisans or professional dancers or leapers, were looked down upon as persons "sans aveu" by the actors of the Comédie Française, despite the marriage of Catherine von der Beck to Etienne Baron, nephew of Dancourt's wife, and the facts that La Thorillière was young Dominique's brother-in-law, la Duclos the aunt of la Châteauneuf, who acted with Dolet and Delaplace.

Performances were at first given in what was called a loge, defined by the frères Parfaict 16 as

un lieu fermé avec des planches, ou l'on dressoit des échaffaudages pour les Spectateurs, une corde tendue pour les Danseurs, & une estrade élevée d'un pied & demi, tout au plus, pour les Sauteurs, mais sans ornemens & sans décorations A la fin de chaque Fone, on enlevoit tout ce qui étoit dans la Loge, pour s'en servir à la prochaine Foire

After the departure of the Italians and Bertrand's failure to keep the Hôtel de Bourgogne, the forains, as the nearest heirs to the Théâtre Italien, began to construct theaters resembling, except for their temporary character, the one in which the rival actors of the Comédie Française were playing. According to police reports published by Campardon,17 they had stages about four and a half feet high, supporting decorations and several rows of benches for spectators, a parterre, an amphitheater, and two tiers of boxes Prices charged in 1681 had been much lower than those of the Comedie Française,16 but, as the fortunes of the forcins and the accommodations they offered improved, their charges rose till we find them asking in February, 1712, three and a half francs for a seat on the stage or in a lower box,19 about the price exacted by their distinguished rivals.

A certain Trével engaged himself to play in Bertrand's troupe at the Foire Saint-Germain of 1706 for twenty sous a day. The frères Parfaict 20 conclude that this is what Bertrand paid to each of his actors, but, as they submit no further evidence, we may suppose that more experienced actors

Parfaict, Mémoircs, I, 81, in 1710 according to the Dictionnaire des théâtres, I, 440, and Campardon, op cit, I, 264

18 Memoircs, I, 3

¹⁷ Op cst , I, 88, 91, 261

²³ Cf my op cit, Part IV, p 934

¹º Cf Campardon, op cit, I, 262 ⁸⁰ Mémovres, I, 13

received larger salaries. There is no evidence, however, that troupes of the Foire formed stock companies, as did the actors of the Comédie Française, nor did they receive a governmental subsidy, but they attracted such large crowds—including even members of the nobility ²¹—that they excited the envy of the Comédie and the Opera and encouraged by their success the formation of new troupes at the fairs.

In 1701-8 the forains gave crude plays, or detached scenes, many in the manner of the Théâtre Italien or directly derived from it, some borrowed with modifications from the French repertory Fuzelier's Thésée and Ravissement d'Hêlène have been mentioned At the Foire Saint-Germain of 1706 the veuve Maurice produced Bellavaine's Sancho Pança, with Bellon in the title-rôle.²² At the same fair she gave a performance that began with dancing and a tight-tope exhibition, after which was represented

un lit duquel sortoient deux hommes en chemise, l'un vêtu en scaramouche et l'autre en arlequin, ledit arlequin se jetant dans un grand pot de chambre, ensuite s'entretenant de plusieurs dialogues et propos interrompus, chansons, et ledit jeu finissant par des sauts avec quelques entr'actes de danse et annonce faite en finissant se

In 1707-8 were played such productions of the Théâtre Italien as Fatonville's Arlequin lingère, Arlequin Prothée, Arlequin Empereur dans la lune, and Fille savante, les Chinois and la Foire Saint-Germain by Regnard and Dufresny, and Pasquin et Marforio by Dufresny and Louis Biancolelli The Folies d'Isabelle, announced to be acted on Feb. 24, 1707, may also have been an old play Arlequin Ecolier ignorant et Scaramouche Pédant scrupuleux 24 and Arlequin Gentilhomme par hasard, 25 played in 1707-8, are in the same tradition. In regard to a Festin de Pierre, acted at the theater of the veuve Maurice on Sept. 28, 1707, a police official declared that it "nous a pain être un précis de la même que les comédiens françois ont représentée sur leur théâtre." 26

²¹ Campardon, op cet, II, 346, shows that on Ang 2, 1712, there attended a performance in Saint Edme s theater "plusieurs seigneurs et dames de la Cour, entre autres Mudaine la duchesse de la Meilleraye et M le chevalier de Mesmes "

Frères Parfaict, Memorres, I, 46

²⁸ Campardon, op est, II, 116

²⁴ According to the Dictionnaire des theâtres, V, 92-4, this play was derived from a scenario of the old Theâtre Italien. It appeared at the Foire Saint Germain in 1707 with Dolet as the scholar, Delaplace as Scarimonehe. Repeated in all fairs up to 1710, it had subsequently to be given "å la mnette," so that Fuzelier adapted it to this requirement and added soigs. In its new form it was acted on Sept 12, 1711, and was published. Scaramonche who has taught Arlequin to avoid women, beats him when he catches him kissing Isobelle's hand, but a little later Arlequin finds Scaramonche making love to Colombine and beats him in turn.

²⁶ Composed by Dominique and placed, when he first acted in Paris, at the Foire Saint Laurent of 1708, of frères Parfaut, Memoires, I, 81 In the Dictionnaire des theatres 1, 222, it is singrested that the play derived from Quinault's Coups de l'amour or its Spainsh source

²⁶ Campardon, op oit, II, 119 Le Triomphe de l'amour was announced on Aug 3,

The actors of the Comédie Française probably interpreted the performance as the policemen did and regarded it as a new infringement upon their monopoly. They had been protesting for some time. Both the Parlement and the police had acted in their behalf, but the forums, by appealing their cases and by peculiar methods of presenting their plays, had succeeded in eluding efforts to stop their performances In February, 1699, d'Argenson had forbidden "tous Particuliers de représenter aucune Comédie ni Farce." The forams had appealed In February, 1706, the police had warned them against giving "Spectacles où il y ait des Dialogues." The forains had then persuaded Cardinal d'Estrées, abbé de Saint-Germain des Prés, whose land they were renting, to aid their appeal, but, in spite of his influence, Parlement had, on Feb. 22, 1707, repected the appeal and insisted that the forains should present neither "Colloques ni Dialogues." 27 The actors resorted to monologues and gave plays as described in a police account of a performance of Scaramouche Pédant scrupuleux on Aug. 30, 1707 28

Que presqu'à toutes les Scênes, l'Acteur qui avoit parlé se retiroit dans la coulisse, & revenoit dans l'instant sur le Théâtre, ou l'Acteur qui étoit resté parloit à son tour, & formoit ainsi une espéce de Dialogue Que les mêmes Acteurs se parloient & répondoient dans les coulisses & que d'autres fois l'Acteur répétoit fout haut ce que son Camarade lui avoit dit tout bas

They supplemented this method of acting by introducing remarks at the expense of their opponents On March 6, 1708, the troupe of Nivellon gave a performance described by the police as follows 29

Après les danses de corde on a leve une toile et ont paru plusieurs acteurs comme un arlequin, un docteur, un scaramonche, une colombine, une fille du docteur, un pierrot, un chanteur et une chanteuse, qui ont forme une espèce de coinsdie dont le sujet est que le docteur, pour l'accommoder ses affaires, lève que troupe de comédiens de tons ses domestiques et repete plusieurs seènes tirees de différentes comédies et tragédies et parodies burlesques. A chaque scene un acteur parle seul. Après quoi il se retire et un autie vient enquite Quelquefois ils sont deux ensemble sur la scene, l'un parle haut et l'autre répond bas. Quelquefois un acteur repond quelques mots derrière la perspective à celui qui est sur la scène et qui parle haut, en sorte que le tout ensemble fait voir un sujet de comédie suivie. Il nous a paru dans toute

^{1708,} to be performed next day, of frères Parfaut Mémoires, 1, 81 Le Vicillard amoureur was acted on Sept 5, 1707, by la Letellier and her son after their marionettes had left the stage, of Campardon, op cit, II, 74 It was in one act and may have been Françoise Paseal's old farce, adapted to the ways of the Foire, of my op cit, Part III, pp 679 80 Le Marchand ridicule acted, according to its titlepage, at the Force Saint Germann of 1708, includes Polichmelle among its characters, an indication that it was played by marionettes (cf. Campardon, op cit, 11, 71). The plays by Gillot that I discussed (op cit, Part IV, pp. 935.6) include le Marchand ridicule and have Polichinelle as their chief (liaracter. All four may have been acted. in the period 1701 8 For the publication of le Marchand riducile, of above, Chap. XIII, p 230
²⁷ Cf freres Parfact, Mémoires, I, 18, 47 8, 57 8

²⁸ Ibid, pp 63 4

^{*} Campardon, op cit, II, 1767

la pièce qu'on se moque des comédiens du Roi, ce qui a fait que nous avons entendu dire à plusieurs personnes qui étaient autour de nous "Les comédiens sont bien et un acteur apporte plusieurs livres et lit le titre d'iceux bafoués là-dedans! " qu'il dit être l'Art de parler seul, invente par les comédiens françois Après quoi ils disent "Quand nous ne saurons plus que faire, nous annoncerons le Diable " boiteux"

On March 21, 1708, and Jan. 2, 1709, new rulings were promulgated by Parlement in favor of the Comédie Française. Tiquet and Rochefort ceased to give performances. Alard and the veuve Maurice purchased from Guyenet, director of the Opera, the right to use decorations, singers, and dancers. Bertrand and Selles sold their business to Holtz and Godard, members of the duc d'Orléans's Swiss guard, who in turn hired the actors of these producers and proceeded to give forbidden dialogues. But not for long. Fresh complaints from the actors of the Comedie Francaise were followed by the destruction of Holtz's theater under the supervision of Dancourt, Dufev. and the police 31 The Swiss appealed to the Grand Conseil, which condemned the actors on March 14, 1709, but an appeal to the king reversed the decision in 1710 and forced Holtz and Godard to retire from business as showmen.82

Before this final decision was reached, the forains attacked their rivals with parody, a device that had been often used by the Théâtre Italien. On Feb 19, 1709 Dolet's troupe announced for next day les Fourberies de Scaramouche, probably a saturical imitation of les Fourberres de Scapin. At the Foire Saint-Laurent of that year were produced parodies of two tragedies recently acted at the Comédie Francaise, Crébillon's Atrée et Thyeste and Danchet's Tyndarides 33 The forains imitated their rivals' gestures and uttered "d'un ton tragique des mots sans aucun sens, mais qui se mesuroient comme des Vers Alcaandrins "34 They referred regularly to the actors of the Comédic Française as the "Romains" The rival dramatists replied Lesage in the epilogue of Turcaret, Dancourt in the Comédie des comédiens, Legrand in la Foire Saint-Laurent, but the forains answered Dancourt and Legrand and extended their attacks to opera, parodying Persée. Atys, Phaéton, Telemaque, Thétis, and l'Europe galante

They were obliged, however, to respect the ruling of March 17, 1710, at

^{*} Dancourt's Deable bottour had appeared the preceding autumn

²¹ Moreover, on Murch 3 1709, Dancourt appealed to the police against Delaplace, who "sest value et a dit à plusieurs personnes qu'il poignarderoit et assassineroit ledit sieur plaignant'. (f ('umpaidon, op ett., 1, 2315)

**This affair is related in the Memosres of the freres Parfaiet and in the opera estate of Campardon and Bonicassies

³³ The parody of the latter tragedy was called les Poussins de Lida The Dictionnaire des theutres (IV, 223) attributes it to Féroard and adds (VII, 677-9) that it consisted of a series of monologues in verse. At the end Mereury Pierrot descends in a basket to bring Pollity a letter from Jupiter giving him a "hrevet d'immortalité" It was with difficulty that the author persuaded so distinguished an actor as Pierrot

to make use of the basket

** Freres Parfact, Mémoires, I, 100 1

least in a manner. Selles left for the provinces. Alard introduced at the Foire Saint-German of 1710 plays given "à la muette," that is, speeches were printed in large characters upon rolled "cartons" that each actor carnied in his right pocket. When he wished to use one, he unrolled it, showed it to the audience, and then placed it in his left pocket. This device was not long employed, for it was suggested that, instead of prose, the actors should use "couplets sur des airs connus, qu'on nomme Vaudevilles" When such verses were introduced, the orchestra played the air, persons placed by the actors about the hall joined in, and the spectators were soon all singing. At the Foire Saint-Germain of 1712 another improvement was introduced. The verses were printed on a "Toile gommée," held in place by a stick and brought down from the ceiling of the stage with the aid of two boys "habillés en Amours." This method was employed by la veuve Baron for presenting Arlequin à la guinguette "on Aug. 7, 1711 According to the police,

ils y jouent des scènes muettes et sur différens anjets, avec des écriteaux qui sont tenus par deux petits garçons suspendus et qui se lèvent avec des cordages et machines, que lesdits ecriteaux contiennent plusieurs chansons qui sont chantées par plusieurs du parterre sitôt qu'elles ont été mises sur l'air par un violon, lesquelles chansons écrites sur les deux côtés de chaque écriteau servent le plus souvent de réponse l'une à l'autre et dont aucunes sont l'explication de leurs scènes muettes.

Similar écriteaux were used at a performance on March 29, 1715, of les Aventures comiques d'Arleguin, described by the police as follows

Laquelle pièce comique est représentée par de grands écriteaux moulés et imprimés sur de grandes toiles, que l'on fait descendre dans le milieu du théâtre et sur lesquels sont des chansons qui forment des dialogues sur le sujet de la pièce qu'ils représentent et qui sont chantées à haute et intelligible voix psi trins particuliers qui sont dans l'orchestre 10

Riccobour describes écriteaux he had seen in action and their effect upon the audience

tout le monde sçait que les Acteurs se présentoient sur lu Scène sans parler que dans l'instant il descendoit du Platfond du Théâtie sur leurs têtes des Ecritesux,

been witnessed in performances of the Théâtre Italien, as Lintilliac points out in his Lesage Paris, Hachette, 1893, p. 126

is Cf freres Parfaict, op cet. I, 137 is Cf Inctionnaire des théâtres, I, 175 8. This play in three entrées was written by the abbé Pellegrin and interpreted by Baxter and Sorin Jupiter, upon Mercury's suggestion, obliges Arlequin to correct Parisian disorders and expresses the desire that the Opera be jeulous of the Foire. Dressed as a cubaretier, Arlequin interviews a lawyer, a coquette, and a petit mattre. He beats them when the Docteur brings in Colombine, breaks his pots and glasses, and is carried off by a sprite.

¹⁸ Campardon, op cit, I, 91 Solcine, 103 d 3403, lists a libretto of this work, published at Paris, Rebuffe, 1711, 12° (31 pp)

²⁸ Campardon, op cit, II, 189 According to the Dictionnaire des théâtres, I, 333.

qui se succédoient les uns aux autres, & sur lesquels étoient écrits en gros caractères des couplets de Chansons dont l'Orquestre jouoit l'air, & dont le Parterre, en les lisant, en chantoit les paroles les Acteurs dans le tems que l'on chantoit, faisoient les actions convenables au sens des paroles Ce Dialogue muet de la part des Acteurs, n'avoit rien d'estimable, ni qui pût en quelque façon réjouir les Spectateurs, tout l'agrément n'étoit que du côté de l'extraordinaire de faire chanter par les Spectateurs le Dialogue des Acteurs 40

From the usage here described arose the term "Opéra comique," employed by the frères Parfaict with reference to the troupes of Saint-Edme and the veuve Baion, which agreed on Oct. 30, 1713, to share expenses and profits. They seem to have called themselves by this new title at the fairs of 1714. though it was not till Dec. 26 of that year that official permission was given by the Académie Royale de Musique 41 The emphasis now placed upon music, the substitution of songs for dialogue, and the retention of comic gestures and situations, created in this way a new genre, comic opera

The hostility of the forums to the Comédie Française and to the Opera continued after 1710 to find expression in parody. Barberet 42 analyzed two productions that contain satines of this kind, Apollon à la foure, produced by Aland on March 1, 1711, and Les Ecriteaux pour les Plandeurs des scènes muettes of February, 1712 ** In the first of these, five familiar figures of the Théâtic Italien appear. The Docteur advances towards the audience as if to make a complimentary address, opens wide his mouth, moves his lips gestures, and says nothing. He then points to an écriteau on which is written a parody of a familiar song, "Ne m'entendez-vous pas" This parody indicates that Rome (the Comedie Française) wishes the forums not to speak They appeal to Apollo, who declares that he has come to see Rhadamiste et Zénobie, the last scene of which is parodied while Apollo looks on from a balcony. Then follows a criticism of le Curieux Impertment, a play which, like Rhadamiste, had been recently given at the Coulédie Française 44 In the second act the operas, Persée and Manto la fée, are parodied, in the third a horse is introduced and is reproved when he neighs. There are "sauts périlleux" and each actor displays an ecriteau

Les Einteaux pour les Plaideurs was acted at Selles's theater by Dolet and Delaplace.45 The performance was enlivened with dances, gymnastic

this play, attributed to Ragnenet, had been acted in February, 1711, by Dolet and Delaplace

⁴⁰ Reflexions historiques et critiques Paris, Guéria, 1738, p. 116 41 (f Campardon, op cit, II, 191 and frères Parfaict, op cit, I, 159, 166

⁴² Op cit , pp 234 41

⁴⁰ Librettos of these plays are listed in Solume, no 3397 The first is given as a "divert must (3 et prof en vand) S n 1711", the second as '(3 pant et vand) S n 1712, in 12 fig"
"They were first acted, respectively, on Nov 17, 1710, and Jan 23, 1711

⁴⁵ Cf fières Parfaict, Memoires, I, 149 50

exhibitions, and the representation of varied personalities, including those of Basques, gipsies, gods, and Moors. The frères Parfaiet tell us that the second of the three acts could be called the "Amours de Colombine et d'Arlequin" The chief purpose of this formless composition was to criticize VAmour charlatan, the inner play of Dancourt's Comédie des comédiens, first acted on Aug. 5, 1710 The Docteur's wife comments upon the recent activities of the Comédie Française that had muzzled the forains:

Toujours plaider, 0 monstres de nature!
Romains ceut fois plus méchants que les loups,
Race parjure,
Tirans jaloux,
Et contre qui, cruels bataillez vous*
C'est votre sang, tout le monde en murmure

She subsequently receives various actors, including Pierrot, who apologizes for having appeared at the Comédie Française, though Dancourt, who wrote the play to which she objected, and Etienne Baron, who took in it the rôle of Pierrot, might have been blamed with greater justice. A brief criticism of l'Amour charlatan follows in Act III

Jupiter curieux impertinent is a parody of Destouchee's Curieux Impertinent only in its title and in a small portion of its subject matter, but it introduces a "Romain" and an attack upon the "troupe Romaine." Arlequin au bal du Cours, acted by Dominique and his coinrades in September, 1714, 48 was obviously intended as a parody of Dancourt's Fêles nocturnes du Cours On Sept. 22 a parody of Amphitryon was given, in the last scene of which Amphitryon tries to shoot Jupiter, who to calm him, sings a vaudeville on "cocuage." 49 Several other plays of the Foire have titles that suggest parodies Femme juge et partie (1711), Coupe enchantée (1714), Psiché (1714), Arlequin Héraclius (1715), Dame in-

⁴⁶ The freres Parfact refer to this mercly as a play in which Etienne Baron took part, Barberet, as if it were a complete play Neither work identifies it as forming part of Dancourt's Comedic des comedicas

⁴⁷ Played by the troupe of Alard and Lalanze on Feb. 3, 1711, and published (Paris, Valleire) in 1713, it is analyzed in the Intionnaire des théâtres, III, 218-51. Arlequin implores the aid of Folly against the actors of the Confédie Française. Jupiter visits Hades to get possession of Isabelle and is shown various types, inclinding aquoteurs, an actress of the Opera, and an actor of the Confédie Française whom the god beats. Act II is directed chiefly against men of law. In Act III Mercury, to satisfy Jupiter, tests Isabelle and, with the help of gold, succeeds in seducing her. While Jupiter reflects upon his fate, a peasant sings a song pointing out that a husband who worries about his son's paternity is an "impertment current." Jupiter makes Isabelle fall back into Hades, and the play ends with a "divertissement de Sauteurs".

worries about his son's paterinty is an importanent current. Supter makes Isanelle fall back into Hides, and the play ends with a "divertissement de Sauteurs" ⁴⁸ Cf Campardon, op cit, II, 319-52. A poster announced a performance for Sept 3, though Dancourt's comedy was not acted till Sept 5. If Arlegum au bal was really given on Sept 3, the author may have derived his knowledge of Dancourt's play from a manuscript. Arlegum au bal du (ours was certainly acted on Sept 11 and 12.

⁴⁹ Cf Campardon, op cit, II, 221, and Solcinne, no 3397

visible (1715), Médecin malgré lui (1715, for marionettes). 50 There were also parodies of operas. Three by Lesage will be discussed below At the end of a performance of Arlequin à la guinquette, Arlequin imitated a dance at the Opera, mimicking "la demoiselle Prévôt" 51 The Fêtes parisiennes of Feb. 3, 1711, parodied Danchet's Fêtes vénitiennes. 52 La Foure galante ou le Mariage d'Arlequin (1711) by Dominique is said to be a parody of La Motte's opera, Europe galante.58

These parodies did not soften the hearts of the "Romains," nor did the use of écriteaux keep them from appealing to the police and accusing the forains of slipping prose dialogue into their productions, but their protests did not take effect until the end of 1718, when all the shows of the fairs were suppressed. No plays were given in 1719 and few in 1720, but in 1721 the Opéra comique again began to flourish. It lasted until 1762, when it was absorbed by the Comédie Italienne

One cannot, of course, sympathize with the actors of the Comédie Francause in their efforts at suppressing competition, but it can be said in explanation of their conduct that they had been forced to build an expensive theater, that they were heavily taxed, and that the forums were seriously reducing the size of their audiences. As they suffered from the monopoly of singers and dancers given to the Opera, it was quite natural that they should try to make the most of the monopoly assigned to them. Nor did the forains surpass them in generosity, for they sought more than once to exclude one another from space at the Foire and even used violence to prevent the construction of theaters there 54

The plays of the Foire were obviously erude productions, poorly organized, giving evidence of their origin in aerobatic exhibitions and in the Théâtre Italien, sometimes obseene, written to make only a temporary appeal 55

^{**} Cf Campardon, op cit. I, 91, and II, 354, Solcine nos 3399 and 3405, and the Dietionnaire des théâtres, III, 361, Montslemv's Femme juge et partie, Champmesle's Coupe enchantée Corneille's Psychi and Heraclius, Hauteroche's Dame inrisible, and Molière's Médecin malgré lui

^{51 (&#}x27;ampardun, op cit, 1, 92 3

^{**} Dictionnaire des theâtres, II, 563-5

⁵⁸ Cf Solcanne, no 3397

⁵⁴ Cf Saint Edme's efforts on July 20, 1715, to destroy construction work undertaken by la Letellier and her son (Campardon, II, 75-6) la Saint-Edme's complaint on July 26, 1714, that the lirelings of Octave and Pellegrin were threatening to murder her and la dame de Beaune (151d, II, 347-8), and Octave's renting the whole Preau of the Foire Saint-Germain in 1713 in an effort to establish a monopoly of

his own (freres Parfaict, Memoires, I. 152 3)
55 In Soleinne, nos 3397, 3399, 3405, are listed a number of plays other than those already mentioned Arlequin Ence ou la Prise de Troyes, Saint-Laurent, July 25, 1711 (Paris 1711, 23 pp.) Arlequin et Scaramonche vendangeurs followed by Pierrot Soncho Pansa Saint Lament September, 1710 (Paris, 1711, 24 pp.), Orphée ou Arlequin aux enfers (Paris, Valleyre, 1711, 20 pp), Arlequin grand-user (1713), l Opéra de campagne (1713, possibly a revival of Dufresny's play), Colombine bolumienne ou Fourbine (1713), le Retour d'Arlequin à la Foire, followed by Arle-

Owing, however, to the use of écriteaux and to the contract with the Académie Royale de Musique, authors were able to give greater unity to their compositions and to develop the element of song. Spectators were attracted by the fact that they were allowed to join in the singing and admired the skill with which a story could be presented in spite of the burdens imposed upon the performers. The first of the early writers for the Foire to realize that something might be made of such very raw material was Lesage, whose plays entitle him to the distinction of being called the father of comic opera.

After two attempts which, according to Barberet, were no better than the work of his predecessors, les Petits-Maîtres 's and Arlequin et Mézetin morts par amour, 57 he brought out at the Foire Saint-Germain of 1713 Arlegum ros de Serendib, in three acts, the first of his Foire plays that he considered worthy of publication. It was given with écriteaux, as were his Arlequin Thétis and Arlequin invisible, both one-act plays produced at the Force Saint-Laurent of 1713. They were followed by comedies that were sung: the Foure de Guibray, which served as a prologue to Arlequin Mahomet and Nostradamus, the Ceinture de Vénus, the Parodie de l'Opéra de Télémaque, the Temple du Destin, Colombine-Arlequin ou Arlequin-Colombine, and les Eaux de Merlin 58

These were the only plays he wrote for the Foire before the death of Louis XIV Barberet holds 50 that he introduced order, measure, and clarity into the genre. Like his predecessors, he employed old materials, inherited from earlier writers for the fairs, from operas, from the Théâtre Italien, and

quin baron allemand on le Triomphe de la folse (1712), la Critique (Sept 30, 1712), la Rague enchantée (1713), Arlequin fille malgré tui (July 22, 1713), Arlequin favori [jouet] de la fortune (1714), and les Aventures de Cuthère (1715) Others are listed in the Dutionnaire des theatres Amours de l'enus et de Mais, preceded by Fêtes Bacchiques and followed by Fête de Paysans, Saint Laurent, 1711. Ecole des Jaloux, Saint-Laurent, 1712 (perhaps an imitation of Duiresny's idieux des officiers), Arlequin Rival du Docteur, Saint-Laurent, 1712 (given with this title, I, 279-80, but as a Divertissement, II, 321, attacks the "Romains"), Irliquin au Sabat, Saint Germain, 1713, Arlequin Prince et Payson, Saint-Germain, 1713 (a story of exchanged children that resembles Montfleury & Craspin Gen'dhomme), Pèlermes de Cythere, Saint Germain, 1714, Arlequin colonel, 1714, Arlequin et Mezzetin heureux pour un moment, 1715, Descente de Mezzetin aux enjers, 1715 (attributed to Letellier, probably a reworking of Regnard's play)

50 Unpublished except for a few verses reproduced by Lintilbae in his Lesage,

p 128
st Briefly analyzed in the Dietsonnaire des thédires, I 2312 Driven away from Colombine by the Docteur, Allequin and Mezzetin drown themselves and return as ghosts to frighten the Docteur and Colombine, who marry in spite of their efforts 55 Gubray, Mahomet, and Nostradamus, forming together a three act play, were acted at the Foire Saint Laurent of 1714, the Cerniure (2 acts) and Telémaque (1 act), at the Foire Saint Germann of 1715, the others all in one act except that Mertin has a prologue, at the Foire Saint Lairent of 1715. All of these, as well as the three given with Grideaux were published by Losage et d'Orneval in le Théâtre de la Foire, Paris, Gaudonin, 1737. approbation, signed by Danchet, June 15, 1720, prev. Aug 24, 1730, registered Aug 31, 1730, the prir ceded by Ganeau to Gandouin, July 17, 1737
56 Op cit, p 39

from French plays, but he gave to his productions greater simplicity and unity than the *forains* had known before, while respecting the peculiar conditions under which they had to be acted.

The published plays consist of a series of songs, comic in tone, connected by pantomime that occasionally admits brief remarks in prose. The plots must have been easy to follow, not only because of their simplicity, but because they included parody and employed airs that were familiar to Lesage's audiences so Arlequin Thétis is a parody of Fontenelle's opera, Thétis et

OScrendib Arlequin, shipwrecked on the coast of Serendib (Ceylon?), escapes from robbers and a wolf, only to fall into the hands of the Grand Visir, who makes him king and tells him that he is soon to be sacrified, after he has been fed and entertained, dishes are snatched from him and he is led to a temple beside the sea, but the Grande Prêtresse, who was supposed to sacrifice him, turns out to be Mezzetin and to have as a suspante Pierrot, after they recognize one another, they pillage the temple, try unsuccessfully to carry off the idol, and escape in a ship to France

Arlequin Thetis Loved by Neptune and Jupiter, Thetis prefers Pelée, who is loved by her survante, Doris, after the two immortals have visited Thetis, they meet, Jupiter touches Neptune with a thunderbolt, and the play abruptly ends

Arlequin invisible Taken by Asinode'c to the King of China's palace, Arlequin receives a feather which, if placed in his hat, renders him invisible, he flirts with a female slave, rescues the lover of the king's favorite mistress by lending him his hat, and is himself saved by Asinodee, the king concludes that he has been tricked by the devil and has no reason to suspect his mistress.

La Forre de Guebray A magistrate at a fair near Falaise interviews a musician, an Italian actor, and Arlequin, all interested in entertaining, the magistrate proposes that Arlequin and the Italian give each a play in order that he may judge between them Arlequin gives Arlequin Mahomet, the Italian, I. Tombeau de Nostradamus. In Arlequin's play, he is given a magic chest that enables him to fly away from his creditors, pose as Mahomet, and frighten the King of Basia into breaking with the "Kam des Tartares" and giving his daughter to the prince of Persia, while her succents becomes Arlequin's "houri" In Nostradamus Octave strikes upon a tomb, embraces a monster, and gets a magician to bring out Nostradamus, who straightens out Octave's maintal affairs and gives advice to two young snobs, to a miller's wife, and to a girl who wishes to enter the Opera, whereupon natives of Provence dance for joy over the resuscitation of the great prophet

La Centure de Vénus. Fortune gives Arlequin a purse that will be filled as soon as it is emptied. Amour gives Mezzetin a belt that will make women love him, they test these gifts upon a shepherdess, a countess, a poet, a teacher of singing, and their sweethearts, jealous Colombine and Marinette but the donors take back their gifts and peace is made between Arlequin and Colombine, between Mezzetin and Marinette. I am surprised to find an analysis of this play, under its own title, in the Grand Dictionnaire Larousse.

Télémaque Neptune ravages Calypso's island, demanding the blood of Ulysses, Calypso erects an altar and Teleninchus offers to die for his father, but Calypso makes love to him and has demons burn his ships, Minerva comes to the rescue and has zephirs earry Telemachus and his sweetheart, Eucharis, to Ithaca

Le Temple du Destin The Doctenr, Pierrot, Ailequin, and Scaramouche love Colombine and appeal to Destiny, who, after answering a would-be actor, lovers, an elderly dealer in old clothes and his wife predicts that Colombine's husband will wear horns, whereupon Ailequin and Scaramouche give up the contest, but Pierrot is encouraged to remain in the Docteni's home, apparently in order that the prediction may be carried out

Colombine triequin Colombine makes peace between Leandre and the Docteur's daughter, Isabelle, it is agreed that, in order to prevent the lovers from becoming jealons, Leandre will have a valet selected by Isabelle and she a maid selected by him, Arlequin is disguised as the maid, Colombine as the valet, Arlequin talks of

Pelée, Acts I and II, Télémaque, of Pellegrin's opera, Télémaque ou Calupso. The final scenes of Serendib are clearly a parody of a third opera. Inhigénie en Tauride by Duché and Danchet Indeed, most of the play may have been inspired by this production, with the landing after a shipwreek in a barbarous country, the declaration that the foreigner is to be sacrificed, and the rescue by the priestess, who turns out to be a friend of the intended victim. Lesage had only to add episodes and give a comic tone to the whole. For the Oriental element he was probably indebted to the recently published Arabian Nights. He indicates that in II. 6 and III. 5 he is parodying also Roy's Callirhoé, an opera first given as recently as Dec. 27, 1712 At the end of the Fone de Guibray Arlequin and Colombine laugh at each other "à l'imitation du Musicien & du Maîtie à danser de l'Opera des Fêtes Venitiennes," produced by Danchet on June 17, 1710 In the first scene of la Ceinture de Vénus the orchestra plays the "Cotillon de l'Opéra des Fêtes de Thalie." 61 which is repeated in the next scene. In such passages Lesage was seeking comic effects by contrasting the solemnity of opera with his own burlesque scenes, by introducing anachronisms, and by bringing to earth the heroes of the past Serendib, for instance, ends as follows

Mezzetin Que nous allons hoire à Paris De flacons de Champagne! (montrant des pierreries) Avec ces brillans que d'Iris Nous mettrons en campagne! Arlegum Out, mais avec tous nos bijoux Emportous l'Idole avec nous, Lon lan la, derirette, Car | Opera finit ainsi, Lon lan la, deriri

Telemachus's devotion to his father is ridiculed 61

Minerve toujours défendra Votre bon Papa, Et vous le rendra

unhappy experiences in the homes of a marquis and a magnitrate and attracts Pierrot, the Doctour agrees to marry Leandre to Isabelle, the new mad to the new valet, Colombine and Arlequin tell who they are and embrace

** Parodie de l'Opera de Telémaque, so 7

Les Eaux de Merlin In the forest of the Ardennes Arlequin and Mezzetin are shown by Merlin fountains that induce love and hate, they get permission to sell these waters at Paris and interview a counters whose lusband is too attentive, a naive valet, pursued by a girl whose affection he does not inderstand. Damis who would be cured of loving a "Beauté de Théâtre," and Pierrot whose voing wife attracts many lovers finally Colombine and Marinette, seeking freedom from love are given the wrong bottles, but they in turn force Arlequin and Mezictin to drink, so that all four are happy till policemen come to arrest the merchants as charlatans, whereupon Arlequin and Mczetin call upon lutins, who carry off the police 2 By Lafont First played, Aug 14, 1714, about six months earlier than la Ceinture.

Whereas Fontenelle puts into Neptune's mouth these elegant lines:

Jupiter m'enleva le plus noble partage, Mais l'empire des mers, où je donne la loi, Sur l'empire des cieux doit avoir l'avantage, Quand vous régnerez avec moi,

Lesage makes Neptune say

Il est vrai que Jupin mon Frére A pris le gros lot, sans façon, Mais, je fais bien meilleure chére Que lui, ma Deesse, en poisson**

Lesage also pointed to the fact that the Foire had become more popular than the Opera, making a minister address Destiny as follows.

Le monde, quand ton ordre à la Foire l'appelle, Déserte l'Opéra, ce spectacle pompeux Pour aller voir Polichinelle **

He had more cause, of course, to laugh at the Comédie Française, whose persecution of the forains must have caused them more vexation than the money exacted by the Académie Royale de Musique for the privilege of singing and dancing. Nevertheless his ridicule of French plays and actors is less pronounced, perhaps because he found the sentimentality and exaggeration of opera easier to parody. There are, however, a few examples in these early plays of parody and eritieism directed against the actors for whom he had formerly written. The eelebrated "Soyons amis, Cinna" is reproduced literally in le Temple du Destin, se. 11, and is altered to "Ami, soyons Arabes" in la Foire de Guibray, sc. 1. In Serendib, II, 6, Arlequin sings "Je suis un Irrésolu Lanturlu," and a note explains that he alludes to Destouches's Irrésolu, which had failed because the protagonist was "un Fou " Arlequin must be parodying the actors of the Conièdie Française when, in Seiendib, III, 5, he gestures like an "Héros de Théâtre qui s'afflige sans modération" Finally, when the magistrate in la Foire de Guibray, se 4, declares that the year before a troupe had had not even four spectators, an Italian actor concludes that the troupe must have been composed of "Acteurs François," and the magistrate admits that it was.

Despite such remarks, Lesage made use of French comedies elsewhere than in parody. The quarrel of the lovers in the first scene of Colombine-Arlequin and its solution by Colombine must have been influenced by Tartuffe The use of the magic hat in Arlequin invisible may well have been suggested by Brécourt's Jaloux invisible or Bonnet enchanté, as it was

^{**} Thétis et Pelée, I, 5, Arlequin Thétis, se 3
** Le Temple du Destin, se 10 Polichinelle here stands for marionettes

also called. Serendib, II, 7 is inspired by Cervantes, but the situation had been shown in Dancourt's Sancho as recently as 1712. The relations between the miller's wife and Pierrot in sc. 7 of Nostradamus recall Dancourt's Mari retrouvé. Octave's adventures as described in the first scene of Nostradamus resemble those of the hero in Lesage's comedy, Don César Ursin, while in scene 8 Arlequin, who is disguised as a woman, tells of having "meubles" and "porcelaines" broken up by visitors, just as those of the Baronne had been smashed by the protagonist of Turcaret.

Besides operas and plays, the work to which Lesage owed most was the Mille et un jours, from which he derived the plot of Arlequin Mahomet, as Barberet 55 has shown in detail. Lesage kept the hero's flight in a chest to escape creditors, his meeting with a king's sequestered daughter, his impersonation of Mahomet, and his defeat of the unwelcome rival, but he added the Prince of Persia and he eliminated the burning of the chest and the condemnation of the protagonist to life as a weaver. He borrowed from his own Diable bosteux the character and function of Asmodée in Arlequin invisible.

Lesage's leading characters are usually those already made familiar by the Théâtre Italien Arlequin, Mezzetin, Picrrot, Scaramouche, and the Docteur He also introduced their comrades, Octave, Léandre, Colombine, Isabelle, and Marinette Arlequin is especially prominent, appearing in all the plays. He preserves his fondness for disguise, both masculine and feminine, his wit, resourcefulness, and lack of moral scruples Mezzetin is sometimes his understudy, sometimes of equal importance. He is disguised as the Grande Prêtresse in Serendib and takes the rôle of Jupiter in Arleguin Thétis Pierrot keeps at times his naive rôle, but he also appears as a minor character, a secretary or a peasant, again, inconsistently, as Mercury or Minerva The Docteur is no longer a pedant, he appears as a father, a rejected lover (Neptune), or a cuckold to be. Scaramouche is Arlequin's companion, his pugnacious rival, a Greek captain with only three lines to say, or an unimportant valet Octave is found in only one play, where he is Isabelle's penitent husband Léandre is twice a young lover, once with nothing to say Women are less emphasized than in the Théâtre Italien. Colombine has a rôle in five plays, but she has little opportunity to show her customary eleverness, while Isabelle and Marinette are found in only two plays each. Of the other characters the most important are Nostradamus, the Juge in the Foire de Guibray, and Asmodée The subordinate persons are chiefly remarkable for their great variety. They include kings and peasants, countesses, magicians, musicians, actors, female slaves, a sacrifi-

⁵⁵ Op cit , pp 105 6

cateur, a lutin, a seller of old clothes. There is little characterization here, and what is to be found is indicated by rudimentary methods

There is some social satire, but nothing that was not familiar to audiences of the Comédie Française. Lesage alludes to agioteurs, to bankruptcy, to the taste of aristocrats for beautiful actresses rather than for perfect plays, to the use of tobacco, to the difference between French and Italian singing, to the snobbishness of young men who have forgotten who their grandfathers were. Such references contribute to the general comic effect, which is obtained more frequently by parody, adventure, and the general tone of the verses.

Except for an occasional reference to a "pot de chambre," there is little vulgarity. Lesage probably helped to reform the plays of the Foire in this respect. The spectacular element is considerable. It includes the use of "machines" to introduce the gods, of flames and fireworks, of a storm at sea, and of sudden changes of scenery. All the plays include dancing. Some use is made of gymnastics. Music was essential, as the text was sung almost in its entirety. In these plays by Lesage the airs of 159 songs are employed 636 times. Some are operatic arias, but most are the tunes of popular songs, some of them long known in France. Familiarity with the songs must have increased their success, as it enabled the audience to participate more readily in the singing. It explains why many of the airs were frequently repeated.

The limitations under which Lesage was obliged to work did not leave him free to construct his plays as he had constructed those he had written for the Comédie Française. It was difficult with snatches of song to relate

66 Cf Nostradamus, so 7, Mahomet, so 4 and Ceinture, I, 2, Guibray, so 4, Ceinture, II, 1 and Temple, so 11, Ceinture, II, 3, Nostradamus, so 5 In the last case each of two youths claims to be of better family than the other, but it turns out that one is descended, by way of a country nobleman and a builiff, from a miller, the other, through a rich man and a "petit Commis aux Aydes" from a coachman Paul Chapounère, RILL, XX (1913), 828 44, empliasives the presentation of popular manners in plays of the Foile, but he gives no examples from plays of 1701 15 and underestimates the presentation of manners in plays of the Comedie Française

underestimates the presentation of mainers in plays of the Comedie Française "Lanturlu is referred to as an old song in Sendéry's Comédie des comédiens, acted about 1632, and in Chevalier's Calans ridicules, published in 1662. The latter play also refers to Turlututu renguene. An old woman in Montauban's Panurge, acted in 1674, declares that she sang Du Pont, mon ami in her youth. Les zon zon and Grischidis me mentioned in the Concert ridicule, acted in 1689. Jean de Vert en France is referred to in les Arantures des Champs Elisées, played in 1693. Dufresny's Départ des comédiens, produced in 1694, mentions Réveillez-vous, belle endormie and Yous m'entendez-vous nus une produced and song la membra des comédiens.

Depart des conédiens, produced in 1694, mentions Réveillez-vous, belle endormie and Vous m'entendez bun, which may be the same song as Ne m'entendez-vous pas ** The sixteen airs most often found are these Réveillez-vous, belle endormie, 34 tunes, Quand je tiens de ce jus d'Octobre, 29 times, Comme un coucou que l'amour presse, 26 times, Quand le péril est agréable, 24 times, Ic ne suis né ns Roy ns Prince and Voulez ious saiour qui des deux, 21 times each, Mon Père, je viens devant vous and Tu croyous en aimant Colette 20 times each, Menuet de M de Grandval, 15 times, Laire la, laire lan laire, 13 times, Bannissons d'ici l'humiur noire, 12 times, On n'aime point dans nos forêts, Menuet d'Hésione, and Je reviendras demain au soir, 11 times each Allons, gay and Pour passer doucement la vie, 10 times each

what had taken place before the play began or to describe much that was supposed to be enacted behind the scenes. In his two plays that are primarily parodies the structure resembles more nearly that of his comedies, except that in Télémaque the scenes are not all linked and the solution is reached by Minerva's intervention. In the other plays the events are related more nearly ab ovo, unprepared episodes are allowed, and in several cases series of persons are introduced as in a revue. Linking is preserved only in Nostradamus and les Eaux de Merlin. If the unity of time is respected, it is chiefly because most of the plays are in one act. The action is unified only in Colombine-Arlequin. The place may change within the act. In Arlequin Mahomet it passes from India to Basra. It would seem, then, that Lesage felt free to construct his plays without regard for French classical technique, except that he made them clear and simple and never employed more than three acts.

According to Barberet, all of these plays were acted by la Baron's troupe with the exception of la Ceinture de Vénus and Télémaque, played by the troupe of Saint-Edme, who was associated with her at that time. Lesage's Arlequin must usually have been acted by the English acrobat, Baxter, while Sorin played Nostradamus Reference to these actors is made in a document published by Campardon. It seems that an actor of the Comédie Française, Milache de Moligny, visited a police official on Sept 26, 1714, to complain of comedies given by "Bastée, Saurin," and other members of la Baron's troupe, who spoke to one another in prose. The official visited the theater between 5 and 6 P. M., saw a performance of Arlequin Mahomet, and noted that the actors spoke and replied in short prose dialogues. He also mentioned the fact that Sorin, at the end of the performance announced that le Tombeau de Nostradamus would be played on the following Sunday for the last time. His report seems to have had no effect upon the fortunes of the Foire.

When Lesage and d'Orneval published plays given by the forains, they excluded those that contained obscenity, those that might for other reasons make an unfortunate impression, those derived from the Théâtre Italien, and those that had succeeded only because of the "jeu des Acteurs" or their "Balets brillans." Besides plays written by Lesage, only two that were acted in 1713-5 were included, one by Letellier and one by Fuzelier

The first of these, Arlequin Sultane favorite, had been acted at the Foire Saint-Germain in 1715. The methods employed arc similar to those of Lesage. The play is in three acts. The dialogue is composed almost entirely

^{••} Op cit, I, 93
•• Le Théâtre de la Foure, I, pp 2 and 3 of the preface

of songs, set to music that had, in many cases, been employed by Lesage. A few remarks in prose are sandwiched in. There is a rudimentary plot. The play has an exotic element, introduces *lazzi*, and admits exciting situations. The scene is laid in an apartment and a neighboring garden. The text is free from indecent language. The characters act somewhat as Letellier's marionettes must have done, with little preparation or explanation, and so as to make everyone, including the Sultan, happy at the end.

The other play introduces a new element into the Foire, the echo of a literary quarrel. La Motte had published in 1714 his metrical adaptation of the Iliad, criticized the same year by Mme Dacier in Des causes de la corruption du goust. Their controversy was echoed in Fuzelier's one-act Arlequin défenseur d'Homère, which was played at the Foire Saint-Laurent in 1715. The simple and poorly constructed plot 72 exists chiefly to bring about the seventh and eighth scenes. In the first of these Arlequin "en Pédant avec un chapeau en pain de sucre" sings a song ending "Vivent les Grecs" and explains that

Le Parnasse est troublé par des guerres cruelles, Dans le sein des Caffez, dans le fond des Ruelles Colcts contre Colets, Rimeurs contre Rimeurs, Comhatent folement pour le choix des Auteurs

It is in so 8 that the "cabinets" are introduced. Arlequin ironically remarks that modern works are only "Pour le plaisir des Dames," whereas ancient books are "le charme de mes ennuis." A copy of Homer in a Chinese box is kissed by Arlequin

Arl Quel plaisir d'emhrasser Homére!

Bailly Je crois qu'il en est amoureux

Arl Allons, baisez Homére en godinette

Bailly Je vous demande pardon, Monsieur Bouquinidés Je ne seais pas le Gree **

78 This jest comes, of course, from les Femmes savantes, vv 946-7 The passage in

¹² Léandre, his wife Isabelle, his valet Arlequin, Colombine, and Pierrot have been captured by the Sultan, who loves Isabelle Arlequin, persuaded by Léandre to disguise himself as Isabelle, tries to steal a key, but in so doing awakens the Sultan, who orders him to be strangled by mutes Pierrot, who has become the Sultan's buffoon, saves Arlequin hy persuading him to turn Mohammedan Still disguised as Isabelle, Arlequin reveals his identity to the Sultan's favorite and gets her help Léandre, Isabelle, and Colombine escape in a ship, but, when Arlequin is identified, they are recaptured Meanwhile the favorite has regained her influence over the Sultan, whom she persuades to allow the captives to depart

Sultan, whom she persuades to allow the captives to depart

*** Léandre agrees to pardon Arlequin for stealing his linen if he will help him
win Angélique, daughter of a Bailly, who, acting on the advice of his brother, a
physician of Montpellier, endeavors to keep his daughter away from men Diaguised
as a "revendeuse à la toilette," Arlequin brings a letter from Léandre that falls into
the Bailly's hands instead of his daughter's He next appears as a pedant and has
two "cabinets" brought in, one containing books by Ancients, the other, books by
Moderns Léandre, concealed in the latter, converses with Angélique while Arlequin
shows books to the Bailly, who, when he discovers Léandre and learns that he is
the son of an old friend, agrees to the marriage and has fishermen and their women
dance in celebration of the event

Arlequin then attacks the Moderns, making use of Mme Dacier's title:

Voulez-vous apprendre les causes De la corruption du goût? C'est que, sans trop peser les choses, On met de l'épice partout Sans sel pourtant on sçait écrire

No one defends the Moderns directly, but, as Léandre is added to the collection of modern books, the result symbolizes the triumph of love over pedantry, so that, if the play must be classified in regard to the Quarrel of Ancients and Moderns, it belongs on La Motte's side rather than on that of Mme Dacier. The chief value of the play lies, perhaps, in the evidence it gives that the famous Quarrel could interest the public of the Foire.

Early in each of the last four centuries French dramatic entertainments have included productions composed with little art, but able to attract many spectators farces as presented by Gros Guillaume and his comrades, the plays of the Foire, melodramas, and silent movies. Critics ignored or condemned them, but they flourished in spite of them and, though they produced no masterpicce, they exerted a certain influence on genuinely artistic creations. The productions of the early eighteenth century had a special resemblance to those of the early twentieth in that the very limitations placed upon the authors furnished an attraction for the public. There seemed to be little difficulty about writing a dialogue, but audiences, at least for a while, were fascinated by actors who could, without one, communicate a story to an audience and even make it comic and exciting. The success of the Foire furnished a warning to the Comédie Française that the humbler members of an audience cannot profitably be neglected. If the Bourbons had reflected deeply enough upon this fact, the course of French history might have been altered.

les Précieuses ridicules about taking "le roman par la queue" must have inspired Arlequin's comment, when the Bailly says his daughter is reading a "Roman," that "elle le prendra sûrement Par où l'on doit le prendre"

CONCLUSION

Louis XIV's last days were not his best. His final war reduced both his territory and his prestige and left tendencies that were to haunt his descendants. Yet there was no unconditional surrender. He was not entirely vanquished. The battle of Denain partly compensated for the defeats he had suffered, he was able to have the last word with the Empire, if not with England, and he maintained his way of life in its essentials up to the end. Much the same comment may be made upon the theater of his times. Corneille, Racine, and Molière could not be replaced, but there were partial compensations both in tragedy and in comedy, while here and there one can detect tendencies that were to gain strength in the generations that followed.

Laterary historians, while criticizing authors of the period for their conventionality, are apt to fall into the same error themselves when they consider only a few authors and a few of their plays and reword comments that have long been made about them. That the theater continued to fill an important place in French life no one demes. If this is the case, how could it fall so quickly into the state of decay that is often assigned to the period? Let us see what can be said for and against such critical assumptions.

There were at Paris two groups of actors, those of the Comédie Française and those of the Foire. The first, subsidized by the government, maintained the traditions of the seventeenth century and produced a number of plays that long survived their authors, a few that are still in the Parisian repertory. Beaubourg, Etienne Baron, Quinault-Dufresne, La Thorillière, Paul Poisson, la Beauval, la Duclos, and la Desmares were worthy successors of former members of the troupe, though they did not gain quite the reputation of Michel Baron, Raymond Poisson, and la Champmeslé. The other group had no pretentions to dramatic art, clung rather to traditions of the circus, of Tabarin, or of crude musical entertainers. These actors were frequently at odds with the police, showed great ingenuity in adapting themselves to the conditions that were imposed upon them, managed, not only to exist, but to rival seriously the aristocrats of the stage. They represent one of the obscure forces that were already undermining the Ancien Régime.

It was in tragedy that the restrictions of the classical stage were chiefly felt. All such plays were written in five acts and in alexandrine verse, with subjects that came from fields cultivated by their predecessors, especially that of Greek mythology. The one exception, Ferrier's *Montézume*, met with so little success that it was never published. Violations of technical rules

were rare. Yet authors were by no means oblivious to their audiences. Péchantré, in the hope of increasing dramatic interest, demanded freedom in regard to chronology. Crébillon opposed the desire of his critics for extreme simplicity and logic. He sought primarily to interest the spectators and for that end made use especially of surprise, horror, and recognition. The contrast between the nature of his subjects and his efforts to make them palatable may cause the modern read to smile, but he produced striking effects in Rhadamiste, created two excellent characters in the protagonist and his father, and, both in this and in earlier plays, showed decided talent for creating intense situations and for infusing a general atmosphere of tragic gloom. The horror that he attained especially in Atrée et Thyeste 18 also found in tragedies of Pellegrin and Danchet, but it is absent from most tragedies of the period. Recognition is much more characteristic. The effort to exclude romantic love, subsequently approved by Voltaire, was illustrated by three tragedies, all given originally elsewhere than at the Comédie Francause. Stage decoration was limited to palace interiors and to camps. La Grange-Chancel regarded as puerile efforts to have more claborate scenery. At court performances there was sometimes compensation in elaborate costuming, however ill adapted this may have been to the subject

After Crébillon one must place La Grange-Chancel, whose masterpiece, Amasis, shows his ability at creating interesting situations. His Ino et Mélicerte, Belin's Mustapha et Zéangur, Péchantré's Mort de Néron, Rupeirous's Hypermnestre, and Duché's Absalon are tragedies of considerable ment. They are quite superior to tragedies written by women or by other men than Crébillon and La Grange-Chancel in the second half of the period.

In comedy there was greater variety in material and in treatment. Several plays describe scenes outside of France. Among those whose scene is laid within the country there are rural as well as city plays. Comedies of character were written by Destouches, Dufresny, and Dancourt, but most of the offerings can be better described as comedies of manners. Prose is employed more frequently than verse "Vers libres" are found as well as alexandrines. The number of acts is by no means fixed. Many of the comedies have prologues. There is less respect for the unities than in tragedy, but the only departures from observance of the proprieties are found in Regnard and Boindin.

Both nobles and peasants appear, but the class chiefly described is that of the bourgeois, ranging from small tradesmen to the hero of le Jaloux désabusé, who has been altogether received into upper Parisian society. A certain amount of social unrest is expressed, but no dissatisfaction with the social system. I have pointed out echoes of the war, but they are by no means

so important as one might have expected. No hostility is expressed to enemies of France. The theater must have been looked upon by returning warriors as an escape from their life under war conditions rather than a reflection of it.

Especially noteworthy is the rôle of money in plays by Dancourt, Dufresny Regnard, and Lesage, which now includes agiotage, insurance, and questions of inheritance. Moralizing is represented by Boursault, who went so far as to discuss the existence of God, and by Michel Baron in their last plays, and especially by Destouches Elsewhere it is conspicuously absent, especially in the work of Dancourt, Regnard, and Lesage. A beginning of the sensibilité that was to characterize later eighteenth-century comedies is detected in the rôle assigned to the young lover in Dufresny's Double Veuvage. In the main the comic resources are those employed in the late seventeenth century. amusing situations, satirical comment, peasant patois, mistakes in identity. inability to understand one's own situation, clever observations, happy endings, etc. Regnard is chiefly noteworthy for the brilliance of his comic verse. Dancourt and Lesage for their study of manners, comment upon which at times becomes bitter. Dufresny for his interest in ideas and the originality of his methods, Destouches for his effort to revive comedy of character, though he was hampered by his lack of comic imagination.

Classical comedy lends itself more readily than classical tragedy to appeals to the eye, which are especially conspicuous in the exotic farces of Lafont and in the plays written by Dancourt for special occasions. It was Dancourt who revised a few seventeenth-century "machine" plays and who prepared playlets for performance in the gardens at Livry, at Sceaux, and at Suresnes. His spectacles do not represent wild nature, but charming lawns around aristocratic châteaux.

If we take into consideration the fact that most of the comedies and tragedies were composed during a long war that strained the resources of the country, the dramatic production of the period is quite remarkable. Some of the plays are of purely historical interest, but many can still be enjoyed. Rhadamiste and Turcaret, les Folies amoureuses, le Légataire, le Galant Jardinier, and la Coquette de village may even attract actors in the years that he ahead

Authors of comedies and tragedies came from so many parts of France that there are few conclusions to be drawn in regard to their geography I note, however, that none of them was born in Normandy, in Lyons, or in Bordeaux, and that the majority of those who wrote comedies were by birth Parisians. Moreover, the only actors who wrote plays that have survived were the Parisians, Baron and Legrand, and Dancourt, born nearby, at Fontainebleau.

CONCLUSION 335

In sharp contrast with the productions of the Comédie Française or those given at court are the rough and tumble performances of the Foire, which at first imitated the methods of the old Théâtre Italien and combined dramatic productions with marionette shows and rope dancing. Their authors employed parody extensively, especially when efforts were made to suppress their theaters. Forbidden the use of dialogue, they acquired from the Opera the right to employ songs and built up a genre of their own, which they were the first to entitle comic opera. The best productions are probably those of Lesage, but even these are distinctly inferior to the plays given at the Comédie Française. The effort made by the forains is comparable to that of early producers of moving pictures, like whom they are chiefly distinguished for their success in the art of triumphing over difficulties.

Such was the dramatic material that was ready at hand when Louis XIV died and his nephew, who had acted in farces at court, became the Regent. He promptly weighted the balance on the side of irregularity by recalling the actors of the Théâtre Italien, but he also favored the regulars by having Paul Poisson and his son rejoin the troupe of the Comédie Françaisc. Both groups flourished with greater or less success through most of his administration and through those of various successors. One may liken them to the right and left wings of French politics, whose conflict has often brought the state to the verge of disaster, but has ever contributed to the amazing vitality of its history.

PLAYS ACTED AT THE COMÉDIE FRANÇAISE

1701-September, 1715 1

Belin, Vononez, T. (Jan. 7, 1701).

Boundin, Trois Gascons, C., 1 a. p. (June 4, 1701), 1702.

Anon., Petit-Maistre de campagne, C., 1 a. p (July 26, 1701), 1701.

Dancourt, Colin-Maillard, C., 1 a. p. (Oct. 28, 1701), 1701.

La Grange-Chancel, Amasis, T. (Dec 13, 1701), 1701.

Boursault, Esope à la cour, C., 5 a. v pl. (Dec. 16, 1701), 1702.

Lesage, Point d'honneur, C., 3 a.2 p. (Feb 3, 1702), 1739.

Ferrier, Montézume, T. (Feb. 14, 1702).

Dufresny, Double Veuvage, C., 3 a. p pl. (March 8, 1702), 1701.

Barbier, Mile, Arrie et Pétus, T (June 3, 1702), 1702

Boundin, Bal d'Auteurl, C., 3 a * p. pl. (Aug. 22, 1702), 1702.

La Motte, Matrone d'Ephèse. C., 1 a. p. (Sept. 23, 1702), 1730.

Dancourt, Opérateur Barry, C., 1 a p. pl. (Oct 11, 1702), 1702.

Barbier, Mlle. Cornélie, T. (Jan. 5, 1703), 1703.

Péchantré, Mort de Néron, T. (Feb. 21, 1703), 1703.

Dufresny, Faux Honnête-Homme, C, 3 a p (Feb. 24, 1703), 1703.

Anon., Baille Marques, C, 1 a p (Feb. 24, 1703).

Anon., Frontin gouverneur du château de Vertigiblinguen, C., 1 a. (Oct. 11, 1703)

Baron, Andrienne, C., 5 a. v. (Nov. 16, 1703), 1704.

La Fosse, Corésus et Callurhoé, T (Dec. 7, 1703), 1704.

La Grange-Chancel, Alceste, T. (Dec. 19, 1703), 1704.

Regnard, Folies amoureuses, C., 3 a. v. pl. (Jan. 15, 1704), 1704.

Riupeirous, Hypermnestre, T. (Feb. 13, 1704), 1704.

Boindin, Port de mer, C, 1 a p. (May 27, 1704), 1704

Anon., Médecin de village, C., 1 a. p (Sept. 24, 1704).

Dancourt, Galant Jardinier, C., 1 a p. (Oct 22, 1704), 1705.

Baron, Adelphes, .C., 5 a. v. (Jan. 3, 1705), 1736.

¹Tragedies are indicated by "T" They are all in five acts and in verse Comedies are indicated by "C" The number of acts is shown by the numeral before "a" The "v" or "p" that follows shows whether the play is mainly in verse or in prose If thas a prologue, the fact is indicated by "pl" The date of first performance at the Comedie Française is placed within parentheses The date not so enclosed is that of publication. Plays publicly acted before 1701 are not included here

² Lesage states that it was originally in five acts

⁵ The play is said to have been originally in one act

Originally played, with a different prologue, at the home of Pontchartrain in February, 1700

The play also has an epilogue

Belin, Mustapha et Zéanger, T. (Jan. 20, 1705), 1705.

Nadal, Saul, T. (Feb. 27, 1705), 1705.

Guérin, Psyché de village, C., 4 a. p. pl. (May 29, 1705).

Anon., Provençale, C., 1 a. (Oct. 17, 1705).

Pellegrin, Polydore, T. (Nov. 6, 1705), 1706.

Regnard, Ménechmes, C., 5 a v. pl. (Dec. 4, 1705), 1706.

Crébillon, Idoménée, T. (Dec. 29, 1705), 1706.

Danchet, Cyrus, T. (Feb. 23, 1706), 1706.

Brueys, Avocat Patelin, C., 3 a. p. (June 4, 1706), 1707.

Barbier, Mile, Tomyris, T. (Nov. 23, 1706), 1707.

Pellegrin, Mort d'Ulysse, T. (Dec. 29, 1706), 1707.

Crébillon, Airée et Thyeste, T. (March 14, 1707), 1709.

Lesage, Don César Ursin, C., 5 a. p. (March 15, 1707), 1739.

Lesage, Crispin rival de son maître, C., 1 a. p. (March 15, 1707), 1707.

Legrand, Femme fille et veuve, C, 1 a. v. (May 26, 1707), 1707.

Lafont, Danaé, C., 1 a. v. pl. (July 4, 1707), 1707.

Dufresny, Faux Instinct, C., 3 a. p (Aug. 2, 1707), 1707.

Dancourt, Diable bosteux, C., 1 a. p. pl (Oct. 1, 1707), 1707.

Dancourt, Second Chapitre, C, 2 a p pl (Oct. 20, 1707), 1707.

Dancourt, Trahison punie, C., 5 a. v (Nov. 28, 1707), 1708.

Danchet, Tyndarides, T. (Dec. 16, 1707), 1708.

Regnard, Légataire universel, C., 5 a. v. (Jan 9, 1708), 1708

Regnard, Critique du Légataire, C, 1 a. p. (Feb 9, 1708), 1708

Dufresny, Jaloux honteux, C., 5 a. p. (March 6, 1708), 1707.

Dancourt, Madame Artus, C., 5 a. v. (May 8, 1708), 1708.

Legrand, Amour Duble, C., 1 a. v (June 30, 1708), 1708.

Crébillon, Electre, T. (Dec 14, 1708), 1709

Lesage, Turcaret, C., 5 a. p pl. (Feb. 14, 1709), 1709.

Nadal, Hérode, T. (Feb. 15, 1709), 1709.

Legrand, Famille extravagante, C., 1 a. v. (June 9, 1709), 1709.

Dufresny, Amant masqué, C., 1 a. p. (Aug 8, 1709).

Legrand, Foire Saint-Laurent, C., 1 a. v. (Sept. 20, 1709), 1709.

Dufresny, Joueuse, C, 5 a. p. (Oct 22, 1709), 1731

Barbier, Mile, Mort de César, T (Nov. 26, 1709), 1710

Campistron, Jaloux désabusé, C., 5 a v (Dec 13, 1709), 1709.

Lafont, Naufrage, C, 1 a. v. (June 14, 1710), 1710.

Dancourt, Comédie des comédiens, C, 3 a p. (Aug 5, 1710), 1710.

Dancourt, Agioteurs, C, 3 a. p. (Sept. 26, 1710), 1710

Destouches, Curieux Impertinent, C, 5 a. v. (Nov. 17, 1710), 1710.

There was an earlier unauthorized Dutch edition

The play has an epilogue

Genest, Joseph, T. (Dec. 19, 1710 8), 1711.

Crébillon, Rhadamiste et Zénobie, T. (Jan. 23, 1711), 1711.

Legrand, Amons reducules, C., 1 a. v. (June 1, 1711).

Alam et Legrand, Epreuve réciproque, C., 1 a. p. (Oct. 6, 1711), 1711.

Dancourt, Céphale et Procris, C., 3 a. v. pl. (Oct. 27, 1711), 1711.

Destouches, Ingrat, C., 5 a. v. (Jan. 28, 1712), 1712.

Duché, Absalon, T. (April 7, 1712 °), 1702.

Legrand, Métamorphose amoureuse, C., 1 a. p. (Aug. 6, 1712), 1712.

Abeille, Fille Valet, C., 3 a. v. (Sept. 5, 1712)

Lafont, Amour vengé, C. 1 a. v. (Oct. 14, 1712), 1712.

Dancourt, Sancho Pança, C., 5 a. v. (Nov. 15, 1712), 1713.

Destouches, Irrésolu, C., 5 a. v. (Jan. 5, 1713), 1713.

Hénault, Cornélie vestale, T. (Jan. 27, 1713), 1768.

La Grange-Chancel, Ino et Mélicerte, T. (March 10, 1713), 1713.

La Grange-Chancel, Fille supposée, C., 3 a v (May 11, 1713).

Dancourt, Impromptu de Surêne, C., 1 a. p. pl. (May 24, 1713 10), 1713.

Lafont, Trois Frères rivaux, C, 1 a. v. (Aug. 4, 1713), 1713.

Legrand, Usurier Gentilhomme, C., 1 a p (Sept. 11, 1713), 1713.

Crébillon, Xercès, T (Feb. 7, 1714), 1749.

Gomez, Mme, Habis, T. (April 17, 1714), 1714.

Anon., Rivaux d'eux-mêmes, C., 1 a. v. (Aug 27, 1714).

Dancourt, Festes nocturnes du Cours, C, 1 a. p. pl. (Sept. 5, 1714), 1714.

Roy, Captifs, C, 3 a v pl (Sept. 28, 1714).

Dancourt, Vert Galant, C, 1 a p. (Oct. 24, 1714), 1714.

Chateaubrun, Mahomet Second, T (Nov 13, 1714), 1715.

Deschamps, Caton d'Utique, T. (Jan 25, 1715), 1715.

Destouches, Médisant, C., 5 a. v (Feb. 20, 1715), 1715

Dufresny, Coquette de village, C, 3 a. v. (May 27, 1715), 1715.

Destouches, Fausse Veuve, C., 1 a. p. (July 20, 1715).11

[•] First acted at Clagny, Jan 24, 1706 • First acted at Versailles, Jan 19, 1702

¹⁰ First acted at Versaines, May 21, 1713
11 Longepierre's tragedy, Electre, played at the Versailles home of the princesse de Conti on Jan 22, 1702, was acted at the Comédie Française on Feb 22, 1719, and was published in 1730 Lesage's prose comedy in one act, la Tontine, was accepted by the actors on Feb 27, 1708, but it was not played until Feb 20, 1732, or published until 1739 Pellegrin's tragedy, Pélopée, was read at court on Feb 2, 1710, acted at the Comédie Februaries of Libr 18, 1732 and antiblobed in 1732 acted at the Comedie Française on July 18, 1733, and published in 1733

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO

A History of French Dramatic Literature in the Seventeenth Century

An article by Jean Lemoine entitled La première du Cid is important for knowledge of the Marais and the Hôtel de Bourgogne. After appearing in the Revue des questions historiques, it was published separately by Hachette in 1936 Lemoine shows that the Marais was located at what is now no. 90, rue Vieille-du-Temple. The property was rented by the actors from April 1, 1634, to April 1, 1639, at 3000 livres a year The rental was then reduced to 2000 livres. The actors who signed the lease on May 8, 1634, were Montdory, Charles Lenoir, François Méthiviers, Julien and François Bedeau (Jodelet and l'Espy), Claude Deschamps (Villiers), Pierre Marcoureau, and Nicolas de Vis (Des Œillets) On July 8, 1678, the dimensions of the property were given as "17 toises, 4 pieds" long by "6 toises" widc. Those of the Hôtel de Bourgogne were 7 by 17 "toises." On April 8, 1647, the troupe of the latter theater agreed to pay 2400 livres instead of 2000 as rent in return for an engagement entered into by their landlords, the Confrérie de la Passion, to "refaire les loges dudit hôtel suivant le dessin de celles qui sont au tripot du Marests, même d'avancer le théâtre dans ladite salle de dix pieds plus qu'il n'est à présent." After the change the stage was to be seven "toises," one foot deep and to be raised ın front "à sıx pieds et le hausser par le derrière à proportion du devant" Thirteen boxes were to be installed for the setors above and below the stage, each with lock and key In the hall there were to be "deux rangs de loges, de dix-neuf à chacun rang, d'une toise de milieu en milieu de large et de la profondeur qui sera nécessaire." Also "il faudra deux tirants au devant du théâtre pour attacher la frise et l'élèvement du rideau" One must conclude from this requirement that there was in 1647 a stage curtain at the Hôtel de Bourgogne, though it may not have been used at all performances (cf. Part II, p. 18). It was also agreed that the Confrérie would place seats around the walls below the boxes and make over the "plancher pour poser les loges du bout et escalier pour monter aux galeries et paradis," which last part of the house was above the "loge des anciens maîtres" (cf. Part I, p. 712, l. 18).

The lease of the Hôtel de Bourgogne on April 8, 1647, shows that at that time the troupe included in its membership Montfleury, Beauchasteau,

Floridor, André Baron "dit le Baron," Claude Deschamps, sieur de Villiers, and Pierre Hasard. The fact that Floridor was then playing at the Hôtel contradicts the argument that I advanced in Part II, p. 25. It also follows that Bellerose, whose name does not appear in the document and who is said to have sold his costumes to Floridor, had in all probability retired by that date. As the name of d'Orgemont is also absent, one must conclude that he had returned to the Marais.

G. R. Kernodle's From Art to Theatre (Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1944) is an important contribution to knowledge of pictorial influences that lay back of the development in France of stage decorations; cf. my review in MLN, LIX (1944), 572-3.

A suggestive article on Pierre Corneille was published by Jean Boorsch in Essays in Honor of Albert Fewillerat, New Haven, Yale University Press, 1943, it is entitled "L'Invention chez Corneille Comment Corneille ajoute à ses sources." Late in 1942 Saintonge and Christ brought out their Fifty Years of Molière Studies. A Bibliography, 1892-1941, a supplement to it appeared in MLN, LIX (1944), 282-5. J. Scherer discussed the meaning of certain titles in "Sur le sens des titres de quelques comédies de Molière," MLN, LVII (1942), 407-20. J. C Chessex sought to give a moralistic interpretation to Molière's leading comedies in "Les Intentions de Molière," MLQ, IV (1943), 27-47.

Part II, p 190, l. 27, read 1709, p. 284, l. 32, add for evidence that the author had in mind the château in which Richelieu spent his youth of my article in MLN, LX (1945), 167-72, "The Château de Richelieu and Desmaretz's Visionnaires", p. 391, l. 18, read 1701.

Part III, p. 16, n. 4, l. 3, for de Vin read de Vis, p 63, a comparison in parallel columns between the two plays is given by W. H. Bohning in "Lope's El mayor imposible and Boisrobert's La folle gageure," Hispanic Review, XII (1944), 248-57 (the author overlooks entirely my discussion of the subject in Part III and refers to a passing remark in Part IV as if that were all I had said about it), p. 385, ll. 1-3, add Lachèvre, Œuvres de Dehénault, complément, Mélisse, pp 121-35, assigns the authorship of Mélisse to Dehénault, but without sufficient evidence, p. 654, l. 11, read sc. 3, p. 865, l. 17, for Anon read Girard, E.

Part IV, pp. 7, 8, add of Louis Bourquin, RHL, XXVI (1919), 53-64; p. 109, for a discussion between Carlos Lynes, Jr. and myself in regard to the récit de Théramène of MLN, LIX (1944), 387-91, 584-6, of, too, for Phèdre an article by F Baldensperger, "Encore la 'Cabale de Phèdre.' Leibniz du mauvais côté?" MLN, LVIII (1943), 523-6; p. 119, ll. 9-13, add, W. E. Stiefel has called my attention to the fact that Mesnard, in his later edition of Racine, withdrew his attribution to J.-B Racine of this MS.

note and declared that it was not "très-ancienne"; if this is true, the information in the note must have been derived from Louis Racine's life of his father, to which it bears close textual resemblance; this weakens the evidence for 1777 as the date of Jean Racine's sketch, but I still consider it stronger than Mesnard's arguments for 1773; p. 183, n. 19, l 1, add March 29, 1680, is the date of the privilège, p. 368, l. 26, add as the marriage contract was signed on March 12, 1708, according to Léon de Labessade, les Philippiques (Paris, 1875? p. 140), 1709 is probably Nietzelt's error for 1708, p. 399, l. 25, add in a letter of Feb 19, 1700 (RHL, XIV (1907), 162) Dubos wrote that Thésée "a extrêmement réussi."

Part IV, p. 476, add Lachèvre, after a long search, found a copy of the play, probably now unique, in a bookstore at Montluçon and published it in 1937 under the title of Le Casanova du XVIIe siècle. Pierre-Corneille Blessebors et sa comédie, La Corneille de Mademoiselle de Sçay There 18 a copy of this work in the New York Public Library and a microfilm reproduction of it at the Johns Hopkins University. Four women are in love with Corneille, who flirts with all of them till they discover his fickleness and abandon him. His enemy, Alcidas, arrests him, but Mile de Scav agrees to visit him in prison Lachèvre thinks that Blessebois was portraying himself and his relations with various women. A line from le Menteur is quoted in the fifth scene. The comedy is a very slight production that shows no talent either for amusing dialogue or for dramatic situations. It is improbable that it was played at the Hôtel de Bourgogne before it was printed, as in that case "Jouce à" rather than "Pour l'hostel de Bourgogne" would have been put on the title-page. Though it is not nearly so indecent as Marthe Le Hayer, a suggestion of homosexuality in the valet's rôle makes it unlikely that it was acted at that theater at all. It does not appear in the repertory of Molière's troupe.

Part IV, p. 488, n 2, add the collection is mentioned by Bayle in a letter to Dubos of Feb 27, 1702 (RHL, XX (1913), 438), in which he points out that one of the five plays is by Waernewick, another by Genest, and states that he has been told that "La Fontaine n'a rich fait de tout cela", p 567, n. 8, for Allamville read Allamval, p. 603, n. 13, add a play called les Folies d'Octave was acted shortly before the closing of the theater in 1697, according to the frères Parfaict, Mémoires, I, 133; p. 702, l. 10, read 1653, p 732, l. 16, for twelve read eleven, p. 861, n 2, add G. B Watts (MLN, XLII (1927), 107-8) pointed out the error of the frères Parfaict in regard to the title of le Fourbe, cited an uncomplimentary reference to it by Gacon, showed that the play was in verse, that it introduced a procureur, a "fourbe, solliciteur de procès," and that some excerpts from it have been preserved at the Arsenal, MS. 6541, fol. 251 seq., p. 878, n. 7, l 10, for 1681 read

1678; p. 907, l. 6, add except one written for the Théâtre Italien, Fatouville's Précaution inutile of 1692; p. 920, l. 20, add Delaporte, Du merveilleux dans la littérature française, suggested the influence of Villars's Comte de Gabalis (published in 1670) on la Pierre philosophale and was followed by E. D. Seeber, PMLA, LIX (1944), 79-80; the name and title of the comte de Gabalis in the play must have come from Villars and probably the talk of elemental spirits, as Naudé, whom the dramatists quote, does not name the founder of the Rosicrucians and does not mention these spirits; p. 933, les Forces de l'Amour et de la Magie is analyzed above, Chapter XIX; p. 934, n. 5, add Charles Magnin, Histoire des marionnettes en Europe, Paris, 1862, p. 151, mentions the MS. and gives the first of the lost plays as la Noce de Polichinelle et l'accouchement de sa femme (he must have examined the MS. before a part of the title was blotted out); p. 935, the four farces discussed here were probably played by marionettes (cf. above, p. 230).

Part V, p. 9, 1. 3, add except once, when Descartes is mentioned in les Femmes savantes, v. 883, p. 153, 1 20, add further proof that de Vergnette was J.-B. Rousseau is given by Fournier in his edition of Regnard, p. lxxvi; p. 193, l. 14, for Allainville read Allainval.

The following paragraph gives the plays that were acted more than 400 times at the Comédie Française in 1680-1936, with the number of performances. The list is made up from the publications of Joannidès and Edouard Champion. If the Coupe enchantée was played more than once in 1926, the number of times it was given should be increased accordingly. It will be noted that in a little more than 256 years there were eighty-three plays that were given over 400 times, that forty-eight of these were composed in the seventeenth century (first acted, 1637-1700), seventeen in the eighteenth, seventeen in the nineteenth, one in the twentieth, that sixty-eight comedies made such a record in comparison with only fifteen tragedies, and that eight of the eighteenth-century plays were first acted in 1701-15, almost as many as were produced in the eighty-five remaining years of the century.

Tartuffe, 2270, Médecin malgré lui, 1847, Avare, 1705, Misanthrope, 1402; Femmes savantes, 1348, Malade imaginaire, 1340, Plaideurs, 1316, Ecole des maris, 1279, Ecole des femmes, 1277, Phèdre, 1162; Dépit amoureux, 1152, Cid, 1131, Folies amoureuses, 1117, Mariage forcé, 1044, Précieuses ridicules, 1043; Andromaque, 1041, Fourberies de Scapin, 1033, Légataire universel, 988, Monde où l'on s'ennuie, 939; George Dandin, 932, Barbier de Séville, 917, Amphitryon, 897; Jeu de l'amour et du hasard, 893; Mariage de Figaro, 892, Avocat Patelin, 885; Britannicus, 869; Hernani, 860; Crispin médecin, 849, Joueur, 836, Iphigénie, 802; Horace, 764; Esprit de contradiction, 752; Monsieur de Pourceaugnac, 723; Florentin,

705, Mlle de la Seiglière, 702, Menteur, 691; Gendre de M. Poirier, 687; Crispin rival de son maître, 679, Cinna, 670; Aventurière, 645; Legs, 644; Sganarelle, 644; Grondeur, 635; Ruy Blas, 625; Bourgeois Gentilhomme, 616; Il ne faut jurer de rien, 602, Comtesse d'Escarbagnas, 574; Gringoire, 567; Festin de Pierre, 564, Polyeucte, 563, Joie fait peur, 561: Mithridate, 558; Vendanges de Suresnes, 550, Ménechmes, 541, Mercure galant, 535, Etourdi, 532, Homme à bonne fortune, 524, Sírénade, 519; Coupe enchantée, 510, Epreuve, 507, Un Caprice, 505, Deuil, 495, Athalie, 493; Zaire, 488, Fausse Agnès, 478, Il faut qu'une porte soit ouverte ou fermée, 475, Femme juge et partie, 474, Démocrite, 471, Mlle de Belle-Isle, 466, Demi-monde, 460, Turcaret, 455, Cocher supposé, 450, Ami Fritz, 447; Fausses confidences, 439; Bajazet, 435, Galant Jardinier, 429, Philosophe marié, 426, Rodogune, 426; Primerose, 422, On ne badine pas avec l'amour, 419, Bonhomme jadis, 418, Bataille de dames, 417, Usurier Gentilhomme, 417.

SUBJECT INDEX

```
abbé, mentioned in a play, 170, 218, 244, 256, 277, 295, 330
 Académie des Inscriptions, 87, 130, 207
Académie Française, 7, 93, 98, 130, 134, 138, 243, 267, 284 5
Académie Royale de Musique, 22, 307, 320, 323, 326
 Academy of Lyons, 297
 acting, art of, 16-22, 24 6, 90, 146, 187, 223, 318-20, 326, 329
 acts, 32, 72, 145, 149-54, 158, 165 8, 171, 174, 176-7, 179 83, 200, 203, 209, 215, 217,
       231-2, 234, 237, 242, 245-6, 240, 266, 299, 302-3, 306, 312-3, 317, 320, 323, 320-30,
       332-3, 336-8
 Africa, 31, 37-41, 65, 94 5, 120, 140-1, 218, 241.
 agiotage, 147, 158-63, 184, 214, 247, 321, 328, 334 alchemy, 147, 236-7
 amateur performances, 5-0, 28, 82-3, 86, 93, 95-6, 192, 284, 302 4
 America, 30, 55-6, 314
 Ancients and Moderns, 9, 30, 330-1
 animal, 94, 98, 128, 154, 156, 159-60, 185, 193-4, 196-7, 208, 232 3, 230, 241, 259, 261.
       264, 276, 279, 287, 201, 301, 311, 313, 320 1, 324
 apothecary, 148, 169, 193, 224-5, 228-9, 263-4
 art, pictorial, 20, 56, 50, 104, 153, 162, 209, 244
audience and its accommodations, 16-7, 22-3, 30 1, 35, 43, 50, 52, 58 9, 106, 104, 112,
       122, 134-6, 146, 149-50, 154, 166 8, 171, 173, 176, 182 4, 198, 200, 212, 217, 221,
       224, 229, 236, 239, 249, 254, 261, 270, 272, 276-9, 282, 287, 292, 295 7, 302-4,
       307, 309-10, 312 3, 315-7, 319-20, 322 3, 326, 328, 331, 339
 Australes, Terres, 301
baigneur, 162, 166, 312
bailiff, 151, 213, 232, 302, 328, 330
balcon, 23, 320
ballets, 28, 168, 178, 181, 218, 234, 302 3, 307, 313, 329
bankruptcy, 256, 258-9, 270 2, 328
Biblical plays, 5, 7 9, 12, 15-6, 18 21, 20-38, 44, 47, 59, 82-97, 188, 207, 337 8, 343
bibliographical remarks, 1-3, 339-42, see discussions of individual plays bird, 46, 154, 163, 185, 193 4, 212, 264, 276, 287, 306
Bourgogne, Hôtel de, 312, 315, 330-41
cabal, 261, 340
cannibalism, 34, 161 4, 122, 129, 131 2
censorship, 6, 22, 24, 74, 87, 93, 98, 128, 136 1, 187 8 children, rôles of, 11 2, 17, 20, 43, 187, 200, 205-7, 236 7
chorus, 7, 32, 105, 212
clerical opposition, 60
clerk, 98, 159, 163, 165, 170, 197, 226, 232 3, 238-9, 246, 259 60, 328

Comédie Françaisc, 1-3, 5, 7 28, 35, 37, 41, 52, 55, 64, 69, 72, 78 9, 82, 86 7, 95-6, 98, 104, 107, 116, 118, 124, 127, 136, 144, 146, 140 50, 152, 154, 168 70 177, 179, 181, 185, 187 9, 192, 194, 198 9, 202 3, 209, 212, 215, 217, 219, 223, 220, 231-2, 233-4, 236 7, 240 1, 243, 249 50, 252, 257, 261 2, 264 7, 274 5, 277, 283, 285, 287,
      297, 299, 302, 305 6, 300, 311 2, 314 8, 320-2, 326, 328-9, 331 3, 335 6, 338, 342
comedy, 1, 5-7, 9, 10, 13 7, 20, 22, 26 7, 69, 72, 76, 111 2, 122, 139 40, 144 310, 317.
      326-7, 329, 332 4, 336 8, 340 1
confidant (survante), 7, 13, 15, 26, 40, 62-6, 71, 75, 80, 85, 89, 91, 100, 106, 119, 121-2, 126 7, 129, 135, 137, 139, 147, 153 4, 173, 179, 80, 183, 186, 195, 107, 202-3,
      200 8, 210 1, 213-4, 218, 221 2, 224, 236, 244-7, 251, 254, 259, 263, 266, 209, 271,
      273, 277, 279, 281, 288-9, 204 5, 300, 305, 309, 324
Confrérie de la Passion, 339
cook, 154, 183, 220, 273-4
costume, 5, 6, 15, 17, 24, 47, 86, 151, 156, 102, 105 7, 169 70, 178, 186 7, 190, 193, 196, 206, 213, 216, 235, 242, 244 6, 255, 200, 264, 268, 291, 295, 301, 363, 313,
      316, 319, 324, 326, 330, 333, 340
                                                                                                 345
```

```
court performances, 5 8, 18, 27, 33, 41, 56, 72, 82, 86, 93, 95-7, 107, 144, 152, 161, 178-9, 188, 190, 192, 223, 254, 270, 284, 299, 390, 392-4, 312, 333, 336, 338
curtain, stage, 317, 339
```

democracy, 33, 73-4, 110, 142 disguised subject, 31, 37-8, 129 drink, 25, 34, 43, 101-4, 122, 129, 147-8, 168, 181 2, 186, 192, 196, 296, 222, 227, 236-7, 256, 274-5, 292, 325 duel, 128 9, 171-2, 221-2, 250-2, 267 dyer, 148, 162-3

English actor, 314, 319, 329 English influence, 31, 53, 87, 143

fairy tale, 275-6, 281 farce, 1, 5, 7, 137, 162, 168, 192 3, 195, 199, 205, 224, 226, 229-30, 234, 245, 275-7, 303, 314, 317, 331, 334-5

feminism, 69, 72, 74 5, 77-9

Feydeau theater, 282 finances, 9, 13 5, 17-8, 22 7, 52, 55, 64, 72, 78, 86, 93, 98, 104, 116, 147-8, 151-63, 165, 167 9, 174 7, 181-2, 184, 186, 191 3, 195, 197-8, 200-1, 203-5, 208-14, 217-27, 229, 232, 234-6, 238 9, 247 8, 252, 255-63, 265, 268, 270-2, 275-6, 280 2, 288, 291,

299, 300, 302-3, 305, 307-8, 310, 312-6, 320, 322, 324, 334, 339 financiers (tax-collectors), 64, 98, 140, 150, 154, 156 63, 165-7, 169 70, 182-4, 180, 188, 200, 213 4, 218, 228-9, 244 9, 257-03, 265, 270 1, 279, 295, 305, 309-10

fireworks, 147, 153, 328

fish, 185, 326

Foire, 1, 2, 7, 14-8, 22 3, 25-6, 104, 110, 124, 134, 137, 146, 149, 168, 179, 239, 240-2. 261, 265, 267, 275, 287, 299, 303, 311-32, 335

fontange, 305 food, 94, 147, 153-4, 157, 162-3, 168, 174 5, 180-1, 183-4, 189, 195 7, 200 7, 212, 229-1, 239, 263 4, 273-4, 305, 313, 324

forfeit, 147, 193, 234-0, 247 8

French actors abroad, 20 1, 234, 284

French actors at home, 5 27, 41, 49, 52, 50, 68-9, 72, 79, 80, 82, 86, 90, 95, 98, 107, 116, 118, 122, 127, 132, 134, 137, 140, 144-6, 149 52, 154-6, 158-9, 101-2, 164-71, 173 84, 188-92, 203, 205, 209, 212-7, 219, 223, 227, 229, 231, 234-49, 257, 261-2, 264-6, 270, 272, 275 0, 280, 284, 297, 299, 302 4, 306-12, 314 22, 324, 326, 329, 332-41

French cighteenth century plays, influence of, 2, 37, 41-2, 59, 70, 74, 76, 79, 84, 87, 90, 93, 98-101, 104, 108, 110-3, 119-20, 125 6, 128 9, 135, 143, 151, 153, 161, 164 5, 168, 179, 185, 195, 198-9, 203, 209-10, 216, 219, 224-6, 231, 235-8, 240, 242, 245 6, 247, 249, 254, 257-8, 263, 270, 290, 296, 300-1, 304, 327 French history dramatized, 30 1, 55

French novels and tales, influence of, 31, 37-8, 53, 74-5, 78-9, 98-9, 113, 115, 126. 164 5, 257, 261, 301, 306, 327, 342

French of foreigners, 201-3, 310

French sevententh-century plays, influence of, 9, 29 32, 37-9, 41, 43-4, 47-9, 52-3, 55, 58-61, 03, 65, 67, 71 3, 77-8, 87-8, 90-4, 96, 99, 105, 110 1, 113, 115, 120-1, 124-6, 135, 139, 141, 145-0, 149-58, 165, 108, 171, 173-89, 184-5, 189, 195, 203, 208, 210, 216-7, 220 2, 224, 226 8, 230-4, 238, 243-4, 246 8, 250-1, 254, 257-8, 263-4, 266-9, 271, 275, 278 9, 281, 287-92, 295 6, 298-301, 303-4, 310, 316, 318, 321-3, 326-7, 330-1, 341

French seventeenth-century poets and moralists, influence of, 9, 103, 145, 185-6, 273, 295-6

games and gambling, 17, 52, 147, 151, 160, 197, 200, 206, 210-2, 219, 241-2, 244, 247, 256, 260, 275, 281-2, 292

Gascon, 161, 170, 181 2, 220 2, 231, 234 6, 267 8, 276, 279-80, 301, 309-10 ghost, 61, 63, 88-99, 165-6, 291, 299, 398-9, 324

gipsy, 153, 174, 321 2

gods on stage, 147, 168 70, 179-82, 217-9, 221, 231-2, 275 7, 313, 318 21, 323-9 Greek influence, 7, 8, 29 32, 37-8, 42-5, 56-7, 59, 60, 70, 72, 74-6, 80, 82, 91, 96, 98 9, 104 5, 107-8, 110, 127, 130 1, 140-1, 143, 168, 184-5, 187, 212, 228 9, 266, 275, 393, 330, 332

```
hunting, 177, 179,196-7, 222
meest, 34, 101, 127-9, 294,
insect, 102, 185, 257, 287, 303-4
Italian actors, 17, 153, 168-70, 310, 314 5, 324, 326-7.
Italian influence, 31, 42, 53, 50-7, 170, 177, 191, 216, 224 5, 230, 271, 304
Jap. 8, 9, 241
Jew. modern, 148, 206, 270-2, 283, 301
Latin, influence of ancient, 7, 29-32, 37-8, 44, 47, 62, 70-1, 98 9, 101 2, 104, 113, 119
     20, 124-5, 128, 130, 133, 135, 143, 145, 179, 187-92, 199, 216, 219 21, 223, 273, 275, 280, 290, 292, 298-9, 303, 306
Latin, influence of later, 8, 31, 130-1, 134 law, men of, mentioned in plays, 148, 156, 159-63, 164 5, 167, 170, 183, 193 5, 197,
     200, 207-8, 232-3, 238-9, 244 6, 250, 267, 279-81, 286, 291, 295, 306, 308-10, 319,
     324-7, 341
legislation about the theater, 22-4, 27, 108
haison, 64, 116, 148, 173, 183, 189, 251, 313, 329
libraries utilized, 3
Limousin, 234-6
Lincolns-Inn-Fields, 143
lingua franca, 270-2
locksmith, 217-8
lost plays, 1, 51-2, 55-6, 64, 98, 104, 124, 129, 140, 145, 147, 149, 209, 213, 242, 285,
     297, 299, 302-3, 305-6, 336-8, 342
lottery, 200, 213-4
lyric monologue (stances), 28, 32, 135, 145, 175
"machine" plays, 20, 28, 171, 176-8, 217, 313, 319, 324, 327-8, 334
magic, 88-90, 164-5, 174-5, 236-7, 301, 311, 323-7
manners, 32, 55, 87, 96, 103, 116, 139-40, 140-7, 150-1, 154, 158, 162 3, 165 7, 176,
     179, 182, 184, 186-7, 189, 192, 194, 203, 211 3, 218 9, 221 3, 234, 247, 250, 253,
261, 264, 260 8, 278-9, 292 3, 297, 305, 313, 328, 333 4 Marais, 339-40
marronettes, 7, 167, 230, 240-1, 301, 311-4, 317, 322, 326, 330, 335, 342 mask, 167, 170, 182 3, 207, 209, 260, 267-9, 294 6, 302
merveilleuw, 33, 40-1, 43-4, 47-9, 57, 65, 70 1, 73-4, 76-80, 83, 88 90, 94, 99 101, 105-6,
     109, 125-33, 136-7, 141, 147, 164 7, 205-6, 342
Mexican history dramatized, 30, 55, 332
miles gloriosus, 279, 301
noble, comic provincial, 213-5, 234-6, 268, 299-300
noble, comic young, 5, 202, 228, 292, 295
notary, 148, 155 7, 165-6, 169-70, 183-4, 220 2, 224 6, 231 2, 255, 268, 279, 291
Odeon, 240, 264
officers, modern army, in plays, 146, 151, 153-4, 158 9, 161 3, 165 6, 178, 221, 247-8, 251-2, 280 2, 286, 291, 300-1, 309 10, 327
opera, 5, 7, 9, 12, 18, 20, 22 3, 26, 28, 42, 59, 69, 111, 124 5, 130, 144 6, 167 8, 179, 182, 201-3, 209, 212, 215-6, 234, 236, 240, 259, 260 7, 272, 275, 299-300, 302-3,
     310, 316, 318-28, 335
opéra comique, 230, 314, 320, 322, 335
Paris described, 166, 197-8, 222-3
Paris, localities in (except theaters, taverns, and libraries), 11, 15, 17, 21, 154, 159,
     165, 182-3, 234, 239, 311 3, 317, 322, 339
parody, 104, 116, 134, 146, 168, 175, 202, 212, 223, 230 2, 240-2, 266, 278, 299, 302,
     310, 317-8, 320 6, 328 9, 335
patois, 151 2, 154, 161, 170, 182, 206, 208, 233, 241, 248 9, 287, 268 70, 274, 305, 334
pawnbroker (revendeuse), 210 1, 256, 278, 200, 330
peasant, 147-8, 151, 153-4, 158, 161, 169 70, 174, 177 8, 181, 184, 187, 193 4, 200, 205-8,
     213-5, 232-3, 240 1, 246 9, 263 4, 269, 274 5, 305, 308 10, 321, 32 3 4, 327 8, 333 4
pedant, 190, 228, 236 7, 309, 316, 327, 330
physician, 148, 194, 217 8, 220, 224, 250, 263-4, 302 4, 330
pirate, 218, 271
```

```
play within a play, 164-70, 176-8, 181, 230-2, 308-10, 321
poet in a play, 217, 221, 228-9, 259, 308-10, 324
police, 9, 18, 22, 165-6, 182, 191-2, 223, 232, 238, 240, 242, 245-6, 258, 312, 315-9,
     322, 325, 329, 332
politics, 32 3, 57, 73-4, 77, 83-5, 95, 114, 116, 141-2, 146-7, 177, 188
Porte Saint-Martin theater, 233.
poster, 312, 321
préciosité, 112, 301, 303
prologue, 12, 14-5, 20, 22, 24, 104, 132, 145 8, 150, 152, 164 6, 176-7, 179, 181-3, 187,
     190-1, 200-3, 216-7, 221, 230, 242, 252, 261, 266, 268, 276, 302-4, 306 9, 313, 320,
     323, 333, 336-8
proprieties, 6, 10, 24-5, 33 4, 41, 43, 45, 49, 50, 64, 78, 84, 95, 103-4, 118 9, 123, 128-9
     139, 146, 148-9, 179, 183, 189, 191, 194, 201-2, 216, 223 4, 226-8, 230, 233, 266,
     268-70, 273-5, 285, 313, 316, 322, 328 9, 333
proverbes dramatiques, 7, 238 publication of plays, 6, 35-6, 70, 149, 266, see discussions of individual plays
recognition, 34-5, 39, 40, 46 9, 64 7, 71, 79, 80, 86, 89, 90, 93 6, 101, 105-6, 109-12, 114, 116-9, 122, 125 0, 131-2, 134, 138 41, 190-2, 201, 205, 220-1, 223, 228, 244, 333
Rosierucians, 342
school plays, 7-9, 31, 41, 82, 104, 130 2, 134
sea captain, 148, 200, 203-5, 248, 309-10
sifflets, 146, 254, 277, 309-10
skepticism, religious, 106, 110, 121-2, 136, 186-7, 237, 266, 274
social ambition of servants, 147, 151, 154, 162 3, 167, 222, 247, 254, 256, 258-9
songs, popular, 319 20, 324, 328
Spanish influence, 2, 31, 99, 145, 148-50, 171, 173-6, 184, 238, 250-4, 264, 285-6, 298,
     316, 322, 327
stage decoration, 31 2, 40 1, 56, 105, 178 83, 237, 252, 266, 273, 276-8, 304, 315, 319,
     328, 333-4, 339-40
stammering, 153 4
stars (planets, moon, comet), 147, 187, 205-6, 250, 301 2
struggle, psychological, 43 4, 53, 57-8, 60 2, 65-6, 72-4, 77, 85, 92, 105, 109, 111, 114,
     126, 132, 136, 139, 195 G, 208
style, 32, 41-2, 49 51, 55, 60, 69, 72, 78-9, 83, 87-8, 96-7, 100, 103, 107 8, 110-2, 115-8,
     121, 123, 128, 131, 139, 142, 151, 163, 171, 175, 181-3, 198, 214, 218, 223-4, 226-7,
     233, 264, 267, 272, 278, 281, 287, 328 9
Swiss in plays, 156, 201 3, 218, 231, 233, 254, 309-10
tavern, 98, 104, 130, 181 2, 266, 279, 330
tax, 23 4, 26, 322
teacher, 104-5, 108, 130, 134, 170, 200, 210 2, 218, 236 7, 247, 310, 324-5
Théâtre du Faubourg Saint Laurent, 277
Théatre Italien, 1689, 216, 233, 240, 252, 267, 273, 276-7, 301, 307, 311-2, 314-6, 318-20, 322 3, 327, 329, 335, 342
theory of drama, 29 31, 53, 59, 60, 62, 69, 72, 74, 77, 84, 88, 96, 109, 132-3, 144, 146,
     179, 202, 212, 229, 275, 279 80, 307
tobacco, 147, 260, 266, 271-2, 310, 328
tragedy, 1, 5, 8 14, 16 7, 19, 20, 22, 26-144, 146-7, 149-50, 155, 179, 194-5, 198, 205, 212, 226, 228, 231, 235, 249, 266, 272, 283, 297, 303, 306, 318, 332 4, 336-8
tragi comedy, 79, 173, 212, 289
Turkish history dramatized, 30-1, 52-5, 138 9
undertaker, 148, 165
unities, 28, 32 3, 145, 148, 183, 211, 307, 313, 323-4, 329, 333, see the discussions of
     individual plays
verse, 32, 41, 49, 50, 87, 96, 112, 118, 125, 131, 140 1, 145, 149-50, 171, 173, 175-7,
     179, 181-3, 185, 187, 189, 200, 213, 217-8, 224, 231, 233-4, 238, 240, 249, 259,
```

war, influence of or allusions to recent or contemporaneous, 22, 26, 33, 127, 146, 154, 158, 161, 166, 177, 181, 184, 192 3, 217-8, 220-3, 239, 251, 255, 257, 261, 264, 332-4

wig, 17, 162, 242, 260

women dramatists, 27, 29, 36, 49, 50, 52, 69-81, 102 3, 124, 333

266, 275-6, 278, 287, 297, 306, 318, 332-3, 336-8

INDEX OF BOOKS AND PERSONS

Abeille (abbé), 31, 134, 306, Lyncée, 65 Abeille (actor), 144, 299, Crispin jaloux, 306, Fille Valet, 306, 338 Ablancourt, d', 8 Absalom, 84 Absalon, see Duché Académie des femmes, see Chappuzeau Achitophel, 84 Addison, Cato, 31, 143 Adelphes, see Baron, M Adelphoe, see Terence Adieux des officiers, see Dufresny Adonias, 8 Adulatores, 8
Egiste, see Pralard Æschylus, 104, 127, Choephori, 105 Æsop, 186 Agallis, 309 Aquoteurs, see Dancourt Agrippa, see Quinault, Philippe, and Riuperrous Agrippina, 33 Agrippine, see Cyrano Alain, R., 338, Epreuve réciproque, sec Alard, frères, 7, 303 4, 311 2, 318 21 Albert, Maurice, 2, Thiatres de la Foire, 124, 277, 311 Alleste, see Hardy, La Grange-Chancel, and Racine Alcestis, see Euripides Alcibiade, see Campistron Alexander, 141 Allainval, 189, 341 2 Almanach de Milan, 301 Altroechi, 224-5 Alzıre, see Voltaire Amalasonte, see Quinault, Philippe Amans ridicules, see Legrand Amant de sa femme, see Boissy Amante Amant, see Campistron Amant masqué, see Dufresny Amants jalour, see Lesage Amants magnifiques, see Moliere Amaq15, 38 Amasis, see La Grange-Chancel Ami Fritz, see Erckmann et Chatrian Amour Charlatan, see Dancourt, Comédie des comédiens Amour Dable, see Legrand Amour médecin, see Molière Amours de Tremblotin, sec Fuzelier, Thesce Amours de Vénus et de Mars, 323 Amour vengé, see Lafont

Amphitruo, see Plautus

Amphitryon, see Molière Ancier, d', 225 Andria, see Terence Andricane, see Baron, M. Andromaque, see Racine Andronic, see Campistron Amsson, 35, 84 Anneuu magique de Brunct, see Ile d'Al rine Annibal, see Rimpeirous Annthal (anon), 8 Annuaire Bulletin of Soc de l'hist de France, 127 Antigone, see Rotron Antiochus, see Nadal Antiochus et Chopatre, see Deschamps. Apollon a la foire 116, 287, 320 Apoticaire dévalisé, see Villiers, C Apri s soupé des auberges, see l'oisson, R Apriès, 38 Arabian Nights, 275, 325 Arbitro den diffirende, see Lesage, Point Argenson, d', 6, 9, 18, 36, 76, 279, 313, 317 Arianc, see Corneille, Th Ariosto, 230 Aristobulus, 91 Aristotle, 29, 34, 37, 40, 48, 105, 212, 228, Poetre 4, 60 Arlequin à la quinguette, see Pellegrin Arlequin au bal du Cours, 321 Arlequin au Sabat, 323 Arlequin aux enfers, see Orphee Irlequin baron allemand 322 3 Arlequin-Colombine, see Lange, Colomhine Arlequin Arlequin coloncl, 323 Arlequin Comédien aux Champs Elisées, see Bordelon Arlequin Reolu r ignorant et Scaramouche Pédant scrupulcuz, 3167 Arlequin Empereur dans la lune, see Fatouville Arlequin Ence ou la l'rise de Troyes, 322 Arlequin et Mizetin morts par amour, see Lesage Arlequin et Mezzetin heureux pour un moment, 323 Arlequin et Scaramouche tendangeurs, 322 Arlequin faiori (jouet) de la fortune, 323 Arlequin fille malgré lui, 323 Arlequin Gentilhomme par hasard, see

Biancolelli, P F

Titles of books are entered under the names of their authors Plays and operas are also listed under their own titles of fiction are excluded Persons mentioned as characters in works of fiction are excluded

Arlequin grand-visir, 322 Arlequin Hérachus, 321 Arlequin lingère, see Fatouville, Arlegum Misanthrope, see Biancolelli, L Arlequin Prince et Paysan, 323 Arlequin Prothée, see Fatouville Arlequin Rival du Docteur, 323 Arlequin Sultane favorite, see Letellier Arria, 69, 70 Arrie et Pétus, see Barbier, Mlle Artabanes, 119 Artaxerce, see Boyer, Deschamps, and Magnon Artaxerxes (son of Xerxes), 119 Artaxerxes II, 120 Artenay, d', 14 Aspasia, 309 Astrages, 1.31 Astrate, see Quinault, Philippe Athaliah, 59 Athalie, see Racine Atrée et Thyeste, see Créhillon Attalus, 72 Attendez-mos sous l'orme, see Regnard Atys, see Quinault, Philippe Aubert, la, 14 Augier, Emile, Aventurière, 343, Ef frontés, 259, Gendre de M. Poirier, 343 Aulnoy, Mme d', 275 Aumont, duc d', 36, 67, 90 Auteurs du Second Ordre, 37, 84, 162, 188, 193, 195, 213, 237, 277, 280 Avantures des Champs Elisées, see L C D V Avare, see Molière Aventures comiques d'Arleguin, see Ra guenet Aventures de Cythere, 323 Aventuriere, sec Augier Avocat Patelin, see Brueys Ayen, comte d', 86 Ayen, comtesse d', 86

Babinger, G, Wanderung der Novelle von Cervantes "El Curioso importinente," 285 Bague enchanté (Foire), 323 Baïf, 189 Baille d'Anières, see Regnard, Vendanges Baille Marquis, 302, 336 Bajazet, 53 Bajazet, see Racine Bal d'Auteil, see Boindin Baldensperger, 340 Balzac, G. de, 309 Banqueroutier, see Fatouville Banville, Gringoire, 343 Barba, 216, 224 Barberet, 2, 321, 329, Lesage, 311, 320, 323, 327 Barbier, Mllc, 2, 60, 79, 81, Arrie et Pétus, 27, 29, 33, 70-2, 78, 336, Cornélie, 32-3, 79 t. 226, Mont de Jules Green, 21, 76 8, 72-4, 336, Mort de Jules Cesar, 31, 76 8, 337, Saisons littéraires, 49, 70, 78, 102, Théatre de l'amour, 69, 70, Tomyris,

31-2, 34, 74-6, 78, 337

Barbier de Séville, see Beaumarchais Barbin, 200 Barbin, veuve, 304 Bardon, 2, 174-6, "Don Quichotte" en France, 173, 285 Baro, 308 Barois, 250, 252, 254, 257, 263 Baron, André, 339 Baron, Catherine, 17, 25, 312, 314-5, 319-20, 322, 329 Baron, Etienne, 10, 13, 15-8, 20, 22, 24, 28, 41, 127, 134, 168, 170, 181, 190, 203, 242, 309, 312, 314-5, 321, 332 Baron, le, see Baron, A Baron, Michel, 3, 25, 86, 95, 107, 144, 332, 334, Adelphes (Ecole des pères), 148, 190-2, 199, 200, 336, Andrienne, 14-7, 188 90, 191 2, 336, Homme à bonne fortune, 289, 343, Jaloux, 195, 286
Baron d'Albikrac, see Corneille, Th Barras, Stage Controversy, 9 Barrié, see Fonpré Barrois, 200, 203, 205, 207, 209, 213

Bataille dc dames, see Scribe Baudin, 224 Baur, 7 Bavaria, Duke of, 36, 79, 181 Baxter, 23, 314, 319, 329 Bayle, 341, Dictionnaire, 138 Beaubourg, 10, 12 3, 15-7, 21, 25, 86, 116, 127, 134, 168, 284, 332
Beaubourg, la, 10, 12 3 Beauchamps, 2, 191, 243, Recherches, 35, 52, 242 Beauchasteau, 339 Beaumarchais, Barbier de Séville, 342, Mariago de Figaro, 342 Beaune, dame de, see Baron, Catherine Beaune, l'ierre Charretier de, 314 Beauval, 10 2, 14, 16, 205 Beauval, la, 10 2, 14, 16, 20, 41, 80, 190, 203, 205, 217, 219, 332 Becque, 300 Bedeau, François, see L'Espy Bedeau, Julien, see Jodelet Beek, Mme von der, see Maurice, veuve Beek, Maurice von der, 17, 311-2 Belin, 28, 56, 64, 143, Mustapha et Zéangir, 29, 31, 33, 52-5, 68, 90, 134-5, 138, 333, 337, Othon, 52, Vononez, 52, 3.36 Belin (printer), 200, 203, 205, 207, 209, 213, 277, 280 Bellavaine, Sancho Pança, 316 Bellegarde (abbe), 8 Bellegarde (of Foire), 314 Bellerose, 340 Bellctour, 14 Belloni, 314 Benjamın Captıf, 9 Bérénice, see Racine Bernard, Catherine, 79, 81, Brutus, 69, 78 Bernardin, 2, 47, Comédie stalienne en France, 264, 311 Berry, due de, 5, 6, 302-3 Berry, duchesse de, 13

Bertrand, 312-3, 315, 318 Beugnie, 185 Biancolelli, D , 168, 310, 314 Biancolelli, L , 316, Arlequin Misanthrope. Biancolelli, P.F (Dominique), 23, 314-5, 321, Arlequin Generalian hasard, 316, Foire galante, 322 Arlequin Gentilhomme par Bible, 87, 96-7, 105, Ecclesiasticus, 88, Exodus, 94, Genesis, 94, Judges, 82-3, II Samuel, 84 Bibliothèque du théâtre françois, 2, 82, 124, 189, 191, 267, 302, 304 Bibliothèque Françoise, 140, 282 Bignon, 132 Biographie générale, 45, 70, 79, 87, 124, 138, 267 Blessebois, Corneille de Mlle de Scay. 341, Marthe Le Hayer, 341 Blot, 216 Boccalini, 309. Bodin, 309 Bohning, 340 Bonleau, 68, 75, 117, 221, Œuvrcs, 9 Bonleau, 112, 130, 274, 333, Bal d'Auteui, 6, 148, 206, 268 70, 336, Œuvres, 267-8, 270, Petit-maître de robe, 267, Port de mer, 144, 148-9, 270 2, 277, 336, Tross Gascons, 254, 267-8, 270, 280, 336 Boislisle, 2, 107, 127 9 Boisrobert, 120, 252, 266, Folic Gageure, 340, Tross Orontes, 145, 254, 267 Boissy, Amant de sa femme, 270 Bonarelli, G, Fills di Sciro, 191 Bonarelli, P, 52 3 Bonhomme jadis, see Murger Bonnassies, 2, Comédie Française, 6, 14, 23 4, 27, 35, Spectacles forains, 23 4, 311, 318 Bonnefon, 2, 3, 7, 284-5 Bonnet enchanté, see Brécourt, Jaloux invisible Bon Soldat, see Dancourt Boorsch, 340 Bordelon, 145-6, 299, Arlequin Comédien, 307-8, Coram et le Clam, 308, Grands et les Petits, 308, Mital, 307, Molicre Comedien, 307-8, Poisson Comedien, 307, 308 10 Bornier, 104 Bossuet, 7, 8, 293 Botot, Ch -Claude, see Dangeville Botot-Dangeville, A F, 13 Bouillon, duchesse de, 36, 52, 69, 70 Boulanger, 224 Bourbon-Condé, Louis duc de, 36, 96, 98 Bourbons, 331 Bourgeois de Folaise, see Regnard Bourgeoises à la mode, see Dancourt Bourgeoises de qualité, see Hauteroche Bourgeois Gentilhomme, see Molière Bourgogne, duc de, 7 Bourgogne, duchesse de (Dauphine), 5, 6, 56, 80, 86, 270, 290, 303 Bourquin, 2, 6-9, 237, 340 Boursault, 2, 69, 70, 144, 146, 219, 334,

Comédie sans titre (Mercure galant), 185, 311, 343, Esope à la cour, 6, 15, 147-8, 185-8, 336, Esope à la ville (Esope, Fables d'Esope), 185, 188, 199, 277, Lettres nouvelles, 187, Nicandres, 220 Boursault, veuve, 185 Boyer, 28, 31, 94, Artaxerce, 120, Essen, 91, Jephté, 82, 88, Judith, 82 Brécourt, Jaloux invisible, 326 Brémond, l'Heureux Esclave, 306 Brenner, 193 Briasson, 70, 87, 90, 200, 203, 205, 207, 209, 213, 311 Britannicus, 61 Britannicus, see Racine Brosse, 252 Brosse (younger), 285 Broulbiet, 300 Prueys, 3, 28, 192, 198, Avocat Patelsn (Patelin), 144, 193-4, 199, 337, 342, Concert redscule, 248, 328, Empiriques, 224, Gabinie, 14, 18, 130, Grondeur, 5, 14, 16, 144, 194, 215, 277, 343, Muet, 189, 203 Brunet, Ch., 2, Manuel du libraire, 231 Brunet, M., 35, 70, 124 Brunet frères, 230 Brunetière, 2, Epoques, 113, 118 Brunot, Histoire de la langue française, Brutus, see Bernard, Catherine, Porce, and Voltaire Brutus Premier Consul des Romains, 8 Burner, 3, 294 5 Burnet, 3, Marc Antoine Legrand, 11, 234, 236 8, 240, 242 3, 245 9 Cademosto da Lodi, 224 Cadet de Cascogne, 11 Caesar, 33, 77, 140 1, 233 Caffuro, 7 Caillavet et de Flors, Princross, 143 Carllove, Jacques, 192 Calderón, 264, Pror esta que estaba, 145, 972 Callirhor, see Roy Campardon, 2, Com duns du roi, 10-2, 15 20, 22, 231, 284, 307, Spectacles de la Foire, 15 6, 18, 22, 210, 311 2, 314-22, 329 (ampistion, 3, 27, 123, 144, 194, 4lcs-biade, 13 28, 120, 198, 1mante Amant, 168, indronic 28, 38, 198, 297, Jaloux desabusé, 1959, 209, 333, 337 Campra, 130 Capacelli, Albergati, 100 Caprice, Un, see Musset Captifs, see Roy Capture, see Plantus Casanova, 341 Cassius Dio, 70 Catilina, see Crébillon Cato, see Addison Cato, Elder, 197 Cato, Younger, 140 3

Caton, see Deschamps Caton d'Utique, see Deschamps Ceinture magique, see Rousseau, J.B. Celer, 135 Celse, 8 Celse martyr, 8 Céphale et Procris, see Dancourt Cervantes, 145, 173, 184, 286, 298, 308-9, 327, Don Quixote, 2, 174 6, 238, 285 Chalcocondylas, 138 Champdoré, 14 hampion, E, 2, 112, 342, Comldie-Française, 219, 229, 262 Champion, E, Champion, H, 8, 10, 173 Champineslé, 10, 16, 20, Coupe enchantée, 52, 103, 276, 322, 342-3, Florentin, 215-6, 342, Grisettes, 216, 254, Rue de Saint Denis, 270 Champmesle, la, 8, 11, 18-20, 332 Champvallon, la, 10, 13, 15, 203, 212 Changuion, 300 Chaponnière, 328 Chappureau, 53, 184, Académie femmes, 243, Colin-Maillard, 151 Académie des Charni, 216 Charpentier, 284 Chateaubrun, 29, Mahomet Second, 31, 33, 138-40, 338 Chateauneuf, la, 315 Châteauneuf, Marie-Anne, see Duclos Chavigni de Saint-Martin, Triomphe de la comédie, 9 Chefs-d'œuvre de Lafosse, etc., 37 Chefs-d'auvre des auteurs comiques, 152, 200, 213 Chessex, 340 Chevalier, 268, Golans ridicules, 328 Chevalier à la mode, see Dancourt Chevalier Joueur, see Dufresny Chinois, see Regnard Chocphori, see Æschylus Choix de pièces, 185, 193 Christ, 340 Cicero, 222, 308 9 Cid, see Corneille, P Cinna, see Corneille, P Cinthio, 315 Circé, see Corneille, Th Claretie, Léo, 3, Essai sur Lesage, 25 6 Claudius, 33, 70 Clavareau, 13 Clavel, 14 Clavel (Fonpré), la, 10, 134, 178, 309 Cléarque, see Gomez Clémence d'Auguste, 9 Clément et La Porte, 2, 176, 194, Ance-dotes dramatiques, 42, 188, 236 Cléopâtre, see La Chapelle Clos, 236, 240 Cocher supposé, see Hauteroche Cocu imaginaire, see Molière, Sganarelle Collé, Charles, 104, 207, Jaloux corregé, 200, Théâtre de Société, 209 Colin Maillard, see Chappuzeau and Dancourt

Colombine bohémienne ou Fourbine, 322 Comédie des comédiens, see Dancourt and Scudéry Comédie sans comédie, see Quinault. Philippe Comédie sans femme, see Bordelon, Poisson Comédien Comédie sans trire, see Boursault Comnena, Anna, 309 Comnenus, 138 Concert ridicule, see Brueys Condé, le Grand, 7 Condé, prince de, 96 Constantini (Octave), 314-5, 322 Conti, prince de, 96 Conti, princesse de, 7, 80-1, 107, 302, 338 Copernieus, 302 Coquette de village, see Dufresny Coram et le Clam, see Bordelon Corbulo, 61 Cordier, H, 3, Bssar bibliographique sur Lesage, 243, 250, 252, 254, 257, 261, 263 261, 263 Corésus et Callirhoé, see La Fosse Corneile, P, 20, 26, 31, 41, 49, 69, 71-3, 78, 100, 107, 117 8, 123, 169, 180, 187, 298, 332, 340, Cid, 27-8, 32, 60, 125, 135, 155, 175, 189, 219, 223, 251, 278, 339, 342, Cinna, 0, 11, 14, 28-9, 33, 44, 77 ag 116 343 Don Sanghe, 27. 121, 135, Œdrpe, 34, 99, Othon, 142, Polycucte, 11, 13, 28, 32, 43, 58, 115-0, 135, 189, 343, Psyché (see Molière, Psyché), Rodogune, 13, 28, 60, 92, 103, 134, 296, 343, Sertorius, 33
Corneille, Th., 123, 144, 150, 296, Ariane, 20, 28, 49, Baron d'Albikrac, 5, Circé, 28, 140, 171, 171, Darius, 120, Devi neresse, 217, Dom Uésar d'Avalos, 220, 254, Essex, 28, 91, Festin de Pierre, 343, Geôlier de soy mesme, 13, Inconnu, 20, 171, 1767, Laodice, 11, Pierre philosophale, 342, Timocrate, 34 Corncille de Mile de Scay, see Blessebois Cornelia (mother of the Graechi), 32, 69, 72-3 Cornelia (Vestal), 135 Cornelia (wife of Pompey), 309 Cornélie, mère des Gracques, see Barbier, Mlle Cornélie Vestale, see Hénault Corpus historiae byzantinae, 138 Correspondance littéraire, 230 Cosrocs, see Rotrou Cotgrave, 293 Couet, 229 Coupe enchantée, see Champmeslé Coupe enchantée (Foire), 321 Coups de l'amour, see Quinault, Philippe Courcillon, marquis de, 280, 290 Coypel, 20 Crassus, Publius, 72 Crébillon, 1, 2, 26, 36, 41, 50 2, 68, 80,

123-4, 132, 143, Atrée et Thyeste, 29, 33-4, 98, 100-4, 106, 108, 122, 128, 235, 240, 318, 333, 337, Catilina, 98, Electre, 29-31, 34, 98, 100, 105, 107, 108 12, 118, 122, 337, Idoménée, 31, 33, 98-100, 101, 106, 122, 125, 337, Mort des enfans de Brutus, 98, Œuvres, 17, 104, 119, Pyrrhus, 98, Rhadamiste et Zénobie, 18, 21, 28 9, 31, 34-5, 98, 112-9, 120, 122, 320, 333-4, 338, Sémiramis, 21, 98, Triumvirat, 98, Xercès, 31, 33, 98, 119-22, 338 Crébillon, Mme, 98 Crébillon fils, 98 Crep1, 154 Crispin Gentilhomme, see Montfleury Crispin jaloux, see Abeille (actor) Crispin l'heureux fourbe, see Marivaux, Père prudent Crispin médccin, see Hauteroche Crispin musicion, see Hauteroche Crispin rival, see Lesage Critique, 323 Critique de l'Ecole des femmes, see Molière Critique de Turcaret, see Lesage Critique du Légataire, see Regnard Crocsus, see Lejay Curicux Impertment, see Destouches Cyrano, Agrippine, 187, Pédant joue, 168 Cyrus, 09, 80 Cyrus (brother of Artaxerxes II), 120 Cyrus, see Danchet Cyrus (anon), 8 Cyrus restitutus, see La Rue

Dabo, veuve, 37, 46, 151-2, 245-6, 267 8, 270 Dacier, 9 Dacier, Mme, 9, 331, Des causes de la corruption du goust, 330 Dalibray, 34, 52-3 Dame invisible, see Hauteroche Dame invisible (Foire), 321 2 Damocles, 8 Damon et Pythias, 8 Damour, Charlotte, 20 Danaé, see Lafont Danaides, see Gombauld Danchet, 45, 49 51, 80, 87, 143, 323, 325, 333, Cyrus, 29, 32, 34, 110 1, 130 2, 337, Fêtes vénitiennes, 168, 322, 325, H(stone, 130 328, Theatre, 130 132, Tyndarides, 16, 20, 33, 132 1, 240, 318, 337

Céphale et Procris, 24, 147 8, 179 81,

183, 338, Chevalier a la mode, 150, 159, 184, 244, Colin-Maillard, 151 2, 184, 238, 301, 336, Comédie des comédiens, 16-7, 20, 167 70, 318, 321, 337, Diable

bosteux, 148, 164-6, 167, 183, 237, 318, 327, 337, Divertissement de Soraux, 7, 21, 178 9, 304, Famille à la mode, 287, Fêtes nocturnes du Cours, 104, 182-3, 321, 338, Foire de Besons, 11, Foire Saint-Germain, 11, Galant Jardinier, 144, 149, 152 4, 184, 215, 283, 303-4, 334, 336, 343, Gazette de Hollande, 161, Impromptu de Livry, 21, 178, 179, Impromptu de Surênes, 147, 181-2, 339, Madame Artus, 145, 1548, 184, 238, 337, Maison de campagne, 150, Mari retrouvé, 150, 327, Métempsycose, 307, Notaire obligeant, 153, Opérateur Barry, 11, 15, 152, 336, Retour des officiers, 159, 246, 258, Sancho Pança, 145, 173 6, 184, 238, 327, 338, Second Chaptire, 145, 148, 159, 164 7, 183, 247, 257 8, 262, 337, Trahison punie, 171-8, 183-4, 337, Trois Cousines, 11, 20, 22, Tutcur, 151, 301, Vendanges, 161, 178, Vendanges de Suresnes, 154, 343, Vert Galant, 161 4, 338 Dancourt, la, 10, 13, 15, 178, 190, 242, 309, 315 Dancourt, Manon, 10 l Dancourt, Muni, 6, 10, 13, 20, 176, 178, 190, 242, 309 Dangeau 6, 9, 158, Journal, 2, 5, 72, 86, 95, 107, 159-60, 178, 181, 270, 302 3, 312 Dangeville, 11, 13, 15, 24 Dangeville, la, sec Grandval, la Daniel, 8 Dante, 225, Inferno, 224 Darius, 119 Darius, see Corneille, Th Dauphin (Monseigneur), 6, 7, 10, 11, 18, 24, 56, 93, 107, 177, 257, 270, 303 Dauphine, see Bourgogne, duchesse de Dauvilliers, 14 David, 84, 88 David, Henri, 3, 284 5
David et Jonathus, 9
David Sauli reconciliatus, 8 DE, 78 Débora, see Duché Deborah, 83 Defaste $d\epsilon s$ An azones, sec Fuzelier. Thesee Debénault 340 Delalam 93, 280 Delaplace, 314 6, 318, 320 Delaporte, Du merreilleur, 342 Delaroche, 193 Delosme de Monchesnay, 9 Demades, 309 Demi monde, see Dumas fils Démocrite, see Regnard Demosthenes, 309 Dentu, 232 Depart des comédiens, «ce Dufresny Dépit amoureux, see Molière

Depping, Correspondence administrative,

2, 6, 18, 22 Desbordes, 37 Desbrosses, la, 10, 13, 15, 127, 178, 203, 217, 309. Descartes, 342 Descente de Mezzetin aux enfers, ses Descente de Mezzetin aux enfers (Foire), Deschamps, Claude, see Villiers, C Deschamps, F.M. C, 29, Antiochus et Cléopâtre, 140, Artawerce, 140, Caton d'Utique, 31, 33, 140-3, 338, Licurgue, 140, Médus, 140 Desguerrois, 314 Deshoulières, Mme, 69, 81 Desjardins, Mlle, 81, 308-9 Desmares, Anne-Catherine, 12-3 Desmares, Charlotte, 10-1, 13, 18, 20-1, 24, 26, 28, 41, 49, 80, 90, 127, 134, 137, 168, 176, 203, 214, 242, 309, 332
Desmarcs, N, 10, 13, 15, 203, 311
Desmaretz, Visionnaircs, 340 Desnoiresterres, 2, Comédie satirique, 188, 282, Cours galantes, 303 Des Cillets, see Vis
Des Cillets, 18, 19
Destouches, 3, 7, 22, 26, 144, 146, 148 9, 266, 284, 304, 333-4, Curieux Impertinent, 170, 285 7, 296, 320-1, 337 Fausse Agnès, 343, Fausse Veure, 297, 318, Ingrat, 145, 288 90, 293, 295 6, 298, 338, Irrésolu, 290 93, 294, 296, 298, 326, 338, Macchobics, 285, Medisant, 794-7, 298, 338, Philosophe marié, 343, Triple Mariage, 297 Deuil, see Hauteroche Deux Arlequins, see Lenoble Deux Jaloux, see Vial Dcux Vcuves, see Dufresny Deumeresse, see Corneille, Th Dgehangir, see Zeangir Diable bosteux, see Dancourt Dialogues entre l'atru et d'Ablancourt, 8 Diderot, 103, 137 Didot, 277, Répertoire, 238 Diogenes, 141 Distrait, see Regnard Divertissemens de Sceaux, 96, 303 Divertissement de Sceaux, see Dancourt Divertissement pour le Retour du Roi, see Legrand Dolet, 314 6, 318, 320 Domann, 3, 200, 213 Dom Cisar d'Avalos, see Corneille, Th Dominique, see Biancolelli, P F Dominos, sec Dufresny Domitian, 135 Don César Ursin, see Lesage Don Juan, see Molière Don Sanche, see Corneille, P Dorumond, 268 Dorné, Anne Françoise, 14 Double Veuvage, see Dufresny Drack, Théâtre de la fovre, 311 Droulhiet, 242, 246 Droz, 12, 234 Dryden, Indian Emperour, 56

Du Boccage, 12-3, 24, 137, 178, 217 Dubois, Cardinal, 284-5 Dubos, 341, Reflexions critiques, 27 Duché, 28, 52, 94, 179, Absalon, 5, 16, 18, 21, 29, 32-3, 82, 84-7, 96-7, 188, 302, 333, 338, Débora, 33, 82 4, 97, Iphigénie en Tauride, 325, Jonathas, 82 Duché, veuve, 86 Duchemin, 19 Duchesne (printers), 185, 224, 234, 242, 246, 294, 304 Duchesne, veuve, 304 Duclos, 1a, 10-1, 13, 18-9, 24, 26, 68, 80, 86, 107, 116, 315, 332 Du Deffand, Mme, 134, 137 Dufey, 10, 13, 15, 318 Dufey, la, 10, 13 Dufresny, 3, 146 8, 164, 240, 333, Adieux des officiers, 323, Amant masqué, 209, 337, Chevalier Joueur (Joueur), 210, Coquette de village, 15, 20, 25, 149, 213 5, 334, 338, Départ des comédiens, 328, Deux Veuves, 213, Dominos, 209, Double Veuvege, 147, 20, 144, 149, 200 3, 215, 336, Esprit de contradiction, 215, 269, 342, Faux Honnête-Homme, 203 5, 210, 336, Faux Instinct, 149, 205-7, 337, Jaloux honteux, 195, 207-9, 206, 337, Joueuse, 15, 209-12, 337, Molade dans maladie, 203, 207, Malassoris, 224, Negligent, 210, Œuvres, 117, Œuvres choises, 213, Opéra de campagna, 200 campagne, 322, l'asquin et Marforio, 316, Portrast, 209 Du Lac, 14 Dumas fils, Dems monde, 343 Dumas perc, Mlle de Belle Isle, 343 Dumirail, 13, 181 Dupe de sou-mesme, see Montsleury Du Perier, 10, 12 Dupuis, 272 Du Ragot d'Arceville, see Morancourt Durant, 13 Du Ryer, 28, 31, 89, 123, Saul, 34, 88, 90, Secrole, 12, Themistocle, 120 Dutrait, 2, 109, 115, 120, 123, Crébillon, 98, 100, 104, 108, 112-3, 118 9, 122

Ecole des femmes, see Molière Ecole des Jaloux (Foire), 323 Ecole des maris, see Moliere Ecole des pères, see Baron, Adelphes Ecriteaux pour les Plaideurs, 320 Effrontés, see Augier Electra, see Sophocles Electre, see Crébillon, Longepierre, and Pradon Eloge of Riupeirous, 64 Empeños del menter, see Hurtado de Mendoza Empiriques, see Brueys Ephesienne, see Mainfray Epreuve, see Marivaux Epreuve réciproque, see Legrand Erckmann et Chatrian, Ami Fritz, 343 Erlac, d', 95

Escarbagnas, Comtesse d', see Molière. Esope, see Boursault (Esope à la ville) and Lenoble Esope à la cour, see Boursault Esope à la ville, see Boursault Esprit de contradiction, see Dufresny Essex, see Boyer, Corneille, Th, and La Calprenède Esther, see Racine Estienne, 35, 93 Estrées, Cardinal d', 317 Estrées, comtesse d', 302 Etampes, marquis d', 87 Etourdi, see Molière Etrennes, see Lesage Eudoxia, 309 Eunuque, see La Fontaine Euripides, 44-5, 104, 108, 130, 4loestis, 31, 42 3, Helen, 132, Iphigenesa among the Taurians, 7, 42, 303, Orestes, 105 Europe galante, see La Motte

Ernout. Plaute, 220

Fables d'Esope, see Boursault, Esope à la ville Fâchcux, see Molière Facons du tems, see Saint-Yon Fagan, Pupille, 215 Famille à la mode, see Dancourt Famille extravagante, see Legrand Fatouville, Arlequin empereur dans la lune, 316, Arlequin lingere, 316, Arlequin Prothée, 316, Banqueroutier, 258, Fille savante, 316, Matrone d'Ephise, 273, Précaution inutile, 342 Fausse Agnes, see Destouches Faurses confidences, see Marivaux Fausse Veure, see Destouches Faux Damis, see Dufresny, Deur Veuves Faux Honnête Homme, see Dufresny Faux Instinct, see Dufresny Feint Campagnard, see Passerat Femme fille et vouve, sec Legrand Femme juge et partie, see Montfleury Femme juge et partie (Foire), 321 Femmes savantes, see Moliere Fénelon, Tél/mague, 31, 98, 126 Fenouellet de Falbaire, Jammabos, 225 Feroard, Poussins de Leda, 318 Ferrari, 2, Traduzioni italiane, 112, 119 Ferrier, 28, Montizume, 5, 30, 55 6, 332, 336 Festin de Picrre, see Corneille. Th Festin de Pierre (Foire), 316 Fête de Paysans, 323 Fêtes Bacchiques, 323 Fîtes de Thalse, see Lafont Fêtes du Cours, see Dancourt Fites parisiennes, 322 Fêtes vénitiennes, see Danchet Feuillerat, Albert, Essays in Honor of, 340 Fille supposée, see La Grange Chancel Fille Valet, see Abeille (netor) Fills de Scero, see Bonarelli, G

Fin du Répertoire, 151, 234-5, 245 6 Finta Pazza, 216 Finta Pozza, see Scala Firmin-Didot, 152, 200 Fitte, 279 Flachat de Saint-Sauveur, Préces fugitives, 216 Flaubert, 300 Flavius, 72 Fléchier, 7 Fleury, Cardinal, 267 Florentin, see Champmeslé Floridor, 340 Florizelle, 297 Foure de Besons, see Dancourt Forre galante, see Buncolelli, l' F Foire Soint Laurent, see Legrand Forre Saint Germoin, see Dancourt and Regnard Folies amourewes, see Regnard Folses d'Isabelle, 316 Folies d'Octave, 341 Folle Gageure, see Boistobert Fonpre, 11-2, 14, 178 Fonpre, Ia, see Clavel, la Fontoine de Jouvence, 8 Fontemoing, 104 Fontenay, 13 Fontenelle, 59, 78, 93, 98, 119, 125, 301, 308, Thitse et Pelée, 318 324 6 Forces de l'amour et de la magie, 311. 142 Fouquet, 186 Fourbe, see Lenoble Fourberies de Scapin, nee Molière Fourberies de Scaromouche, 318 Fournier (printer), 49 Fournier, E., 233, (Euvres de Regnard, 216, 219–2235, 227, 229-31, 342 Four divertissans, see Possson li Fragoni, 112 Fram du Tremblay 9 Francassini, 315 Frants, 2 Oreste, 104, 108 Frederick the Great, 112 Frontin gour erneur du chateau de Vertsgililinguen, 302, 1'6 Fuzelier 136, 329 Arlequin défenseur d'Hamere, 330 1, Ravissement d'Hélène, 312 3, 316, Thesee, 312, 316

Gabinie, see Brueys
Gaton, 341, Poete sans fand, 9
Galaus ridicules see Chevidier
Galaus fractules see Chevidier
Galaus fractules see Chevidier
Galaus Jardineer, see Damourt
Galba, 61
Galen, 218
Galland, Journal, 78
Gandouin, 257, 311, 323
Ganeau, 35, 93, 96, 303, 323
Ganeau, 35, 93, 96, 303, 323
Ganier (publishers), 103, 137, 228
Gasse, veuve, 185
Gayet jeune, 304
Gazette de Hollande, see Damourt
Gazette de Rotterdam, 72, 132, 198, 223, 262, 285, 287, 302

Guillot-Gorju, 168-9

Gendre de M. Poirier, see Augier Genest, 8, 28, 52, 341, Joseph, 7, 29, 33-5, 82, 93-7, 303-4, 338, Pénélope, 7, 34, 93, 95, 126, Polymneste, 124 Gentilhomme Guespin, see Visé Geoffroy, 118 Geôlier de soy mesme, see Corneille. Th George Dandin, see Molière Géruse∠, 118 Gesvres, marquis de, 270 Géta, see Péchantré Gidel, 9 Gilbert (printer), 285, 288 Gilbert, G, 38, 70, Téléphonte, 34 Gilliers, 151-2, 178-9, 181-2, 200, 236-7, 240, 268-9, 271, 278, 302 Gillot, Marchand ridicule, 230, 317 Gilotin précepteur des Muses, see Saint-Giller Giornale storico, 224 Girard, 340 Girardin, Mme de, Joie fait peur, 343 Godard, 318 Godefroy, Jeanne, see Maurice, veuve Godefroy, la, 10, 12, 15 178, 203 Gofflot, Théâtre au college, 8 Goizet, 2, 100, 177, 193, 243, 285, 300, 307 Goldstein, Parius, Acrocs und Artaxerxcs, 120 Gombauld, Danoides, 65, 67 8 Gomez Mine, 69, 81, Cléarque, 79, Habis, 29, 31, 34, 79, 80, 338, Marsidie, 79, Eurics mêlees, 79, Semiramis, 79 Gondrin, marquis de, 95 Gottsched, J C, Sterbender Cato, 143 Gottsched, L A V, 72 Gougenot, 168 Goujet, Bibliothique françoise, 303 Gracchi, 69, 72 3 Grands et les l'elits, see Bordelon Grandval, 246, 328 Grandval (Dangeville), la, 10-1, 13, 15, 203, 214 5, 309 Granucci, 224 Gravier, 280 Grimm, 2, 103, 121, 137 Gringoire, see Banville Grisettes, see Champueslé Grondeur, see Brueys Gros Guillaume, 168, 331 Grubbs, 3, J.B Rousscau, 130, 267, 300, Guarini, 57, Pastor fido, 31, 56 Guerin, 143 Guerin, N A M, 299, Myrtil et Melicerte, 302, Psyché de village, 145, 302, Guérin de Bouscal, 150, 175, 184, Sancho Panza, 145, 174 Guérin d'Estriché, 10, 13, 16, 41, 86, 137, 178, 190, 203, 302 Guillard, 203 Guillard du Jarry, Recucil, 8 Guillet de Saint George, Mahomet II, 138

Gutkind, 3, 257, 262 Guyenet, 318 Habis, see Gomez, Mme Hachette, 113, 118, 124, 135, 153, 254, 277, 319, 339 Hamilear, 233 Hamilton, 2, 303, Œuvres complètes, 68, Hammer Purgstall, von, Geschichte des Osmunischen Reiches, 138 Hankiss, 3, 286, 289, 296, Destouches, 284-5, 288, 290, 294 Hannibal, 233 Hardy, A, 179, Alceste, 42 Harpage, 131 Hasard, Pierre, 340 Hatier, 224 Hausding, 3, Campistron, 195 Haut-Cour, 304 Hauteroche, Rourgeoises de qualité, 243, Cocher supposé, 343, Crispin médecin, 14, 342, Crispin musicien, 5, Dame invisible, 322, Deuil, 13, 144, 343, Souper mal apprêté, 72, 254 Heautontimoroumenos, see Terence Helen, see Eurspides Hénault, 2, 29, 134, Cornéhe Vestale, 16, 21, 32, 1958, 338, Prèces de théâtre, 135 Herachus, see Corneille, P Herault, 93 Heritier ridicule, see Scarron Hernani, see Hugo Herode, see Nadal Herodotus, 31-2, 37-8, 74-5, 80, 185 Heroine de roman, see La Grange-Chancel. Fille supposee Hésione sec Danchet Heureux Esclare, see Brémond Hippocrates, 218 Hispanic Review, 340 Histoire des Ouvrages de Scavans, 187 Hoffmann, 2, 3, Boursault, 185, 187 Holtz, 318 Homer, Iliad, 98-9, 330, Odyssey, 126 Homme a bonne fortune, see Baron, M. Morace, see Corneille, P Hortensia, 309 Hortensius, 309 Hote de Lemnos, see Malezieu Huet, P , 304 Hugo, Hernani, 342, Ruy Blas, 343 Huguet, Inctionnaire du scizième siecle, 128 Hurtado de Mendoza, Empeños del mentır, 254 Hygmus, 31, 105, 130, 145, Fables, 37 8, 44, 46, 102, 124 5, 128, 133, 179, 275 Hypermnestre, see Riupeirous

Idoménée, see Crébillon

, see Musset.

Ile d'Alcine, 230-1

Il faut qu'une porte

Il ne faut jurer de rien, see Musset Importuns de Châtenay, see Malezieu Impromptu de Luvry, see Dancourt Impromptu de Surêne, see Dancourt Inconnu, see Corneille, Th Indian Emperour, see Dryden Inès de Castro, see La Motte Ingrat, see Destouches Ino et Mélicerte, see La Grange-Chancel Intermédiaire des chercheurs et des curieus, 285 Iphigénieu among the Taurians, see Euriphigénie, see Racine and Malezieu Iphigénie en Tauride, see Duché Irene, 138 Irrésolu, see Destouches

Isocrates, 309

Jal, 311-2 Jaloux, see Baron, M Jalouw corrigé, see Collé Jaloux désabusé, sce Campistron Jaloux honteux, see Dufresny Jaloux invisible, see Brecourt Jaloux sans jalousie, see Destouches, Fausse Veuve James II, 84 Jammabos, see Fenouillet de Falbaire Jephté, see Boyer Jeu de l'amour, see Marivaux Joannidès, 2, 72, 127, 227, 142, Comédie Française de 1680 a 1920, 302, 300 Joan of Arc, 83 Jodelet, 339 Jodelet maître, see Scarron Jodelet soufflete, see Scarron Johnsou, T, 100 Jose fast peur, see Girardin, Mme de Jolyot, Prosper, see Crébillon Jonas. 8 Jonathas, see Duché Jordan, 285 Joseph, see Genest Joseph Ægypto praefectus, see Lejay Josephus, 8, 31, 36, 82, 87, 91 2, 96 Josephus Agnoscens fratres, 8 Josephus venditus, 8 Joseph vendu, sec Péchantré Joseph vendu (anon), 8 Josse de Grach, 267 Joueur, see Dufresny (Chevalier Joueur) and Regnard Joueuse, see Dufresny Jourdan, père, Susanna, 130 Journal des débats, 229 Journal des Savants, 8, 142, 262 Journal de Trévoux, 223 Journal de Verdun, 158, 254 Judith, see Boyer Jullien, 2, 304, Grandes Nuits, 7, 303 Jumeaux, see Regnard, Monechmes

Jupiter Crispin, see Lafont, Danae Jupiter curicum impertinent, 287, 321

Justin, 31, 110 20

Justinian, 229 Justinian, Code, 228 9 Kernodle, From Art to Theatre, 340 Koch, 3. Brueys und Palaprat, 193 Labé, Louise, Debat, 152 Labessade, Philippiques, 40, 341. La Bruyère, 295-6, Caractères, 186 La Calprenede, 92, 308-9, Essex, 01, Mort de Mithridate, 17, Mort des enfans d'Hérodes, 90-1, 93 La Chaise, Louise, 13 La Chaise, père de, 64
La Chapelle, 28, 31, 110, Chopâtre, 14,
Telephonte, 34, 37-9, 42, 105, Zaide, 34 La Chausec, Prejuge à la mode, 198 Lachevre, Le Casanova du XVII siècle, 341, Queres de Dehenault, 340 Lacour, Louis, 232 Lacrory, Soleinne, 2, 8, 93, 132, 188, 230 1, 243, 267, 299, 302 3, 306-7, 311. 319 22 Ladue, 38 Lafayette, Mme de, Princesse de Chees, 115 Lafont, 144 146, 140, 242-3, 266, 274, 306, Amour venge, 275, 279 80, 338,

La Fontaine, 126, 145, 273, 341, Coupe enchantee (conte), 103, Eunuque, 189, Fables, 185, Cluvres, 103

La Force, due de, 36, 45

La Rosse, 53, 64, Corcaus et Callirhoé, 31, 33, 56 9, 336, Manlius, 28, 31, 38, 73 (Luvres, 56, Theâtre, 50, Theáce, 34, 34)

La Grange Cham el. 2, 28, 36, 52, 58, 69, 98, 110, 144, 299, Alceste, 34, 37, 42-5, 99, 330, Amasis, 11, 14, 16, 7, 20, 1, 29, 31, 32, 35, 37, 42, 47, 49, 51, 64, 80, 108, 331, 336, Fille suppose, 306, 338, Ino et Melwerte, 21, 29, 31, 33, 1, 45, 71, 133, 338, Eur es, 37, 42, 46, Oreste et Pilude, 11, 34, 53, Philippiques, 37, 46, 341, Sophonishe, 51, Théatre, 37, 42, 46

La Harpe, 2, Cours, 42, 45, 50, 56, 59, 78, 87, 100, 112, 116, 118 9, 124, 188, 193, 198, 220

Lalanne, 230 Lalauze, 321 Lambert, 311

La Meilleraye, duchesse de, 316

La Motte, 19, 144 2667, 270, 330-1,

Europe galante, 318, 322, Ines de Cas

tro, 272, Mariage de Carnaval, 218,

Matrone d Ephese, 2724, 336, (Luvres,
59, 272

Lantaster, 340, Comédie Française, 10, 21, 23 4, 52, History of French Dramatic Literature, 1, 7, 12, 14, 23, 37, 53, 55 6, 59, 64 5, 60, 82, 81, 88, 104, 150,

Lekaın, 22

Lemerre, 230

lière, 158, 166, 179

216, 219, 254, 257

Lemaître, Jules, 2, Comédie après Mo

Lenient, 2, Comédie en France, 153, 213,

Lenoble, Deux Arlequins, 220, Esope, 185, Fourbe, 341, Poussins de Léda, 134

Lemoine, La premiere du Cid, 339

naire portatif, 18, 59

243, 275, 314, 322, 335, Amante jaloux, 262, Arlequin et Mézetin morts par

amour, 323, Arlequin invisible, 323-4,

326-7, Arlequin Mahomet, 323-4, 327-9, Arlequin ros de Serendib, 323-7, Arle-

quin Thétis, 323-4, 326-7, Ceinture de

Lenoir, Charles, 339 Le Rat, 240-2 Léris, 2, 53, 176, 191, 194, 225, Diction-152, 171, 174, 178, 185, 187-8, 192, 200, 208, 216-7, 220, 224, 230, 232, 234, 237-8, 248, 250, 252, 254, 267-8, 273, 279, 285, 300, 307, 311, 314-5, 317 Lanson, 2, 119 Lesage, 1, 2, 3, 26, 146, 150, 165-6, 173, Laodice, see Corneille, Th La Porte, 104, see Clément La Rapinière, see Robbe La Rochefoueauld, Maximes, 185 La Roche-Guilhem, Mlle de, 31, 79 Larousse, 224, Grand Dictionnaire, 324 La Rue, père, 31, 131, 189, Carminum libri quatuor, 130, Cyrus restitutus, 130, Tyndaride, 132, 134 La Sallé, 14 La Serre, 308-9 La Servière, Charles Porée. 8 La Suze, comtesse de, 69, 309 La Thorillière, 6, 10, 12-3, 15-6, 168-70, 176, 190, 203, 227, 231, 242, 275-6, 280, 306, 315, 332 Lavoy, 10, 14 5, 24, 178 Law, 98 L C D C D V, Avantures des Champs Elisées, 258, 328 Le Breton, F, 35, 98, 124, 185, 284 5, 288, 290, 294 Le Breton, P , 35 6, 127 Leclere, 104, 243, 250 Le Clerc, C, 309 Leclerc, M, 35, 124 Leclerc, P, 124 Lecointe, 200 Le Comte, 10, 12, 15 Lecouvreur, Adrienne, 8, 19, 20 Ledonx, 25, 262 Lefebvre, 242 Lefèvre, 51, 300 Le Franc, see Ponteuil Légataire universel, see Regnard Legrand, 3, 11, 13, 24, 124, 146 7, 200, 275, 297, Amans ridicules, 242, 338, Amour Inable, 236 7, 249, 337, Divertissement pour le Retour du Roi, 234, Epreuve réciproque, 149, 2425, 249, 338, Famille extravagante, 237 40, 244, 249, 337, Femme fille et veuve, 251 6, 247, 337, Forme fille et veuve, 251 6, 247, 337, Forre Saint Laurent, 16-7, 20, 168, 240 2, 249, 318, 337, Métamorphose amourcuse, 245 6, 247, 338, Triomphe du tems, 242, Usurier Gentulhomme, 144, 246 9, 283, 338, 343 Legs, see Marivaux Le Hui, Mmc, 78 Leibniz, 340 Lejay, père, Croesus, 8, Joseph Ægypto praefectus, 8, Philocrysus, 8

quin, 323-5, 328-9, Colombine-Arlequin, 323-4, 326, 329, Crispin rival, 144, 149, 250, 254 7, 258, 264, 283, 337, 343, Critique de Turcaret, 257, 261, 265, Dable bosteux, 144, 164, 250, 254, 257, 261, 264, 327, D César Ursin, 252 4, 256-7, 262, 327, 337, Eouw de Merlin, 323, 325, 329, Etrennes, 257, Foire de Guibray, 324-8, Gil Blas, 21, 24-5, 250, 254, 265, 267, Nostradamus, 323-4, 327 9, Œuvres, 104, 267, Parodie de l'Opéra de Télémaque, 323-5, 329, Petits Mattres, 323, Point d'honneur, 250-2, 336, Recueil, 250, 252, 254, 257, 263, Temple du Destin, 323 4, 326, 328, Théâtre, 263, Théâtre de la foire, 311, 323, 329, Tontine, 247, 263-4, 265, 338, Traftre puni, 145, 171, Turcaret, 144, 147, 149, 159-60, 167, 184, 240, 247, 250, 257 62, 264 5, 318, 327, 334, 337, 343 Lesot, 224 L'Espy, 339 Letellier, 323, Arlequin Sultane favorite, 329 30 Letellier, la, 314, 317, 322 Le Vermer, 117 Levesque, see Urbain L'Héritier, Apothéose de Mile de Scudéry, 308 L'Honoré, 37, 46 Licinia, 72 Licinianus, 135 Licurque, see Deschamps Lintilhac, 2, 3, 216, Histoire générale du théâtre, 222, 245, 254, 2578, 262, Lesage, 254, 257, 319, 323 Lion, 2, 118, Le President Hénault, 135-6 Lipsius, 309 Littré, 128, 293 Livry, de, 7, 178 Longepierre, 2, 28 9, 52, 68, 109 10, Electre, 7, 18-9, 31, 33-4, 36, 95, 97, 104-8, 188, 302, 338, Médée, 11, 43 Longinus, 309 Lope de Vega, 250, 264, El mayor imposible, 340 Lot supposé, see Dufresny, Coquette de rillage Louis XIV, 1, 5-10, 13 4, 36-7, 56, 69, 72, 81, 83-4, 86-7, 91, 93, 95, 122, 128, 135, 146, 161, 177, 184, 186, 188, 192, 215, 252, 257, 270, 297, 301-2, 323, 332, 335 Louis XV, 188 Louvois, 140

Lucas, H, 230.
Lucian, 168, 308-9
Litdemann, 3, 295, Uber Destouches'
Leben und Werke, 285, 288, 294
Lully, 28, 130, 202
Lyncée, see Abeille (abbé)
Lynes, Carlos, Jr, 340

Macchabées, see Destouches and Nadal (Antrochus) "Madame," see Orléans, duchesse d' Madame Artus, see Dancourt Mile de Belle-Isle, see Dumas père Mile de la Seiglière, see Sandeau Maffei, 41 2 Magie Girdle, see Rousseau, J.B. Cein ture magique Magnin, 313, Histoire des marionnettes, 312, 342 Magnon, Artaxerce, 31, 120 Maliomet, 324, 327 Mahomet II, 138 Mahomet Second, see Chateaubrun Maine, duc du, 7, 95-6, 127 8, 130, 303 Maine, duchessc du, 7, 29, 30, 36, 69, 80, 82, 93, 95-6, 127, 284, 294, 296, 298-9, 303-4 Mainfray, Ephésienne, 273 Maintenon, Mme de, 5, 80, 82, 84, 86, 93, 128, 186, 302 Mairet, 34, 52-3 Maison de campagne, see Dancourt Malade imaginaire, see Molière Malade sans maladie, see Dufresny Malafer, 267 Mal-assortis, see Dufresny Malezieu, 30, 95, 145, 299, Discours, 29, 96, Hôte de Lemnos, 303, Importuns de Châtenay, 303, Iphigénie, 7, Philémon et Baucis, 303, Prince de Cathay, 303, Pyrgopolinice, Capitaine d'Ephèse, 303, Tarentole, 303 4 Malherbe, 41 Manlius, see La Fosse Manto la fée, see Menesson Marais, Mathieu, 93 Marchand reducule, see Gillot Marchant, 216 Marcoureau, Pierre, 339 Mariage d'Arlequin, see Biancolelli, P F, Forre galante Mariage de Figaro, sec Beaumarchais Mariage forcé, see Molière Mariamne, 91 Marianne, see Nadal and Voltaire Mariane, see Tristan l'Hermite Marie Antoinette, 134 Marie Leczinska, 134 Mariette, 27 Marı retrouvé, see Dancourt Marivaux, 1, 26, 144, 245, 280, 209, Epreuve, 343, Fausses confidences, 343, Jeu de l'amour, 342, Legs, 343, Œuvres 304, Œuvres de théâtre, 304, Pere pru dent et équitable, 304 5 Marlborough, 177

Marsidie, see Gomez, Mme Marteau, 275, 277, 279-80 Marthe Le Hayer, see Blessebois Martial, 70 Massillon, 7
Matrone d'Ephèse, see Fatouville and La Maupoint, 2, 242, Bibliotèque, 270. Maurice, Veuve, 312, 314-6, 318 Mauritius, Sesostris, 41 Mauritius imperator, 8 Maxime, 8 Maxime martyr, 8 Mayor imposible, see Lope de Vega Mazarın, 216 Medeern de village, 302, 336 Médecin malgré lui, see Molière Médecin malgré lus (Foire), 322 Médée, see Longepierre Médiant, see Destouches Médus, see Deschamps Méléagre, see Rupeirous Mélèse, Répertoire, 2, 16, 41, 58, 72, 103, 107, 132, 158, 161, 168, 173, 190, 192, 198, 214, 223, 254, 274, 285, 287, 302-3, 306, Théâtre et Publique, 2, 6, 8, 9, 16, 18, 20 3, 93, 96, 107, 134, 142, 164, 187, 213, 227, 261-2, 270, 302 Mélisse, 340 Melste, see Corneille, P Melun, Mile de, 86 Mémoires de la Société de l'histoire de Paris, 78 Menocchms, see Plautus Mendoza, see Hurtado de Mendoza Ménechmes, see Regnard and Rotrou Menesson, Manto la fie, 320 Menophis, 8 Menteur, see Corneille, l' Mercure, nee Mercure galant Mercure de France, see Mercure galant Mercure de Trévoux, see Mercure, Nou-Mercure galant (Mercure, Mercure de France), 18, 21 2, 25, 41, 56, 87, 95, 107, 143, 162, 164, 183, 213 5, 275, 287, 297, 302, 306 7 Mercure galant (coincdy), see Boursault, Comidie sans tilre Mercure, Nouveau (de Trévoux), 58, 87, 96, 103, 110, 134 168, 173, 209, 224, 227, 237 Mère coquette, see Quinault, Philippe Meilin de Douai, 232 Mérope, see Voltaire Mérus, Mile de, 95 Mesme, chevalier de, 316 Mesnard, 340 I Métamorphose amoureuse, see Legrand Métempsycose, see Dan ourt Méthiviers, 339 Methuen, 137 Mey, 15, 17 Michel Lévy frères, 312 Midas, 8 Milache de Moligny, 13, 22, 329

Miles gloriosus, see Plautus Mille et un jours, 327 Milton, Paradise Lost, 87 Misanthrope, see Molière Misogine, see Bordelon, Poisson Comédien Mathridate, see Racine. Mithridates, 141 Modern Language Notes, 193, 224, 340-1 Modern Language Quarterly, 340 Modern Language Review, 119 Modern Philology, 284 Mours du tems, see Saint-Yon Mouse. 8 Moland, Œuvres de Voltaire, 17, 19, 21-2, 42, 50, 78, 90, 100, 103, 108, 112 3, 117-8, 137, 189, 194, 215, 233, 267, 272 Molière, 1, 7, 26-7, 140, 149-50, 169, 184-5, 199, 216, 219, 221, 223, 229, 233-4, 260, 292-3, 298 9, 307, 310, 332, 340-1, Amants magnifiques, 152, 171, 177, Amour médeon, 224, Amphitryon, 144-5, 179-80, 275-6, 306, 321, 342, Avare, 343, Etourds, 5, 344, Fácheux, 5, 303, Femmes savantes, 122, 145, 281, 295, 330, 342, Fourberies de Scapin, 76, 277, 318, 342, George Dandin, 5, 144, 189, 193, 224, 342, Malade imaginaire, 12, 145, 200 7, 224, 247, 300, 342, Mariage force, 5, 342, Médeiin malgré lui, 5, 144, 217, 301, 303-4, 322, 342, Misanthrope, 6, 145, 173, 195, 198, 277, 290, 296, 342, Pourceaugnac, 14, 145, 304, 342, Précieuses ridicules, 5, 243, 254, 331, 342, Princesse d'Elide, 302, Psyché, 17, 20, 28, 176 7, 322, Sganarelle, 5, 144, 343, Swilen, 216, 270, Tartuffe, 144 5, 154-6, 158, 189, 203, 224, 200-2, 264, 279, 288 90, 304, 326, 342 Molière, la, 10 Molière Comédien aux Champs Elisées, все Bordelon Moligny, sec Milache Monde ou l'on s'ennuic, see l'ailleron Monleon, 100 Montauban, Panurge, 328 Montdory, 339 Montespan, Mme de, 95 Montesquieu, 142 Montezuma, 56 Montézume, see Ferrier Montfleury (actor), 339 Montfleury (younger), 144, Crispin Gentilhomme, 323, Dupe de soi-mesme, 243, 296, Femme juge et partie, 322, 343, Trigaudin, 232 Monval, Liste alphabétique, 2, 11-4, 21 Morancourt, la, 13 Moreau, 299

Morel, 14

Morlière, 154 Mort de Cicéron, see Crébillon, Triumourat Mort de Jules César, see Barbier, Mile Mort de Msthridate, see La Calprenède Mort de Néron, see Péchantré Mort des enfans de Brutus, see Crébillon Mort des enfans d'Hérodes, see La Calprenède Mort d'Ulysse, see Pellegrin Mortemart, 173, 176 Mostellaria, see Plautus Mouhy, Abrégé, 191, Tablettes, 190 Moyse, see Nadal, Osarphis Muet, see Brueys Murger, Bonhomme jadis. 343 Murphy, Arthur, 113
Musset, Caprice, un, 343, Il faut qu'une
porte, 343, Il ne faut jurer de
rien, 343, On ne badme pas, 343 Mussolini, 142 Mustapha, 52-3 Mustapha, see Orrery Mustapha et Zeangir, see Belin Myrtil et Mélicerte, sec Guérin, N -A -M Nadal, 8, 29, 94, 97, 124, Antrochus ou les Machabées, 87, Herode, 82, 87, 90-3, 337, Marianne, 87, Œuvres, 87, 90, 116, Osarphis ou Moyse, 87-8, Saul, 20-1, 31-2, 55, 87-90, 337 Narcissus, 70 Naudé, 342 Naudet, 220 Naudijon, 59 Naufrage, see Lafont Néaulme, 79, 84, 127, 138, 275, 277, 279-80 Negligent, see Dufresny Neophilologus, 257 Néricault, François, 284 Nericault, Philippe, see Destouches Nero, 31-2, 59-61, 70-1 Nerveze, 309 Nesle, Hugues de, 13 4, 21 Nesle, la de (Françoise Quinault), 12-4, 21, 86, 137, 309 Nicandres, see Boursault Nicomede, see Corneille, P Nietzelt, 2, 341, La Grange Chancel, 37, 42, 46-7 Nisard, Histoire de la littérature frangarse, 118 Nivellon, 314, 317 Nouilles, comte de, 86, 302 Noce de Polichinelle, 342 No hay amigo, see Rojas Notaire obligeant, see Dancourt Nouveau Mcrcure, see Mercurc, Nouveau Nouveau Théâtre françois, 79, 84, 138, 275, 277, 279-80 Nouvelles de la République des Lettres, 261 Nouvelliste du Parnasse, 37, 41

Octavia (wife of Antony), 77

Octavia (wife of Nero), 80 1

Octavius (tribune), 72 Œdipe, see Corneille, P, and Voltaire Œdipus Rex, see Sophocles Olivier, Voltaire et les comédiens, 2, 19. Ombre de Samuel, ace Saul Omont, H, 78 On ne badine pas, see Musset Opéra de campagne, see Dufresny Opéra de campagne (Foire), 322 Opérateur Barry, see Dancourt Oreste, see Voltaire Oreste et Pilade, see La Grange Chancel Orestes, see Euripides Organont, d', 340 Orléans, duc d' (brother of Louis XIV), Orleans, duc d' (Regent), 5, 6, 13, 36, 86-7, 128, 138, 284, 302, 318, 335 Orleans duc d' (son of Regent), 138 Orleans, duchesse d' (princesse Palatine, "Madame"), 6, 18, 36 7, 69, 72, 161, 190, 192, 254, 270, 274, 302 Orleans, duchesse d' (wife of Regent), 93 Orneval, d', 2, 311, 323, 329 Orphie ou Arlequin aux enfers, 322 Orphelin de la Chinc, see Voltaire Orrery, Earl of, 31, 52, 54, Mustapha, 53 Osarphis, see Nadal Otho, 61 Othon, see Belin and Corneille, P Otway, Venice Preserved, 53 Oudin, 8 Ovid, 145, Amores, 275, Metomorphoses, 179 Ozell, 143

Paesophilus, see Porée Paghetti, 314 Pailleron, Monde ou l'on s'ennue, 342 Palaprat, 192, Œuvres, 9, 16, 20, for his plays see Brucys Palatine, princesse, see Orléans, duchesse ď Panurge, see Montauban Parfaict, frèies, 252, 254, 257, 267 8, 270 272, 275, 280, 282, 300, 307, Diction naire, 2, 11, 13 4, 230 243, 306, 311, 313 6, 318 23, Histoire, 1, 2 12, 15, 19 21, 28, 37, 41, 49, 54-6, 59, 64 5 67, 70, 72, 78 9, 86 7, 90 1, 93, 96, 100, 102, 104, 110-2, 115-7, 120, 124 7, 129 32, 134, 136-7 140, 143, 151 2, 154 5, 162, 165, 168, 171, 174, 180 3, 185, 190, 196, 198, 200, 203, 207, 209-10, 212 6, 219, 223, 225, 228, 237, 242 3, 246, 287, 297, 299, 302 3, 306, Memorres 2 21, 104, 134, 170, 240, 311-2, 315 20, 322, 341 Parigot, 3, Genie et Metier, 224 Paris, H J, 88 Pascal, Françoise, Vieillard amourcux, 317 Pascariel, 315

Passerat, Fcint Campagnard, 238 Pastor fido, see Guarini Patelin, ace Brueys, Avocat Patelin Patelin (15th century), 145, 192, 194, 199 Patru, 8 Pausanias, 31, 57, Description of Greece. 56, 59 Payen, 279 Pechantré, 32, 143 Géta, 13, 28, 135, Joseph vendu, 8, Mort de Néron. 31. 52, 59 64, 68, 333, 336, Nacrifice d'Abraham, 8 Pédant jouc, see Cyrano Pelerines de Cythere, 323 Pellegrin, chevalier, 127, 314, 322 Pellegrin, & J., 33, 50, 70, 108, 117, 134, 143, 333, Arlequin a la guinguette, 319, 322, Mort d'Ulysse, 15, 17, 20 1, 32, 34, 111, 125 7, 132, 337, Pélopée, 31 2, 34-5, 127 9, 338, Polydorc, 29, 124 5, 337, Telémaque ou Calypso, 318, 323, 325, Télégone, 125 Pellisson, 308 Pélopée, see Pellegrin P. nelope, see Genest Peor esta que estobo see Calderón Pere prudent et equitable, see Marivaux Perules, 309 Perrault, 42, 275 Perron, 13 Persée, see Quinault Pétain, 74 Petit de Julieville, Histoire de la langue 47, 118 Petite Bibliothique, 193, 195 267, 277 Petit Maistre de campagne, 279, 336 Petit maitre de robe, sec Boindin Petitot, Recueil (Répertoire), 37, 84, 188, 193, 195, 200, 213, 238, 275, 280 Petits Maistres d'éte, 224 Petits Maitres, see Lesage Petronius, 145, 309, Katuricon "73 Petus 70 l Phoéton, see Quinault, Philippe Phanes, 38 Pharasmanes, 113 Pharnaces, 140 Phedre, see Racine Philimon et Baucis, see Malezieu Philippe le Ron, 8 Philocrysus, ace Lejay Philogrysus (anon), 8 Philoctetes, see Sophocles Philosophi maril, see Destouches l'hilostiate, 56 Phintys, 309 Photion 309 Phormio, see Terence Pierre philosophale, sec Cornelle, Th Purrot Kancho Pansa, 322 Pindar, 282 Pissot, veuve, 35, 104 Plaidcurs, see Racine. Platdeurs (Foire), 170 Plautus, 7, 192, 216, 221, 223, 280, 299.

Pasquin et Marforio, see Dufresny

Pasquier, 192

Amphitruo, 180, Captivi, 145, 306, Menaechmi, 145, 219-20, Miles gloriosus, 303, Mostellaria, 145, 303 Pliny, Younger, 31, Epistolae, 70-1, 135 Plon, 135 Plutarch, 31, 73, 140, Lives, 72, 76, 141 Plutophagus, see Porée Point d'honneur, see Lesage Poisson, la, 24 Poisson, M.A., see Gomez, Mme Poisson, Paul, 10, 12-3, 16 7, 20, 24, 29, 79, 108-70, 170, 178, 227, 231, 242, 309, 332, 335 Poisson, Philippe, 12-3, 16, 79, 80, 116, 178 Poisson, Raymond, 16, 69, 146, 307-10, 332, Après-soupé, 13, Foux divertissans, 165, 171, 176 Poisson Comédien aux Champs Elisées, see Bordelon Polinger, 3, Pierre Charles Roy, 59, 306 Polydore, see Pellegrin Polyeucte, see Corneille, P Polymneste, see Genest Pompe functire de Crispin, see Lafont, Naufrage Pompey, 141 Pons, abbé de, 215, Lettre critique, 116 Pontchartrain, 6, 18, 22, 330 Ponteuil, 10 1, 13, 17, 20-1, 25, 41, 72, 86, 116, 127, 134, 137, 170, 178, 214 Poppaea, 32, 59 61 Porce, père, Brutus, 8, Poesophilus (Paezophilus), 9, Plutophagus, 9, Sephoebus Myrso, 8 Portalia, 2, Longepierre, 104 Port de mer, see Boudin Portrait, see Dufresny Posthumus, 8 Pourceaugnac, see Molière Poussins de Léda, see Féroard and Lenoble Pradon, 28, 51, 117, Electre, 104 Pralard, Ægiste, 129 Prault (printers), 35, 79, 119, 242, 207-8, 270, 272, 284, 288, 290, 294, 308 Pricaution inutile, see Fatouville Précicuses ridicules, see Molière Présleury, 14 Présuge à la mode, see La Chaussée Pretender, Old, 23 Prévôt, la, 322 Primerose, see Caillavet Prince de Cathoy see Malezieu Princesse d'Elide, see Molière Prize de Troyes, see Arlequin Enée Protagoras, 309 Provençole, 303, 337 Proverbes dramatiques, see Maintenon Psammétite, 38 Psuché (Foire), 321 Psyché, see Molière and Quinault, Philippe Psyché de village, see Guérin, N-A-M Ptolemy VII, 72 Ptolemy XIV, 141

Publications of the Modern Language Association, 108, 113, 224, 342.

Pulsieux, marquis de, 234-5

Pupille, see Fagan

Pyrgopolimice, Capitome d'Ephèse, see

Malezieu

Pyrrhus, see Crébillon

Onalia 2, 108, 113

Qualia, 2, 108, 113
Quevedo, 308-9
Quillet, 224
Quinault, Françoise, see Nesle, la de
Quinault, Jean, 21
Quinault, Jeanne-Françoise, 21
Quinault, Jeanne-Françoise, 21
Quinault, Marie, 13, 21
Quinault, Philippe, 130, 184, Agrippa, 28,
Alceste, 42, Amalasonte, 47, Astrate,
28, 34, Atys, 318, Comédie sans comédie,
168, Coups de l'amour, 316, Mère
coquette, 296, Persée, 318, 320, Phaéton,
318, Psyche, 28, 302
Quinault-Dufresne, 13, 20-2, 24, 332

Rabelais, 175, 301
Racine, 1, 26-7, 31-2, 35, 41, 49, 50, 69, 72, 74, 77, 84, 97, 107, 117, 121, 123, 180, 187, 298, 332, 341, Alceste, 42, Andromaque, 7, 11, 14, 28, 63, 91, 105, 135, 139, 155, 271, 342, Athale, 5, 12, 15, 19, 20, 33-4, 37-8, 44, 47, 82, 87, 94, 96, 118, 188, 207, 343, Bajazet, 116, 135, 139, 343, Bérénice, 11, 29, 55, 67, Britannicus, 14, 17, 28, 59, 61, 71, 111, 125, 135, 227, 342, Esther, 82, 88, 96, Iphigénie, 11-4, 28, 30, 34, 42, 99, 105, 118, 230-2, 342, Mithridate, 7, 12, 14, 28, 47, 60, 111, 113, 116, 226, 349, Phidre, 28, 85, 125, 219, 226-7, 340, 342, Plaideurs, 5, 144, 219, 233, 342
Ratine, J-B, 340
Racine, Louis, 341
Raguenet, 314 Arentures comiques d'Arlequin, 319
Rasin, la, 10 1, 24
Rameau, 124
Rameau, 124
Rameau, 124

Ravissement d'Hélène, see Fuzelier

Regent, see Orléans, duc d'

Rebuffé, 310

Registres of the Comédie Française, 2, 11, 55, 78, 200, 229, 257, 262, 297, 302
Regnard, 1, 3, 26, 146, 148, 215, 240, 274, 208, 333, Attendez-mo: sous Forme, 233, Bourgeois de Falaise, 208, Chimois, 116, Ortitque du Légataire, 227, 228-9, 230, 257, 337, Démocrate, 229, 233, 343, Descente de Mezzetin aux enfers, 323, Instrait, 233, 290 2, Foire Saint-Germoin, 316, Folies amoureuses, 12, 14-5, 144, 149, 168, 190, 216 9, 220, 233, 303-4, 334, 336, 342, Joueur, 222, 233, 254, 258, 277, 281, 342, Légataire, 16, 144, 147, 149, 165, 223, 224-9, 233, 263, 334, 337, 342, Ménechmes, 144, 147, 149, 219-24, 226, 233, 235, 254, 806, 337,

Ruault, 246

343. Œuvres (see Fournier, E), Retour imprévu, 229, Sérénade, 343, Souhaste, 147, 230, 251-2, Vendanges (Baille d'Amères), 230, 252-3
Regnist, 273 Reinach, 224 Relation des aventures d'Olivier de Na zume, see Brémond, Heureux Esclave Relations véritables, 9 Rembrandt, 104 Répertoures (1813-24), 37, 84, 152, 188, 193, 195, 200, 213, 238, 242, 277, 280 Retour d'Arlequin à la Foire, 322 Retour des officiers, see Dancourt Retour imprévu, ses Regnard Revue bleue, 264 Revue des questions historiques, 339 Revue d'Histoire littéraire, 6-9, 216, 224, 229, 237, 284, 328, 340 1 Revue du dix-huitième siècle, 209, 284 Rhadamiste et Zénobie, see Crébillon Rhadamistes, 113 Ribou, Pierre, 35, 37, 42, 45, 52, 56, 59, 65, 70, 72, 74, 76, 79, 82, 84, 87, 90, 98, 100, 108, 112, 125, 130, 132, 138, 140, 149, 151-2, 154, 158, 164, 107, 171, 173, 177-9, 181-2, 185, 188, 195, 200, 203, 205, 207, 213, 210, 219, 224, 228, 230, 234, 230-7, 240, 245-6, 254, 257, 268-8, 270, 275, 277, 279-80, 285
Ribou, P. J., 191
Ribou, P. J., 191
Ribou, P. J., 191 Ribou, veuvs de Pierre, 35, 37, 84, 87, 185, 224, 230-2, 257 Riccoboni, 2, 319, Réflexione, 143, 320 Richelieu 340 Rion, 151-2, 200, 216, 219, 224, 267-8, 270, 285, 294 Riupeirous, 28, 143, Agrippa, 04, Annibal, 64, Hypermnestre, 17-8, 21, 20, 33, 35, 64-8, 333, 336, Méléagre, 64, Traité des Médailles, 64, Valérien, 64 Ruaux d'eux-mêmes, 306, 338 Robbe, La Rapinière, 257 Rochefort, 314, 318 Rodogune, see Corneille, P Rodopc, 185 Rogier, 304 Rojas, 173, 252, 264, No hay amigo, 145, 250-1, Trasción busca el castigo, 171 Romagnesi, 315 Romains, Jules, Hommes de bonne volonté, 259 Roquelaure, marquis de, 95 Roselis, 10, 95, 107 Roth, 224 Rotrou, 123, Antigone, 44, Cosroès 28, 32, Ménechmes, 219, Vencestas, 14, 28, 48, 99 Rousseau, F, 2, Mémoires Henault. Rousseau, J.B, 3, 130, 144, 206 7, 293, 342, Ceinture magique, 5, 300 2, Quivres, 51, 117, 300, Cuvres diverses, 300, Prèces nouvelles, 300 Rouveyrs, 40 Roxelane, 52-3

Rustan, 52 Ruy Blas, ses Hugo. Sacrifice d'Abraham, see Péchantré Saint Aubin, 189 Saint-Edme, 14, 314, 316, 320, 322, 329 Saint-Edme, la, 314, 322 Saint-Gilles, Gilotin précepteur des Muses, 304 Saintonge, Fifty Yeas of Molière Studies, 340 Saint Simon, 2, 293, Œuvres, 107 Saint-Yon, 311, Façons (Mœurs) du tems, 154 5, 157, 257-8 Sallé, 10-2, 20-1, 41, 52, 90, 176 8 Sallé, Alexandre, 20 Sallé, la, 12-3, 20, 137, 177-8 Salome, 92 Sancho Pança, see Belloni and Dancourt Sancho Panza, see Guérin de Bouscal Sandeau, Mlle de la Seiglière, 343 Sauson, 224 Sarcey, 3, Quarante ans de théâtre, 224 Saul, 88 Saul, see Du Ryer and Nadal Saul (anon), 8 Saul ou l'Ombre de Samuel, 9 Saurin, 130, 267 Sauval, 311 Saxony, 'Prince Electoral,' 182 Scala, Finta Pazza, 216 Scaramouche Pédant, see Arlequin Ecolier ignorant Scarron, 192, 244, 246, Héritier ridioule, 243, 204 Jodelet maître, 254, 297, Jodelet souffleté, 250 Scévole, see Du Ryer Scherer, 340 Schiechi, 224 Scipio Africanus, 32 Scribe, Bataille de dames, 343 Scribonienus, 70 Scudéry, 168, Comédie des comédiens, 328 Scudéry, Mile de, 32, 54, 09, 78, 308 9, Grand Cyrus, 31, 37 8, 74-5, Illustre Bassa, 31, 53 Second Chapitre, see Daucourt Seeber, 342 Segrais, Berénice, 31, 113 Segumenu, Ægyste, 129 Selles, 314 5, 318 20 Sémiramis, see Crébillon and Gomez, Mme Seneca, 29, 34, 103 4, 130, 132, Thyestes. 31, 101 Sephoebus Myrsa, see Porée Sérénade, sec Regnard Sertorius, see Corneille, P Servius, 98-9 Sesostris, see Mauritius Sevestre, 9 Sévigny, 14

Roy, 3, 144, 299, Callwhoé, 59, 325, Capisfs, 147, 306-7, 338

Rue de Saint Denis, see Champmeslé

Sganarelle, see Molière Sicilien, see Molière Silanus, 70 Soleinne, 230 Solemne (Catalogue Solemne), see La-Solyman II, 52-3 Sophoeles, 29, 30, 105, 107, 110, 130, Mectra, 31, 104, 108, Œdipus Rez, 118, Philoctetes, 7, 96 Sophonise, see La Grange-Chancel Sorin, 314, 319, 329 Souciet, 8 Souffleurs, 237 Souhasts, see Regnard Souper mal apprêté, see Hauteroche Spon, Voyage d'Italie, 56 Starr, 2, 161 Sterbender Cato, see Gottsched Stiefel, 340 Stock, 219 Suctomus, 70 Suste du Répertoire, 46, 267-8, 270 Susanna, see Jordan Sylla, 73

Tabarın, 332 Tachmas, 53
Tacitus, 31, 62-3, 70, 119 Annals, 71, 113 Tamponnet, 312 Tarentole, see Malezicu Tartuffe, see Molière Tasso, Torrismondo, 152 Télégone, see Pellegrin Télémaque ou Calypso, see Pellegrin Téléphonte, see Gilbert and La Chapelle Terence, 7, 1912, 199, 218, 2989, Adel-phoe, 145, 18990, 290, 292, Andria, 145, 188-90, Heautontimoroumenos, 145, 303, Phormio, 189 Terrasson, 8 Théâtre françois of 1737, 52, 59, 65, 82, 84, 104, 138, 140, 254, 257 Thémistocle, see Du Ryer Théocaris martyr au Japon, 89 Théophile de Viau, 41 Thésée, see Fuzilier and La Fosse Thétis et Pelée, see Fontenelle Thibergeau, Mmc dc, 285 Thiel, Figure de Saul, 88 Thierri, 243 Thirron, 91 Thoury, Francoise, see Sallé, la Thyestes, see Seneca Tilley, 118 Timocrate, see Corneille, Th Tiquet, 314, 318 Tirso, Villana de Vallecas, 254 Toldo, 3, 216, 224-5 Tomyris, 69, 75 Tomyris, see Barbier, Mile Tonson, 300 Tonti, 263 Tontine, see Lesage Torrismondo, see Tasso Touquet, 37, 242, Répertoire, 238, Théâtre

de Bounden, 267-8, 270, Théâtre de Lafont, 277, 280 Tourneux, Correspondance, 103, 121, 137 Toynbee, Mrs Paget, Lettres Du Deffand, 137 Trahison punie, see Dancourt Trasción busoa el castigo, see Rojas Traître puni, see Lesage Tralage, 16, Notes et Documents, 18 Traseas, 63 Trével, 315 Trigaudin, see Montfleury Triomphe de la folie, see Arlequin baron allemand Triomphe de l'amour, 316 Triomphe du tems, see Legrand Triple Mariage, see Destouches Tristan l'Hermite, 28, Mariane, 63. 93 Triumvirat, see Crébillon Trivelin, 168 9 Trois Cousines, see Dancourt Trois Dominos, see Dufresny, Dominos Trois frères rivaux, see Lafont Trois Gascons, see Boindin Tross Orontes, see Bossrobert Truffier, 185 Turroret, see Lesage Tuteur, sec Dancourt Tyndaride, see La Rue Tyndarides, see Danchet Tysophernes, 119

Urbain, Correspondance de Bossuet, 8 Urfé, d' 4 stree, 308 Ussé de Valentiné, 28 9, 32 Usurse Centilhomme, see Legrand Urès, comte d', 19

Valérien, see Riupeirous Vallene, 321 2 Valous 13 Van de Gacte, 41 Vander Aa, 70 Van Dôle, 42, 46 Van Ghelen, 288 Van Kampen, 257 Vaudemont, prince de, 112 Vega, see Lope de Vega Venecslas, see Rotrou Vendanges, see Dancourt and Regnard Vendanges de Suresnes, see Dancourt Venue Preserved see Otway Vergil, Eneid, 98 Vergnette, 342 Vernonselle, 95 Vert Galant, see Dancourt Veuve a la mode, see Visé Vial, Deux Jaloux, 209 Vic, 3, 200, 209, 213 Vicomte de Génicourt, see Petit-Maistre de campagne Vicillard amourcux, see Pascal, Françoise Vicillord amourcux (Foire), 317 Vigenère, Images strates, 56 des deux Philo-

Villana de Vallecas, see Tirso, Villars, Comte de Gabalis, 342 Villars, maréchal, 36, 127 Villemain, 118 Villequier, Mme de, 185 Villiers, abbé, 8 Villiers, C, 339, Apoticaire dévalisé, 224 Villiers, J, 10, 20 Vin, see Vis Vindex, 61 Vinicianus, 70 Vis. Nicolas de, 339-40 Visé, de, 184, Gentshomme guespin, 195, Veuve à la mode, 145, 165 Visionnaires, see Desmaretz Vitu, 118 Voiture, 68 Voltaire, 1, 2, 17, 22, 26, 78, 87, 96, 98, 100, 103, 105, 108, 117-8, 123, 137, 149, 189, 194, 215, 233, 266-7, 272, 333, Alzire, 56, Bruius, 41-2, Dictionnaire philosophique, 19, Henrade, 42, Maramme, 19, Mérope, 42, Edipe, 20-1, Giures (see Moland), Oreste, 112, Orphelin de la Chine, 113, Zaire, 343 Vononez I, 52 Vononez, see Belin

Wade, 284
Waernewick, 341
Walpole, Horace, 36, 135, 137
Watts, 300
Watts, G B 341
Wheler, See Spon
Williams, R C, Bibliography of the
Seventeenth-Century Novel in France,
300
Wit's Last Stake, 224

Xercès, see Crébillon Xerxes, 31, 119 20

Young, 3, Michel Baron, 188, 190

Zaide, see La Chapelle Zaire, see Voltaire Zéangir, 53 Zeek Louis de Boissy, 270 Zestschrift fur frantz Spr und Lat, 257, 262 Zenobia, 113 Zonaras, 31, 70 Zoroaster, 311